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Spinal cord lesions detected on MRI hold important diagnostic and prognostic value for multiple sclerosis. Previous attempts to correlate burden with clinical status have had limited success, however, suggesting that lesion location may be a contributor. Our aim was to explore the spatial distribution of multiple sclerosis lesions in the cervical spinal cord, with respect to clinical status. We included 642 suspected or confirmed multiple sclerosis patients (31 clinically isolated syndrome, and 416 relapsing-remitting, 84 secondary progressive, and 73 primary progressive multiple sclerosis) from 13 clinical sites. Cervical spine lesions were manually delineated on T₂- and T₂*-weighted axial and sagittal MRI scans acquired at 3 or 7 T. With an automatic publicly-available analysis pipeline we produced voxelwise lesion frequency maps to identify predilection sites in various patient groups characterized by clinical subtype, Expanded Disability Status Scale score and disease duration. We also measured absolute and normalized lesion volumes in several regions of interest using an atlas-based approach, and evaluated differences within and between groups. The lateral funiculi were more frequently affected by lesions in progressive subtypes than in relapsing in voxelwise analysis (P < 0.001), which was further confirmed by absolute and normalized lesion volumes (P < 0.01). The central cord area was more often affected by lesions in primary progressive than relapse-remitting patients (P < 0.001). Between white and grey matter, the absolute lesion volume in the white matter was greater than in the grey matter in all phenotypes (P < 0.001); however when normalizing by each region, normalized lesion volumes were comparable between white and grey matter in primary progressive patients. Lesions appearing in the lateral funiculi and central cord area were significantly correlated with Expanded Disability Status Scale score (P < 0.001). High lesion frequencies were observed in patients with a more aggressive disease course, rather than long disease duration. Lesions located in the lateral funiculi and central cord area of the cervical spine may influence clinical status in multiple sclerosis. This work shows the added value of cervical spine lesions, and provides an avenue for evaluating the distribution of spinal cord lesions in various patient groups.
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Introduction

Multiple sclerosis is a chronic autoimmune disease of the CNS characterized by pathologically heterogeneous abnormalities disseminated in both space and time. For several decades, MRI has proven a powerful diagnostic tool and monitor of disease progression (Filippi and Rocca, 2007; Absinta et al., 2016; Kaunzner and Gauthier, 2017) by facilitating detection of brain and spinal lesions (Fazekas et al., 1999). Studies have revealed that MRI of the spinal cord in particular, holds important value for diagnosis and prognosis of multiple sclerosis at clinical presentation (Lycklama et al., 2003; Sombekke et al., 2013; Kearney et al., 2015b; Brownlee et al., 2017; Arrambide et al., 2018). It has also been established that spinal lesions are more often associated with sensorimotor symptoms than brain lesions in progressive forms of the disease (Filippi et al., 2000; Rovaris et al., 2000). Despite this, focus on lesions in the spinal cord has been less prevalent than in the brain, likely owing to the inherent technical challenges associated with imaging a small, mobile structure (Kearney et al., 2015b). Recent advancements in MRI technology have reported higher specificity in visualizing spinal cord pathology, with T2-weighted conventional MRI demonstrating good performance in the identification of lesions (Filippi and Rocca, 2007; Weier et al., 2012; Kearney et al., 2013; Stroman et al., 2014; Gass et al., 2015; Breckwoldt et al., 2017). At present, however, correlation between lesion burden and clinical presentation remains modest in the spinal cord (Kidd et al., 1993; Nijeholt et al., 1998; Stankiewicz et al., 2009), suggesting that lesion location may play a greater role in the development of clinical symptoms. Studies have shown that lesions occur in cervical portions of the cord more frequently than
thoracolumbar (Oppenheimer, 1978; Goldin and Kantor, 2008b; Weier et al., 2012; Hua et al., 2015); however, several studies show conflicting results in the distribution across vertebral levels (Oppenheimer, 1978; Kidd et al., 1993; Rocca et al., 2013; Hua et al., 2015; Kearney et al., 2015b). It has also been reported that whilst lateral and posterior regions of the white matter are more affected than the anterior region and central cord area (Fog, 1950; Oppenheimer, 1978; Nijeholt et al., 2001; Lycklama et al., 2003; Gilmore et al., 2009b; Weier et al., 2012; Gass et al., 2015; Kearney et al., 2016; Valsasina et al., 2018), lesions do not spare the grey matter (Gilmore et al., 2009b; Weier et al., 2012; Gass et al., 2015; Kearney et al., 2016; Schmierer et al., 2018). Few studies, however, have attempted to identify predilection sites of spinal lesions with respect to phenotype (Rocca et al., 2013; Valsasina et al., 2018) or disability score (Rocca et al., 2013; Valsasina et al., 2018), and none with respect to disability score accounting for disease duration.

