

Malignant Mesothelioma: Mechanism of Carcinogenesis

Agnes Kane, Didier Jean, Sakari Knuutila, Marie-Claude Jaurand

► **To cite this version:**

Agnes Kane, Didier Jean, Sakari Knuutila, Marie-Claude Jaurand. Malignant Mesothelioma: Mechanism of Carcinogenesis. Occupational Cancers, Springer London, pp.299-319, 2014, 10.1007/978-1-4471-2825-0_17 . inserm-02479963

HAL Id: inserm-02479963

<https://www.hal.inserm.fr/inserm-02479963>

Submitted on 14 Feb 2020

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17

CHAPTER 22

Mechanism of mesothelial carcinogenesis

Agnes B. KANE¹, Didier JEAN^{2,3}, Sakari KNUUTILA^{4,5}, Marie-Claude JAURAND^{2,3}.

¹Department of Pathology and Laboratory Medicine, Brown University, Providence, RI, U.S.A.

²INSERM, UMR-S 674, Paris, F-75010, France.

³Université Paris Descartes, Sorbonne Paris Cité, UMR-S674, Paris, F-75010, France.

⁴Department of Pathology, Haartman Institute and HUSLAB, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland.

⁵Helsinki University Central Hospital, Helsinki, Finland.

Corresponding author: Marie-Claude JAURAND – INSERM U674 – 27, rue Juliette Dodu – 75010

PARIS – FRANCE

Phone: +33 1 53 72 51 88 - Fax: +33 1 53 72 51 92 - Email: marie-claude.jaurand@inserm.fr

18

19 **1. Introduction**

20 Our present knowledge of the mechanism of mesothelial carcinogenesis results from
21 pathophysiological and toxicological research carried out *in vivo* in rodents, in
22 mammalian cells in culture, and from biological and molecular studies of malignant
23 mesothelioma (MM) tissue samples and cell lines from humans and experimental
24 animals. In this latter context, most experimental studies have been based on the
25 cellular and/or animal responses to asbestos fibers and in genetically modified mice.
26 These investigations have provided a body of data on the cellular and molecular
27 effects of asbestos fibers on mesothelial cells and the mesothelium, including genomic
28 and genetic changes, and alterations of regulatory and signaling pathways. Human
29 MM has been characterized at the genomic, genetic, epigenetic, and physiological
30 levels, with the development of large-scale analyses allowing global integration of the
31 networks involved in transformation of the mesothelial cell. The aim of the present
32 work is to propose a potential mechanism of mesothelial carcinogenesis by integrating
33 data based on cellular and molecular effects of asbestos fibers on mesothelial cells,
34 with altered physiological and molecular features of malignant mesothelioma cells.

35

36 **2. Mechanism of action of asbestos fibers**

37 ***a. Translocation***

38 The initial route of entry of asbestos fibers is by inhalation and deposition in
39 the tracheobronchial regions, distal airways, and alveolar spaces of the lungs
40 [1]. While particles and fibers are readily cleared from the tracheobronchial
41 airways by mucociliary transport, clearance from distal airways and alveoli

42 is slower and mediated by phagocytosis by alveolar macrophages. Fiber
43 length impairs macrophage-mediated clearance, especially for fibers that
44 exceed the diameter of alveolar macrophages (10-25 μm). Impaired
45 clearance may result in penetration of fibers through the alveolar epithelium
46 and subsequent translocation to the pleura and distant sites [2]. Fibers that
47 enter the interstitium may cross the visceral pleura by paracellular migration
48 or by direct penetration [3]. An alternative route of translocation to the
49 pleural space is transport via lymphatics or the bloodstream [4].

50 The parietal pleura lines the chest wall and the superior surface of the
51 diaphragm and the visceral pleura covers the lungs. The pleural space in
52 humans is lined by a single layer of mesothelial cells approximately 1 μm
53 thick resting on a basement membrane and underlying connective tissue and
54 blood vessel [5]. The major route of drainage of fluid, protein, particulates,
55 and cells from the pleural space is lymphatic stomata that open between
56 mesothelial cells on the parietal pleural lining [6,7]. The diameter of
57 lymphatic stomata (~ 10-12 μm) limits clearance of long fibers from the
58 pleural space [4].

59 Systemic dissemination of fibers through lymphatics and the blood stream
60 has been described in humans following autopsy [8-10]. Asbestos fibers and
61 asbestos bodies have been noted in the liver, mesentery, spleen, and
62 abdominal lymph nodes [11,12]. Diffuse peritoneal malignant mesothelioma
63 is also associated with exposure to asbestos fibers [13,14]; fibers may reach
64 the peritoneal mesothelial lining via diaphragmatic lymphatics that connect

65 the pleura and peritoneal spaces or following systemic vascular and
66 lymphatic dissemination.

67 *b. Experimental studies on biological effects of asbestos fibers*

68 As this volume is devoted to occupational cancer, the studies reported here
69 will focus on asbestos as the only known etiological factor associated with
70 MM. However, other types of fibers are associated with MM following
71 environmental exposure, and other fibers used for industrial or commercial
72 applications have been found to produce MM in animals, including man-
73 made mineral fibers and more recently carbon nanotubes. Their effects will
74 be discussed separately in subsequent paragraphs related to the fiber
75 parameters related to carcinogenicity (see paragraphs in 22-2.c).

76 *i. Effects of asbestos fibers in animals*

77 Epidemiological studies have clearly linked mesothelial carcinogenesis with
78 asbestos exposure. Nevertheless, no history of exposure can be found in
79 about 10-20% of MM cases [15-18]. This relationship between
80 mesothelioma and asbestos has also been well demonstrated by numerous
81 experimental studies carried out in rodents. It must be noted that in animals,
82 other types of fibers also induce MM. Some samples of asbestos fiber
83 substitutes, refractory ceramic fibers (RCF) and glass fibers have induced
84 MM after inhalation by rats or hamsters. These data have been described in
85 detail in several IARC monographs, and summarized in peer reviews [19].
86 Other routes of exposure by intracavitary pleural or peritoneal injection have
87 illustrated the carcinogenic potency of these mineral fibers. Both types of
88 exposure have been used to assess fiber parameters modulating the

89 oncogenic response in the pleura. It can be emphasized here that fiber-
90 induced MM show similar morphological features in rodents as in humans
91 [20-23].

92 Some studies have investigated pleural responses to asbestos fibers after
93 deposition in the lung. An inflammatory reaction characterized by the
94 recruitment of inflammatory cells and the presence of growth factors in the
95 pleural fluid was demonstrated [24]. These growth factors were able to
96 induce proliferation of mesothelial cells in culture. This inflammatory
97 response may be triggered by fiber translocation to the pleura as
98 demonstrated in rodents exposed to glass fibers or to RCF [25,26]. Several
99 studies have demonstrated the presence of asbestos fibers in the human
100 pleura [9,10,27]. Hypotheses on the mechanism of asbestos translocation
101 have been recently discussed [3,4] (see paragraphs 22.2.a).

102 Several fiber parameters are of importance in the mechanism of asbestos
103 toxicity. They are discussed in paragraphs 22-2.c. In animal experiments, it
104 was generally found that the fiber dimensions were important, with a greater
105 carcinogenic potency of long and thin fibers in comparison with shorter
106 fibers.

107 Mutations in malignant mesotheliomas have been investigated in animals,
108 after *in vivo* exposure to asbestos fibers. Table 22-1 summarizes genomic
109 alterations in MM identified in asbestos-exposed animals. Although few
110 studies have been performed, these results are consistent with observations
111 made in human MM. Chromosome rearrangements were observed in wild-
112 type animals exposed to asbestos. Mutations and base hydroxylation have

113 been detected within several weeks after asbestos administration. At the gene
114 level, no or few mutations were found in the tumor suppressor gene (TSG)
115 Tumor protein p53 (*Trp53*), both in wild-type rats and heterozygous *NF2*
116 mice. Interestingly, genes at the *Ink4a* locus were deleted, as found in human
117 MM. In MM from genetically modified mice, gene inactivation occurred by
118 loss of heterozygosity (LOH). These studies suggest that asbestos fibers are
119 genotoxic, and can produce DNA strand breaks and chromosomal
120 recombination.

121 ii. Effects of asbestos fibers on mesothelial cells in culture.

122 While early studies have been carried out with cells of different species and
123 tissues, rat and human mesothelial cells have been most widely used to study
124 the response of mesothelial cells to asbestos fibers. Detailed data can be
125 found in a several reviews [28,29].

126 Various types of asbestos fibers have been found to cause cytotoxic and
127 genotoxic defects in primary cell cultures and in animals exposed to fibers
128 [30]. Typically, chromosomal breaks, centromeric and telomeric alterations
129 as well as aneuploidy (an lower number of chromosomes in comparison with
130 normal cells), polyploidy (twice or several times the normal number of
131 chromosomes) and heteroploidy (an abnormal number of chromosomes) due
132 to spindle defects, are seen. Because of chromosomal breaks, as well as
133 spindle and centrosomal damage, micronucleus formation is a typical feature
134 of asbestos-induced genotoxicity, whereby genotoxic endpoints are
135 quantitated by scoring the number micronuclei [31].

136 Table 22-2 summarizes genomic alterations in mesothelial cells in culture
137 treated with asbestos fibers. Briefly, when exposed to asbestos fibers,
138 mesothelial cells demonstrate phagocytic properties. Within hours, responses
139 to oxidant stress, activation of the Mitogen-Activated Protein Kinase
140 (MAPK) pathway, and induction of transcription factors are detected. Table
141 22-3 summarizes activation of various signaling pathways in mesothelial
142 cells in culture exposed to asbestos fibers. When incubated in the absence of
143 serum or in low levels of serum concentration, cell proliferation was
144 observed [32,33]. In proliferating mesothelial cells, asbestos provoked a
145 p53- and p21-dependent cell cycle arrest consistent with the induction of a
146 DNA damage-induced response [34]. P53 was also induced in serum-
147 deprived G0 synchronized mesothelial cells exposed to asbestos, but failed
148 to block cell cycle progression [35]. However, genotoxicity was also found
149 suggesting that the DNA repair mechanism was incomplete, error-prone, or
150 impaired.

151 Several types of genetic damage have been found in asbestos-exposed
152 mesothelial cells (Table 22-2). Briefly, DNA damage was demonstrated
153 directly by the occurrence of DNA breakage [36-39], and indirectly by the
154 induction of DNA repair [40,41]. Oxidation of deoxyguanosine has been
155 reported in several studies. Notably, recurrent chromosome abnormalities
156 have been reported. These consist in numerical and structural changes,
157 including aneuploidy and polyploidy, micronucleus formation, and
158 chromosomal missegregation [42-48]. Comparison between different studies
159 showed that significant effects were found with doses of 0.5 - 1 $\mu\text{g}/\text{cm}^2$ [29].

160 These studies demonstrate that asbestos fibers are genotoxic for mesothelial
161 cells, able to produce base hydroxylation, DNA breakage, and numerical and
162 structural chromosomal changes in mesothelial cells. DNA repair processes
163 are stimulated in asbestos-treated mesothelial cells. The consequences of
164 DNA damage will be dependent on the efficiency and fidelity of repair.
165 When genomic damage is extensive, an apoptotic program should be
166 induced. As discussed previously, life-or-death decisions may be at the heart
167 of malignant transformation and defective mechanisms of arrest or apoptosis
168 may be critical to development of malignancy [49]. Several studies with
169 mesothelial cells in culture have emphasized the occurrence of apoptosis,
170 which should be beneficial for the mesothelium. However, some cells can
171 survive with gene alterations that can be inherited in daughter cells. In that
172 context, it is remarkable that mesothelial cells show both cell cycle arrest
173 and mitotic abnormalities, suggesting that the cells could pass through cell
174 cycle checkpoints with unrepaired DNA and chromosomal damage.
175 According to our knowledge, no data on epigenetic changes in asbestos-
176 exposed cells in culture, or in animals have been reported. Further
177 investigations would be of great interest for our understanding of the
178 mechanism of action of asbestos fibers in carcinogenesis.

179 iii. MM in genetically modified mice

180 Several models of MM have been developed using genetically modified
181 mice exposed to mineral fibers. One study was based on mice carrying a
182 heterozygous mutation in the TSG *Trp53* (*Trp53^{+/-}*), and others on mice
183 heterozygous for a mutation on the neurofibromin 2 gene (*NF2*), a TSG

184 known to be inactivated in human MM (*Nf2*^{+/-} mice). Interestingly MM cells
185 obtained from *Trp53*^{+/-} mice exhibited *Trp53* LOH and polyploidy [50].
186 LOH of the *Nf2* gene was found in *Nf2*^{+/-} mice suggesting a common
187 mechanism for loss of the wild type (WT) allele [23,51]. Moreover, in *NF2*^{+/-}
188 mice, two other TSG, cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 2a (*p16/cdkn2a*) and
189 cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitor 2b (*p15/cdkn2b*) were deleted at a high
190 rate, similar to human MM, while *Trp53* was mutated at a much lower rate
191 [51,52]. In studies carried out by one of us (MCJ), *Nf2* and *Trp53* were
192 exclusively inactivated. Spontaneous MM in the absence of asbestos
193 exposure have been generated in double mutants *Nf2*^{+/-};*Trp53*^{-/-} and *Nf2*^{+/-}
194 ;*Ink4a/Arf*^{-/-} mice. MM developed rapidly and at a high incidence [53]. These
195 results suggest that MM development can be associated with inactivation of
196 TSG involving several pathways including *Trp53* or *Nf2* and genes at the
197 *Ink4a* locus, the two latter genes being more specific targets of asbestos
198 effects. Murine MM closely mimicked the human disease characterized by
199 peritoneal ascites, a long latency between fiber injection and MM
200 development, and histological subtypes, epithelioid, sarcomatoid and
201 biphasic, similar to human MM. The results obtained with genetically
202 modified mice show that MM progression could follow several routes
203 involving different TSG, and are in good agreement with (i) specific clinical
204 features and molecular alterations in human MM, and (ii) the role of tobacco
205 smoke in cancer development. It is generally accepted that MM is not related
206 to smoking, and that p53 mutation is a signature of tobacco smoke,
207 consistent with no signature of tobacco smoke in MM development.

208 Nevertheless, this strongly suggests that other carcinogens targeting p53 that
209 could reach the pleura would be able to induce MM.

210 *c. Fiber properties in relation to the biological effects and carcinogenic potency*

211 This chapter will discuss the biological mechanisms leading to development
212 of diffuse malignant mesothelioma focusing on the physiochemical
213 properties of asbestos fibers, carbon nanotubes, and other engineered high
214 aspect ratio nanomaterials relevant for the pathogenesis of this cancer. The
215 reader is referred to the comprehensive reviews cited above for a detailed
216 summary of the toxicological studies related to biological activity of carbon
217 nanotubes.

218 i. Mineral fibers

219 Asbestos and erionite are naturally-occurring fibrous minerals that have been
220 associated with the development of diffuse malignant mesothelioma in
221 epidemiological studies [54,55]. Asbestos fibers are fibrous silicates and are
222 classified into two groups based on their crystal structure and chemical
223 composition: serpentine asbestos which is called chrysotile and amphibole
224 asbestos which includes crocidolite, amosite, tremolite, actinolite, and
225 anthophyllite [56,57]. Erionite fibers are a form of the mineral zeolite
226 characterized by a high internal surface area [58]. These naturally-occurring
227 fibrous minerals are variable with respect to chemical composition,
228 associated minerals, and trace contaminants depending on their geographic
229 origin [59]. Asbestos fibers may contaminate other mineral deposits, for
230 example, talc [54,60] and vermiculite from Libby, Montana [60,61] and
231 exposure to these mixed materials have also been linked with diffuse

232 malignant mesothelioma [58,62]. The physiochemical properties of mineral
233 fibers associated with biological activity include shape and dimensions,
234 surface chemistry and reactivity, and biopersistence [19].

235 ii. Shape and dimensions

236 Elongated fibers with a high aspect ratio, defined as a length: diameter
237 ratio of 3:1 or greater, are characteristic of the crystalline structure of the
238 mineral. Asbestos fibers occur as bundles of individual crystals or fibrils that
239 split longitudinally at the silicate layers. Fiber length and diameter determine
240 respirability and site of deposition in the lungs and fiber length is related to
241 efficiency of phagocytosis by alveolar macrophages and rate of clearance
242 from the lungs [19].

243 Titanium dioxide nanorods have been shown to induce frustrated
244 phagocytosis and activation of the Nalp3 inflammasome [63] similar to
245 asbestos fibers [64]. Carbon nanotubes have also been shown to induce
246 frustrated phagocytosis by macrophages in vitro [65]. In rodents, long rigid
247 carbon nanotubes have been shown to translocate to the subpleural regions
248 of the lungs [66-69] and to induce inflammation, frustrated phagocytosis,
249 and granulomas similar to asbestos fibers following intraperitoneal injection
250 [65]. Direct intraperitoneal [70] or intrascrotal injection [71] of some
251 commercial carbon nanotubes induced diffuse malignant mesothelioma in
252 heterozygous p53-deficient mice and wild type rats, respectively. However,
253 short multiwalled carbon nanotubes (< 1 μm long) did not induce
254 mesotheliomas in rodents following intraperitoneal injection [72].

