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Introduction

A categorical classification of mental disorders describes major depressive disorder (MDD) as a mood and/or interest-related disorder, but MDD includes impaired emotional regulation and reduced motivation. Emotional disturbances include heightened responsiveness to negative events at the behavioural, cognitive and cerebral levels, underpinned by abnormal cortical–subcortical (top-down) regulation. More precisely, impaired control from cortical (top) structures (such as the dorsolateral prefrontal cortex [dlPFC] and the anterior cingulate cortex [ACC]) over subcortical (down) structures (the amygdala) are thought to be responsible for negative emotions in MDD. Furthermore, reduced perigenual–amygdalar functional connectivity is supported by serotonin transporter polymorphism in healthy individuals and might be an intermediate phenotype of MDD. These key cortical–subcortical interactions have been assessed using emotional labelling tasks, but conflictual and high-attention stimuli are ubiquitous. We do not yet know how cortical–subcortical functional networks are impaired in MDD in contexts of varying attention and congruency.

Depression is characterized by emotional deficits, but also by motivational impairments, such as apathy. Apathy is defined as a reduction in goal-directed activities, including those in the cognitive, emotional and social dimensions. Motivational disorders increase the risk of recurrence, and they are associated with poorer cognitive and general function. Research domain criteria describe motivational deficits across many psychiatric disorders, including MDD.

Cerebral bases for apathy have been characterized in degenerative disorders and point to frontal cortical–subcortical dysfunction. Apathy-related features suggested networks similar to those observed in degenerative disorders, but possible different mechanisms.

Background: Major depressive disorder (MDD) is characterized by impaired cortical–subcortical functional connectivity. Apathy adds to functional impairment, but its cerebral basis in MDD remains unknown. Our objective was to describe impairments in functional connectivity during emotional processing in MDD (with varying levels of congruency and attention), and to determine their correlation with apathy. Methods: We used the Variable Attention Affective Task during functional MRI, followed by diffusion-weighted MRI, to assess 55 right-handed women (30 with MDD and 25 healthy controls) between September 2012 and February 2015. We estimated functional connectivity using generalized psychophysiologic interaction and anatomic connectivity with tract-based spatial statistics. We measured apathy using the Apathy Evaluation Scale. Results: We found decreased functional connectivity between the left amygdala and the left anterior cingulate cortex (ACC) during negative stimuli in participants with MDD (t54 = 4.2; p = 0.035, family-wise error [FWE]–corrected). During high-attention stimuli, participants with MDD showed reduced functional connectivity between the right dorsolateral prefrontal cortex (dlPFC) and the right ACC (t54 = 4.06, pFWE = 0.02), but greater functional connectivity between the right dlPFC and the right amygdala (t54 = 3.35, p = 0.048). Apathy was associated with increased functional connectivity between the right dlPFC and the right ACC during high-attention stimuli (t28 = 5.2, p = 0.01) and increased fractional anisotropy in the right posterior cingulum and the bilateral internal capsule (all pFWE < 0.05). Limitations: Limitations included a moderate sample size, concurrent antidepressant therapy and no directed connectivity. Conclusion: We found that MDD was associated with impairments in cortical–subcortical functional connectivity during negative stimuli that might alter the recruitment of networks engaged in attention. Apathy-related features suggested networks similar to those observed in degenerative disorders, but possible different mechanisms.
networks, including the superior frontal gyri, the anterior cingulate and the ventral striatum in both Alzheimer and Parkinson disease.\textsuperscript{13,14} In healthy individuals, motivation is supported by functional connectivity in the dlPFC–ACC–ventral striatum and amygdala.\textsuperscript{15} This suggests that both emotion and motivation engage functional connectivity between the dlPFC and ACC and subcortical structures such as the amygdala or ventral striatum, implying common cerebral networks. We have shown that motivation and emotion deficits are correlated in Parkinson disease\textsuperscript{16} and in schizophrenia,\textsuperscript{17} but whether this is the case in MDD remains unknown.

