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Sample sizes in neuroimaging

2015: 30 participants per study

[Poldrack et. al, Nature Neuroscience 2017]
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A Waste of 1,000 Research Papers

Decades of early research on the genetics of depression were built on nonexistent foundations. How did that happen?

ED YONG  MAY 17, 2019

In 1996, a group of European researchers found that a certain gene, called SLC6A4, might influence a person’s risk of depression.

It was a blockbuster discovery at the time. The team found that a less active version of the gene was more common among 454 people who had mood disorders than in 570 who did not. In theory, anyone who had this particular gene variant could be at higher risk for depression, and that finding, they said, might help in diagnosing such disorders, assessing suicidal behavior, or even
Why are middle-aged marathon runners faster than twentysomethings?

According to new data from the running app Strava, runners in their 40s are streets ahead of younger rivals.

According to data released by the running app Strava, middle-aged runners consistently average faster marathon times than their younger rivals, apparently defying the usual rules of athletic performance. Men in the 40-49 age bracket clock an average time of four hours and 17 minutes for a marathon, according to the recent figures. Women in the same age range typically come in at just under the five-hour mark.

Selection bias

The Guardian, "Why are middle-aged marathon runners faster than twenty somethings?". Oliver Balch

Having to adapt our way of speaking to interact with speech recognition technologies is a familiar experience for people whose first language is not English or who do not have conventionally American-sounding names. I have even stopped using Siri because of it.
Sample sizes in neuroimaging

We need bigger, more representative and more diverse samples

2015: 30 participants per study

[Poldrack et. al, Nature Neuroscience 2017]
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Beyond papers...
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BIDS

- Used in over 60 labs around the world
- Adopted by: FCP-INDI, Developing Human Connectome, SchizConnect and Donders Data repository.
- Extensions: MEG, iEEG, EEG
Publishing research artefacts
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2. Derived data
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4. HAL, BiorXiv, Pubmed
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Publishing research artefacts

Preprocessing

Derived data
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HAL, BiorXiv, Pubmed, brainmap.org, neurosynth.org, brainspell
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fMRI Results

Publication

Figure (selected slices)
Thresholded statistics
Peak locations

❌ Incomplete statistical results
❌ Ambiguous/incomplete methods
❌ Metadata is not searchable
NIDM-Results pack

[Maumet et al., Sci. Data 2016]
NIDM-Results pack

[Maumet et al., Sci. Data 2016]
NIDM in SPM, FSL & NeuroVault

1. Export

```
$ niddm fsl_ds107_group 49 -g Control
```

2. Publication on NeuroVault

Meta-analysis with NIDM-Results

协调分析

图像分析

脚本可下载自 http://github.com/incf-nidash/nidmresults-paper
Publishing research artefacts
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Publishing research artefacts

Preprocessing → Derived data → Statistical analysis
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Statistical analysis
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A new challenge: dealing with analytic variability...
Open data

Unique study
30 participants

+ Images
+ Homogenous
- Datasets

Crédits: Brains, Neil Conway, Flickr (CC BY 2.0)
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Open data

Unique study
30 participants

Consortium
1000 participants

Cohort
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Working with open data
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Working with open data

1. Raw data
2. Feature extraction
3. Derived data
4. Statistical analysis
5. Results
6. Meta-analyses
Working with open data

- Raw data
- Feature extraction
  - Derived data
    - Statistical analysis
      - Results
        - Meta-analyses
Working with open data

1. Raw data → Feature extraction → Derived data
2. Raw data → Feature extraction → Derived data

Statistical analysis

Results

Meta-analyses
Working with open data

Feature extraction → Derived data

Statistical analysis → Results

Feature extraction → Derived data

Statistical analysis → Results

Meta-analyses
Analytic variability

≠ software
≠ software version
≠ parameters
≠ environment

“Different acceptable analysis methods”

Carp et al. (2012)
How does analytic variability impact neuroimaging results?
BIDS Provenance

Looking for contributors and community input

Join us!

Satra Ghosh
Rémi Adon

BEP028 | Provenance | Satra Ghosh and Camille Maumet |
-------|-------------|-------------------------------|
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Working together as a community!
Brain standards by and for the community

INCF

Working Groups/Special Interest Groups

Special Interest Groups are composed of users and developers from across the INCF network working collaboratively to develop, refine, and/or implement community standards. Working Groups are composed of SIG members working on short-term funded projects that aim to achieve a concrete deliverable.