255 iii. Surface chemistry and reactivity

256 Serpentine or chrysotile asbestos is a magnesium silicate ($\text{Mg}_3 \text{Si}_2\text{O}_5(\text{OH})_4$);
257 Al^{3+} or Fe^{2+} may substitute for Si^{4+} or Mg^{2+} . Amphibole asbestos fibers are
258 double-chain silicates containing a variety of cations including Fe^{2+} , Fe^{3+} ,
259 Mg^{2+} , Al^{3+} , Ca^{2+} , and Na^+ . Surface chemistry determines interactions
260 between the fiber, physiological fluids, and cells with possible proton
261 transfer, oxidation-reduction reactions, and adsorption of biological
262 macromolecules [58]. Broken chemical bonds at the fiber surface are highly
263 reactive with molecular oxygen and can generate free radicals in aqueous
264 fluid [73]. Surface Fe^{2+} and Fe^{3+} ions on amphibole asbestos fibers are
265 bioavailable and catalyze formation of reactive oxygen species (ROS) [74].
266 Erionite fibers can acquire Fe^{2+} and Fe^{3+} ions and become redox active in the
267 presence of intracellular chelators or reductants such as citrate or ascorbate
268 [75]. Iron-catalyzed redox activity has been associated with biological
269 effects of mineral fibers including lipid peroxidation, oxidative DNA
270 damage, and activation of intracellular signaling pathways [76,77].
271 Genotoxicity of natural and man-made fibers has been linked with surface
272 reactivity, especially redox activity, as detected using acellular assays for
273 free radical generation [78], induction of micronuclei [28], and mutagenicity
274 using a hamster-human hybrid cell line [79]. Amphibole and chrysotile
275 asbestos fibers show strong activity using these assays, while silicon carbide
276 fibers show no free radical activity [78]. Refractory ceramic fibers contain
277 bioavailable iron and are active in the salicylate assay to detect release of
278 hydroxyl radicals [78]. Chrysotile asbestos fibers, tremolite (an amphibole
279 fiber that contaminates chrysotile deposits), and erionite are mutagenic in the

280 hamster-human hybrid cell line, while refractory ceramic fibers are non-
281 mutagenic [79].

282 The ability of carbon nanotubes to generate free radicals is controversial.
283 Some commercial carbon nanotube samples have not been shown to
284 generate carbon or oxygen-centered free radicals using spin-trapping and
285 electron spin resonance [68,80]. In fact, carbon nanotubes can scavenge
286 hydroxyl and superoxide radicals which has been attributed to defects in the
287 graphene sidewalls creating gaps in the carbon lattice and dangling bonds
288 [81]. Multiwalled carbon nanotubes are not directly mutagenic in bacterial
289 reverse mutation assays [82]. Agglomerated multiwalled carbon nanotubes
290 are also negative in this assay and do not induce chromosome aberrations in
291 the V79 cell assay [83]. Long multiwalled carbon nanotubes, but not short
292 multiwalled carbon nanotubes or long single-walled carbon nanotubes,
293 induced DNA strand breaks in human lung epithelial cells [84]. Multiwalled
294 carbon nanotubes also induced micronuclei in rat lung epithelial cells in
295 culture and in animals [85]. Single-walled carbon nanotubes, carbon
296 nanofibers, and graphite nanofibers induced micronuclei in V79 cells [86]
297 and human bronchial epithelial cells [87]. Both single-walled and
298 multiwalled carbon nanotubes have been shown to induce oxidative stress,
299 DNA damage, and activation of intracellular signaling pathways in cultures
300 of human mesothelial cells [88,89].

301 Direct generation of ROS at the surface of asbestos or erionite fibers may be
302 amplified by secondary generation of reactive oxygen and nitrogen species
303 by target cells, including inflammatory cells and mesothelial cells in the

304 pleural lining [76,90]. Target cells generate endogenous ROS and reactive
305 nitrogen species during the process of phagocytosis [91], disruption of
306 mitochondrial electron transport [77], and activation of inducible nitric oxide
307 synthase generating nitrogen-derived radicals [92]. These exogenous and
308 endogenous reactive oxygen and nitrogen species have multiple effects on
309 target cells in the pleura that amplify the inflammatory response, activate
310 inflammatory cells to release chemokines, cytokines, and other mediators,
311 stimulate cell proliferation, and induce cell injury and apoptosis [64,76].

312 Fiber length has also been associated with induction of aneuploidy and
313 chromosomal damage due to direct physical interference with the mitotic
314 apparatus [28,93] or by binding to cell cycle regulatory proteins [94].
315 Induction of chromosomal breaks and aneuploidy has been shown for single-
316 walled carbon nanotubes and carbon nanofibers in V79 cells [86] and for
317 single-walled and multiwalled carbon nanotubes in rat [85] and human [87]
318 lung epithelial cells. These direct physical effects of long, thin fibers on
319 target cells in the lungs and pleura raise concern about potential
320 carcinogenicity of man-made mineral fibers that have been developed as
321 asbestos substitutes [19] or engineered fibrous nanomaterials including
322 carbon nanotubes [4,95] and metal and metal oxide nanorods or nanowires
323 [63]. Although these man-made fibers and engineered nanomaterials may
324 not have intrinsic redox activity, other surface properties (e.g., structural
325 defects or carbonaceous residues on the surface of carbon nanotubes) may
326 generate oxygen-derived radicals.

327 iv. Biopersistence

328 A major determinant of fiber pathogenicity is biopersistence in the lungs
329 [19]. If long fibers are not efficiently cleared or destroyed by physical
330 breakage, splitting or chemical dissolution in the lungs, they are called
331 biopersistent [19]. Differences in biopersistence of asbestos fibers have been
332 linked with carcinogenic potency, as biopersistent fibers could sustain a local
333 inflammatory response [96]. Amphibole asbestos fibers are more potent than
334 chrysotile asbestos fibers due to their increased biopersistence in the lungs.
335 However, fiber biopersistence in the pleura is not documented; in particular,
336 there are no data on the relationship between biopersistence in the lung and
337 translocation of fibers from the lung to the pleura, nor on the pleural
338 clearance of fibers following inhalation [97,98].

339 Biopersistence of natural and man-made fibers in the lungs [99] or
340 peritoneal cavity [100] is an important characteristic of fibrous materials that
341 induce lung cancer and diffuse malignant mesothelioma in rodents following
342 inhalation [19]. Man-made mineral fibers developed as asbestos fiber
343 substitutes, especially refractory ceramic fibers [26] and silicon carbide
344 whiskers, have been shown to be biopersistent [101] following inhalation by
345 rodents. Following inhalation by hamsters, refractory ceramic fibers
346 translocated to the pleura and induced mesothelial cell proliferation and
347 fibrosis [26]. Refractory ceramic fibers also induced pleural malignant
348 mesotheliomas after chronic inhalation by rats and hamsters [19].
349 Intrapleural [102] or intraperitoneal injection of silicon carbide whiskers
350 [103] also induced diffuse malignant mesothelioma in rats. Although no
351 malignant mesotheliomas have been reported in worker cohorts involved in

352 manufacturing and application of refractory ceramic fibers, the rodent
353 carcinogenicity assays raise concern that long thin biopersistent mineral
354 fibers may be carcinogenic [104]. Erionite fibers are very potent in induction
355 of malignant mesotheliomas following intrapleural injection [105] or
356 inhalation [106].

357 Natural and man-made fibers are not unique in induction of rodent
358 malignant mesotheliomas following intraperitoneal or intrapleural injection.
359 A variety of chemicals, radionuclides, SV40 virus, and metallic nickel
360 particles are also carcinogenic in this rodent bioassay [107]. From a
361 mechanistic viewpoint, ferric saccharate, nitrilotriacetic acid, nickel
362 particles, and alpha- or beta- emitting radionuclides are notable in their
363 abilities to generate reactive oxygen species [108].

364 Unfunctionalized carbon nanotubes are biopersistent when assessed in
365 acellular assays [109]; however, carboxylated single-walled carbon
366 nanotubes are susceptible to enzymatic [110] or oxidative degradation [111].
367 In principle, carbon nanotubes could be engineered to alter their
368 physiochemical properties in order to decrease their biological reactivity and
369 potential carcinogenicity.

370 High aspect ratio and biopersistence [4,112] have been hypothesized to be
371 important properties of engineered nanomaterials that raises concern about
372 their potential to be translocated to and retained in the pleural following
373 inhalation. So far, this hypothesis has not been tested in any long-term
374 inhalation studies of high aspect ratio engineered nanomaterials in rodents.

375 v. Unique characteristics of nanomaterials

376 Additional features of engineered carbon nanomaterials that may alter their
377 biological activity include their purity, rigidity, hydrophobicity, and
378 agglomeration state. Carbon nanotubes are frequently produced
379 commercially in the presence of metal catalysts including nickel, iron,
380 cobalt, and yttrium [113]. Other potential contaminants include combustion-
381 derived products such as polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons [113].
382 Amorphous carbon residues at the graphenic surface of carbon nanotubes
383 may also contribute to surface reactivity [85]. Bioavailability of metal
384 catalyst residues is variable depending on the purity of carbon nanotubes;
385 redox active metal catalyst residues can generate reactive oxygen species
386 leading to cell toxicity, inflammation, activation of intracellular signaling
387 pathways involving the MAPK and the nuclear factors NF-KB and AP-1
388 [76], and genotoxicity [95]. Carbon nanotubes can be highly variable in
389 length ranging from 1nm to 1mm. Although short nanotubes and nanofibers
390 less than 5 μm in length should be more easily phagocytized and cleared
391 following inhalation [4], they may behave as needles and penetrate into cells
392 and the nucleus where they could directly damage chromosomes and DNA
393 [95]. Unfunctionalized carbon nanomaterials are very hydrophobic and tend
394 to form agglomerates or bundles called nanoropes, although individual
395 carbon nanotubes have been detected in aerosols [114]. Hydrophobic
396 nanomaterials may interact differently with biological macromolecules in
397 comparison with hydrophilic crystalline mineral fibers [115]. Very thin,
398 hydrophobic carbon nanotubes may bend and agglomerate to form spherical

399 aggregates that are more readily phagocytized than long, rigid multiwalled
400 carbon nanotubes that have been shown to induce frustrated phagocytosis
401 resulting in impaired clearance and translocation to the pleura [4,116]. The
402 extent of agglomeration has also been shown to influence cell toxicity: rope-
403 like agglomerates of carbon nanotubes were shown to be more toxic than
404 crocidolite asbestos fibers using a mesothelioma cell line [115]. Finally,
405 structural defects at carbon nanotube surfaces attributed to imperfections in
406 the graphene lattice or defects leading to surface oxidation and increased
407 hydrophilicity have been shown to contribute to acute toxicity and
408 genotoxicity of even short multiwalled carbon nanotubes [85].

409 The potential of engineered carbon nanotubes to induce pathological
410 reactions (lung inflammation, fibrosis, and diffuse malignant mesothelioma)
411 similar to asbestos fibers has generated significant controversy and concern
412 for occupational safety and health [112,117]. Occupational exposures via
413 inhalation, skin contact, and ingestion are possible during the synthesis,
414 handling, and fabrication steps of engineered carbon nanotubes; airborne
415 mass concentrations in the range of 0.7 – 430 $\mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$ have been detected at
416 eight worksites and research laboratories [118]. Several recent reviews have
417 summarized the numerous in vitro cellular and rodent toxicology studies
418 investigating biological activity and potential toxicity of carbon nanotubes
419 [90,114,116,118].

420 *d. Summary hypotheses on the mechanism of action of asbestos fibers to generate*
421 *mesothelioma*

422 Development of diffuse malignant mesothelioma is a complex, multistage
423 process that is governed by the physicochemical properties of crystalline
424 mineral fibers and their propensity to migrate to the pleural and peritoneal
425 linings as summarized in Figure 22-1. The most important properties of
426 asbestos fibers related to carcinogenicity are fibrous shape and dimensions,
427 surface chemistry and reactivity, and biopersistence [19]. Long, rigid
428 biopersistent fibers that are translocated to the pleura are trapped on the
429 parietal pleura lining at the sites of lymphatic openings [27] and incite a
430 persistent inflammatory response [4]. The pleura is covered by a thin, single
431 layer of mesothelial cells that have lower antioxidant defenses than lung
432 epithelial cells [119].

433 Interactions between mesothelial cells and fibers can cause genetic and
434 chromosomal changes. There is a great body of evidence that 1) asbestos
435 fibers can directly interfere with chromosomes and the mitotic spindle [120-
436 122], and 2) that they induce formation of reactive oxygen species (ROS)
437 resulting in DNA breaks, oxidation, and mutations [123]. Further, 3) the
438 physical interaction of fibers with target cells causes persistent inflammation
439 and, consequently, modulation of inflammatory and immune responses.

440 ROS have been clearly indicated to cause genetic damage including
441 chromosomal breaks and mutations [123]; and they are well shown to initiate
442 signal transduction pathways that are, in turn, linked to inflammation,
443 proliferation, and apoptosis [124]. Free radical scavengers have reported to
444 decrease genotoxic endpoints such as micronucleus formation induced by

445 fibers [125]. Further, there is clear-cut evidence that antioxidant enzymes
446 can protect cells against genotoxicity induced by chrysotile fibers [126].
447 Prolonged interaction between pleural inflammatory cells and adjacent
448 mesothelial cells causes persistent release of chemokines and cytokines,
449 inflammatory mediators, reactive oxygen and nitrogen species, and growth
450 factors that trigger repeated episodes of inflammation resulting in
451 mesothelial cell injury, death, and proliferation [127]. In this chronic
452 inflammatory microenvironment, genomic instability and acquired genetic
453 and chromosomal alterations in mesothelial cells may lead to altered cell
454 cycle and growth regulation, resistance to apoptosis [128], impaired repair of
455 DNA and chromosomal damage induced directly or indirectly by asbestos
456 fibers [28,93], and activation of oncogenes and inactivation of tumor
457 suppressor genes [129]. Persistent inflammation has also been linked with
458 altered gene methylation patterns identified in diffuse pleural malignant
459 mesotheliomas in humans [130]. DNA methylation leads to epigenetic gene
460 silencing and has been linked to inflammation-mediated damage to cytosine
461 [131] or endogenous generation of methyl radicals [132]. This persistent
462 inflammatory microenvironment in combination with oxidative stress
463 generates a strong selective force for mesothelial cells that have acquired
464 genetic and epigenetic changes that promote their survival, proliferation, and
465 tumor progression [133].

466

467 **3. Mesothelial cells and malignant mesothelioma**

468 *a. The mesothelial cell in situ. Normal cells.*

469 The mesothelium consists of a monolayer of mesothelial cells lying on a basement
470 membrane and supported by connective tissue stroma containing fibroblasts.
471 Mesothelial cells provide a protective barrier for frictionless interface for the free
472 movement of apposing organs and tissues, and in fluid transport across the pleura
473 [134]. Mesothelial cells may have specialized functions at different anatomical sites, as
474 demonstrated by morphological studies at the ultrastructural level [135]. Mesothelial
475 cells play a role in the resolution of inflammation and tissue repair after pleural injury.
476 Fibrosis is a potential outcome of chronic inflammation. These processes are of
477 particular interest in investigating the mechanism of action of asbestos fibers in the
478 pleura.

479 So far, the mechanism of mesothelial cell regeneration is poorly understood, mostly in
480 the context of serosal injury following dialysis; however some controversial
481 hypotheses have been formulated. Recent comprehensive reviews summarize our
482 present knowledge of these potential mechanisms [136,137]. The regeneration process
483 has been studied experimentally following mechanical, chemical or heat injury of the
484 peritoneal serosa. Briefly, six mechanisms have been suggested to replace the injured
485 mesothelial cells : (i) centripetal migration of adjacent mesothelial cells, (ii) exfoliation
486 of mature or proliferating mesothelial cells that replicate on the wound surface, (iii)
487 pre-existing free-floating serosal cells having the capability to differentiate into new
488 mesothelium, (iv) macrophage transformation into mesothelial cells, (v)
489 submesothelial mesenchymal precursors that migrate to and differentiate at the
490 mesothelium surface, and (vi) bone marrow-derived circulating precursors [137].

491 The origin of these new mesothelial cells has not yet been confirmed, but according to
492 Mutsaers *et al.* [136], mesothelial regeneration is not dependent on subserosal cells, but

493 more likely results from implantation, proliferation, and incorporation of free-floating
494 mesothelial cells [138].

495 ***b. The malignant mesothelioma cell***

496 i. Role of gene mutations in the neoplastic transformation of mesothelial
497 cells

498 Carcinogens provoke several types of somatic gene mutations, consisting of
499 DNA and chromosome alterations. Some mutations are the signature of past
500 exposure to one or several given carcinogens. Somatic mutations in tumors
501 are of interest both to determine the mechanism of action of carcinogens, and
502 to elucidate their adverse consequences on cellular homeostasis.

