



# Mindfulness-based Cognitive Therapy added to usual care improves eating behaviours in patients with Bulimia Nervosa and Binge Eating Disorder by decreasing the cognitive load of words related to body shape, weight and food

L. Sala, P. Gorwood, Ch. Vindreau, P. Duriez

## ► To cite this version:

L. Sala, P. Gorwood, Ch. Vindreau, P. Duriez. Mindfulness-based Cognitive Therapy added to usual care improves eating behaviours in patients with Bulimia Nervosa and Binge Eating Disorder by decreasing the cognitive load of words related to body shape, weight and food. *European Psychiatry*, 2021, pp.1-23. 10.1192/j.eurpsy.2021.2242 . inserm-03419304

**HAL Id: inserm-03419304**

**<https://inserm.hal.science/inserm-03419304>**

Submitted on 8 Nov 2021

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

**Original Article**

**Mindfulness-based Cognitive Therapy added to usual care improves eating behaviours in patients with Bulimia Nervosa and Binge Eating Disorder by decreasing the cognitive load of words related to body shape, weight and food.**

**“MBCT in BN and BED”**

L. Sala<sup>1</sup>, P. Gorwood<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Ch. Vindreau<sup>1</sup>, P. Duriez<sup>1,2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> GHU Paris Psychiatrie & Neurosciences, Clinic of Mental Illnesses & Brain Disorders, 75014 Paris, France

<sup>2</sup> University of Paris, Institute of Psychiatry and Neuroscience of Paris (IPNP), INSERM U1266, 75014 Paris, France

\*Corresponding author: CMME, GHU Paris Psychiatrie & Neurosciences, 100 rue de la Santé, 75674 Paris Cedex 14, France.

E-mail address: P.GORWOOD@ghu-paris.fr

Word counts:

Abstract: **245** [max 250]

Main text: **3489** [max 3,500]

This peer-reviewed article has been accepted for publication but not yet copyedited or typeset, and so may be subject to change during the production process. The article is considered published and may be cited using its DOI.

This is an Open Access article, distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives licence (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>), which permits non-commercial re-use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is unaltered and is properly cited. The written permission of Cambridge University Press must be obtained for commercial re-use or in order to create a derivative work.

**ABSTRACT**

**Background:** This study aimed to investigate the effectiveness of mindfulness-based cognitive therapy (MBCT) as a complementary approach in patients with bulimia nervosa (BN) or binge eating disorder (BED), and to assess how the reduction of the cognitive load of words related to eating disorders (ED) could constitute an intermediate factor explaining its global efficacy.

**Methods:** Eighty-eight women and men participated in clinical assessments upon inscription, prior to and following 8-week group MBCT. Mindfulness skills were assessed using the Five Facet Mindfulness Questionnaire (FFMQ); eating behaviours were assessed using the Three Factor Eating Questionnaire (TFEQ); comorbid pathologies were assessed using the Beck Depression Index (BDI) and the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI). The cognitive load of words associated with ED was assessed through a modified version of the Stroop colour naming task.

**Results:** Mindfulness skills improved significantly ( $p < .05$ ) after group MBCT. The improvement of TFEQ scores was accompanied by reduced levels of depressive mood and trait anxiety. The positive impact of MBCT on TFEQ score was directly related to an improvement of the performance in the Stroop task.

**Conclusions:** MBCT represents an interesting complementary therapy for patients with either BN or BED, at least when cognitive and behavioural domains are concerned. Such efficacy seems to be mediated by the reduction of the cognitive load associated with ED stimuli, which offers a possible explanation of how MBCT could reduce binge-eating behaviours. Other studies are needed, in independent centres, to focus more directly on core symptoms and long-term outcome.

**Keywords:** binge eating disorder, bulimia nervosa, eating disorders, mindfulness-based cognitive therapy

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Lifetime prevalence estimates of the adult community are reported as 0.8% for bulimia nervosa (BN) and 1.4% for binge eating disorder (BED) [1]. Individuals with BN or BED lack control over their eating during binge episodes, feeling like they cannot stop eating, or cannot control the quantity of ingested food. Binge eating is defined as eating large amounts of food in a discrete period of time, coupled with a sense of loss of control over one's eating and emotional distress [2].

Recently, cognitive theories have been proposed to explain binge eating in terms of its antecedents, function, triggers, consequences, and maintaining factors. Despite clinically significant short-term improvements following cognitive behavioural therapy (CBT) for many individuals with BN and BED, approximately 50% of patients remain symptomatic in the long term after treatment [3]. It is suggested that a complementary therapy could be useful to treat these patients.

Mindfulness-based interventions are gaining increasing support as efficient approaches to encourage non-judgmental acceptance of experience [4]. Indeed, mindfulness-based treatments (MBT) emphasise skills and techniques that facilitate increased acceptance of internal experiences (i.e. thoughts, feelings, physical sensations) [5]. MBT strategies could target the cognitions that initiate and maintain disordered eating [6]. Using mindfulness in the treatment of eating disorders (ED) could help cultivate awareness of internal experiences, facilitate self-acceptance, increase cognitive flexibility, compassion and forgiveness, and generally improve one's ability to cope adaptatively with emotions [7–10]. Mindfulness-based cognitive therapy (MBCT) could thus have a positive impact on patients with ED as it tackles some of the core aspects of binge eating. Whilst just a few studies have investigated the application of mindfulness and acceptance-based approaches to disordered eating, early results are promising [5].