FAIR Metadata Working Group
The aim of this working group is the harmonization of Common Data Elements (CDEs) for data discovery and metadata annotation.
Read more

Neuroinformatics for Aging
This SIG will be a community dedicated to the creation and application of neuroinformatics technologies to address clinical and wellness challenges in aging.
Read more

Neuroinformatics for cell types
This SIG will coordinate common efforts for defining and describing cell types across neuroscience, to reduce duplicate efforts and to improve interoperability and reuse of cell type-specific data collected across groups.
Read more

Neuroshapes: Open SHACL schemas for FAIR neuroscience data
This SIG aims to coordinate community efforts for the development of open, use case driven and shared validatable data models (schemas, vocabularies) to enable the FAIR principles (Findable, Accessible, Interoperable and Reusable) for basic, computational and clinical neuroscience (meta)data.
Read more

Reproducibility and Best Practices in Human Brain Imaging
The SIG aim is to collect, compile, synthesize and distribute information from task forces working on separate projects but with reproducibility in neuroimaging as an overarching theme.
Read more

Standardised Representations of Network Structures
This SIG deals with the various tools and formats for creating and sharing representations of biological neuronal networks, and will work towards ensuring these are as interoperable and usable as possible for computational neuroscientists.
Read more

Neuroimaging Quality Control (niQC)
This SIG aims to develop standards and best practices for quality control of neuroimaging data, including standardized protocols, easy to use tools and comprehensive manuals.
Read more

You can join a group or create your own!

https://www.incf.org/resources/working-groups
Researching collaboratively Hackathons

http://www.brainhack.org/
Working open training
Mozilla Open leaders

Open Sesame (Cohort C)
Notes and full recording.

Source:
https://medium.com/read-write-participate/open-leaders-7-demos-recap-8cee3423dbb5

https://foundation.mozilla.org/en/initiatives/mozilla-open-leaders/
Developing open science in our community

OHBM Open Science SIG

The OHBM Open Science Special Interest Group

Dedicated to promoting and supporting open science within our community

Learn more
Developing open science in our community

OHBM Open Science SIG 2020

Welcome to the OSR!

The OS-SIG Open Science Room (OSR) is a conference within a conference. It is part of the Organisation for Human Brain Mapping (OHBM) annual meeting. The OSR aims to be a welcoming and inclusive space for discussion around open practices within neuroimaging and science more generally. Joining in with the activities of the OSR is a key opportunity to connect with others in the community, learn from each other, and start collaborations to build a more inclusive, transparent and future-ready scientific field.

A virtual meeting with global access!

This year the OHBM meeting and OSR will be open for virtual attendance only! The OHBM meeting runs from Tuesday 23rd June 2020 to Friday 3rd July 2020, for two weeks instead of the usual five days, to give all virtual attendees full opportunity to soak up the experience.

Rémi Gau
Liza Levitis
Cass Gould van Praag
Stephan Heunis
Developing open science in our community
OHBM Open Science SIG 2020

Hub 1
Asia, Pacific

Hub 2
Europe, Middle East, Africa

Hub 3
Americas

Rémi Gau
Liza Levitis
Cass Gould van Praag
Stephan Heunis
Developing open science in our community
OHBM Open Science SIG 2021

Nominations are now open! Details of the roles can be found here and you can self-nominate here before July 20th 2020 at 11:59 pm anywhere on earth

- General Chair Elect
- Secretary Elect
- Treasurer Elect
- Inclusivity Officer
- Hackathon Co-Chairs (two officers)
- Open Science Room Co-Chairs (two officers)

https://ossig.netlify.app/
Thank you

https://team.inria.fr/empenn/

https://ohbm.github.io/osr2020/volunteers/

https://ohbm.github.io/hackathon2020/team/

Credit: Presentation template by SlidesCarnival, adapted
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@cmaumet
camille.maumet@inria.fr

Join the community:

Thank you!

Mozilla Open Leaders

Credit: Presentation template by SlidesCarnival, adapted