503 In malignant pleural mesothelioma (MPM), there is a limited number of
504 genes known to be recurrently mutated. Mutations in TSG cyclin-dependent
505 kinase inhibitor 2A (*P16/CDKN2A*), an alternative open reading frame of
506 *CDKN2A* generating a distinct protein (*P14/ARF*), cyclin-dependent kinase
507 inhibitor 2B (*P15/CDKN2B*) and *NF2* have been reported in a high
508 percentage of MM, and *TP53* (tumor protein p53) has been found mutated at
509 a lower rate in comparison with other human cancers [139-141]. These genes
510 play a role in cell cycle regulation at different levels. The *CDKN2A* locus
511 encodes two different proteins, p16^{INK4A} and p14^{ARF} while *P15/CDKN2B*
512 encodes one protein p15^{INK4B}. Both p16^{INK4A} and p15^{INK4B} are inhibitors of
513 the kinase function of cyclin/cdk complexes involved in cell cycle
514 progression. *TP53* encodes a protein, p53, which is activated in response to
515 DNA damage and is a regulator of apoptosis. The protein p14^{ARF} has indirect
516 function on cell cycle regulation, by positively regulating the level of p53

517 through interaction with p53 inhibitors. Consequently, cells with damaged
518 DNA can proliferate and survive in the absence of p14^{ARF}. Interestingly, all
519 of these genes carry different types of mutations. The most frequent
520 alteration at the *P16/CDKN2A* and *P15/CDKN2B* loci is homozygous
521 deletion in about 70% of MM cases [141]. In murine models of asbestos-
522 induced mesothelioma, the orthologous genes, *p16/Cdkn2a* and *p15/Cdkn2b*,
523 were also inactivated by deletion [51,52,142]. It can be also noted that
524 *P16/CDKN2A* deletions have been considered as a marker of asbestos
525 exposure in a study of non small cell carcinomas [143]. However, in MM,
526 DNA methylation of *P16/CDKN2A* and *P15/CDKN2B* has been reported at a
527 frequency of 13% (9 patients) and 4% (3 patients) respectively, and
528 positively correlated with asbestos body counts in the lung [130,144]. The
529 average methylation frequency of these gene in the literature is about 10%
530 [52,144-149]. It was also suggested that mesotheliomas express microRNA
531 (miRNA) that could inhibit *P16/CDKN2A* expression, based on *in silico*
532 analysis for miRNA target gene prediction [150].

533 Point mutations are the main types of alterations of *TP53* in MM. Six point
534 mutations are indicated in the IARC p53 database, five missense mutations
535 and one stop mutation [151]. So far, no specific type of mutation in *TP53*
536 has been related to asbestos exposure. In lung cancer, G:C-to-T:A
537 transversions are generally interpreted as mutagenic fingerprints of tobacco
538 smoke [152]. This base substitution can be due to the formation of 8-OH-
539 deoxyguanosine generated by oxidative damage, which in turn causes
540 primarily G-to-T transversions. A few studies have reported *TP53* mutations

541 in relationship to asbestos exposure. In lung cancer, the frequency of
542 mutations was diminished in lung adenocarcinomas of asbestos-exposed
543 subjects in comparison with unexposed patients but the difference was not
544 significant [153]. G-to-T transversions in asbestos-exposed lung cancers
545 have been reported, but not in all studies, and G:C-to-A:T transitions are rare
546 [153].

547 *TP53* mutations reported in MM consisted of different types of base
548 substitution and base deletion but G:C-to-A:T transitions seems to be the
549 most frequent [151] (unpublished data from MCJ). In animal models of MM,
550 the mutated status of *Trp53* was investigated in mice exposed to mineral
551 fibers by intraperitoneal inoculation. In C57Bl/6 *p53*^{+/-} mice, a strain having
552 one allele mutated in the gene *Trp53*, loss of the wild type allele was found
553 at a high rate in MM induced by asbestos fibers [154]. In *Nf2*^{WT} and *Nf2*^{+/-}
554 FVB mice, *Trp53* alterations were infrequent. Two point mutations A:T-to-
555 C:G were detected in mice exposed to asbestos, and two point mutations,
556 A:T-to-G:C and A:T-to-T:A, and a duplication of 12 bases in MM were
557 found in mice exposed to ceramic fibers [52,142]. Frequency of alteration in
558 the chromosomal region of the *Trp53* locus was infrequent [155]. These
559 results suggest that deletions would be more likely a consequence of the
560 mechanism of action of asbestos, while *p53* point mutations could be related
561 to “spontaneous” gene alterations in this model.

562 Alterations of *NF2* TSG are frequently found in about 50% of MPM
563 [156,157]. This gene encodes merlin, a protein found in cell-cell junctions
564 and microvilli and regulating contact-dependent cell proliferation [158,159].

565 *NF2* has pleiotropic functions, being involved in regulation in cell
566 proliferation, apoptosis and endocytic trafficking, and acting upstream of the
567 Hippo signaling pathway [160]. Mutations in *NF2* consist of both point
568 mutations and deletions [129]. So far, there is no explanation for the high
569 level of alterations in *NF2* in MPM. However, some hypotheses can be
570 formulated and will be discussed below (see 4. Concluding remarks).

571
572 ii. Role of genomic alterations in the neoplastic transformation of mesothelial
573 cells

574 Chromosome banding, fluorescence in situ hybridization, flow cytometry,
575 Southern blotting and chromosome and array comparative genomic
576 hybridization, single nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) array and representational
577 oligonucleotide microarray analysis (ROMA) as well as second generation
578 sequencing analyses all indicate complex genomic alterations in MM [161-
579 171]. Typically, chromosomal abnormalities are very complex, even chaotic,
580 that is involving alterations both in chromosome structure and number
581 [161,163-165,172-174]. It is characteristic for this disease that the
582 chromosome number is mostly hypodiploid (less than 46 chromosomes, the
583 normal number of chromosomes in human), but it varies greatly within a
584 specimen, as a given tumor can exhibit a variety of hypodiploid metaphases
585 [161]. Similarly, polyploid forms (with a number of chromosomes twice or
586 more the number of chromosomes present in the parental cell) of the
587 hypodiploid clone are commonly encountered. Other cytogenetic alterations
588 may be observed such as diplochromosomes of endoreduplication which are

589 a signature of alteration of the mitotic process. The polyploidization and
590 non-disjunction type of aneuploidy are due to fiber-induced damages to the
591 structures involved in cell division, i.e. the middle spindle, centrosome,
592 centriole, cleavage furrow, and cell membrane.

593 Similar to numerical chromosomal aberrations, structural aberrations in MM
594 are highly variable. Typically, translocations, deletions, insertions and
595 inversions are seen. Occasionally, double minutes and a homogenously
596 staining region, representing cytological manifestations of gene
597 amplification are also observed. So far, translocations are mainly unbalanced
598 and no recurrent chromosomal translocations with known fusion genes have
599 been reported. Due to chaotic nature of these aberrations and methodological
600 difficulties, the detection of specific chromosomal aberrations has been very
601 difficult in karyotypic analysis. Novel next generation sequencing methods,
602 such as exome sequencing, has facilitated overcoming the above-mentioned
603 problems and, for the first time, fusion genes have been described in MM
604 [169].

605 These described structural changes are mainly due to DNA breaks. The
606 mechanism known as breakage-fusion-bridge phenomenon nicely explains
607 severe chromosomal imbalances and intratumoral heterogeneity in MM
608 [175]. As already mentioned, asbestos fibers are capable of causing DNA
609 breaks either directly or indirectly through ROS generation. Whether there
610 are hot spots in the genome for DNA breaks caused by fibers is still largely
611 an open question. However, experiments with cells in culture have indicated
612 that chromosome aberrations induced by fibers may be recurrent. Certain

613 numerical chromosomal abnormalities have been reported to be over-
614 represented in human pleural cell cultures exposed to asbestos [176]. Even
615 though no distinct hot spots were seen in this study, chromosome 1 seemed
616 to be involved more often than other chromosomes. Interestingly, we have
617 previously reported that structural aberrations in the short arm of
618 chromosome 1 and loss of material in the short arm chromosomes 1 and 4
619 were associated with high asbestos fiber burden in MM [177,178]. More
620 recently, one of us (DJ) identified a recurrent region of chromosome loss,
621 14q11.2-q21, in MM from asbestos-exposed patients that was not found in
622 unexposed patients. The syntenic region that also lost in fiber-induced MM
623 in mice, suggesting that this region might be a target of action of mineral
624 fibers [155].

625 Very recent experiments from one of us (SK), carried out with cell lines and
626 with lung tumor tissues (not mesotheliomas) of patients who had been either
627 exposed or unexposed to asbestos fibers, indicated a couple of asbestos
628 associated chromosomal areas. These findings are described in detail in
629 Chapter 24. Even though chromosomal aberrations in MM are complex, they
630 are not random and they are clonal in nature originating from one cell.
631 Chapter 24 describes, in more details, these recurrent aberrations and their
632 clinical significance.

633 The chromosomal alterations characteristic of MM, such as hypodiploid
634 chromosomes number as well as deletions and losses in chromosome 14 and
635 10, are not seen in lung adenocarcinoma, which helps in differential
636 diagnosis of these malignancies [179,180]. Interestingly, chromosomal

637 aberrations in gastrointestinal stromal tumors (GIST) resemble those seen in
638 MM [181]. To our knowledge GIST is not, however, an asbestos related
639 tumor, but the similarities of chromosomal alterations may, instead, be
640 related to similarities in mesenchymal stem cells from which the tumors
641 originate.

642 To conclude, asbestos fibers cause a wide variety of chromosomal
643 imbalances. Even though some of these changes may be recurrent, most of
644 them are random. Various genetic changes caused by asbestos fibers offer a
645 versatile genomic aberration reservoir, from which the aberrations promoting
646 uncontrolled growth and malignant transformation are selected during the
647 long initiation and progression (latency) period before tumor diagnosis.
648 Variable chromosomal aberrations together with multifocal clonal evolution
649 are consistent with this mechanism.

650 iii. Role of epigenetic alterations in the neoplastic transformation of
651 mesothelial cells

652 Altered gene expression in MPM could also be due to epigenetic
653 mechanisms. MPM show specific patterns of gene methylation as compared
654 to normal pleura or other tumors [130,145,148,182,183]. Data on
655 methylation profiles of MPM will be described in detail chapter 24. Several
656 studies suggested that DNA methylation at specific gene loci could be
657 correlated with asbestos exposure. Significant associations between asbestos
658 exposure and DNA methylation were first described in genes encoding
659 heavy metal binding proteins, *MT1A* and *MTA2*, with a positive association
660 for *MT1A*, but not for *MT2A*. Asbestos exposure does not seem to be an

661 independent variable in this study [184]. A trend towards an increasing
662 number of methylated cell cycle control genes (*APC*, *CCND2*, *CDKN2A*,
663 *CDKN2B*, *HPPBP1* and *RASSF1*) and increasing asbestos body counts was
664 observed [144]. These findings were confirmed in a more recent, high-
665 throughput methylation analysis underlining distinct methylation profiles
666 between MPM from asbestos-exposed and unexposed patients, and a
667 significant positive association between asbestos fiber burden and
668 methylation status of *CDKN2A*, *CDKN2B*, *RASSF1* and *MT1A* and about
669 one hundred other loci [130].

670 MiRNAs are small (around 22 base pairs in size) RNAs that have a crucial
671 role in posttranscriptional gene regulation. Their biosynthesis and functions
672 have been described in more detail in Chapter 24. It has been demonstrated
673 that MPM has a characteristic miRNA profile and that different MPM
674 histopathological subtypes can be discriminated according to their profiles
675 (see Chapter 24). Even though significantly differentially expressed miRNAs
676 discriminated MPM patients according to smoking habit, this did not
677 significantly discriminate asbestos-exposed patients versus unexposed [150].
678 The reason for this may be the low number of non-smoking patients. On the
679 other hand, it is possible that patients classified into the unexposed category
680 were actually exposed to asbestos fibers. Recent results provide evidence
681 that a group of miRNAs differentiates asbestos associated lung
682 adenocarcinomas from the non-associated tumors [185]. The results of these
683 lung carcinoma studies are presented in detail in Chapter 24. As the
684 mechanisms of the miRNA regulation are yet poorly understood, it is

685 premature to speculate how asbestos fibers cause miRNA dysregulation seen
686 in MM and in lung carcinomas. Nevertheless, some of them could be lost, as
687 their loci are located in chromosomal regions frequently altered in MPM and
688 possibly linked to asbestos exposure, as was demonstrated for miR31 which
689 is close to the *CDKN2A* locus [186]. So far, no experiments using cell
690 cultures or experimental animals have been published that investigate
691 miRNA profiles in asbestos-exposed cells or animals. Further investigations
692 are needed to elucidate the mechanisms responsible for miRNA
693 dysregulation and function in MM. In two MPM cell lines lacking either
694 miR31 or miR29C, overexpression by transfection of these miRNAs
695 decreased proliferation, migration, invasion, and colony formation
696 [186,187].

697 The molecular mechanisms responsible for epigenetic changes in MPM are
698 poorly understood and it is not known whether they are directly induced by
699 asbestos or they are indirect effects. Nevertheless, as with chromosomal
700 imbalances, they most likely play a role in mesothelial carcinogenesis.

701 iv. Pathways involved in the neoplastic transformation of mesothelial cells

702 Constitutive activation of several signaling pathways has been demonstrated
703 in MPM by the occurrence of mutations and/or deregulated expression of
704 specific regulators in comparison with normal mesothelial cells. These
705 studies have been carried out in primary tumor samples but also in malignant
706 mesothelial cell cultures developed from tissue samples. Pathway activation
707 in MM has been shown by gene expression profiling. So far, the relationship
708 between pathway activation and asbestos exposure has not been specifically

709 investigated in MM. The effects of asbestos on mesothelial cells are
710 discussed in paragraph 22-2.b.ii.

711 The Hippo pathway is of special interest regarding the high frequency of
712 mutations detected in merlin encoded by the *NF2* gene. Merlin negatively
713 regulates cell proliferation. Its activity is affected by interaction between
714 extracellular signals and membrane proteins, and activated merlin transduces
715 signals suppressing the transcriptional activity of YAP coactivator [141]. In
716 a recent study, another negative regulator of the hippo pathway, *LATS2*, was
717 found to be deleted in 3 out of 6 MM cell lines and in 1 out of 25 tumors by
718 DNA sequencing analyses [188]. Merlin exists in two forms, active
719 unphosphorylated or inactive phosphorylated. This later form is found in
720 MPM cells possibly accounting for another mechanism for deregulation of
721 the hippo pathway in these cells [189].

722 Cell cycle. Alteration of CDK inhibitor genes located at the *INK4* (*CDKN2A*
723 and *CDKN2B*) locus, as mentioned above, contributes to uncontrolled cell
724 proliferation. However, cell cycle control can be affected in MM cells not
725 only by the loss of other negative regulators, but also by the overexpression
726 of cyclin-dependent kinases (CDKs), cyclins (CCNs), and regulators of the
727 mitotic checkpoints. These alterations have been shown by gene profiling
728 analyses using microarrays [190-192]. Overexpressed genes were involved
729 in the regulation of all phases of the cell cycle, cell replication and control of
730 cell cycle progression: cyclin-dependent kinase 1 (*CDK1/CDC2*); cell
731 division cycle 6 (*CDC6*), a regulator of replication; cyclin-dependent kinase
732 inhibitor 2C, p18, (*CDKN2C*); cyclin H (*CCNH*); cyclin B1 (*CCNB1*),

733 controlling the cell cycle at the G2/M transition; forkhead box M1
734 transcription factor (*FOXM1*), a regulator of gene expression in the G2
735 phase. Others are more specific of a response to DNA damage such as
736 checkpoint kinase 1 (*CHEK1*). The protein encoded by this gene, Chk1, is
737 required for checkpoint-mediated cell cycle arrest in response to DNA
738 damage. Underexpression of cyclin D2 (*CCND2*), a regulator of Cdk4 and
739 Cdk6, which controls the cell cycle at the G1/S transition), was also detected
740 [190].

741 Several genes involved in the control of entry in mitosis and mitosis
742 progression were also detected. Overexpression of aurora kinases has been
743 reported in several studies [191,193]. Stathmin, a gene involved in the
744 regulation of the microtubule dynamics, by inhibiting the formation of
745 microtubules and/or promoting their depolymerisation, was strongly
746 overexpressed in MPM, resulting in protein overexpression [194,195].

747 These results can account for the complex, even chaotic chromosomal
748 alterations mentioned above, as a result of defective control of cell cycle
749 progression through different phases of the cell cycle, including
750 dysregulation of mitosis.

751 Signaling pathways. The MAPK signaling pathway controls cell
752 proliferation and differentiation, survival, apoptosis and Wnt signaling [196].

753 In normal cells, the MAPK pathway is triggered by the activating
754 phosphorylation of tyrosine kinase receptors (RTKs), followed by a protein
755 kinase cascade. Downstream networks from RTKs can be activated by RTK
756 mutation or sustained signaling through autocrine or paracrine mechanisms.

757 The MAPK signaling pathway is constitutively activated in MM as
758 demonstrated by the phosphorylation and activation of downstream proteins
759 of the MAPK cascade, extracellular-regulated kinases (ERKs), Jun amino-
760 terminal kinases/stress-activated kinases (JNKs/SAPKs), and p38 MAPK
761 [197,198] and inhibition of cell proliferation and induction of apoptosis by
762 inhibitors of the pathway [199]. RTKs activation can be achieved by a
763 variety of growth factors, EGF family, PDGF, FGF, HGF/SF and cytokines
764 such as TGF- β , TNF and IL1. In a recent study, the relative levels of tyrosine
765 phosphorylation of 42 distinct RTKs was determined in MM cell lines
766 established from surgical specimens. Coordinated activation of several
767 RTKs: EGFR, ERBB3, AXL and MET was found [200].