To investigate the functional connectivity involved in emotion during various attentional and conflictual situations, we carried out a multimodal study using functional and structural MRI in women with MDD compared to healthy controls. During functional MRI, we used the Variable Affective and Attention Task (VAAT),\textsuperscript{18–20} designed to characterize the cerebral correlates that underlie emotional labelling according to 3 different parameters: valence (negative versus positive), congruency (incongruent versus congruent) and attention (high attention versus low attention). Variation of these parameters promotes the activity and connectivity of cerebral structures involved in emotional processing (i.e., the amygdala for valence, the dlPFC for attention and the ACC for congruency). The VAAT relies on an a priori anatomo-functional model of emotion regulation in which the dlPFC, ACC and amygdala interact through top–down and bottom–up regulatory mechanisms.\textsuperscript{18–21} We tested for apathy-related activation and functional connectivity. Indeed, the dlPFC, ACC and amygdala are the 3 main structures engaged in apathy. Based on findings from the early stages of degenerative disorders that showed enhanced functional connectivity between frontal areas associated with apathy,\textsuperscript{22,23} we expected to find enhanced functional connectivity between the dlPFC and the ACC.

We also used whole-brain voxel-wise tract-based spatial statistics\textsuperscript{24} to test for differences in structural connectivity between groups. We expected our findings to be consistent with those from meta-analyses of voxel-wise fractional anisotropy in MDD, which found decreased fractional anisotropy in various tracts but most frequently in the anterior cingulum, the uncinate and the forceps minor.\textsuperscript{25–27} Similarly, we expected to find apathy-related anatomic connectivity features in the ACC based on findings from recent literature.\textsuperscript{28,29}

**Methods**

**Participants**

All participants provided written informed consent. The study received approval from the local institutional review board (DEP-APATHIE 12/07–840; May 9, 2012).

**MDD participants**

The MDD group included 30 women who were right-handed (assessed using the Edinburgh Laterality Questionnaire) and had unipolar depression (assessed using the Mini International Neuropsychiatric Interview). We recruited women to homogenize the population and maximize our chances of recruitment, because the sex ratio for MDD is 1.7:1 (women:men).\textsuperscript{30} Exclusion criteria for the MDD group were as follows: MRI contraindication (metallic implant, stroke, traumatic brain injury); severe cognitive disorder (Mattis Dementia Rating Scale [MDRS] < 130); high risk of suicide (Clinical Global Impression–Severity of Suicidality scale > 3); or DSM-IV Axis 1 comorbidity (including bipolar disorder type 1 or type 2, and anxiety disorders). All patients were taking medication, except for 4 receiving neuromodulation (2 were receiving maintenance electroconvulsive therapy once a month), 1 receiving direct transcranial stimulation and 1 receiving repetitive transcranial magnetic stimulation (Appendix 1, Table S1, available at jpn.ca/200074-a1). At least 4 weeks had elapsed between the last neuromodulation session and the assessment.

**Healthy controls**

The healthy control group included 25 women who were right-handed (assessed using the Edinburgh Laterality Questionnaire). Exclusion criteria were as follows: any psychiatric disorder; MRI contraindication; stroke; traumatic brain injury; severe cognitive disorder (MDRS < 130), high risk of suicide (Clinical Global Impression–Severity of Suicidality scale > 3). Healthy controls received €50 for participation.

**Clinical assessment**

We assessed depression severity using the Montgomery–Åsberg Depression Rating Scale (MADRS).\textsuperscript{31} We assessed apathy using the Apathy Evaluation Scale (AES).\textsuperscript{32}

**Cognitive measures**

We assessed general cognitive function using the MDRS and executive function using the Stroop Colour and Word Test, the Trail-Making Test, the Modified Wisconsin Card Sorting Test (MCST), the digit symbol subtest of the Weschler Adult Intelligence Scale and tests of phonemic, semantic and action verb verbal fluency.

**VAAT**

During functional MRI, participants viewed images composed of 2 parts. The central part displayed discrete facial emotions — positive (joy) or negative (fear, disgust or anger). The central parts were superimposed on background scenes of complex emotion. Each emotional background scene was either positive or negative, sampled from the International Affective Picture System files.\textsuperscript{33} Either the central part or the background was highlighted using a green frame (block-wise). Participants labelled the part of the image framed in green as either pleasant or unpleasant (Figure 1). The VAAT uses a mixed event-related/block design and a factorial model that has 3 conditions (valence, congruency and attention), each with 2 possible levels: valence could be positive or negative; congruency could be congruent (the 2 parts of the image had similar emotional content) or incongruent (the 2 parts of the image had opposite emotional content); and attention load could be high.
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Fig. 1: The Variable Affective Attention Task. Participants were asked to label the image framed in green as pleasant or unpleasant. The valence contrast (positive v. negative), congruency contrast (congruent v. incongruent) and attention contrast (low attention [face] v. high attention [scenes]) are displayed.