Mindfulness is a way of paying attention that is taught through the practice of meditation or other exercises. It is defined as a state of non-judgmental attention to immediate experience (such as thoughts, emotions and physical sensations) and an acceptance of moment-to-moment experience

[11–14]. Awareness and acceptance of transitory moment allow one to replace automatic thoughts and reactivity to events with conscious and healthier responses [15]. It encourages patients to view emotions and thoughts as transient events that do not require specific behaviours. Mindfulness practices decrease levels of negative affect [16–19]. Their effect is mediated by changes in metacognitions related to emotions and autobiographical memory [20].

Various forms of mindfulness-based interventions have been tested as treatments for individuals with a range of problematic eating behaviours, including emotional or stress-related eating, overeating and obesity [21]. These interventions typically consist of eight group sessions with a specific topic for each session. MBCT is an extension of Jon Kabat-Zinn's mindfulness-based stress reduction program.

Studies evaluating the impact of the 8-week mindfulness protocol for patients suffering from ED, and in particular BN and/or BED, are almost non-existent. Only Baer et al. [22] have explored an adaptation of MBCT for BED.

Cognitive load can be defined as the mental effort required for an individual to complete a task [23]. The cognitive load theory relies on the assumption that working memory is limited in capacity [24] and that performance drops when the cognitive load increases [25]. Cognitive load can be assessed with the Stroop task [23], which has been used before within the scope of eating behaviours [26,27]. In this task, subjects are asked to name the colour of words [28]. Processing of specifically salient words (such as those related to core aspects of a disorder) imposes a cognitive load that delays colour naming [29]. As a result, when words create an attentional bias, naming their colour takes longer than when words are neutral [30]. Because food- and body-related stimuli are more salient to individuals with ED [31], the Stroop task with words related to food, body shape and weight, is an interesting tool to use in this population.

The present study aims to investigate the effectiveness of MBCT, in addition to usual care, in patients with either BN or BED. We hypothesised that MBCT improves eating behaviours as quoted by the TFEQ. Since mindfulness should improve emotion regulation and decrease the

negative affect of unpleasant thoughts, we also hypothesised that MBCT decreases the cognitive load of ED-related words. Furthermore, interested in how mindfulness could translate into a higher regulation of eating behaviours, we hypothesised that the efficacy of MBCT on eating behaviours and cognitions was mediated by the reduction of the cognitive load of stressful cues.

## 2. METHODS

The research protocol was approved by the Ethics Committees of the hospital and the Ethical Group of the University (UFR SPSE). Each patient received a letter from the Head of the Psychiatry Department confirming the researchers involved, the objectives of the study, the clinical protocol and data anonymity prior to the signature of an informed consent form that systematically confirmed their participation. Subjects received no form of payment for participating in the research.

### 2.1. Participants

Eighty-eight patients attending a day hospital at a university hospital specialised in the treatment of ED were enrolled over a 3¾-year period between October 2014 and June 2018. Mean age on enrolment was 30.8 years (SD=8.5, range: 19-68), 88% (n=44) were female, and 75% (n=66, 1 male) were diagnosed as suffering from BN. Mean body mass index (BMI) was 23.5 (SD=5.5, range: 16-40).

Patients were evaluated in a clinical interview with a senior psychiatrist to classify their ED based on DSM-5 criteria [2]. Subjects diagnosed with either BN or BED were included in the study. Exclusion criteria included patients diagnosed with anorexia nervosa (AN), schizophrenia, bipolar disorders or addiction. Five patients with BN had a BMI below 18.5 (but above 16.5). Nutritional interventions and treatments were allowed throughout the experiment.

Patients were assigned to one of six groups, containing from 9 to 12 participants. Some of them were initially placed on a waiting list (WL) prior to participating in the MBCT protocol, the waiting time for which varied from 2 to 6 months. The WL phase was not systematic in order to ensure adequate and coherent group sizes: 54% (n=33) of patients undertook the WL phase, during which they received usual care. Seventeen patients failed to complete tests and questionnaires for more than one evaluation timepoint; consequently, the final sample size was 71 patients. It was decided to include male patients from the outset, even though the proportion of the overall sample they could represent was unknown.

## **2.2. MBCT protocol**

During the MBCT, in addition to usual care, patients took part in eight two-hour weekly sessions over a two-month duration. Sessions were conducted by a senior instructor and psychologist with more than 14 years of MBCT practice. The programme closely followed the standard program conceived by Segal et al. [32] but the psychoeducation was tailored to suit subjects presenting ED rather than depression. Similarly, different cognitive tools were used and the duration of the meditation practices was reduced from 45 to 30 minutes. The first phase (sessions 1 to 4) focused on paying attention to the present moment by learning to observe one's mental dispersion; the second phase (sessions 5 to 8) focused on a recent problem from daily life in order to develop a different relationship with the unfavourable event and the associated emotions.

## **2.3. Clinical assessments**

Tests & questionnaires were completed by patients at three timepoints: upon inscription on WL (T<sub>0</sub>), prior to group MBCT (T<sub>1</sub>), and at the end of the protocol (T<sub>2</sub>). Patients' weight and height were measured to determine their BMI (weight/height<sup>2</sup>).

The evolution of mindfulness skills was measured using the Five-Facets Mindfulness Questionnaire (FFMQ), a 39-item self-completed questionnaire measuring the five facets of

mindfulness: Observing (8 items), Describing (8 items), Acting with awareness (8 items), Non-judgmental (8 items), and Non-reactive (7 items). Items are rated on five-point Likert scales (1 = never or very rarely true, to 5 = very often or always true), each facet score ranges from 8 to 40, except for the non-reactive facet which ranges from 7 to 35. For each facet, higher scores indicate higher levels of mindfulness [33].