768 MPM cells express both vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) and the
769 VEGF receptors (fms-related tyrosine kinases, *FLT1* and *FLT4*, and fetal
770 liver kinase, *KDR/FLK1*) [201-204]. VEGF expression was enhanced in a
771 large proportion of MPM in comparison with nonneoplastic specimens
772 [205]. An autocrine role for VEGF in cell proliferation has been suggested
773 [203,206].

774 MM cell growth may also be linked to autocrine or paracrine stimulation by
775 platelet-derived growth factor (PDGF), and the regulation by PDGF appears
776 to be complex in MM cells. PDGF has been suggested as a regulatory factor
777 for proliferation of MM cells, either directly or indirectly via the
778 hyaluronan/CD44 pathway [207,208]. Human MM cells express high levels
779 of PDGF-A, and PDGF-B and PDGFR-B while normal human mesothelial
780 cells express low levels of PDGF-A mRNA chain and PDGFR-A [209,210].

781 PDGF-A could contribute to tumor formation via a paracrine mechanism
782 [211,212].

783 Epidermal growth factor receptor (EGFR) is over-expressed in 44–97% of
784 MM as found by immunohistochemical studies, but no mutation was
785 detected in contrast with others types of cancer [213].

786 Human MM cells express insulin growth factor (IGF) and insulin growth
787 factor receptors (IGFR), and the activation of IGFR activates downstream
788 signaling [214,215]. IGF-I appears to function as an autocrine growth factor
789 in human mesothelial cells [216]. IGFBPs also regulate IGF-dependent
790 growth [215,217,218].

791 Hepatocyte growth factor receptor (MET) is a proto-oncogene. It is the
792 receptor for the ligand hepatocyte growth factor/scattering factor (HGF/SF).
793 Mutation in the *MET* gene has been detected in a few MM cell lines
794 [219,220]. Both MET and HGF/SF proteins are expressed in some MPM
795 [221,222]. *In vitro* HGF/SF increases spreading, motility and/or invasiveness
796 of mesothelial cell lines and inhibition of MET reduced cell proliferation
797 [219,223,224]. The activation status of MET and other RTKs, EGFR family
798 (Erb1, Erb2, Erb3), PDGF-A and PDGFR-B has been investigated in 20
799 MPM cell lines and 23 primary specimens of MPM, and the effect of MET-
800 specific inhibitors (MET-shRNA interference vector and RTK inhibitors)
801 was investigated on cell lines [220]. The results showed that inhibition of a
802 single RTK was not sufficient to obtain a tumor suppressor effect but that
803 inhibition of multiple RTK was required [220].

804 Activation of RTKs also induces activation of other downstream signaling
805 cascades including phosphatidylinositol-3-kinase (PI3K-AKT) pathway,
806 regulating cell survival and proliferation, cell migration and apoptosis.
807 Phosphorylation of AKT protein, the active form of the protein, and
808 activation of the Akt pathway have been demonstrated in MM cells
809 [225,226]. In *PTEN*, a TSG and negative regulator of the PI3K-AKT
810 pathway, homozygous deletion has been reported in a small subset of MPM
811 cell lines [227,228].

812 The Wnt signaling pathway regulates developmental processes, cell
813 proliferation, and cell polarity and its activation prevents beta-catenin
814 inactivation, a coactivator of transcription, allowing the expression of a
815 variety of genes exerting pleiotropic effects [229]. However, cell growth
816 inhibition and apoptosis of MPM cells was observed according to a beta-
817 catenin-independent inhibition of Wnt signaling [230,231]. In MPM, the
818 Wnt pathway could be altered as a result of promoter hypermethylation of
819 regulatory genes [230,232,233]. Gene expression profiling of MM cell lines,
820 primary MPM tumors and normal pleural tissue demonstrated that numerous
821 Wnt and Wnt-related genes were upregulated and that some WNT
822 antagonists were downregulated [234]. These results suggest that
823 deregulation of the Wnt signaling pathway is involved in mesothelial
824 carcinogenesis.

825 Apoptosis. Deregulation of signaling pathways likely plays an important role
826 in dysfunction of apoptosis in MPM. Moreover specific regulators can
827 contribute to MM resistance to apoptosis. In MM cells, apoptosis alteration

828 can be due to overexpression of the caspase-8 inhibitor, *FLIP/CFLAR*, to the
829 methylation of cell death agonist TRAIL receptors and/or by the low
830 expression of proapoptotic proteins (Bax, Bak, Bad, Bid or Bim) and high
831 levels or activity of antiapoptotic proteins (Bcl-2, Bcl-xL and Mcl-1)
832 regulating mitochondrial function [226,235-238].

833

834 4. Concluding remarks

835 Several hallmarks of cancer have been considered to contribute to neoplastic
836 transformation [239]. These include direct molecular damage induced by
837 carcinogens that alter the genome and induce dysregulated cellular functions
838 and resistance to apoptosis. Neoplastic progression is associated with genetic
839 and chromosomal instability. Genetic instability reflects unrepaired DNA
840 damage which may arise either from increased rates of damage or defective
841 mechanisms responsible for genetic integrity. Chromosomal instability arises
842 from dysregulation of mitotic checkpoints. As a consequence, cancer cells
843 fail to control the cell cycle and to correct error-free DNA and to repair
844 chromosome damage. Investigation of the mechanism of asbestos
845 carcinogenicity has focused on interactions between asbestos and target
846 cells, especially mesothelial cells, and early responses of lung and pleural
847 cells to asbestos exposure. Studies of human MM cells provide the
848 opportunity to identify the cellular and molecular changes that have
849 accumulated over the latent period of thirty to forty years since the
850 beginning of asbestos exposure. However, the body of data obtained by these
851 mechanistic studies using cells and experimental animals reveal that all types

852 of asbestos fibers induce early genetic changes directly and also indirectly
853 due to the early recruitment of macrophages and inflammatory cells. These
854 early genetic changes cause molecular alterations that perturb cell cycle
855 control giving rise to sustained cell proliferation, and additional genetic and
856 chromosomal instability. Early activation of proliferation and survival
857 pathways has been shown in asbestos-exposed mesothelial cells in culture in
858 short-term experiments. The relationship between these early effects and the
859 characteristics of MM cells studied 30 to 40 years after the beginning of
860 exposure remains to be explored.

861 When the molecular status of human MM is placed in the context of results
862 from studies with cells in culture and in animals, consistent mechanisms
863 emerge. Among genes inactivated in MM, those at the *INK4* locus control
864 the cell cycle, and loss of their function results in failure of cell cycle
865 control. The functional consequences of *P14/ARF* loss are more complex.
866 This does not seem to be associated with p53 degradation, as expected from
867 the known negative regulation of p53 stability by p14^{ARF} loss. In contrast,
868 p53 appears to be stabilized in MM, suggesting basal overexpression and/or
869 another type of dysregulation. The p53 protein is constitutively expressed,
870 not only in MM cells in culture, but also in immunohistological sections of
871 primary tumors [240-243]. Candidates for p53 activation could be up
872 regulation of IGF-1/AKT/mTOR pathway and altered energy metabolism,
873 which have been identified as additional functions of p53, as recently
874 reviewed [244]. The AKT/mTOR cell survival and growth pathway is
875 activated in MM and linked to apoptosis resistance. It is remarkable that

876 current approaches to control MM proliferation have focused on the
877 resistance of MM cells to apoptosis [245,246]. Energy metabolism of MM
878 cells is characterized as aerobic glycolysis (the Warburg effect), and the p53
879 protein could be induced to shut down this pathway [244,247]. The low rate
880 of p53 mutations found in asbestos-induced MM in both humans and mice
881 and the functional response of p53 in asbestos-exposed cells are consistent
882 with these observations.

883 Transcriptional analyses suggest that cell cycle checkpoints are
884 compromised in MM. Differential expression of genes encoding proteins
885 involved in the control of mitosis, *AURKA*, *AURKB* and *CHEK1* has been
886 reported in comparison with normal mesothelium or normal mesothelial
887 cells. Aurora B (encoded by *AURKB*) is localized in the internal part of
888 kinetochore, and is the enzymatic component of the “chromosome passenger
889 complex”, which also includes the internal protein of the centromere, and is
890 involved in mitotic spindle organization, chromosome segregation, and
891 cytokinesis [248]. Those events are compromised in cells that have
892 internalized asbestos fibers as demonstrated using different target cells,
893 including mesothelial cells [249-251] (see in paragraph 22-2.b.ii). In their
894 review, Lampson and Cheeseman [248] suggest Aurora B activity to be
895 modulated by tension forces. Chromosome segregation is controlled at
896 several levels and chromosome movement is driven by motors that are
897 linked to kinetochore associated microtubules and the centrosome. Tensile
898 strengths are developed during this process. So far, mechanical properties of
899 carcinogenic fibers have not been taken into consideration, but it would be of

900 interest to consider this parameter in the context of fiber interactions with the
901 mitotic apparatus during cell division. Tensile strengths induce tissue and
902 cell deformation. In a recent study carried out with nanoparticles,
903 Mijailovich *et al.* [252] investigated the mechanisms by which deposited
904 particles exert mechanical forces and provoke the particle indentation into
905 alveolar tissue. They found that these mechanisms are centred on a
906 mechanical balance between surface tension forces and tissue elastic forces.
907 These concepts should be considered to account for the effects of fibers on
908 cells and tissues, especially during cytoskeleton remodeling and mitosis
909 progression.

910 Alteration of *NF2* is also consistent with a physical mechanism of action of
911 asbestos fibers with mesothelial cells. The encoded protein, merlin is a
912 regulator involved in signaling pathways that control, among other
913 parameters, cell shape, proliferation (involving the hyaluronic acid receptor,
914 CD44, which is important for proliferation of MM cells), survival, and
915 motility [160]. Merlin is a component of the adherens junctions and other
916 types of cell-to-cell contacts [158,159]. As cell division is mechanically
917 impaired by the presence of asbestos fibers, mutation of *NF2* could be
918 responsible for enhanced proliferation as well as impaired mitotic control.

919 The overall consequences of these effects would be genetic and
920 chromosomal instability and possibly, evasion from apoptosis. It would be
921 important to investigate the repair processes induced by exposure to
922 asbestos, and whether these processes are impaired, leading to additional
923 damage such as gene deletions. So far, we do not know which gene(s) are

924 initiator(s) of the asbestos-induced neoplastic transformation of mesothelial
925 cells. An activated oncogene has not clearly been identified yet. From
926 studies carried out in genetically modified mice, it seems that NF2 could
927 facilitate tumor progression, but Nf2 deficiency does not act as an initiator,
928 as the latent period for development of MM is similar in WT and
929 heterozygous *Nf2*^{+/-} crocidolite-exposed mice [23]. In “spontaneous” MM
930 that develops in double mutants *Nf2*^{-/-};*p14/ARF*^{-/-}, the first MM develops at
931 three months, confirming the role of null status of both genes in mesothelial
932 cell transformation [53]. Further studies will improve our knowledge of the
933 nature and relative role of gene alterations in MM.

934 In human epidemiologic studies, pleural fibrosis and pleural plaques are a
935 marker of past exposure. The consequences of the interaction between a
936 mesothelial cell and asbestos fibers towards a fibrotic or a neoplastic
937 pathway are dependent on several parameters as discussed above. Other
938 important variables could include the anatomical location of the mesothelial
939 cell injured by asbestos, the severity of injury and the dose of fibers.
940 Knowledge of these variables is important in understanding the mechanisms
941 of asbestos carcinogenesis and in assessing the carcinogenic potential of
942 other particles or chemicals that may to reach the pleura.

943

944 **5 References**

- 945 1. Lippmann M, Yeates DB, Albert RE. Deposition, retention and clearance of inhaled
946 particles. *Br J Industr Med.*1980;37:337-362.
- 947 2. Oberdorster G. Evaluation and use of animal models to assess mechanisms of fibre
948 carcinogenicity. *IARC Sci Publ.*1996:107-125.
- 949 3. Miserocchi GA, Sancini GA, Mantegazza F, Chiappino G. Translocation pathways for
950 inhaled asbestos fibers. *Environ Health.*2008;7:4.
- 951 4. Donaldson K, Murphy FA, Duffin R, Poland CA. Asbestos, carbon nanotubes and the
952 pleural mesothelium: a review and the hypothesis regarding the role of long fibre
953 retention in the parietal pleura, inflammation and mesothelioma. *Part Fibre*
954 *Toxicol.*2010;7:5.
- 955 5. Wang NS. Anatomy of the pleura. *Clin Chest Med.*1998;19:229-240.
- 956 6. Wang NS. The preformed stomas connecting the pleural cavity and the lymphatics in the
957 parietal pleura. *Am Rev Respir Dis.*1975;111:12-20.
- 958 7. Hammar SP. The pathology of benign and malignant pleural disease. *Chest Surg Clin N*
959 *Am.*1994;4:405-430.
- 960 8. Holt PF. Transport of inhaled dust to extrapulmonary sites. *J Pathol.*1981;133:123-129.
- 961 9. Muller KM, Schmitz I, Konstantinidis K. Black spots of the parietal pleura: morphology
962 and formal pathogenesis. *Respiration.*2002;69:261-267.
- 963 10. Mitchev K, Dumortier P, De Vuyst P. 'Black Spots' and hyaline pleural plaques on the
964 parietal pleura of 150 urban necropsy cases. *Am J Surg Pathol.*2002;26:1198-1206.
- 965 11. Pooley FD. Proceedings: The recognition of various types of asbestos as minerals, and in
966 tissues. *Clin Sci Mol Med.*1974;47:11P-12P.
- 967 12. Dodson RF, O'Sullivan MF, Huang J, Holiday DB, Hammar SP. Asbestos in
968 extrapulmonary sites: omentum and mesentery. *Chest.*2000;117:486-493.
- 969 13. Boffetta P. Epidemiology of peritoneal mesothelioma: a review. *Ann Oncol.*2007;18:985-
970 990.
- 971 14. Price B, Ware A. Time trend of mesothelioma incidence in the United States and
972 projection of future cases: An update based on SEER data for 1973 through 2005. *Crit*
973 *Rev Toxicol.*2009;39:576-588.

- 974 15. Albin M, Magnani C, Krstev S, Rapiti E, Shefer I. Asbestos and cancer: An overview of
975 current trends in Europe. *Environ Health Perspect.*1999;107 Suppl 2:289-298.
- 976 16. Kishimoto T, Ozaki S, Kato K, Nishi H, Genba K. Malignant pleural mesothelioma in
977 parts of Japan in relationship to asbestos exposure. *Ind Health.*2004;42:435-439.
- 978 17. Goldberg M, Imbernon E, Rolland P, et al;. The French National Mesothelioma
979 Surveillance Program. *Occup Environ Med.*2006;63:390-395.
- 980 18. Park EK, Hannaford-Turner KM, Hyland RA, Johnson AR, Yates DH. Asbestos-related
981 occupational lung diseases in NSW, Australia and potential exposure of the general
982 population. *Ind Health.*2008;46:535-540.
- 983 19. Bernstein D, Castranova V, Donaldson K, et al;. Testing of fibrous particles: short-term
984 assays and strategies. of an ILSI Risk Science Institute Working Group *Inhal*
985 *Toxicol.*2005;17:497-537.
- 986 20. Wagner JC, Berry G. Mesotheliomas in rats following inoculation with asbestos. *British*
987 *Journal of Cancer.*1969;23:567-581.
- 988 21. Davis JM. Structural variations between pleural and peritoneal mesotheliomas produced
989 in rats by the injection of crocidolite asbestos. *Ann Anat Pathol (Paris).*1976;21:199-210.
- 990 22. Davis JM. The histopathology and ultrastructure of pleural mesotheliomas produced in
991 the rat by injections of crocidolite asbestos. *Br J Exp Pathol.*1979;60:642-652.
- 992 23. Fleury-Feith J, Lecomte C, Renier A, et al;. Hemizygoty of *Nf2* is associated with
993 increased susceptibility to asbestos-induced peritoneal tumours. *Oncogene.*2003;22:3799-
994 3805.
- 995 24. Adamson IYR, Bakowska J. KGF and HGF are growth factors for mesothelial cells in
996 pleural lavage fluid after intratracheal asbestos. *Exp Lung Res.*2001;27:605-616.
- 997 25. Gelzleichter TR, Bermudez E, Mangum JB, Wong BA, Moss OR, Everitt JI. Pulmonary
998 and pleural responses in Fischer 344 rats following short-term inhalation of a synthetic
999 vitreous fiber .2. Pathobiologic responses. *Fund Appl Toxicol.*1996;30:39-46.
- 1000 26. Everitt JI, Gelzleichter TR, Bermudez E, et al;. Comparison of pleural responses of rats
1001 and hamsters to subchronic inhalation of refractory ceramic fibers. *Environ Health*
1002 *Perspect.*1997;105 Suppl 5:1209-1213.