Brain imaging

MRI acquisition
We acquired all images on a 3 T Magnetom Verio MRI scanner (Siemens Healthineers) running VB17. After an initial localizing scan, we acquired functional data using a $T_2^*$-weighted gradient echo planar imaging sequence (repetition time 3000 ms; echo time 30 ms; field of view $192 \times 192$ mm$^2$; matrix $64 \times 64$; flip angle 90°; voxel size $3 \times 3 \times 3$ mm$^3$). We acquired 4 functional runs of 45 interleaved axial slices along the anterior–posterior commissure plane, with a continuous slice thickness of 3 mm.

After the functional MRI scans, we acquired high-resolution anatomic images for anatomic identification using a sagittal, $T_1$-weighted, magnetization-prepared rapid gradient echo sequence (repetition time 1900 ms; inversion time 900 ms; echo time 2.26 ms; flip angle 9°; parallel imaging with GRAPPA 2; field of view $256 \times 256$ mm$^2$; 176 slabs; voxel size $1 \times 1 \times 1$ mm$^3$).

We acquired diffusion-weighted images with an echo planar imaging sequence (30 directions; b-value 1000 s/mm$^2$; repetition time 11000 ms; echo time 99 ms; field of view $256 \times 256$ mm$^2$; 60 slices; voxel size $2 \times 2 \times 2$ mm$^3$)

Functional processing and statistical plan

Functional activity analysis
We analyzed all data using SPM8 software. We corrected raw activation maps for slice timing and motion correction,
coregistered to the corresponding anatomic volume, normalized to the Montreal Neurological Institute standard space and smoothed with a 6 mm Gaussian kernel (details are provided in Appendix 1). For each participant, we calculated first-level contrast images to estimate blood-oxygenation-level-dependent (BOLD) signal changes due to variations in valence (negative or positive), congruency (incongruent or congruent) and attention (attention to the scene [high] or attention to the face [low]). Then, we entered the first-level contrast images into second-level 2-sample t tests with a random-effects statistical model to examine between-group effects. To test for associations between BOLD signal change and the AES, we performed voxel-wise linear regressions in the MDD group, where AES was the variable of interest, controlling for MADRS score. We used a region-of-interest (ROI) approach, focusing on the amygdala (valence contrast), dlPFC (attention contrast) and ACC (congruency contrast) as small-volume-correction ROIs, defined a priori (bilateral regions from the Automated Anatomic Labelling implemented in the WFU PickAtlas bound together), resulting in 3 independent masks (Appendix 1, Figure S1). Results were considered significant at a voxel-wise threshold of $p < 0.05$ (small-volume corrected [SVC], family-wise error [FWE] corrected).

**Functional connectivity analyses**

We performed generalized psychophysiological interaction analyses (gPPI), which allowed us to study task-dependent functional connectivity in more than 2 task conditions. The parameter estimated using gPPI can be interpreted as the condition-specific functional connectivity of the seed region with the target region. We conducted separate gPPI analyses using each ROI (right and left anatomic regions [amygdala, ACC and dlPFC] bound together, resulting in 3 independent masks) as a seed region. We used this to examine variations in functional interactions according to valence (amygdala), congruency (ACC) or attention (dlPFC). For each participant, we created the seed masks using a 5 mm radius sphere (3 mm for the amygdala) around the coordinates of the participant-specific local maximums found (1) within the corresponding ROI of each contrast and (2) within 15 mm (10 mm for the amygdala) of the maximums of the healthy controls. This approach accounted for interindividual variability and is thought to be the most sensitive.