Eating behaviours were evaluated using the Three-Factor Eating Questionnaire (TFEQ), a 51-item self-assessment scale assessing three factors: Cognitive restraint (CR) (21 items), Disinhibition (16 items), and Hunger (14 items). Each item is scored either 0 or 1. Minimum scores for the three factors are 0, maximum scores 21, 16 and 14, respectively. Higher scores indicate higher levels of restrained eating, disinhibited eating and predisposition to hunger [34]. The TFEQ has psychometric support including predictive validity [35].

The Beck Depression Inventory (BDI) [36] and the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI) [37] were administered to assess comorbid depressive and anxiety symptoms respectively. The BDI is a 21-question multiple-choice self-report inventory. Each question has four possible responses, ranging in intensity, scored from 0 to 3. Higher scores indicate more severe depressive symptoms. The STAI (form Y) is a 40-item self-evaluation questionnaire for assessing trait anxiety (20 items) and state anxiety (20 items). All items are rated on 4-point scales (e.g., from “Almost Never” to “Almost Always”). Higher scores indicate greater anxiety.

169

## 170 **2.4. Eating disorder Stroop task**

Following a search of the international literature, we chose a modified version of the Stroop task published by Cooper & Todd [27], who validated the adaptation of the test to ED. A French translation of this ED-specific Stroop task was developed following consultation with the corresponding author.

Ten cards were generated. Each card was made up of 25 words printed on a white background in five rows of 5 words. Each word was printed in one of five colours: red, green, black, blue or

yellow. Each of the five stimulus words was repeated 5 times. In each block of five words, each of the five words and each of the five colours occurred once.

The ten cards were organised into five pairs and presented in a fully balanced design. In each pair, the target card followed the control card. The pairings were: congruent words & colours vs. incongruent words & colours; transport vs. food; household objects vs. weight; nature vs. body shape; and communication vs. depression.

Patients were instructed to name the colour of the ink in which each word was written as quickly as possible and to correct any errors immediately after their occurrence. The time taken to name the colour of all the words on each card was recorded using a digital stopwatch. Subsequently, the total time taken to name all neutral words (six cards: congruent colours, incongruent colours, transport, objects, nature, and communication) and all ED-related words (three cards: food, weight, and shape) were calculated.

The test was administered at timepoints  $T_0$ ,  $T_1$ , and  $T_2$ , following the clinical interview with the senior instructor and prior to completion of the clinical assessments.

## 2.5. Statistical analysis

All analyses, except path analyses, were performed with the PASW Statistics18 software. Normal distributions of variables were checked using Kolmogorov-Smirnov Test prior to analysis. Score changes were calculated for the WL period (difference between timepoints  $T_1$  and  $T_0$ ) and for the duration of MBCT (difference between timepoints  $T_2$  and  $T_1$ ). T-tests were performed for statistical comparison between WL and MBCT. Homogeneity of variances was confirmed using the Levene statistic. The significance level was set at  $p \leq .05$ .

Multivariate approaches were performed by logistic regression to evaluate the role of any potentially contaminating factors. This analysis used the condition MBCT versus WL as the dependent variable, and the following independent variables: change of TFEQ scores as the expected improvement, and changes of BDI (depression) and STAI-State (anxiety) scores as

potential confounders. The hypothesis was that any improvement of the TFEQ score as a parameter was independent of any improvement following MBCT, and not merely a reflection of an improvement of mood or anxiety scores.

Path analyses were conducted using PROCESS statistics for SPSS v3.5, to assess the direct impact of MBCT on the improvement of the TFEQ score and the mediating effect of Stroop performance.

### 3. RESULTS

After MBCT, four out of the five facets of the FFMQ showed statistically significant improvements: Observing ( $t=2.34$ ,  $p=.01$ ), Describing ( $t=1.71$ ,  $p=.05$ ), Non-judging of inner experience ( $t=1.63$ ,  $p=.05$ ), and Non-reactivity to inner experience ( $t=1.77$ ,  $p=.04$ ). The only facet not to improve significantly was Acting with awareness ( $t=1.39$ ,  $p=0.08$ ). The effect sizes were small to moderate (Table 1).

We observed a significant improvement in TFEQ results during MBCT, with a moderate total effect size (Table 1). The level of depressive mood and the trait condition of anxiety also significantly improved after MBCT and not so following inscription on the WL (Table 1). Furthermore, when assessing the efficacy of MBCT on TFEQ score, we observed no impact of the sessions ( $F=.259$ ,  $p=.933$ ), gender ( $t=1.196$ ,  $p=.119$ ), diagnoses ( $F=.231$ ,  $p=.633$ ), age ( $r=.01$ ,  $p=.969$ ), or baseline BMI ( $r=-.075$ ,  $p=.603$ ).

The logistic regression analysis showed that only TFEQ improvement was significant (Wald  $\chi^2=4.65$ ,  $df=1$ ,  $p=.03$ ) following MBCT, while BDI (Wald  $\chi^2=2.93$ ,  $df=1$ ,  $p=.09$ ) and state anxiety (Wald  $\chi^2=.253$ ,  $df=1$ ,  $p=.62$ ) were not.

In the Stroop task, reaction times for ED-related words were shortened ( $t=2.24$ ,  $p=.01$ ,  $d=.52$ ) by MBCT, whereas those for neutral ( $t=.349$ ,  $p=.36$ ,  $d=.08$ ) and mood ( $t=.015$ ,  $p=.49$ ,  $d<.01$ ) words were not (Table 1).

The path analysis on the effect of being tested twice, before and after the WL (control intervention, Figure 1), showed no direct effect neither on TFEQ score ( $t=-.804$ ,  $p=.42$ ) nor on reaction times in the Stroop task for ED-related words ( $t=-.600$ ,  $p=.56$ ). TFEQ score was significantly predicted by reaction times ( $t=5.545$ ,  $p<.001$ ). The bootstrapping indirect effect of WL on TFEQ score improvement through Stroop performance was not significant (CI 95%  $[(-5.481) - (+2.074)]$ ).