- 1003 27. Boutin C, Dumortier P, Rey F, Viallat JR, Devuyst P. Black spots concentrate oncogenic
1004 asbestos fibers in the parietal pleura: thoracoscopic and mineralogic study. *Amer J Respir*
1005 *Crit Care Med.*1996;153:444-449.
- 1006 28. Jaurand MC. Use of in-vitro genotoxicity and cell transformation assays to evaluate
1007 potential carcinogenicity of fibres. In: Kane AB, Boffetta P, Sarracci R, Wilbourn JD,
1008 eds. *Mechanisms in Fiber Carcinogenesis*. Vol 1401996:55-72.
- 1009 29. Huang SXL, Jaurand MC, Kamp DW, Whysner J, Hei T. Role of mutagenicity in
1010 asbestos fiber-induced carcinogenicity and other diseases. *Journal of Toxicology and*
1011 *Environmental Health, Part B.*2011;14:1-67.
- 1012 30. Jaurand MC. Mechanisms of fiber-induced genotoxicity. *Environ Health*
1013 *Perspect.*1997;105:1073-1084.
- 1014 31. Ruosaari ST, Nymark PE, Aavikko MM, et al;. Aberrations of chromosome 19 in
1015 asbestos-associated lung cancer and in asbestos-induced micronuclei of bronchial
1016 epithelial cells in vitro. *Carcinogenesis.*2008;29:913-917.
- 1017 32. Zanella CL, Posada J, Tritton TR, Mossman BT. Asbestos causes stimulation of the
1018 extracellular signal-regulated kinase 1 mitogen-activated protein kinase cascade after
1019 phosphorylation of the epidermal growth factor receptor. *Cancer Res.*1996;56:5334-
1020 5338.
- 1021 33. Faux SP, Houghton CE, Hubbard A, Patrick G. Increased expression of epidermal growth
1022 factor receptor in rat pleural mesothelial cells correlates with carcinogenicity of mineral
1023 fibres. *Carcinogenesis.*2001;12:2275-2280.
- 1024 34. Levresse V, Renier A, Fleury-Feith J, et al;. Analysis of cell cycle disruptions in cultures
1025 of rat pleural mesothelial cells exposed to asbestos fibres. *Amer J Respir Cell Mol*
1026 *Biol.*1997;17:660-671.
- 1027 35. Kopnin PB, Kravchenko IV, Furalyov VA, Pylev LN, Kopnin BP. Cell type-specific
1028 effects of asbestos on intracellular ROS levels, DNA oxidation and G1 cell cycle
1029 checkpoint. *Oncogene.*2004;23:8834-8840.
- 1030 36. Levresse V, Renier A, Levy F, Broaddus VC, Jaurand MC. DNA breakage in asbestos-
1031 treated normal and transformed (TSV40) rat pleural mesothelial cells.
1032 *Mutagenesis.*2000;15:239-244.

- 1033 37. Liu W, Ernst JD, Broaddus VC. Phagocytosis of crocidolite asbestos induces oxidative
1034 stress, DNA damage, and apoptosis in mesothelial cells. *Am J Respir cell Mol*
1035 *Biol.*2000;23:371-378.
- 1036 38. Puhakka A, Ollikainen T, Soini Y, et al;. Modulation of DNA single-strand breaks by
1037 intracellular glutathione in human lung cells exposed to asbestos fibers. *Mutat*
1038 *Res.*2002;514:7-17.
- 1039 39. Pietruska JR, Kane AB. SV40 oncoproteins enhance asbestos-induced DNA double-
1040 strand breaks and abrogate senescence in murine mesothelial cells. *Cancer*
1041 *Res.*2007;67:3637-3645.
- 1042 40. Dong HY, Buard A, Renier A, Levy F, Saint-Etienne L, Jaurand MC. Role of oxygen
1043 derivatives in the cytotoxicity and DNA damage produced by asbestos on rat pleural
1044 mesothelial cells in vitro. *Carcinogenesis.*1994;15:1251-1255.
- 1045 41. Dong HY, Buard A, Levy F, Renier A, Laval F, Jaurand MC. Synthesis of poly(ADP-
1046 ribose) in asbestos treated rat pleural mesothelial cells in culture. *Mutat*
1047 *Res.*1995;331:197-204.
- 1048 42. Achard S, Perderiset M, Jaurand MC. Sister chromatid exchanges in rat pleural
1049 mesothelial cells treated with crocidolite, attapulgite or benzo 3-4 pyrene. *Br J Ind*
1050 *Med.*1987;44:281-283.
- 1051 43. Wang NS, Jaurand MC, Magne L, Kheuang L, Pinchon MC, Bignon J. The interactions
1052 between asbestos fibers and metaphase chromosomes of rat pleural mesothelial cells in
1053 culture. A scanning and transmission electron microscopic study. *Am J*
1054 *Pathol.*1987;126:343-349.
- 1055 44. Yegles M, Saint-Etienne L, Renier A, Janson X, Jaurand MC. Induction of metaphase and
1056 anaphase/telophase abnormalities by asbestos fibers in rat pleural mesothelial cells in
1057 vitro. *Amer J Respir Cell Mol Biol.*1993;9:186-191.
- 1058 45. Pelin K, Kivipensas P, Linnainmaa K. Effects of asbestos and man-made vitreous fibers
1059 on cell division in cultured human mesothelial cells in comparison to rodent cells.
1060 *Environ Mol Mutag.*1995;25:118-125.
- 1061 46. Pelin K, Hirvonen A, Taavitsainen M, Linnainmaa K. Cytogenetic response to asbestos
1062 fibers in cultured human primary mesothelial cells from 10 different donors. *Mutat*
1063 *Res.*1995;334:225-233.

- 1064 47. Yegles M, Janson X, Dong HY, Renier A, Jaurand MC. Role of fibre characteristics on
1065 cytotoxicity and induction of anaphase/telophase aberrations in rat pleural mesothelial
1066 cells in vitro. Correlations with in vivo animal findings. *Carcinogenesis*.1995;16:2751-
1067 2758.
- 1068 48. Poser I, Rahman Q, Lohani M, et al;. Modulation of genotoxic effects in asbestos-exposed
1069 primary human mesothelial cells by radical scavengers, metal chelators and a glutathione
1070 precursor. *Mutat Res*.2004;559:19-27.
- 1071 49. Broaddus VC. Asbestos, the mesothelial cell and malignancy: a matter of life or death.
1072 *Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol*.1997;17:657-659.
- 1073 50. Marsella JM, Liu BL, Vaslet CA, Kane AB. Susceptibility of p53-deficient mice to
1074 induction of mesothelioma by crocidolite asbestos fibers. *Environ Health*
1075 *paerspect*.1997;105(supp 5):1069-1072.
- 1076 51. Altomare DA, Vaslet CA, Skele KL, et al;. A mouse model recapitulating molecular
1077 features of human mesothelioma. *Cancer Res*.2005;65:8090-8095.
- 1078 52. Lecomte C, Andujar P, Renier A, et al;. Similar tumor suppressor gene alteration profiles
1079 in asbestos-induced murine and human mesothelioma. *Cell Cycle*.2005;4:1862-1869.
- 1080 53. Jongasma J, van Montfort E, Vooijs M, et al;. A conditional mouse model for malignant
1081 mesothelioma. *Cancer Cell*.2008;13:261-271.
- 1082 54. Merchant JA. Human epidemiology: a review of fiber type and characteristics in the
1083 development of malignant and nonmalignant disease. *Environ Health*
1084 *Perspect*.1990;88:287-293.
- 1085 55. Baris YI, Grandjean P. Prospective study of mesothelioma mortality in Turkish villages
1086 with exposure to fibrous zeolite. *J Natl Cancer Inst*.2006;98:414-417.
- 1087 56. Coin PG, Roggli VL, Brody AR. Persistence of long, thin chrysotile asbestos fibers in the
1088 lungs of rats. *Environ Health Perspect*.1994;102 Suppl 5:197-199.
- 1089 57. Greillier L, Astoul P. Mesothelioma and asbestos-related pleural diseases.
1090 *Respiration*.2008;76:1-15.
- 1091 58. IOM. *Asbestos: Selected Cancers*. Washington, D.C.: The National Academies Press;
1092 2006.
- 1093 59. Fubini B. Surface reactivity in the pathogenic response to particulates. *Environ health*
1094 *Perspect*.1997;105:1013-1020.

- 1095 60. McDonald JC. Epidemiology of malignant mesothelioma--an outline. *Ann Occup*
1096 *Hyg.*2010;54:851-857.
- 1097 61. McDonald JC, Harris J, Armstrong B. Mortality in a cohort of vermiculite miners exposed
1098 to fibrous amphibole in Libby, Montana. *Occup Environ Med.*2004;61:363-366.
- 1099 62. IARC. Silica and some silicates. In: IARC Press G, ed. *Monographs on the evaluation of*
1100 *carcinogenic risk of chemical to humans.* Vol vol. 421987:39-143.
- 1101 63. Hamilton RF, Wu N, Porter D, Buford M, Wolfarth M, Holian A. Particle length-
1102 dependent titanium dioxide nanomaterials toxicity and bioactivity. *Part Fibre*
1103 *Toxicol.*2009;6:35.
- 1104 64. Dostert C, Petrilli V, Van Bruggen R, Steele C, Mossman BT, Tschopp J. Innate immune
1105 activation through Nalp3 inflammasome sensing of asbestos and silica.
1106 *Science.*2008;320:674-677.
- 1107 65. Poland CA, Duffin R, Kinloch I, et al;. Carbon nanotubes introduced into the abdominal
1108 cavity of mice show asbestos-like pathogenicity in a pilot study. *Nat*
1109 *Nanotechnol.*2008;3:423-428.
- 1110 66. Mercer RR, Scabilloni J, Wang L, et al;. Alteration of deposition pattern and pulmonary
1111 response as a result of improved dispersion of aspirated single-walled carbon nanotubes in
1112 a mouse model. *Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol.*2008;294:L87-97.
- 1113 67. Ryman-Rasmussen JP, Tewksbury EW, Moss OR, Cesta MF, Wong BA, Bonner JC.
1114 Inhaled multiwalled carbon nanotubes potentiate airway fibrosis in murine allergic
1115 asthma. *Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol.*2009;40:349-358.
- 1116 68. Porter DW, Hubbs AF, Mercer RR, et al;. Mouse pulmonary dose- and time course-
1117 responses induced by exposure to multi-walled carbon nanotubes.
1118 *Toxicology.*2010;269:136-147.
- 1119 69. Mercer RR, Hubbs AF, Scabilloni JF, et al;. Distribution and persistence of pleural
1120 penetrations by multi-walled carbon nanotubes. *Part Fibre Toxicol.*2010;7:28.
- 1121 70. Takagi A, Hirose A, Nishimura T, et al;. Induction of mesothelioma in p53+/- mouse by
1122 intraperitoneal application of multi-wall carbon nanotube. *J Toxicol Sci.*2008;33:105-116.
- 1123 71. Sakamoto Y, Nakae D, Fukumori N, et al;. Induction of mesothelioma by a single
1124 intrascrotal administration of multi-wall carbon nanotube in intact male Fischer 344 rats. *J*
1125 *Toxicol Sci.*2009;34:65-76.

- 1126 72. Muller J, Delos M, Panin N, Rabolli V, Huaux F, Lison D. Absence of carcinogenic
1127 response to multiwall carbon nanotubes in a 2-year bioassay in the peritoneal cavity of the
1128 rat. *Toxicol Sci.*2009;110:442-448.
- 1129 73. Fubini B, Otero-Arean C. Clinical aspects of the toxicity of inhaled mineral dusts. *Chem*
1130 *Soc Rev.*1999;28:373-381.
- 1131 74. Fubini B, Mollo L. Role of iron in the reactivity of mineral fibers. *Toxicol Lett.*1995;82-
1132 3:951-960.
- 1133 75. Hardy JA, Aust AE. The effect of iron binding on the ability of crocidolite asbestos to
1134 catalyze DNA single-strand breaks. *Carcinogenesis.*1995;16:319-325.
- 1135 76. Shukla A, Ramos-Nino M, Mossman B. Cell signaling and transcription factor activation
1136 by asbestos in lung injury and disease. *Intl J Biochem Cell Biol.*2003;35:1198-1209.
- 1137 77. Upadhyay D, Kamp DW. Asbestos-induced pulmonary toxicity: role of DNA damage and
1138 apoptosis. *Exp Biol Med (Maywood).*2003;228:650-659.
- 1139 78. Brown DM, Fisher C, Donaldson K. Free radical activity of synthetic vitreous fibers: iron
1140 chelation inhibits hydroxyl radical generation by refractory ceramic fiber. *J Toxicol*
1141 *Environ Health A.*1998;53:545-561.
- 1142 79. Okayasu R, Wu L, Hei TK. Biological effects of naturally occurring and man-made fibres :
1143 in vitro cytotoxicity and mutagenesis in mammalian cells. *Br J Cancer.*1999;79:1319-
1144 1324.
- 1145 80. Fenoglio I, Tomatis M, Lison D, et al;. Reactivity of carbon nanotubes: free radical
1146 generation or scavenging activity? *Free Radic Biol Med.*2006;40:1227-1233.
- 1147 81. Galano A, Francisco-Marquez M, Martinex A. Influence of point defects on the free-
1148 radical scavenging capability of single-walled carbon nanotubes. *J. Phys. Chem. C*
1149 2010;114.
- 1150 82. Di Sotto A, Chiaretti M, Carru GA, Bellucci S, Mazzanti G. Multi-walled carbon
1151 nanotubes: Lack of mutagenic activity in the bacterial reverse mutation assay. *Toxicol*
1152 *Lett.*2009;184:192-197.
- 1153 83. Wirnitzer U, Herbold B, Voetz M, Ragot J. Studies on the in vitro genotoxicity of
1154 baytubes((R)), agglomerates of engineered multi-walled carbon-nanotubes (MWCNT).
1155 *Toxicol Lett.*2009;186:160-165.

- 1156 84. Yamashita K, Yoshioka Y, Higashisaka K, et al;. Carbon nanotubes elicit DNA damage
1157 and inflammatory response relative to their size and shape. *Inflammation*.2010;33:276-
1158 280.
- 1159 85. Muller J, Decordier I, Hoet PH, et al;. Clastogenic and aneugenic effects of multi-wall
1160 carbon nanotubes in epithelial cells. *Carcinogenesis*.2008;29:427-433.
- 1161 86. Kisin ER, Murray AR, Sargent L, et al;. Genotoxicity of carbon nanofibers: are they
1162 potentially more or less dangerous than carbon nanotubes or asbestos? *Toxicol Appl*
1163 *Pharmacol*.2011;252:1-10.
- 1164 87. Lindberg HK, Falck GC, Suhonen S, et al;. Genotoxicity of nanomaterials: DNA damage
1165 and micronuclei induced by carbon nanotubes and graphite nanofibres in human bronchial
1166 epithelial cells in vitro. *Toxicol Lett*.2009;186:166-173.
- 1167 88. Pacurari M, Yin XJ, Ding M, et al;. Oxidative and molecular interactions of multi-wall
1168 carbon nanotubes (MWCNT) in normal and malignant human mesothelial cell.
1169 *Nanotoxicol*.2008;2:155-170
1170 .
- 1171 89. Pacurari M, Yin XJ, Zhao J, et al;. Raw single-wall carbon nanotubes induce oxidative
1172 stress and activate MAPKs, AP-1, NF-kappaB, and Akt in normal and malignant human
1173 mesothelial cells. *Environ Health Perspect*.2008;116:1211-1217.
- 1174 90. Sanchez VC, Pietruska JR, Miselis NR, Hurt RH, Kane AB. Biopersistence and potential
1175 adverse health impacts of fibrous nanomaterials: what have we learned from asbestos?
1176 *Wiley Interdiscip Rev Nanomed Nanobiotechnol*.2009;1:511-529.
- 1177 91. Manning CB, Vallyathan V, Mossman BT. Diseases caused by asbestos: mechanisms of
1178 injury and disease development. *Int Immunopharmacol*.2002;2:191-200.
- 1179 92. Park SH, Aust A. Participation of iron and nitric oxide in the mutagenicity of asbestos in
1180 hgp⁺ chinese hamster V79 cells. *Cancer Res*.1998;58:1144-1148.
- 1181 93. Hei T, Louie D, Zhao YL. Genotoxicity versus carcinogenicity: Implications from fiber
1182 toxicity studies. *Inhal Toxicol*.2000;12:141-147.
- 1183 94. MacCorkle RA, Slattery SD, Nash DR, Brinkley BR. Intracellular protein binding to
1184 asbestos induces aneuploidy in human lung fibroblasts. *Cell Motil*
1185 *Cytoskeleton*.2006;63:646-657.