Within each seed region, we calculated the physiologic variable by deconvolving and mean-correcting the first eigenvariate of the high-pass-filtered (128 s) BOLD signal after regressing out motion parameters. We computed psychophysiological interaction terms as the cross-product of the physiological variable and each task regressor (negative valence, positive valence, congruent, incongruent, low attentional load, high attentional load). Finally, we entered the physiological variable of the seed region, the psychological regressors and the psychophysiological interaction variables as regressors in a first-level generalized linear model. We also included the regressors from the artifact detection tool (Appendix 1) in the model as nuisance variables. We used the individual contrast images in second-level analyses using 2-sample t tests for between-group differences. In addition to participant-specific seeds, we used the previously mentioned anatomic ROI seeds to describe between-group functional connectivity (Appendix 1, Figures S4, S5 and S6 and Table S2). Results were considered significant at a voxel-wise threshold of $p_{SVC,FWE} < 0.05$, using the masks previously described.

**Relationship between apathy and functional connectivity**

We entered the individual contrast images testing for an effect of the psychophysiological interaction regressor into second-level multiple regressions for the dlPFC (attention contrast) as the seed ROI. We applied voxel-wise t tests to identify voxels whose task-related changes in connectivity with the seed region were correlated with AES score (controlling for MADRS). We used the same statistical thresholds as described above.

**Preprocessing of diffusion-weighted images**

We used Brain Extraction Tool and ExploreDTI to pre-process the diffusion-weighted images and estimate the tensor. After creating a matrix that incorporated the b values and b vectors, ExploreDTI applied motion- and eddy-current-induced geometrical distortion corrections using an appropriate B-matrix rotation. The tensor was then estimated on a voxel basis using a linear least squares approach embedded in the informed Robust Extraction of kurtosis INDices with linear estimation (REKINDLE) algorithm, which is effective in the presence of physiologic noise. The resulting fractional anisotropy maps were warped into a common skeletonized space using tract-based spatial statistics algorithms to avoid partial-volume effects and smoothing estimation (defined a priori) from FSL (version 6.0). The full preprocessing procedure is described in Appendix 1.

**Tract-based spatial statistics**

We first compared the 2 groups (MDD and healthy controls) using a generalized linear model (2 groups unpaired option), accounting for age (de-meaned across groups). We also tested for positive and negative correlations between whole-skeleton voxel-wise fractional anisotropy values and AES scores within the MDD group, accounting for age and MADRS score. To do this, we used a single-group framework generalized linear model, accounting for the mean of the group in the model (i.e., intercept). For both hypotheses, we obtained statistical inference using the “randomize” tool, running 5000 permutations and using voxel-wise threshold-free cluster enhancement (which integrates both the heights and spatial extents of clusters) and t statistics under the null hypothesis. We derived $p$ values from the proportions of the maximum statistics (i.e., threshold-free cluster enhancement or t values) above the observed statistics at the voxel level. The type I error rate was set at $p_{FWE} < 0.05$ (whole-brain corrected) to correct for multiple comparisons across space. We did not use variance smoothing. We identified tracts using the Johns Hopkins University atlas.
Results

General cognition and executive function

Table 1 shows between-group differences and impairments on initiating processes (verbal fluencies) and attention (digit symbol subtest of the Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale).

Group comparisons

VAAT
In the congruency contrast, participants with MDD made more errors than controls ($\beta = -0.79$, 95% confidence interval [CI] −1.35 to −0.22; $Z = -2.8; p < 0.001$), especially during incongruent trials ($\beta = 0.38$, 95% CI 0.14 to 0.62; $Z = 3.1; p < 0.001$). Participants with MDD were slower than controls ($\beta = 243$, 95% CI 55.6 to 430.4; $t_{47} = 2.2; p = 0.03$), especially during face trials ($\beta = 55.1$, 95% CI 22.2 to 88; $t_{47} = 3.3; p < 0.001$).

In the valence contrast, all participants made more errors in the negative condition than in the positive condition ($\beta = 0.85$, 95% CI 0.65–1; $Z = 8.6; p < 0.001$). A significant group × valence interaction ($\beta = 0.81$, 95% CI −1 to −0.58; $Z = -6.7; p < 0.001$) suggested that participants with MDD made more errors during the positive trials. All participants were slower in the negative condition ($\beta = -121.9$, 95% CI −147 to −96.8; $t_{47} = -9.5; p < 0.001$), and participants with MDD were slower than controls ($\beta = 205.9$, 95% CI 18.6 to 393.3; $t_{47} = 2.1; p = 0.04$), especially during positive trials ($\beta = 71.9$, 95% CI 37.5 to 106.4; $t_{47} = 4.1; p < 0.001$). All descriptive statistics are available in Appendix 1.