A similar path analysis performed before and after MBCT (studied intervention, Figure 2) showed no residual direct effect of MBCT on TFEQ score ( $t=-.449$ ,  $p=.65$ ) but a strong effect on reaction times in the Stroop task for ED-related words ( $t=4.231$ ,  $p=.009$ ). TFEQ score improvement was significantly mediated by the improvement of reaction times ( $F=10.227$ ,  $p<.001$ ). The bootstrapping indirect effect of MBCT on TFEQ score improvement through Stroop performance was significant (CI 95%  $[(-6.811) - (-0.566)]$ ).

None of the preceding results were changed when the five patients with a BMI below 18.5 were excluded (data not shown).

#### 4. DISCUSSION

The impact of MBCT was assessed on patients with either BN or BED. We found that MBCT significantly improved mindfulness, TFEQ scores and reaction times in the Stroop task. It also had a significant positive impact on depression and trait anxiety. The improvement of the three factors of ED tested by the TFEQ (dietary restriction, disinhibition, and hunger) was mediated by the reduction of reaction times in the Stroop task for words related to food, weight and shape. These results support the idea that MBCT could induce positive changes in disordered eating, such as improving eating behaviours and, in parallel and independently, the anxiety and depressive symptoms tested herein.

Our study confirms the few results published in literature regarding the impact of MBCT for patients with BN or BED. Previous reviews have suggested that mindfulness-based interventions are effective in reducing symptoms of ED [7,38,39] and could reduce binge episodes and dichotomous thinking, body image concern and emotional eating [7,21]. Similarly, our results concerning improvement in mindfulness, anxiety and external-based eating are consistent with those of Daubenmier et al. [40]. However, the sample tested herein is larger than the subject populations comprised in most of these studies.

In the original version of the Stroop task [28], subjects are asked to name the colour of colour names written in congruent or incongruent colours. This version of the task measures the ability to refrain from reading the colour name and to name the colour of the ink. In the modified version that we used [27], the difficulty does not arise from congruent or incongruent colours, but depends on the salience of the words [30]. Indeed, words related to eating disorder have an increased cognitive load, which interferes with the ability to complete the task [29]. Cooper and Todd, whose version of the Stroop test we used, reported that patients with ED took longer to colour name words related to their concerns with eating, weight and body shape than neutral words [27]. In the present study, we found that patients were faster to colour name ED-related words post-MBCT than pre-MBCT. This suggests that MBCT efficiently decreased their concerns/biases with food, weight and body shape and, by doing so, reduced the salience and the resulting cognitive load of ED-related words.

Impulsivity promotes binge-eating behaviours in both BN [41] and BED [42]. Mindfulness and impulsivity are generally negatively correlated; indeed, Urgency (one of the facets of impulsivity) was reported to be negatively and strongly associated with Acting with Awareness, Non-reactivity and Non-judgment [43]. MBCT could therefore reduce binge-eating behaviours by improving mindfulness and consequently decrease impulsiveness. An alternative explanation could rather propose that MBCT targets executive control and/or emotion regulation. In a study devoted to bereavement and depression, MBCT facilitated the executive control function by alleviating the emotional interferences over the cognitive functions, suggesting that the MBCT intervention

significantly improved both executive control and emotion regulation [44]. In the present study, however, the co-occurring improvement of mood was not involved in the improvement of eating behaviours, while we observed a significant role of the reduction of the cognitive load of ED-related words. This suggests that cognitions (inhibitory control) rather than emotions are the leverage of MBCT efficacy in ED.

As mindfulness-based interventions primarily aim to facilitate self-acceptance, improve the ability to cope with emotions and decrease levels of negative affect [7–10,16–19], it is not surprising that MBCT was associated with a reduction of anxiety and depressive symptoms. This constitutes another significant advantage of using MBCT as a complementary therapy in patients with ED who often suffer from depression and anxiety [45,46].

Our findings are in accordance with the strength model of self-regulation [47–49]. This model posits that individuals have a limited capacity to regulate certain states (e.g. affect and hunger), and can benefit from mindfulness interventions to continue to increase their capacity for self-regulation, affective stability & flexibility, coping skills and reduced reactivity toward stress-induced bulimic compulsions.

Four limitations should be considered in the present study.

First, the fact that just 54% of patients completed the WL phase prior to MBCT reduced the statistical power to detect an effect in this specific group and in the global sample. The inclusion of patients in the WL was stopped at least four weeks before the first MBCT group started, in order to ensure a sufficiently long waiting time, i.e. at least one month. This strategy was decided to reduce the negative consequences of the protocol in the treatment proposed in our centre, and reduce the risk of biases associated with the inclusion of just volunteers. Our WL multiple baseline therefore cannot be considered as random, but probably facilitated the low attrition rate (22%) that we obtained.

Second, WL conditions may act as a nocebo in psychotherapy trials [50], and this might be amplified by our multiple baseline. The suspicion that this might lead to different effect size

estimates did not form part of this study. Future research should address this weakness, notably by preplanning sensitivity analyses and performing post-hoc analyses on findings of significant differences in order to adjust for potential publication bias.

Third, our tests lacked an evaluation of general psychopathology. Regarding the neuropsychological assessment, we only included in our study the Stroop test, but additional measures regarding memory and executive functions would have been useful. The Stroop task has some well-known limitations, such as variable scoring methods [51], a contaminating role of optometric [52], presence of dyslexia [53] or depressive disorder [54], honesty of responses [55] or even gum-chewing [56]. Furthermore, the Stroop task probably tested variable dimensions of cognitive control [57]. Knowing that pupil size increases as task demand rises, the observation of a steep increase of pupil size when reading incongruent distractors is reassuring [58]. Likewise, finding in our sample that mood and anxiety improved independently from reaction times is also reassuring. Associating different neurocognitive tests with the Stroop task would help define the neurocognitive aspects which could explain the positive effect of MBCT in ED.