- 1186 95. Sargent LM, Reynolds SH, Castranova V. Potential pulmonary effects of engineered
1187 carbon nanotubes: in vitro genotoxic effects. *Nanotoxicology*.2010;4:396-408.
- 1188 96. Berman DW, Crump KS. A meta-analysis of asbestos-related cancer risk that addresses
1189 fiber size and mineral type. *Crit Rev Toxicol*.2008;38 Suppl 1:49-73.
- 1190 97. Suzuki Y, Yuen SR, Ashley R. Short, thin asbestos fibers contribute to the development
1191 of human malignant mesothelioma: pathological evidence. *Int J Hyg Environ*
1192 *Health*.2005;208:201-210.
- 1193 98. Dodson RF, Hammar SP. Pleural mesothelioma in a woman whose documented past
1194 exposure to asbestos was from smoking asbestos-containing filtered cigarettes: the
1195 comparative value of analytical transmission electron microscopic analysis of lung and
1196 lymph-node tissue. *Inhal Toxicol*.2006;18:679-684.
- 1197 99. Hesterberg TW, Chase G, Axten C, et al;. Biopersistence of synthetic vitreous fibers and
1198 amosite asbestos in the rat lung following inhalation. *Toxicol Appl*
1199 *Pharmacol*.1998;151:262-275.
- 1200 100. Miller BG, Searl A, Davis JMG, et al;. Influence of fibre length, dissolution and
1201 biopersistence on the production of mesothelioma in the rat peritoneal cavity. *Ann occup*
1202 *Hyg*.1999;43:155-166.
- 1203 101. Akiyama I, Ogami A, Oyabu T, Yamato H, Morimoto Y, Tanaka I. Pulmonary effects and
1204 biopersistence of deposited silicon carbide whisker after 1-year inhalation in rats. *Inhal*
1205 *Toxicol*.2007;19:141-147.
- 1206 102. Johnson NF, Hahn FF. Induction of mesothelioma after intrapleural inoculation of F344
1207 rats with silicon carbide whiskers or continuous ceramic filaments. *Occup Environ*
1208 *Med*.1996;53:813-816.
- 1209 103. Rodelsperger K, Bruckel B. The carcinogenicity of WHO fibers of silicon carbide: SiC
1210 whiskers compared to cleavage fragments of granular SiC. *Inhal Toxicol*.2006;18:623-
1211 631.
- 1212 104. Mast RW, Yu CP, Oberdorster G, McConnell EE, Utell MJ. A retrospective review of the
1213 carcinogenicity of refractory ceramic fiber in two chronic fischer 344 rat inhalation
1214 studies: an assessment of the MTD and implications for risk assessment. *Inhal*
1215 *Toxicol*.2000;12:1141-1172.

- 1216 105. Wagner JC, Skidmore JW, Hill RJ, Griffiths DM. Erionite exposure and mesotheliomas in
1217 rats. *British Journal of Cancer*.1985;51.
- 1218 106. Johnson NF, Edwards RE, Munday DE, Rowe N, Wagner JC. Pluripotential nature of
1219 mesotheliomata induced by inhalation of erionite in rats. *Br J Exp Pathol*.1984;65:377-
1220 388.
- 1221 107. Kane AB. Animal models of malignant mesothelioma. *Inhal Toxicol*.2006;18:1001-1004.
- 1222 108. Saffiotti U. Mesothelioma carcinogenesis: In vivo models. In: Pass H, Vogelzang N,
1223 Carbone M, eds. *Malignant mesothelioma*. Vol 26: Springer; 2005:60-86.
- 1224 109. Liu X, Guo L, Morris D, Kane AB, Hurt RH. Targeted Removal of Bioavailable Metal as
1225 a Detoxification Strategy for Carbon Nanotubes. *Carbon N Y*.2008;46:489-500.
- 1226 110. Allen BL, Kichambare PD, Gou P, et al;. Biodegradation of single-walled carbon
1227 nanotubes through enzymatic catalysis. *Nano Lett*.2008;8:3899-3903.
- 1228 111. Liu X, Hurt RH, Kane AB. Biodurability of Single-Walled Carbon Nanotubes Depends
1229 on Surface Functionalization. *Carbon N Y*.2010;48:1961-1969.
- 1230 112. Nagai H, Toyokuni S. Biopersistent fiber-induced inflammation and carcinogenesis:
1231 lessons learned from asbestos toward safety of fibrous nanomaterials. *Arch Biochem*
1232 *Biophys*.2010;502:1-7.
- 1233 113. Donaldson K, Aitken R, Tran L, et al;. Carbon nanotubes: a review of their properties in
1234 relation to pulmonary toxicology and workplace safety. *Toxicol Sci*.2006;92:5-22.
- 1235 114. Pacurari M, Castranova V, Vallyathan V. Single- and multi-wall carbon nanotubes versus
1236 asbestos: are the carbon nanotubes a new health risk to humans? *J Toxicol Environ Health*
1237 *A*.2010;73:378-395.
- 1238 115. Wick P, Manser P, Limbach LK, et al;. The degree and kind of agglomeration affect
1239 carbon nanotube cytotoxicity. *Toxicol Lett*.2007;168:121-131.
- 1240 116. Jaurand MC, Renier A, Daubriac J. Mesothelioma: Do asbestos and carbon nanotubes
1241 pose the same health risk? *Part Fibre Toxicol*.2009;6:16-29.
- 1242 117. Kane AB, Hurt RH. Nanotoxicology: the asbestos analogy revisited. *Nat*
1243 *Nanotechnol*.2008;3:378-379.
- 1244 118. Aschberger K, Johnston HJ, Stone V, et al;. Review of carbon nanotubes toxicity and
1245 exposure--appraisal of human health risk assessment based on open literature. *Crit Rev*
1246 *Toxicol*.2010;40:759-790.

- 1247 119. Kinnula VL, Everitt JI, Mangum JB, Chang LY, Crapo JD. Antioxidant defense
1248 mechanisms in cultured pleural mesothelial cells. *Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol.*1992;7:95-
1249 103.
- 1250 120. Lechner JF, Tokiwa T, LaVeck M, et al;. Asbestos-associated chromosomal changes in
1251 human mesothelial cells. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.*1985;82:3884-3888.
- 1252 121. Hesterberg TW, Hart GA, Chevalier J, et al;. The importance of fiber biopersistence and
1253 lung dose in determining the chronic inhalation effects of X607, RCF1, and chrysotile
1254 asbestos in rats. *Toxicol Appl Pharmacol.*1998;153:68-82.
- 1255 122. Kodama Y, Boreiko CJ, Maness SC, Hesterberg TW. Cytotoxic and cytogenetic effects of
1256 asbestos on human bronchial epithelial cells in culture. *Carcinogenesis.*1993;14:691-697.
- 1257 123. Kamp DW, Israbian VA, Yeldandi AV, Panos RJ, Graceffa P, Weitzman SA. Phytic acid,
1258 an iron chelator, attenuates pulmonary inflammation and fibrosis in rats after intratracheal
1259 instillation of asbestos. *Toxicol Pathol.*1995;23:689-695.
- 1260 124. Shukla A, Jung M, Stern M, et al;. Asbestos induces mitochondrial DNA damage and
1261 dysfunction linked to the development of apoptosis. *Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol*
1262 *Physiol.*2003;285:L1018-1025.
- 1263 125. Srivastava RK, Lohani M, Pant AB, Rahman Q. Cyto-genotoxicity of amphibole asbestos
1264 fibers in cultured human lung epithelial cell line: role of surface iron. *Toxicol Ind*
1265 *Health.*2010;26:575-582.
- 1266 126. Hei TK, He ZY, Suzuki K. Effects of antioxidants on fiber mutagenesis.
1267 *Carcinogenesis.*1995;16:1573-1578.
- 1268 127. Kamp DW, Weitzman SA. The molecular basis of asbestos induced lung injury.
1269 *Thorax.*1999;54:638-652.
- 1270 128. Heintz NH, Janssen-Heininger YM, Mossman BT. Asbestos, lung cancers, and
1271 mesotheliomas: from molecular approaches to targeting tumor survival pathways. *Am J*
1272 *Respir Cell Mol Biol.*2010;42:133-139.
- 1273 129. Murthy SS, Testa JR. Asbestos, chromosomal deletions, and tumor suppressor gene
1274 alterations in human malignant mesothelioma. *J Cell Physiol.*1999;180:150-157.
- 1275 130. Christensen BC, Houseman EA, Godleski JJ, et al;. Epigenetic profiles distinguish pleural
1276 mesothelioma from normal pleura and predict lung asbestos burden and clinical outcome.
1277 *Cancer Res.*2009;69:227-234.

- 1278 131. Valinluck V, Sowers LC. Inflammation-mediated cytosine damage: a mechanistic link
1279 between inflammation and the epigenetic alterations in human cancers. *Cancer*
1280 *Res.*2007;67:5583-5586.
- 1281 132. Kasai H, Kawai K. DNA methylation at the C-5 position of cytosine by methyl radicals: a
1282 possible role for epigenetic change during carcinogenesis by environmental agents. *Chem*
1283 *Res Toxicol.*2009;22:984-989.
- 1284 133. Reuter S, Gupta SC, Chaturvedi MM, Aggarwal BB. Oxidative stress, inflammation, and
1285 cancer: how are they linked? *Free Radic Biol Med.*2010;49:1603-1616.
- 1286 134. Mutsaers SE. The mesothelial cell. *Int J Biochem Cell Biol.*2004;36:9-16.
- 1287 135. Michailova KN, Usunoff KG. Serosal membranes (pleura, pericardium, peritoneum).
1288 Normal structure, development and experimental pathology. *Adv Anat Embryol Cell*
1289 *Biol.*2006;183:i-vii, 1-144, back cover.
- 1290 136. Mutsaers SE, Whitaker D, Papadimitriou JM. Mesothelial regeneration is not dependent
1291 on subserosal cells. *J Pathol.*2000;190:86-92.
- 1292 137. Mutsaers SE. Mesothelial cells: their structure, function and role in serosal repair.
1293 *Respirology.*2002;7:171-191.
- 1294 138. Foley-Comer AJ, Herrick SE, Al-Mishlab T, Prele CM, Laurent GJ, Mutsaers SE.
1295 Evidence for incorporation of free-floating mesothelial cells as a mechanism of serosal
1296 healing. *J Cell Sci.*2002;115:1383-1389.
- 1297 139. Mor O, Yaron P, Huszar M, et al;. Absence of p53 mutations in malignant mesothelioma.
1298 *Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol.*1997;16:9-13.
- 1299 140. Kitamura F, Araki S, Tanigawa T, Miura H, Akabane H, Iwasaki R. Assessment of
1300 mutations of Ha- and Ki-ras oncogenes and the p53 suppressor gene in seven malignant
1301 mesothelioma patients exposed to asbestos. PCR-SSCP and sequencing analyses of
1302 paraffin-embedded primary tumors *Ind Health.*1998;36:52-56.
- 1303 141. Sekido Y. Genomic abnormalities and signal transduction dysregulation in malignant
1304 mesothelioma cells. *Cancer Sci.*2010;101:1-6.
- 1305 142. Andujar P, Lecomte C, Renier A, et al;. Clinico-pathological features and somatic gene
1306 alterations in refractory ceramic fibre-induced murine mesothelioma reveal mineral fibre-
1307 induced mesothelioma identities. *Carcinogenesis.*2007;28:1599-1605.

- 1308 143. Andujar P, Wang J, Descatha A, et al;. p16^{INK4A} inactivation mechanisms in non small-
1309 cell lung cancer patients occupationally exposed to asbestos. *Lung Cancer*.2010;67:23-30.
- 1310 144. Christensen BC, Godleski JJ, Marsit CJ, et al;. Asbestos exposure predicts cell cycle
1311 control gene promoter methylation in pleural mesothelioma.
1312 *Carcinogenesis*.2008;29:1555-1559.
- 1313 145. Toyooka S, Toyooka KO, Maruyama R, et al;. DNA methylation profiles of lung tumors.
1314 *Mol Cancer Ther*.2001;1:61-67.
- 1315 146. Hirao T, Bueno R, Chen CJ, Gordon GJ, Heilig E, Kelsey KT. Alterations of the
1316 p16INK4 locus in human malignant mesothelial tumors. *Carcinogenesis*.2002;23:1127-
1317 1130.
- 1318 147. Wong L, Zhou J, Anderson D, Kratzke RA. Inactivation of p16INK4a expression in
1319 malignant mesothelioma by methylation. *Lung Cancer*.2002;38:131-136.
- 1320 148. Marsit CJ, Houseman EA, Christensen BC, et al;. Examination of a CpG island
1321 methylator phenotype and implications of methylation profiles in solid tumors. *Cancer*
1322 *Res*.2006;66:10621-10629.
- 1323 149. Destro A, Ceresoli GL, Baryshnikova E, et al;. Gene methylation in pleural
1324 mesothelioma: correlations with clinico-pathological features and patient's follow-up.
1325 *Lung Cancer*.2008;59:369-376.
- 1326 150. Guled M, Lahti L, Lindholm PM, et al;. CDKN2A, NF2, and JUN are dysregulated
1327 among other genes by miRNAs in malignant mesothelioma -A miRNA microarray
1328 analysis. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer*.2009;48:615-623.
- 1329 151. Petitjean A, Mathe E, Kato S, et al;. Impact of mutant p53 functional properties on TP53
1330 mutation patterns and tumor phenotype: lessons from recent developments in the IARC
1331 TP53 database. *Hum Mutat*.2007;28:622-629.
- 1332 152. Le Calvez F, Mukeria A, Hunt JD, et al;. TP53 and KRAS mutation load and types in
1333 lung cancers in relation to tobacco smoke: distinct patterns in never, former, and current
1334 smokers. *Cancer Res*.2005;65:5076-5083.
- 1335 153. Husgafvel-Pursiainen K, Karjalainen A, Kannio A, et al;. Lung cancer and past
1336 occupational exposure to asbestos. Role of p53 and K-ras mutations. *Am J Respir Cell*
1337 *Mol Biol*.1999;20:667-674.

- 1338 154. Vaslet CA, Messier NJ, Kane AB. Accelerated progression of asbestos-induced
1339 mesotheliomas in heterozygous p53 (+/-) mice. *Toxicol Sci.*2002;68:331-338.
- 1340 155. Jean D, Thomas E, Renier A, et al;. Syntenic relationships between genomic profiles of
1341 fiber-induced murine and human malignant mesothelioma. *Am J Pathol.*2011;176:881-
1342 894.
- 1343 156. Bianchi AB, Mitsunaga S, Cheng J, et al;. High frequency of inactivating mutations in the
1344 neurofibromatosis type 2 gene (NF2) in primary malignant mesothelioma. *Proc Natl Acad*
1345 *Sci, USA.*1995;92:10854-10858.
- 1346 157. Sekido Y, Pass HI, Bader S, Mew DJ, Christmas MF, Gazdar AF. Neurofibromatosis type
1347 2 (NF2) gene is somatically mutated in mesothelioma but not in lung cancer. *Cancer*
1348 *Res.*1995;55:1227-1231.
- 1349 158. Lallemand D, Curto M, Saotome I, Giovannini M, McClatchey AI. NF2 deficiency
1350 promotes tumorigenesis and metastasis by destabilizing adherens junctions. *Genes*
1351 *Dev.*2003;17:1090-1100.
- 1352 159. Yi C, Troutman S, Fera D, et al;. A Tight Junction-Associated Merlin-Angiomotin
1353 Complex Mediates Merlin's Regulation of Mitogenic Signaling and Tumor Suppressive
1354 Functions. *Cancer Cell.*2010;19:527-540.
- 1355 160. Stamenkovic I, Yu Q. Merlin, a "magic" linker between extracellular cues and
1356 intracellular signaling pathways that regulate cell motility, proliferation, and survival.
1357 *Curr Protein Pept Sci.*2010;11:471-484.
- 1358 161. Tiainen M, Tammilehto L, Mattson K, Knuutila S. Nonrandom chromosomal
1359 abnormalities in malignant pleural mesothelioma. *Cancer Genet Cytogenet.*1988;33:251-
1360 274.
- 1361 162. Pyrhonen S, Tiainen M, Rautonen J, et al;. Comparison of DNA and karyotype ploidy in
1362 malignant mesothelioma. *Cancer Genet Cytogenet.*1992;60:8-13.
- 1363 163. Tiainen M, Hopman A, Moesker O, et al;. Interphase cytogenetics on paraffin sections of
1364 malignant pleural mesothelioma. A comparison to conventional karyotyping and flow
1365 cytometric studies. *Cancer Genet Cytogenet.*1992;62:171-179.
- 1366 164. Tiainen M, Kere J, Tammilehto L, Mattson K, Knuutila S. Abnormalities of
1367 chromosomes 7 and 22 in human malignant pleural mesothelioma: correlation between
1368 Southern blot and cytogenetic analyses. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer.*1992;4:176-182.