Functional MRI

Functional activity analyses
Between-group comparisons did not reveal significant differences in functional activity that survived correction for multiple testing, using an ROI approach or whole-brain analysis.

Functional connectivity analyses
Table 2 and Figure 2 show between-group significant differences in functional connectivity. We found no significant group differences in functional connectivity when...
using the ACC as the seed region during the congruent contrast. Whole-brain analyses revealed no differences that survived correction for multiple testing.

**Diffusion-weighted imaging**
We found decreased fractional anisotropy values in an extensive network (45.5 mL); we observed the largest effect size in the anterior part of the cingulate cortex, the forceps minor and the uncinate (Appendix 1, Figure S4).

**Correlation with apathy scores**

**Functional results**

**Functional activity analyses**
We found a positive correlation between AES scores in participants with MDD and a BOLD signal increase in the ACC (peak \(-4, 50, 26\) mm; \(t = 4.08\); voxel \(p_{\text{FWE}} = 0.014\); cluster 0.8 mL; cluster \(p_{\text{FWE}} = 0.017\)) in the congruence contrast.

**Table 2: Reduced and increased connectivity between the ACC, dlPFC and amygdala in patients with MDD compared with healthy controls**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contrast</th>
<th>Seed region</th>
<th>Group differences</th>
<th>Anatomic region</th>
<th>Brodmann area</th>
<th>MNI coordinates, (x, y, z)</th>
<th>Cluster size, mL</th>
<th>(t_{\text{max}})</th>
<th>Cluster (p_{\text{FWE}})*</th>
<th>Voxel (p_{\text{FWE}})*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Negative &gt; positive valence</td>
<td>Left amygdala</td>
<td>HC &gt; MDD</td>
<td>Left ACC</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>(-6, 46, 0)</td>
<td>2.43</td>
<td>4.18</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High &gt; low attention</td>
<td>Right dlPFC</td>
<td>HC &gt; MDD</td>
<td>Right ACC</td>
<td>9†</td>
<td>(14, 46, 28)</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>4.06</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>0.021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High &gt; low attention</td>
<td>Right dlPFC</td>
<td>MDD &gt; HC</td>
<td>Right amygdala</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>(26, 6, -28)</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>3.35</td>
<td>0.13</td>
<td>0.048</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ACC = anterior cingulate cortex; dlPFC = dorsolateral prefrontal cortex; FWE = family-wise error; HC = healthy controls; MDD = major depressive disorder; MNI = Montreal Neurological Institute.

*Cluster and voxel \(p_{\text{FWE}}\) values were obtained using the anatomic region of interest, derived from anatomic atlas labelling as a small-volume correction.
†Extending to the right dorsal ACC.

**Fig. 2:** Functional coupling differences between the MDD (\(n = 30\)) and healthy control (\(n = 25\)) groups. (A) Reduced connectivity in MDD compared with healthy controls between seed regions in the left amygdala and the left ACC. (B) Reduced connectivity in MDD compared with healthy controls between seed regions in the right dlPFC and the right dACC. (C) Increased connectivity in MDD compared with healthy controls between seed regions in the right dlPFC and the right amygdala. Colour bars display the maximum \(t\) values. The statistical threshold was set at \(p < 0.05\), small-volume (i.e., anatomic ROI) FWE-corrected. Clusters are overlaid on a single \(T_1\) volume provided by SPM8. Montreal Neurological Institute slices are displayed. Bottom row: Box plots display the mean \(\beta\) values extracted in the clusters (MarsBaR; http://marsbar.sourceforge.net/) from the first-level GLM PPI analysis. Error bars are standard deviations. ACC = anterior cingulate cortex; dACC = dorsal anterior cingulate cortex; dlPFC = dorsolateral prefrontal cortex; FWE = family-wise error; GLM = generalized linear model; MDD = major depressive disorder; PPI = psychophysiological interaction; ROI = region of interest.
Functional connectivity analyses
Regression analyses showed a positive correlation between AES scores and functional connectivity between the right dlPFC and the right ACC during the attention contrast. This indicated that the higher the apathy score, the stronger the coupling between the dlPFC and ACC, suggesting increased coactivation in attention- and effort-related structures when apathy is higher (Table 3 and Appendix 1, Figure S5) during processing of high-attention stimuli.