Fourth, path analyses attribute the impact of an intervention on one intermediate factor to explain a global effect on another. While detecting that Stroop performance mediates the improvement of the TFEQ score is interesting, these analyses do not take into account that such effect probably differs from one patient to another. In other words, MBCT probably has more obvious efficacy in specific patient subgroups, and our sample was too small to be able to define them. In the same line, the instruments used in the present protocol only reflect some aspects of

326 eating, mood and anxiety disorders, and cannot be considered representative of the large  
327 heterogeneity of patients having these disorders.

328 In conclusion, whilst the current study presents interesting findings on the role of group  
329 MBCT and its relationship with eating behaviours, future research will be important to include  
330 biological parameters and other tests of cognitive flexibility and functioning.

331

332 **Acknowledgements:** The work was supported by an unrestrictive grant from Fondation de l'Avenir  
333 (AP-RM-16-040). The authors thank Daphnée Poupon for her help for editing and finalizing the  
334 manuscript.

335 **Conflicts of Interest:** The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

336 **Data availability statement:** The data that support the findings of this study are not available.

337

**REFERENCES:**

- [1] Kessler RC, Berglund PA, Chiu WT, Deitz AC, Hudson JI, Shahly V, et al. The prevalence and correlates of binge eating disorder in the World Health Organization World Mental Health Surveys. *Biol Psychiatry* 2013;73:904–14. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.biopsych.2012.11.020>.
- [2] American Psychiatric Association. *Diagnostic and statistical manual of mental disorders : DSM-5*. Fifth edition. Arlington, VA : American Psychiatric Association, [2013]; 2013.
- [3] Burton AL, Abbott MJ. Conceptualising Binge Eating: A Review of the Theoretical and Empirical Literature. *Behaviour Change* 2017;34:168–98. <https://doi.org/10.1017/bec.2017.12>.
- [4] Baer RA. Mindfulness Training as a Clinical Intervention: A Conceptual and Empirical Review. *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice* 2003;10:125–43. <https://doi.org/10.1093/clipsy.bpg015>.
- [5] Barney JL, Murray HB, Manasse SM, Dochat C, Juarascio AS. Mechanisms and moderators in mindfulness- and acceptance-based treatments for binge eating spectrum disorders: A systematic review. *Eur Eat Disord Rev* 2019;27:352–80. <https://doi.org/10.1002/erv.2673>.
- [6] Baer RA, Fischer S, Huss DB. Mindfulness and Acceptance in the Treatment of Disordered Eating. *J Rat-Emo Cognitive-Behav Ther* 2005;23:281–300. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10942-005-0015-9>.
- [7] Baer RA, Fischer S, Huss DB. Mindfulness-based cognitive therapy applied to binge eating: A case study. *Cognitive and Behavioral Practice* 2005;12:351–8. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1077-7229\(05\)80057-4](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1077-7229(05)80057-4).
- [8] Kristeller JL, Baer RA, Quillian-Wolever R. Mindfulness-Based Approaches to Eating Disorders. *Mindfulness-based treatment approaches: Clinician's guide to evidence base and applications*, San Diego, CA, US: Elsevier Academic Press; 2006, p. 75–91. <https://doi.org/10.1016/B978-012088519-0/50005-8>.
- [9] Kristeller JL, Wolever RQ. Mindfulness-based eating awareness training for treating binge eating disorder: the conceptual foundation. *Eat Disord* 2011;19:49–61. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10640266.2011.533605>.
- [10] Wolever RQ, Best JL. Mindfulness-Based Approaches to Eating Disorders. In: Didonna F, editor. *Clinical Handbook of Mindfulness*, New York, NY: Springer; 2009, p. 259–87. [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-09593-6\\_15](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-0-387-09593-6_15).
- [11] Kabat-Zinn J, Hanh TN. *Full Catastrophe Living: Using the Wisdom of Your Body and Mind to Face Stress, Pain, and Illness*. Random House Publishing Group; 2009.