- 1369 165. Tiainen M, Rautonen J, Pyrhonen S, Tammilehto L, Mattson K, Knuutila S. Chromosome
1370 number correlates with survival in patients with malignant pleural mesothelioma. *Cancer*
1371 *Genet Cytogenet.*1992;62:21-24.
- 1372 166. Kivipensas P, Bjorkqvist AM, Karhu R, et al;. Gains and losses of DNA sequences in
1373 malignant mesothelioma by comparative genomic hybridization. *Cancer Genet*
1374 *Cytogenet.*1996;89:7-13.
- 1375 167. Lindholm PM, Salmenkivi K, Vauhkonen H, et al;. Gene copy number analysis in
1376 malignant pleural mesothelioma using oligonucleotide array CGH. *Cytogenet Genome*
1377 *Res.*2007;119:46-52.
- 1378 168. Ivanov SV, Miller J, Lucito R, et al;. Genomic events associated with progression of
1379 pleural malignant mesothelioma. *Int J Cancer.*2009;124:589-599.
- 1380 169. Bueno R, De Rienzo A, Dong L, et al;. Second generation sequencing of the
1381 mesothelioma tumor genome. *PLoS One.*2010;5:e10612.
- 1382 170. Cheung M, Pei J, Pei Y, Jhanwar SC, Pass HI, Testa JR. The promyelocytic leukemia
1383 zinc-finger gene, PLZF, is frequently downregulated in malignant mesothelioma cells and
1384 contributes to cell survival. *Oncogene.*2010;29:1633-1640.
- 1385 171. Christensen BC, Houseman EA, Poage GM, et al;. Integrated Profiling Reveals a Global
1386 Correlation between Epigenetic and Genetic Alterations in Mesothelioma. *Cancer*
1387 *Res.*2010;70:5686-5694.
- 1388 172. Hagemijer A, Versnel MA, Van Drunen E, et al;. Cytogenetic analysis of malignant
1389 mesothelioma. *Cancer Genet Cytogenet.*1990;47:1-28.
- 1390 173. Ribotta M, Roseo F, Salvio M, et al;. Recurrent chromosome 6 abnormalities in malignant
1391 mesothelioma. *Monaldi Arch Chest Dis.*1998;53:228-235.
- 1392 174. Mitelman F, Johansson B, Mertens F. *Database of chromosome aberrations in cancer.*
1393 2008.
- 1394 175. Gisselsson D, Pettersson L, Hoglund M, et al;. Chromosomal breakage-fusion-bridge
1395 events cause genetic intratumor heterogeneity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.*2000;97:5357-
1396 5362.
- 1397 176. Olofsson K, Mark J. Specificity of asbestos-induced chromosomal aberrations in short-
1398 term cultures human mesothelial cells. *Cancer Genet Cytogenet.*1989;41:33-39.

- 1399 177. Tiainen M, Tammilehto L, Rautonen J, Tuomi T, Mattson K, Knuutila S. Chromosomal
1400 abnormalities and their correlations with asbestos exposure and survival in patients with
1401 mesothelioma. *Br J Cancer*.1989;60:618-626.
- 1402 178. Tammilehto L, Tuomi T, Tiainen M, et al;. Malignant mesothelioma: clinical
1403 characteristics, asbestos mineralogy and chromosomal abnormalities of 41 patients. *Eur J*
1404 *Cancer*.1992;28A:1373-1379.
- 1405 179. Bjorkqvist AM, Tammilehto L, Nordling S, et al;. Comparison of DNA copy number
1406 changes in malignant mesothelioma, adenocarcinoma and large-cell anaplastic carcinoma
1407 of the lung. *Br J Cancer*.1998;77:260-269.
- 1408 180. Bjorkqvist AM, Wolf M, Nordling S, et al;. Deletions at 14q in malignant mesothelioma
1409 detected by microsatellite marker analysis. *Br J Cancer*.1999;81:1111-1115.
- 1410 181. Assamaki R, Sarlomo-Rikala M, Lopez-Guerrero JA, et al;. Array comparative genomic
1411 hybridization analysis of chromosomal imbalances and their target genes in
1412 gastrointestinal stromal tumors. *Genes Chromosomes Cancer*.2007;46:564-576.
- 1413 182. Tsou JA, Shen LY, Siegmund KD, et al;. Distinct DNA methylation profiles in malignant
1414 mesothelioma, lung adenocarcinoma, and non-tumor lung. *Lung Cancer*.2005;47:193-
1415 204.
- 1416 183. Goto Y, Shinjo K, Kondo Y, et al;. Epigenetic profiles distinguish malignant pleural
1417 mesothelioma from lung adenocarcinoma. *Cancer Res*.2009;69:9073-9082.
- 1418 184. Tsou JA, Galler JS, Wali A, et al;. DNA methylation profile of 28 potential marker loci in
1419 malignant mesothelioma. *Lung Cancer*.2007;58:220-230.
- 1420 185. Nymark P, Guled M, Borze I, Faisal A, Lahti L, Salmenkivi K. Integrative analysis od
1421 microRNA, mRNA and acGH dta reveals asbestos- and histology-related changes in lung
1422 cancer. In Press.
- 1423 186. Ivanov SV, Goparaju CM, Lopez P, et al;. Pro-tumorigenic effects of miR-31 loss in
1424 mesothelioma. *J Biol Chem*.2010.
- 1425 187. Pass HI, Goparaju C, Ivanov S, et al;. hsa-miR-29c* Is Linked to the Prognosis of
1426 Malignant Pleural Mesothelioma. *Cancer Res*.2010;70:1916-1924.
- 1427 188. Murakami H, Mizuno T, Taniguchi T, et al;. LATS2 Is a Tumor Suppressor Gene of
1428 Malignant Mesothelioma. *Cancer Res*.2011;71:873-883.

- 1429 189. Thurneysen C, Opitz I, Kurtz S, Weder W, Stahel RA, Felley-Bosco E. Functional
1430 inactivation of NF2/merlin in human mesothelioma. *Lung Cancer*.2009;64:140-147.
- 1431 190. Romagnoli S, Fasoli E, Vaira V, et al;. Identification of potential therapeutic targets in
1432 malignant mesothelioma using cell-cycle gene expression analysis. *Am J*
1433 *Pathol*.2009;174:762-770.
- 1434 191. Crispi S, Fagliarone C, Biroccio A, et al;. Antiproliferative effect of Aurora kinase
1435 targeting in mesothelioma. *Lung Cancer*.2010;70:271-279.
- 1436 192. Roe OD, Anderssen E, Sandeck H, Christensen T, Larsson E, Lundgren S. Malignant
1437 pleural mesothelioma: genome-wide expression patterns reflecting general resistance
1438 mechanisms and a proposal of novel targets. *Lung Cancer*.2010;67:57-68.
- 1439 193. Lopez-Rios F, Chuai S, Flores R, et al;. Global gene expression profiling of pleural
1440 mesotheliomas: overexpression of aurora kinases and P16/CDKN2A deletion as
1441 prognostic factors and critical evaluation of microarray-based prognostic prediction.
1442 *Cancer Res*.2006;66:2970-2979.
- 1443 194. Rubin CI, Atweh GF. The role of stathmin in the regulation of the cell cycle. *J Cell*
1444 *Biochem*.2004;93:242-250.
- 1445 195. Kim JY, Harvard C, You L, et al;. Stathmin is overexpressed in malignant mesothelioma.
1446 *Anticancer Res*.2007;27:39-44.
- 1447 196. Lemmon MA, Schlessinger J. Cell signaling by receptor tyrosine kinases.
1448 *Cell*.2010;141:1117-1134.
- 1449 197. Vintman L, Nielsen S, Berner A, Reich R, Davidson B. Mitogen-activated protein kinase
1450 expression and activation does not differentiate benign from malignant mesothelial cells.
1451 *Cancer*.2005;103:2427-2433.
- 1452 198. de Melo M, Gerbase MW, Curran J, Pache JC. Phosphorylated extracellular signal-
1453 regulated kinases are significantly increased in malignant mesothelioma. *J Histochem*
1454 *Cytochem*.2006;54:855-861.
- 1455 199. Eguchi R, Fujimori Y, Takeda H, et al;. Arsenic trioxide induces apoptosis through JNK
1456 and ERK in human mesothelioma cells. *J Cell Physiol*.2011;226:762-768.
- 1457 200. Ou WB, Hubert C, Corson JM, et al;. Targeted inhibition of multiple receptor tyrosine
1458 kinases in mesothelioma. *Neoplasia*.2011;13:12-22.

- 1459 201. Ohta Y, Shridhar V, Bright RK, et al;. VEGF and VEGF type C play an important role in
1460 angiogenesis and lymphangiogenesis in human malignant mesothelioma tumours. *Br J*
1461 *Cancer*.1999;81:54-61.
- 1462 202. Konig J, Tolnay E, Wiethage T, Muller K. Co-expression of vascular endothelial growth
1463 factor and its receptor flt-1 in malignant pleural mesothelioma. *Respiration*.2000;67:36-
1464 40.
- 1465 203. Strizzi L, Catalano A, Vianale G, et al;. Vascular endothelial growth factor is an autocrine
1466 growth factor in human malignant mesothelioma. *J Pathol*.2001;193:468-475.
- 1467 204. Filho AL, Baltazar F, Bedrossian C, Michael C, Schmitt FC. Immunohistochemical
1468 expression and distribution of VEGFR-3 in malignant mesothelioma. *Diagn*
1469 *Cytopathol*.2007;35:786-791.
- 1470 205. Lee AY, Raz DJ, He B, Jablons DM. Update on the molecular biology of malignant
1471 mesothelioma. *Cancer*.2007;109:1454-1461.
- 1472 206. Masood R, Kundra A, Zhu S, et al;. Malignant mesothelioma growth inhibition by agents
1473 that target the VEGF and VEGF-C autocrine loops. *Int J Cancer*.2003;104:603-610.
- 1474 207. Jacobson A, Brinck J, Briskin MJ, Spicer AP, Heldin P. Expression of human hyaluronan
1475 synthases in response to external stimuli. *Biochem J*.2000;348:29-35.
- 1476 208. Heldin P, Asplund T, Ytterberg D, Thelin S, Laurent TC. Characterization of the
1477 molecular mechanism involved in the activation of hyaluronan synthetase by platelet-
1478 derived growth factor in human mesothelial cells. *Biochem J*.1992;283 (Pt 1):165-170.
- 1479 209. Gerwin BI, Lechner JF, Reddel RR, et al;. Comparison of production of transforming
1480 growth factor-beta and platelet-derived growth factor by normal human mesothelial cells
1481 and mesothelioma cell lines. *Cancer Res*.1987;47:6180-6184.
- 1482 210. Versnel MA, Claessonwelsh L, Hammacher A, et al;. Human malignant mesothelioma
1483 cell lines express PDGF beta-receptors whereas cultured normal mesothelial cells express
1484 predominantly PDGF alpha-receptors. *Oncogene*.1991;6:2005-2011.
- 1485 211. Metheny-Barlow LJ, Flynn B, van Gijssel HE, Marrogi A, Gerwin BI. Paradoxical effects
1486 of platelet-derived growth factor-A overexpression in malignant mesothelioma.
1487 Antiproliferative effects in vitro and tumorigenic stimulation in vivo. *Am J Respir Cell*
1488 *Mol Biol*.2001;24:694-702.

- 1489 212. Van der Meeren A, Seddon MB, Betsholtz CA, Lechner JF, Gerwin BI. Tumorigenic
1490 conversion of human mesothelial cells as a consequence of platelet-derived growth factor-
1491 A chain overexpression. *Amer J Respir Cell Mol Biol.*1993;8:214-221.
- 1492 213. Agarwal V, Lind MJ, Cawkwell L. Targeted epidermal growth factor receptor therapy in
1493 malignant pleural mesothelioma: Where do we stand? *Cancer Treat Rev.*2010.
- 1494 214. Hoang CD, Zhang X, Scott PD, et al;. Selective activation of insulin receptor substrate-1
1495 and -2 in pleural mesothelioma cells: association with distinct malignant phenotypes.
1496 *Cancer Res.*2004;64:7479-7485.
- 1497 215. Whitson BA, Kratzke RA. Molecular pathways in malignant pleural mesothelioma.
1498 *Cancer Lett.*2006;239:183-189.
- 1499 216. Jaurand MC, Fleury-Feith J. Mesothelial cells. In: Light RW, Lee YCG, eds. *Textbook of*
1500 *pleural diseases. Second edition.* London: Hodder Arnold; 2008:27-37.
- 1501 217. Lee TC, Zhang Y, Aston C, et al;. Normal human mesothelial cells and mesothelioma cell
1502 lines express insulin-like growth factor I and associated molecules. *Cancer*
1503 *Res.*1993;53:2858-2864.
- 1504 218. Liu Z, Klominek J. Regulation of matrix metalloprotease activity in malignant
1505 mesothelioma cell lines by growth factors. *Thorax.*2003;58:198-203.
- 1506 219. Jagadeeswaran R, Ma PC, Seiwert TY, et al;. Functional analysis of c-Met/hepatocyte
1507 growth factor pathway in malignant pleural mesothelioma. *Cancer Res.*2006;66:352-361.
- 1508 220. Kawaguchi K, Murakami H, Taniguchi T, et al;. Combined inhibition of MET and EGFR
1509 suppresses proliferation of malignant mesothelioma cells. *Carcinogenesis.*2009;30:1097-
1510 1105.
- 1511 221. Tolnay E, Kuhnen C, Wiethage T, König JE, Voss B, Müller KM. Hepatocyte growth
1512 factor/scatter factor and its receptor c-Met are overexpressed and associated with an
1513 increased microvessel density in malignant pleural mesothelioma. *J Cancer Res Clin*
1514 *Oncol.*1998;124:291-296.
- 1515 222. Thirkettle I, Harvey P, Hasleton PS, Ball RY, Warn RM. Immunoreactivity for cadherins,
1516 HGF/SF, met, and erbB-2 in pleural malignant mesotheliomas. *Histopathol.*2000;36:522-
1517 528.

- 1518 223. Harvey P, Warn A, Dobbin S, et al;. Expression of HGF/SF in mesothelioma cell lines
1519 and its effects on cell motility, proliferation and morphology. *Br J Cancer*.1998;77:1052-
1520 1059.
- 1521 224. Mukohara T, Civiello G, Davis IJ, et al;. Inhibition of the met receptor in mesothelioma.
1522 *Clin Cancer Res*.2005;11:8122-8130.
- 1523 225. Besson A, Robbins SM, Yong VW. PTEN/MMAC1/TEP1 in signal transduction and
1524 tumorigenesis. *Eur J Biochem*.1999;263:605-611.
- 1525 226. Daubriac J, Fleury-Feith J, Kheuang L, et al;. Malignant pleural mesothelioma cells resist
1526 anoikis as quiescent pluricellular aggregates. *Cell Death and Differentiation*.2009;16
1527 1146-1155.
- 1528 227. Altomare DA, You H, Xiao GH, et al;. Human and mouse mesotheliomas exhibit elevated
1529 AKT/PKB activity, which can be targeted pharmacologically to inhibit tumor cell growth.
1530 *Oncogene*.2005;24:6080-6089.
- 1531 228. Suzuki Y, Murakami H, Kawaguchi K, et al;. Activation of the PI3K-AKT pathway in
1532 human malignant mesothelioma cells. *Molecular Medicine Reports*.2009;2:181-188.
- 1533 229. Clevers H. Wnt/beta-catenin signaling in development and disease. *Cell*.2006;127:469-
1534 480.
- 1535 230. Lee AY, He B, You L, et al;. Dickkopf-1 antagonizes Wnt signaling independent of beta-
1536 catenin in human mesothelioma. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun*.2004;323:1246-1250.
- 1537 231. He B, Lee AY, Dadfarmay S, et al;. Secreted frizzled-related protein 4 is silenced by
1538 hypermethylation and induces apoptosis in beta-catenin-deficient human mesothelioma
1539 cells. *Cancer Res*.2005;65:743-748.
- 1540 232. Batra S, Shi Y, Kuchenbecker KM, et al;. Wnt inhibitory factor-1, a Wnt antagonist, is
1541 silenced by promoter hypermethylation in malignant pleural mesothelioma. *Biochem*
1542 *Biophys Res Commun*.2006;342:1228-1232.
- 1543 233. Kohno H, Amatya VJ, Takeshima Y, et al;. Aberrant promoter methylation of WIF-1 and
1544 SFRP1, 2, 4 genes in mesothelioma. *Oncol Rep*.2010;24:423-431.
- 1545 234. Mazieres J, You L, He B, et al;. Wnt2 as a new therapeutic target in malignant pleural
1546 mesothelioma. *Int J Cancer*.2005;117:326-332.

- 1547 235. Soini Y, Kinnula V, Kaarteenaho-Wiik R, Kurttila E, Linnainmaa K, Paakko P. Apoptosis
1548 and expression of apoptosis regulating proteins bcl-2, mcl-1, bcl-X, and bax in malignant
1549 mesothelioma. *Clin Cancer Res.*1999;5:3508-3515.
- 1550 236. Rippo MR, Moretti S, Vescovi S, et al;. FLIP overexpression inhibits death receptor-
1551 induced apoptosis in malignant mesothelial cells. *Oncogene.*2004;23:7753-7760.
- 1552 237. O'Kane SL, Pound RJ, Campbell A, Chaudhuri N, Lind MJ, Cawkwell L. Expression of
1553 bcl-2 family members in malignant pleural mesothelioma. *Acta Oncol.*2006;45:449-453.
- 1554 238. Jin L, Amatya VJ, Takeshima Y, Shrestha L, Kushitani K, Inai K. Evaluation of apoptosis
1555 and immunohistochemical expression of the apoptosis-related proteins in mesothelioma.
1556 *Hiroshima J Med Sci.*2010;59:27-33.
- 1557 239. Hanahan D, Weinberg RA. The hallmarks of cancer. *Cell.*2000;100:57-70.
- 1558 240. Kafiri G, Thomas DM, Shepherd NA, Krausz T, Lane DP, Hall PA. p53 expression is
1559 common in malignant mesothelioma. *Histopathology.*1992;21:331-334.
- 1560 241. Ramael M, Lemmens G, Eerdekens C, et al;. Immunoreactivity for p53 protein in
1561 malignant mesothelioma and non-neoplastic mesothelium. *J Pathol.*1992;168:371-375.
- 1562 242. Mayall FG, Goddard H, Gibbs AR. The Frequency of p53 Immunostaining in Asbestos-
1563 Associated Mesotheliomas and Non-Asbestos-Associated Mesotheliomas.
1564 *Histopathology.*1993;22:383-386.
- 1565 243. Attanoos RL, Griffin A, Gibbs AR. The use of immunohistochemistry in distinguishing
1566 reactive from neoplastic mesothelium. A novel use for desmin and comparative
1567 evaluation with epithelial membrane antigen, p53, platelet-derived growth factor-receptor,
1568 P-glycoprotein and Bcl-2. *Histopathology.*2003;43:231-238.
- 1569 244. Feng Z, Levine AJ. The regulation of energy metabolism and the IGF-1/mTOR pathways
1570 by the p53 protein. *Trends Cell Biol.*2010;20:427-434.
- 1571 245. Leard LE, Broaddus VC. Mesothelial cell proliferation and apoptosis.
1572 *Respirology.*2004;9:292-299.
- 1573 246. Wilson SM, Barbone D, Yang TM, et al;. mTOR mediates survival signals in malignant
1574 mesothelioma grown as tumor fragment spheroids. *Am J Respir Cell Mol Biol.*2008;in
1575 press.
- 1576 247. Singhal S, Wiewrodt R, Malden LD, et al;. Gene expression profiling of malignant
1577 mesothelioma. *Clin Cancer Res.*2003;9:3080-3097.