Diffusion-weighted imaging
Threshold-free cluster enhancement statistics revealed positive associations between AES scores and fractional anisotropy in 1 cluster (0.9 mL) located in the right posterior cerebellum. The t statistics revealed 4 clusters located in the anterior and posterior parts of the cingulate cortex (0.22 mL and 0.2 mL, respectively) and the bilateral posterior part of the internal capsule (both 0.2 mL; Figure 3).

Discussion
Using a task that allowed us to study emotional labelling in various conditions of attention and conflict, we showed that depression is associated with decreased functional connectivity between the left amygdala and the left perigenual ACC during the processing of negative stimuli.

Table 3: Positive correlation between AES score and functional coupling with the right ACC, using the right dlPFC as a seed region

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contrast</th>
<th>Seed region</th>
<th>Anatomic region</th>
<th>Brodmann area</th>
<th>MNI coordinates, x, y, z</th>
<th>Cluster size, mL</th>
<th>t_m</th>
<th>Cluster p_{FWE}</th>
<th>Voxel p_{FWE}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>High &gt; low attention</td>
<td>Right dlPFC</td>
<td>Right ACC</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>14, 44, 2</td>
<td>1.05</td>
<td>5.2</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>0.014</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

ACC = anterior cingulate cortex; AES = Apathy Evaluation Scale; dlPFC = dorsolateral prefrontal cortex; FWE = family-wise error; MNI = Montreal Neurological Institute.

Our results were consistent with the literature suggesting reduced functional connectivity between the rostral ACC and the amygdala in MDD using emotional tasks\(^6,7\) or in the resting state.\(^{46,47}\)

In healthy individuals, inflammation-induced mood degradation is associated with reduced functional activity between the subgenual ACC and the amygdala.\(^46\) Functional connectivity between the amygdala and the perigenual ACC was negatively associated with negative affect in controls.\(^49\) Carriers of the 5-HTP short allele have reduced functional connectivity between the subgenual region and the amygdala during the perception of threatening faces, compared with carriers of the long allele.\(^8\)

Reduced perigenual–amygdalar functional connectivity has been tested as an intermediate phenotype for at-risk individuals. However, first-degree relatives also show increased functional connectivity between the perigenual ACC and the amygdala compared with healthy controls and people with MDD, suggesting that this might be a mechanism for resilience.\(^49\) As well, in a sample of 12 women, increased effective connectivity has been reported between the left-sided subgenual ACC and the amygdala while implicitly processing dynamic fearful faces.\(^50\) Thus, both increased and decreased functional connectivity between the rostral ACC and the amygdala have been described. Beyond task designs and sample size and
characteristics, other modulating factors such as genotype, MDD response, MDD subtype and state or trait specificity could account for this variation.

We found decreased fractional anisotropy in an extensive network, including where the most significant difference was in the anterior cingulum and the forceps minor. This was consistent with previous results showing great variability in the findings of decreased fractional anisotropy. This variety has been associated with MDD clinical phenotype, MDD severity and duration, antidepressant intake and sex. In the present study, the sample consisted of women with severe depression and impaired executive function, which might explain the size of the cluster for between-group differences.

When processing emotional stimuli that require high attention, participants with MDD showed increased functional connectivity between the right dlPFC and the right amygdala but reduced functional connectivity between the dlPFC and the dorsal ACC. Previous findings have shown that people with depression were unable to recruit frontal areas during cognitive control but had greater activation during an independent emotional task. Increased functional connectivity between the right dlPFC and the right amygdala could be due to an enhanced bottom-up emotional reactivity that prevents the recruitment of executive networks (such as the dlPFC and the dorsal ACC). Because gPPI cannot be used to infer the direction of the connectivity, another explanation could be that increased emotional reappraisal associated with high attention stimuli engages top-down control, as previously shown with the dlPFC. In post-hoc analyses, we used tractography to test for associations between functional and structural connectivity (Appendix 1). We found a reduced number of tracts going through the right superior frontal gyrus to the right rostral ACC in participants with MDD compared to controls (mean ± standard deviation = 54.2 ± 40 v. 83.7 ± 48.8; \( t_2 = 0.02 \)), corroborating our findings for functional connectivity. Reduced recruitment of structures engaged in the central executive network, including the dlPFC and the dorsal ACC, has been shown in MDD. In the present study, we have shown that this finding also stands for paradigms of emotional stimuli that require different degrees of attention within the same task.