- 370 [12] Kabat-Zinn J. An outpatient program in behavioral medicine for chronic pain patients based  
371 on the practice of mindfulness meditation: theoretical considerations and preliminary results. *Gen*  
372 *Hosp Psychiatry* 1982;4:33–47. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0163-8343\(82\)90026-3](https://doi.org/10.1016/0163-8343(82)90026-3).
- 373 [13] Kabat-Zinn J. *Wherever You Go, There You Are: Mindfulness Meditation in Everyday Life*.  
374 Hachette Books; 1994.
- 375 [14] Bishop SR, Lau M, Shapiro S, Carlson L, Anderson ND, Carmody J, et al. Mindfulness: A  
376 Proposed Operational Definition. *Clinical Psychology: Science and Practice* 2004;11:230–41.  
377 <https://doi.org/10.1093/clipsy.bph077>.
- 378 [15] Sears S, Kraus S. I think therefore I am: cognitive distortions and coping style as mediators  
379 for the effects of mindfulness meditation on anxiety, positive and negative affect, and hope. *J Clin*  
380 *Psychol* 2009;65:561–73. <https://doi.org/10.1002/jclp.20543>.
- 381 [16] Arch JJ, Craske MG. Mechanisms of mindfulness: emotion regulation following a focused  
382 breathing induction. *Behav Res Ther* 2006;44:1849–58. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brat.2005.12.007>.
- 383 [17] Ortner CNM, Kilner SJ, Zelazo PD. Mindfulness meditation and reduced emotional  
384 interference on a cognitive task. *Motiv Emot* 2007;31:271–83. [https://doi.org/10.1007/s11031-007-](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11031-007-9076-7)  
385 [9076-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11031-007-9076-7).
- 386 [18] Tang Y-Y, Ma Y, Wang J, Fan Y, Feng S, Lu Q, et al. Short-term meditation training  
387 improves attention and self-regulation. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2007;104:17152–6.  
388 <https://doi.org/10.1073/pnas.0707678104>.
- 389 [19] Vinci C, Peltier MR, Shah S, Kinsaul J, Waldo K, McVay MA, et al. Effects of a brief  
390 mindfulness intervention on negative affect and urge to drink among college student drinkers.  
391 *Behav Res Ther* 2014;59:82–93. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brat.2014.05.012>.
- 392 [20] Teasdale JD, Moore RG, Hayhurst H, Pope M, Williams S, Segal ZV. Metacognitive  
393 awareness and prevention of relapse in depression: empirical evidence. *J Consult Clin Psychol*  
394 2002;70:275–87. <https://doi.org/10.1037//0022-006x.70.2.275>.
- 395 [21] Alberts HJEM, Thewissen R, Raes L. Dealing with problematic eating behaviour. The  
396 effects of a mindfulness-based intervention on eating behaviour, food cravings, dichotomous  
397 thinking and body image concern. *Appetite* 2012;58:847–51.  
398 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2012.01.009>.
- 399 [22] Baer RA. *Mindfulness-Based Treatment Approaches: Clinician's Guide to Evidence Base*  
400 *and Applications*. Elsevier; 2015.
- 401 [23] Gwizdka J. Using stroop task to assess cognitive load. *Proceedings of the 28th Annual*  
402 *European Conference on Cognitive Ergonomics*, New York, NY, USA: Association for Computing  
403 Machinery; 2010, p. 219–22. <https://doi.org/10.1145/1962300.1962345>.

- 404 [24] Paas F, van Gog T, Sweller J. Cognitive Load Theory: New Conceptualizations,  
405 Specifications, and Integrated Research Perspectives. *Educ Psychol Rev* 2010;22:115–21.  
406 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10648-010-9133-8>.
- 407 [25] Klepsch M, Schmitz F, Seufert T. Development and Validation of Two Instruments  
408 Measuring Intrinsic, Extraneous, and Germane Cognitive Load. *Front Psychol* 2017;0.  
409 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.01997>.
- 410 [26] Lattimore P, Maxwell L. Cognitive load, stress, and disinhibited eating. *Eating Behaviors*  
411 2004;5:315–24. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eatbeh.2004.04.009>.
- 412 [27] Cooper M, Todd G. Selective processing of three types of stimuli in eating disorders. *Br J*  
413 *Clin Psychol* 1997;36:279–81. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.2044-8260.1997.tb01413.x>.
- 414 [28] Stroop JR. Studies of interference in serial verbal reactions. *Journal of Experimental*  
415 *Psychology* 1935;18:643–62. <https://doi.org/10.1037/h0054651>.
- 416 [29] Bielecki M, Popiel A, Zawadzki B, Sedek G. Age As Moderator of Emotional Stroop Task  
417 Performance in Posttraumatic Stress Disorder (PTSD). *Front Psychol* 2017;8:1614.  
418 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.01614>.
- 419 [30] Ben-Haim MS, Williams P, Howard Z, Mama Y, Eidels A, Algom D. The Emotional Stroop  
420 Task: Assessing Cognitive Performance under Exposure to Emotional Content. *J Vis Exp* 2016.  
421 <https://doi.org/10.3791/53720>.
- 422 [31] Zhu Y, Hu X, Wang J, Chen J, Guo Q, Li C, et al. Processing of food, body and emotional  
423 stimuli in anorexia nervosa: a systematic review and meta-analysis of functional magnetic  
424 resonance imaging studies. *Eur Eat Disord Rev* 2012;20:439–50. <https://doi.org/10.1002/erv.2197>.
- 425 [32] Segal ZV, Williams JMG, Teasdale JD. Mindfulness-based cognitive therapy for depression:  
426 A new approach to preventing relapse. New York, NY, US: Guilford Press; 2002.
- 427 [33] Baer RA, Smith GT, Lykins E, Button D, Krietemeyer J, Sauer S, et al. Construct validity of  
428 the five facet mindfulness questionnaire in meditating and nonmeditating samples. *Assessment*  
429 2008;15:329–42. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1073191107313003>.
- 430 [34] Stunkard AJ, Messick S. The three-factor eating questionnaire to measure dietary restraint,  
431 disinhibition and hunger. *J Psychosom Res* 1985;29:71–83. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-3999\(85\)90010-8](https://doi.org/10.1016/0022-3999(85)90010-8).
- 432  
433 [35] Blomquist KK, Grilo CM. Predictive significance of changes in dietary restraint in obese  
434 patients with binge eating disorder during treatment. *Int J Eat Disord* 2011;44:515–23.  
435 <https://doi.org/10.1002/eat.20849>.