- 1578 248. Lampson MA, Cheeseman IM. Sensing centromere tension: Aurora B and the regulation
1579 of kinetochore function. *Trends Cell Biol.*2011;21:133-140.
- 1580 249. Jensen CG, Jensen LCW, Rieder CL, Cole RW, Ault JG. Long crocidolite asbestos fibers
1581 cause polyploidy by sterically blocking cytokinesis. *Carcinogenesis.*1996;17:2013-2021.
- 1582 250. Jensen CG, Watson M. Inhibition of cytokinesis by asbestos and synthetic fibres. *Cell*
1583 *Biol Intl.*1999;23:829-840.
- 1584 251. Cortez BA, Machadosantelli GM. Chrysotile effects on human lung cell carcinoma in
1585 culture: 3-D reconstruction and DNA quantification by image analysis. *BMC*
1586 *Cancer.*2008;8:181.
- 1587 252. Mijailovich SM, Kojic M, Tsuda A. Particle-induced indentation of the alveolar
1588 epithelium caused by surface tension forces. *J Appl Physiol.*2010;109:1179-1194.
- 1589 253. Nymark P, Wikman H, Hienonen-Kempas T, Anttila S. Molecular and genetic changes in
1590 asbestos-related lung cancer. *Cancer Lett.*2008;265:1-15.
- 1591 254. Broaddus VC, Everitt JI, Black B, Kane AB. Non-neoplastic and neoplastic pleural
1592 endpoints following fiber exposure. *J Toxicol Environ Health B Crit Rev.*2011;14:153-
1593 178.
- 1594 255. Libbus BL, Craighead JE. Chromosomal translocations with specific breakpoints in
1595 asbestos-induced rat mesotheliomas. *Cancer Res.*1988;48:6455-6461.
- 1596 256. Ni Z, Liu YQ, Keshava N, Zhou G, Whong WZ, Ong TM. Analysis of K-ras and p53
1597 mutations in mesotheliomas from humans and rats exposed to asbestos. *Mutat*
1598 *Res.*2000;468:87-92.
- 1599 257. Unfried K, Kociok N, Roller M, Friemann J, Pott F, Dehnen W. P53 mutations in tumours
1600 induced by intraperitoneal injection of crocidolite asbestos and benzo[a]pyrene in rats.
1601 *Exp Toxicol Pathol.*1997;49:181-187.
- 1602 258. Unfried K, Schürkes C, Abel J. Distinct spectrum of mutations induced by crocidolite
1603 asbestos : clue for 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine-dependent mutagenesis in vivo. *Cancer*
1604 *Res.*2002;62:99-104.
- 1605 259. Schurkes C, Brock W, Abel J, Unfried K. Induction of 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine by man
1606 made vitreous fibres and crocidolite asbestos administered intraperitoneally in rats. *Mutat*
1607 *Res.*2004;553:59-65.

- 1608 260. Altomare DA, Menges CW, Pei J, et al;. Activated TNF-alpha/NF-kappaB signaling via
1609 down-regulation of Fas-associated factor 1 in asbestos-induced mesotheliomas from Arf
1610 knockout mice. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A.*2009;106:3420-3425.
- 1611 261. Burmeister B, Schwerdtle T, Poser I, et al;. Effects of asbestos on initiation of DNA
1612 damage, induction of DNA-strand breaks, P53-expression and apoptosis in primary,
1613 SV40-transformed and malignant human mesothelial cells. *Mutat Res.*2004;558:81-92.
- 1614 262. Chen Q, Marsh J, Ames B, Mossman B. Detection of 8-oxo-2'-deoxyguanosine, a marker
1615 of oxidative DNA damage, in culture medium from human mesothelial cells exposed to
1616 crocidolite asbestos. *Carcinogen.*1996;17:2525-2527.
- 1617 263. Fung H, Kow YW, Van Houten B, Mossman BT. Patterns of 8-hydroxydeoxyguanosine
1618 formation in DNA and indications of oxidative stress in rat and human pleural mesothelial
1619 cells after exposure to crocidolite asbestos. *Carcinogenesis.*1997;18:825-832.
- 1620 264. Nygren J, Suhonen S, Norppa H, Linnainmaa K. DNA damage in bronchial epithelial and
1621 mesothelial cells with and without associated crocidolite asbestos fibers. *Environ Mol*
1622 *Mutagen.*2004;44:477-482.
- 1623 265. Jaurand MC, Kheuang L, Magne L, Bignon J. Chromosomal changes induced by
1624 chrysotile fibres or benzo(3-4)pyrene in rat pleural mesothelial cells. *Mutat*
1625 *Res.*1986;169:141-148.
- 1626 266. Renier A, Levy F, Pilliere F, Jaurand MC. Uncheduled DNA synthesis in rat pleural
1627 mesothelial cells treated with mineral fibres or benzo[a]pyrene. *Mutat Res.*1990;241:361-
1628 367.
- 1629 267. Fung H, Kow YW, Van Houten B, et al;. Asbestos increases mammalian AP-
1630 endonuclease gene expression, protein levels, and enzyme activity in mesothelial cells.
1631 *Cancer Res.*1998;58:189-194.
- 1632 268. Janssen YMW, Heintz NH, Marsh JP, Borm PJA, Mossman BT. Induction of c-fos and c-
1633 jun proto-oncogenes in target cells of the lung and pleura by carcinogenic fibers. *Amer J*
1634 *Respir Cell Mol Biol.*1994;11:522-530.
- 1635 269. Timblin CR, Janssen YWM, Mossman BT. Transcriptional activation of the proto-
1636 oncogene c-jun by asbestos and H2O2 is directly related to increased proliferation and
1637 transformation of tracheal epithelial cells. *Cancer Res.*1995;55:2723-2726.

- 1638 270. Zanella CL, Timblin CR, Cummins A, et al;. Asbestos-induced phosphorylation of
1639 epidermal growth actor receptor is linked to c-fos and apoptosis. Am J
1640 Physiol.1999;277:L684-L693.
- 1641 271. Berken A, Abel J, Unfried K. beta1-integrin mediates asbestos-induced phosphorylation
1642 of AKT and ERK1/2 in a rat pleural mesothelial cell line. Oncogene.2003;22:8524-8528.
- 1643
- 1644

1645

1646

1647 **Legend to figure**

1648

1649 **Figure 15-1. Multistage Development of Asbestos-Induced Mesothelioma**

1650 Adapted from Shukla *et al.*, 2003 [76]; Nymark *et al.*, 2008 [253]; Pacurari *et al.*, 2010 [114];

1651 Broaddus *et al.*, 2011 [254].

1652

Tables

Table 22-1. Molecular alterations in mesothelial tissue and malignant mesothelioma developed in asbestos-exposed animals

Reference	Animal, type of experiment	Fiber type	Molecular alteration
	Rat		
Libbus <i>et al.</i> , 1988 [255]	Rat, i.p. administration Chromosome analysis in MM.	Crocidolite Chrysotile	Loss of chromosomes X, 8, 16, 18 and 20. Translocations involving 5, 10 and 13, repeated points.
Ni <i>et al.</i> , 2000 [256]	Rat, i.p. administration. Investigation of p53 (exons 5-8), and <i>K-ras</i> (exons 1, 2) mutations in MM.	Crocidolite	No mutation detected.
Unfried <i>et al.</i> , 1997 [257]	Rat, i.p. administration. Investigation of p53 mutations in MM.	Crocidolite	No mutation detected in p53 while numerous base substitution were found in B[a]P-treated animals.
Unfried <i>et al.</i> , 2004 [258]	Big Blue rat, i.p. administration.	Crocidolite	Significantly enhanced mutation rate of <i>lacI</i> gene from omenta 12 and 24 weeks post-exposure*.
Schürkes <i>et al.</i> , 2004 [259]	Rat, i.p. administration.	Crocidolite	Significantly enhanced level of 8-OHdG in DNA from <i>omenta</i> 10-20 weeks post treatment.

Mice			
Vaslet <i>et al.</i> , 2002 [154]	Mice, <i>Trp53</i> heterozygous, i.p. administration. Gene analysis.	Crocidolite	LOH at the <i>Trp53</i> locus.
Fleury-Feith <i>et al.</i> , 2003 [23]	Mice, <i>Nf2</i> heterozygous, i.p. administration. Gene analysis.	Crocidolite	LOH at the <i>Nf2</i> locus.
Altomare <i>et al.</i> , 2005 [51]	Mice, <i>Nf2</i> heterozygous, i.p. administration. Gene analysis.	Crocidolite	LOH at the <i>Nf2</i> locus. Deletion <i>INK4</i> locus.
Lecomte <i>et al.</i> , 2005 [52]	Mice, <i>Nf2</i> heterozygous, i.p. administration. Gene analysis.	Crocidolite	LOH at the <i>Nf2</i> locus. Deletion <i>INK4</i> locus.
Altomare <i>et al.</i> , 2009 [260]	Mice, <i>Arf</i> heterozygous, i.p. administration. Gene analysis.	Crocidolite	LOH at the <i>Arf</i> locus. Hemizygous loss of <i>Faf1</i> (Fas-associated factor 1).

i.p. : intraperitoneal

LOH : Loss Of Heterozygosity

* G to T predominant (29%) followed by deletion (26%), G to A (20%), G to C (12%), A to T (6%), A to G and insertion (3%), while controls spontaneous mutations were G to T 19%, deletion 5%, G to A 57%, G to C 14%, A to T and A to G 0% and insertion 5%

Table 22-2. Molecular alterations in mesothelial cells in culture treated with asbestos fibers

Reference	Cells. Type of experiment	Fiber type	Molecular alteration in comparison with untreated or sham cells
	Human		
Lechner <i>et al.</i> , 1985 [120]	Normal cells. Karyotype analysis of cells after several passages.	Amosite	Numerical and structural chromosomal abnormalities from passage 5.
Olofsson <i>et al.</i> , 1989 [176]	Normal cells. Karyotype analysis (G banding).	Crocidolite Chrysotile Amosite	Non random aneuploidy, deletion, translocations, inversions (but not breaks, dicentrics, fragments, polyploidization).
Pelin <i>et al.</i> , 1995 [46]	Normal cells from different donors***. Chromosomal aberrations in metaphases in six donors.	Amosite	Increased chromosome breakage in four cases. Independent of <i>GSTM1</i> status.
Burmeister <i>et al.</i> , 2004 [261]	Normal cells and human Met-5A. DNA breakage (comet assay, quantification of DNA-strand breaks and Fpg-sensitive sites by alkaline unwinding*).	Crocidolite Chrysotile	DNA breakage in both assays, but no increase in Fpg-sensitive sites. No effect on MeT-5A cells.
Poser <i>et al.</i> , 2004 [48]	Normal cells. Micronucleus assay and kinetochore analysis.	Crocidolite Chrysotile	Micronucleus formation, chromosome breakage. Role of ROS** and metals.

Chen <i>et al.</i> , 1996 [262]	MeT-5A. Formation of 8-oxo-2'-deoxyguanosine released in the culture medium (HPLC).	Crocidolite	Increased level of of 8-oxo-2'-deoxyguanosine.
Fung <i>et al.</i> , 1997 [263]	MeT-5A. Formation of 8-OH-dG in DNA (HPLC).	Crocidolite	Decreased level of 8-OH-dG.
Jensen and Watson, 1999 [250]	MeT-5A. High-resolution time-lapse microscopy.	Crocidolite Chrysotile	Delayed cytokinesis. Formation of bi- multinucleated cells.
Nygren <i>et al.</i> , 2004 [264]	MeT-5A. DNA breakage (comet assay).	Crocidolite	Increased DNA breakage, more pronounced in cells associated with fibers than in cells without fibers.
Rat			
Jaurand <i>et al.</i> , 1986 [265]	Pleural mesothelial cells. Morphological study of metaphases.	Chrysotile	Increased chromosome breakage.
Achard <i>et al.</i> , 1987 [42]	Pleural mesothelial cells. Sister chromatid exchanges.	Crocidolite	Increased sister chromatid exchanges.
Wang <i>et al.</i> , 1987 [43]	Pleural mesothelial cells. Ultrastructural study of metaphases.	Crocidolite Chrysotile	Polyploidization, chromosome deformities (vacuolization).
Renier <i>et al.</i> , 1990 [266]	Pleural mesothelial cells. DNA repair (unscheduled DNA synthesis).	Chrysotile	Increased DNA repair.

Yegles <i>et al.</i> , 1993 [44]	Pleural mesothelial cells. Morphological study of mitotic cells.	Crocidolite Chrysotile	Increased aneuploidy and few structural chromosomal abnormalities. Increased anaphase/telophase abnormalities.
Dong <i>et al.</i> , 1994 [40]	Pleural mesothelial cells. DNA repair (unscheduled DNA synthesis).	Crocidolite Chrysotile	Increased DNA repair. Partial involvement of ROS.
Dong <i>et al.</i> , 1995 [41]	Pleural mesothelial cells. DNA repair (poly(ADP-ribose) synthesis).	Crocidolite Chrysotile	Increased DNA repair. Partial involvement of ROS.
Yegles <i>et al.</i> , 1995 [47]	Pleural mesothelial cells. Morphological study of mitotic cells.	Crocidolite Chrysotile Amosite	Induction of abnormal anaphases and telophases.
Fung <i>et al.</i> , 1997 [263]	Pleural mesothelial cells. Formation of 8-OH-dG in DNA (HPLC)	Crocidolite	Increased level of 8-OH-dG.
Levrresse <i>et al.</i> , 1997 [34]	Pleural mesothelial cells. Cell cycle analysis.	Crocidolite Chrysotile	G2/M accumulation. G0/G1 accumulation and time-dependent p53 and p21 expression (chrysotile). Delay in the G1/S transition paralleling a low rate of p53 expression (crocidolite).
Fung <i>et al.</i> , 1998 [267]	Pleural mesothelial cells, induction de l'enzyme apurinic/aprimidinic endonuclease.	Crocidolite	Increased level (mRNA and protein).

Rabbit

Liu <i>et al.</i> , 2000 [37]	Pleural mesothelial cells. DNA breakage (alkaline unwinding ethidium bromide fluorometric assay).	Crocidolite	DNA breakage. Cell cycle arrest in G2/M. Phagocytosis reduction by cytochalasin reduces DNA breakage.
-------------------------------	---	-------------	---

Met-5A : an SV40-transformed human mesothelial cell line.

ROS : Reactive Oxygen Species

* Fpg protein, which recognizes oxidized bases such as 8-oxo-guanine, is used as indicative of oxidative DNA-base modifications.

** Reduction of micronucleus formation by antioxidants (metal chelators and ROS scavengers). ROS produced by fibers (crocidolite) and phagocytosis.

*** The glutathione S-transferase M1 (GSTM1) genotypes of the patients were determined.

Table 22-3. Activation of signaling pathways in mesothelial cells in culture exposed to asbestos fibers

Reference	Cells/Experiment	Fiber type	Signaling response in comparison with untreated cells
Janssen <i>et al.</i> , 1994 [268]	Pleural mesothelial cells from rat.	Crocidolite Chrysotile	Increased mRNA expression of <i>c-fos</i> and <i>c-jun</i> .
Timblin <i>et al.</i> , 1998 [269]	Pleural mesothelial cells from rat.	Crocidolite	Increased mRNA and protein expression of <i>c-fos</i> and <i>c-jun</i> .
Zanella <i>et al.</i> , 1999 [270]	Pleural mesothelial cells from rat.	Crocidolite	Increased expression of mRNA <i>c-fos</i> via enhancement of EGFR level.
Berken <i>et al.</i> , 2003 [271]	Pleural mesothelial cell line non tumorigenic (4/4) from rat.	Crocidolite	Activation of Erk1/2 and Akt in a β -integrin dependent manner.
Altomare <i>et al.</i> , 2009 [260]	Culture of mesothelioma cells from mesothelioma form heterozygous <i>Arf</i> ^{+/-} mice i.p. administration.	Crocidolite	Regulation of NF- κ B dependent on <i>Faf1</i> expression in response to TNF- α . Upregulated in cell showing loss of <i>Faf1</i> (see Table 22-1).

Met-5A : a SV40-transformed human mesothelial cell line.