Among participants with MDD, we found that apathy was associated with increased activation in the ACC and greater functional connectivity between the right dlPFC and right ACC. Apathy is a reduction in goal-directed activities and is thought to be supported by brain structures that belong to reward-processing and executive function. For example, empathy is associated with increased functional connectivity in the frontal cortices but also with the rest of the central executive network in mild cognitive impairment. In Parkinson disease, low symptoms of apathy are associated with increased functional connectivity between frontal areas and the caudate. Although these results cannot be directly compared with the present findings, they suggest adaptive functional strategies to compensate for goal-directed deficits.

We found a positive association between apathy and fractional anisotropy in the anterior and posterior cingulum, posterior right cerebellum and bilateral internal capsule. In de novo Parkinson disease, the severity of apathy is associated with decreased fractional anisotropy in the ACC, forceps minor and posterior limb of the internal capsule part. However, people with Parkinson’s disease and apathy had increased axial diffusivity in the anterior part of the cingulate cortex, extending to the uncinate and forceps minor. In MDD, anhedonia (closely related to apathy) is associated with fractional anisotropy in the anterior cingulum and the internal capsule. Using tractography, we could not find a positive association between AES scores and the number of tracts starting from the left superior frontal gyrus and the left rostral ACC, for de novo Parkinson disease, the severity of apathy is associated with decreased fractional anisotropy in the ACC, forceps minor and posterior limb of the internal capsule part. Moreover, converging findings from translational neuroscience provide evidence for involvement of the cerebellum in regulating high-order function such as emotion and motivation.

These results require replication to further establish a network of apathy-related increased measures of fractional anisotropy, but they suggest that the anterior and posterior cingulum, posterior cerebellum and internal capsule might be regions of interest for characterizing apathy across disorders.

Limitations

For ethical reasons, participants with MDD were still taking their medications when they underwent MRI, but psychotropic drugs have been shown to modulate the BOLD signal, both in the resting state and during task-based echo planar imaging. However, our task-based design might have reduced this confounding bias by calculating first-level participant-based contrasts (i.e., participants were their own controls). However, we could rule out the possibility that psychotropic medications influenced BOLD signals differently when participants processed positive or negative stimuli. The various therapeutic combinations in the sample reduced sample homogeneity.

Four participants with MDD were treated with brain modulation. However, excluding these participants did not alter group comparisons at the behavioural level (Appendix 1, Tables S2, S3 and S4). This was also the case when we considered history of previous MDD episodes (mean ± standard deviation = 5 ± 3.5; range 1–10). We explored whether the number of previous MDD episodes affected the results, but we found no associations between number of episodes and fractional anisotropy or BOLD activation. Functional connectivity between the right dlPFC and amygdala were negatively associated with number of episodes (\( p = -0.4; p = 0.04 \)). Other metrics of functional connectivity were not associated with number of episodes (Appendix 1).

Other functional connectivity techniques (such as dynamic causal modelling) would have enabled us to derive causality from seed-based time series with an a priori specified model, but this was not the case for the present study.
Conclusion

We found that participants with MDD showed reduced functional connectivity between the amygdala and the perigenual ACC when they were processing negative stimuli. When they were processing high-attention stimuli, participants with MDD showed reduced functional connectivity between the dIPFC and the rostral ACC but increased functional connectivity between the dIPFC and the amygdala. Moreover, apathy was associated with increased functional connectivity between the dIPFC and the rostral ACC, as well as increased fractional anisotropy in the cingulum and the cerebellum. These results further strengthened our understanding of the underlying pathological process of emotion and cognitive interaction in MDD and its association with apathy. They also point to the ACC at the core of these processes and add to a growing literature targeting the ACC to alleviate emotional and motivational impairments in major depressive disorder.
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