- 436 [36] Beck AT, Ward CH, Mendelson M, Mock J, Erbaugh J. An inventory for measuring  
437 depression. *Arch Gen Psychiatry* 1961;4:561–71.  
438 <https://doi.org/10.1001/archpsyc.1961.01710120031004>.
- 439 [37] Spielberger CD, Gorsuch RL, Lushene RE. *Manual for the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory*  
440 1970.
- 441 [38] Wanden-Berghe RG, Sanz-Valero J, Wanden-Berghe C. The application of mindfulness to  
442 eating disorders treatment: a systematic review. *Eat Disord* 2011;19:34–48.  
443 <https://doi.org/10.1080/10640266.2011.533604>.
- 444 [39] Courbasson CM, Nishikawa Y, Shapira LB. Mindfulness-Action Based Cognitive  
445 Behavioral Therapy for concurrent Binge Eating Disorder and Substance Use Disorders. *Eat Disord*  
446 2011;19:17–33. <https://doi.org/10.1080/10640266.2011.533603>.
- 447 [40] Daubenmier J, Kristeller J, Hecht FM, Maninger N, Kuwata M, Jhaveri K, et al.  
448 Mindfulness Intervention for Stress Eating to Reduce Cortisol and Abdominal Fat among  
449 Overweight and Obese Women: An Exploratory Randomized Controlled Study. *J Obes*  
450 2011;2011:651936. <https://doi.org/10.1155/2011/651936>.
- 451 [41] Howard M, Gregertsen EC, Hindocha C, Serpell L. Impulsivity and compulsivity in  
452 anorexia and bulimia nervosa: A systematic review. *Psychiatry Res* 2020;293:113354.  
453 <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.psychres.2020.113354>.
- 454 [42] Boswell RG, Grilo CM. General impulsivity in binge-eating disorder. *CNS Spectr* 2020;1–7.  
455 <https://doi.org/10.1017/S1092852920001674>.
- 456 [43] Murphy C, Mackillop J. Living in the here and now: interrelationships between impulsivity,  
457 mindfulness, and alcohol misuse. *Psychopharmacology (Berl)* 2012;219:527–36.  
458 <https://doi.org/10.1007/s00213-011-2573-0>.
- 459 [44] Huang F-Y, Hsu A-L, Hsu L-M, Tsai J-S, Huang C-M, Chao Y-P, et al. Mindfulness  
460 Improves Emotion Regulation and Executive Control on Bereaved Individuals: An fMRI Study.  
461 *Front Hum Neurosci* 2018;12:541. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2018.00541>.
- 462 [45] Casper RC. Depression and eating disorders. *Depress Anxiety* 1998;8 Suppl 1:96–104.
- 463 [46] Godart NT, Flament MF, Lecrubier Y, Jeammet P. Anxiety disorders in anorexia nervosa  
464 and bulimia nervosa: co-morbidity and chronology of appearance. *Eur Psychiatry* 2000;15:38–45.  
465 [https://doi.org/10.1016/s0924-9338\(00\)00212-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/s0924-9338(00)00212-1).
- 466 [47] Baumeister RF, Vohs KD, Tice DM. The strength model of self-control. *Current Directions*  
467 *in Psychological Science* 2007;16:351–5. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8721.2007.00534.x>.

- 468 [48] Hagger MS, Wood C, Stiff C, Chatzisarantis NLD. The strength model of self-regulation  
469 failure and health-related behaviour. *Health Psychology Review* 2009;3:208–38.  
470 <https://doi.org/10.1080/17437190903414387>.
- 471 [49] Muraven M, Baumeister RF. Self-regulation and depletion of limited resources: does self-  
472 control resemble a muscle? *Psychol Bull* 2000;126:247–59. [https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-](https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.126.2.247)  
473 2909.126.2.247.
- 474 [50] Furukawa TA, Noma H, Caldwell DM, Honyashiki M, Shinohara K, Imai H, et al. Waiting  
475 list may be a placebo condition in psychotherapy trials: a contribution from network meta-analysis.  
476 *Acta Psychiatr Scand* 2014;130:181–92. <https://doi.org/10.1111/acps.12275>.
- 477 [51] Scarpina F, Tagini S. The Stroop Color and Word Test. *Front Psychol* 2017;8:557.  
478 <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2017.00557>.
- 479 [52] Daniel F, Kapoula Z. Binocular Vision and the Stroop Test. *Optom Vis Sci* 2016;93:194–  
480 208. <https://doi.org/10.1097/OPX.0000000000000774>.
- 481 [53] Proulx MJ, Elmasry H-M. Stroop interference in adults with dyslexia. *Neurocase*  
482 2015;21:413–7. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13554794.2014.914544>.
- 483 [54] Kertzman S, Reznik I, Hornik-Lurie T, Weizman A, Kotler M, Amital D. Stroop  
484 performance in major depression: selective attention impairment or psychomotor slowness? *J Affect*  
485 *Disord* 2010;122:167–73. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2009.08.009>.
- 486 [55] Boskovic I, Biermans AJ, Merten T, Jelicic M, Hope L, Merckelbach H. The Modified  
487 Stroop Task Is Susceptible to Feigning: Stroop Performance and Symptom Over-endorsement in  
488 Feigned Test Anxiety. *Front Psychol* 2018;9:1195. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2018.01195>.
- 489 [56] Kawakami Y, Takeda T, Konno M, Suzuki Y, Kawano Y, Ozawa T, et al. Relationships  
490 Between Gum Chewing and Stroop Test: A Pilot Study. *Adv Exp Med Biol* 2017;977:221–6.  
491 [https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-55231-6\\_30](https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-319-55231-6_30).
- 492 [57] Purmann S, Pollmann S. Adaptation to recent conflict in the classical color-word Stroop-  
493 task mainly involves facilitation of processing of task-relevant information. *Front Hum Neurosci*  
494 2015;9:88. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fnhum.2015.00088>.
- 495 [58] Laeng B, Ørbo M, Holmlund T, Miozzo M. Pupillary Stroop effects. *Cogn Process*  
496 2011;12:13–21. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10339-010-0370-z>.
- 497


**Table 1: Comparison of mindfulness capacities (FFMQ), eating behaviour (TFEQ), depressive symptoms (BDI), trait and state anxiety (STAI), and emotional reactivity (modified Stroop test for ED) in 61 patients treated for an ED following inscription on a waiting list (WL) and before & after eight weeks of group MBCT.**

Parameters		Baseline values at study enrolment		WL		MBCT		Difference from 0 of (T <sub>2</sub> -T <sub>1</sub> )-(T <sub>1</sub> -T <sub>0</sub> )			
		(T <sub>0</sub> )		(T <sub>1</sub> -T <sub>0</sub> ) (N=33)		(T <sub>2</sub> -T <sub>1</sub> ) (N=52)		<i>t</i>	df	<i>p</i> -value	Cohen's d
		Mean	SD	Mean	SD	Mean	SD				
<b>FFMQ</b>	<i>Observing</i>	22.2	6.58	-0.58	3.40	1.71	4.88	2.34	82	0.01*	0.53
	<i>Describing</i>	21.4	6.86	-0.03	3.38	1.59	4.71	1.71	82	0.05*	0.39
	<i>Awareness</i> <sup>†</sup>	22.1	6.49	-0.76	5.68	1.04	5.86	1.39	82	0.08	0.31
	<i>Non-judging</i> <sup>‡</sup>	21.3	5.88	0.00	5.23	2.27	6.79	1.63	82	0.05	0.37
	<i>Non-reactivity</i> <sup>§</sup>	12.4	3.14	0.51	2.25	1.86	4.67	1.77	82	0.04*	0.35
<b>TFEQ</b>	<i>Cognitive restraint</i>	12.1	4.66	-0.19	2.28	-1.30	2.76	1.90	82	0.06	-0.43
	<i>Disinhibition</i>	11.1	3.71	0.09	1.51	-1.00	2.76	2.31	82	0.02*	-0.46
	<i>Hunger</i>	7.2	4.27	0.56	2.44	-0.64	2.44	2.18	82	0.03*	-0.50
	<i>Total</i>	30.3	7.02	0.47	4.70	-2.92	6.02	2.72	82	0.01**	-0.61
<b>BDI</b>		11.3	4.81	-0.03	4.93	-2.50	4.92	2.55	82	0.01**	-0.51
<b>STAI-State</b>		63.2	10.90	-0.51	11.90	-3.69	12.40	1.18	82	0.18	-0.26
<b>STAI-Trait</b>		64.7	9.07	-0.39	7.11	-4.52	9.02	2.35	82	0.01*	-0.49

<b>Stroop words</b>	<i>Congruent colours</i>	15.4	3.84	-2.48	3.71	-1.17	3.62	1.60	82	0.06	0.36
	<i>Incongruent colours</i>	25.2	10.20	-2.58	7.54	-3.66	5.08	0.72	82	0.24	-0.18
	<i>Transport</i>	18.0	5.23	-1.48	2.36	-0.74	1.98	1.50	82	0.07	0.35
	<i>Objects</i>	16.7	3.88	0.17	2.09	-1.22	2.85	2.59	82	0.01**	-0.53
	<i>Nature</i>	18.1	4.19	-0.80	2.37	-0.93	2.61	0.24	82	0.41	-0.05
	<i>Communication</i>	16.1	5.52	0.41	2.39	-0.81	2.80	2.14	82	0.02*	-0.46
	<b>All neutral words</b>	84.3	19.00	-4.18	6.91	-4.87	7.41	0.44	82	0.33	-0.10
	<i>Food</i>	17.8	3.13	-0.19	3.25	-1.50	3.04	1.86	82	0.03*	-0.43
	<i>Weight</i>	20	6.09	-1.01	3.62	-1.78	2.66	1.05	82	0.15	-0.26
	<i>Shape</i>	18.5	4.48	-0.87	2.25	-1.83	2.40	1.87	82	.03*	-0.41
	<b>All ED words</b>	56.2	13.00	-2.06	5.83	-5.11	5.84	2.35	82	.01*	-0.53
	<i>Depression-related words</i>	18.5	4.95	-0.95	2.50	-0.94	3.57	0.02	82	0.49	<0.01


Abbreviations: BMI, body-mass index; BDI, Beck depression inventory; FFMQ, five facets mindfulness questionnaire; MBCT, mindfulness-based cognitive therapy; STAI, state-trait anxiety inventory; TFEQ, three-factor eating questionnaire; WL, waiting list. \* p significant  $\leq .05$ ; \*\* p significant  $\leq .01$ ; † Acting with awareness; ‡ Non-judging of inner experience; § Non-reactivity to inner experience

**Figure 1 – Path analysis of the impact of the “waiting list” on “TFEQ” score, directly, and through its impact on the “Stroop ED” test (for words related to eating disorders).**



Legend: Changes for both TFEQ score and performance in the emotional Stroop task associated with the waiting list correspond to the difference between timepoints T0 and T1. Bold arrows indicate significant paths ( $p < .05$ ). There was no direct effect of the waiting list neither on the TFEQ score nor on emotional Stroop performance for ED-related words. ED symptoms improvement was significantly predicted by emotional Stroop performance.

**Figure 2 – Path analysis of the impact of the mindfulness-based cognitive therapy (“MBCT”) on “TFEQ” score, directly, and through its impact on the “Stroop ED” test (for words related to eating disorders).**



**Legend:** Changes for both TFEQ score and performance in the emotional Stroop task associated with MBCT correspond to the difference between timepoints T1 and T2. Bold arrows indicate significant paths ( $p < .05$ ). There was no direct effect of MBCT on the TFEQ score but a strong effect on emotional Stroop performance for ED-related words. ED symptoms improvement was significantly predicted by emotional Stroop performance.