In this present study, we aimed to extend these findings by using a comprehensive atlas-based method to study the spatial distribution of cervical spine lesions in patients characterized by clinical status. We produced voxelwise lesion frequency maps and measured MRI lesions burden in several regions of interest, from a multicentre cohort, and evaluated differences within and between patient populations characterized by clinical subtype, Expanded Disability Status Scale (EDSS) score and disease duration.

### Materials and methods

#### Subjects

A total of 642 patients with multiple sclerosis or suspected multiple sclerosis were retrospectively included in this study. Inclusion criteria consisted of age ≥ 18 years and a diagnosis of clinically isolated syndrome (CIS), relapsing-remitting multiple sclerosis (RRMS), secondary progressive multiple sclerosis (SPMS), or primary progressive multiple sclerosis (PPMS). Patients diagnosed with degenerative cervical myelopathy, spinal cord trauma or neuroinflammatory diseases other than multiple sclerosis were excluded from the study, as well as patients with MRI images where cervical cord lesions could not be reliably segmented because of excessive imaging artefacts or poor quality. This research was approved by the local institutional review board, and informed written consent was obtained from all participants.

#### MRI data acquisition

Scans were acquired on 3 T and 7 T MRI systems (Philips or Siemens), with varying protocol across sites [Supplementary Table 1](#). The sequences acquired, as well as median and range for some parameters, were: (i) axial T2- or T2*-weighted (n = 642), median in-plane resolution (range): 0.47 × 0.47 mm² (0.29 × 0.29 mm²–0.84 × 0.84 mm²); median slice thickness (range): 3.60 mm (2.50–6.00 mm); and (ii) sagittal T2-weighted (n = 470), median in-plane resolution (range): 0.68 × 0.68 mm² (0.41 × 0.41 mm²–1.00 × 1.00 mm²); median slice thickness (range): 2.75 mm (1.00–5.2 mm).

All subjects had at least one axial scan and full coverage of the cervical cord in at least one orientation.

#### MRI data processing

Data were processed with an automatic publicly-available pipeline based on tools from the Spinal Cord Toolbox v3.0 (Levy et al., 2015; De Leeuer et al., 2016) (Fig. 1).

#### Generation of cord and lesion masks

Cervical cord masks were automatically generated by identifying the cord centerline using OptiC (Gros et al., 2017) and segmentation using PropSeg (De Leeuer et al., 2014). Masks were then reviewed by two raters (D.E., C.G.) and manually adjusted with FMRIB Software Library (FSL) viewer (Jenkinson et al., 2012) in slices presenting low contrast difference between the cord and CSF. Binary lesion masks were manually generated on both axial and sagittal scans by nine raters, including radiologists (J.M., J.T., M.H., Y.T., R.Z., L.C.) and experienced readers (A.B., R.O., T.G.) using ITK-SNAP Toolbox 3.6.0 (Yushkevich et al., 2006). For instances where lesions were not detected, an empty lesion mask was generated (n = 56). All raters were blinded to the diagnosis and clinical information. To examine lesion segmentation inter-rater reliability, all raters repeated segmentations on a randomized subset of patients (n = 10) blinded to previous assessment. For each patient image, a consensus reading was produced using majority voting. Dice’s Kappa (Dice, 1945), lesion positive predictive value and lesion sensitivity measures were also computed (Comminowick et al., 2018). In brief, a true positive was considered when a rater’s segmentation overlapped with the consensus reading by at least 50%.

#### Registration to the PAM50 template

A multi-step registration method based on non-linear transformations was used to register each magnetic resonance scan to the PAM50-T2 spinal cord template (De Leeuer et al., 2018), performed on a slice-wise basis with parameters tailored to this study. Quality of MRI registration were approved by visual inspection (D.E., C.G.). The same non-linear transformation from each registration (coupled with linear interpolation) was applied to cord and lesion masks, co-registering all data to a common space. For subjects with both axial and sagittal data, a weighted lesion mask was produced by voxel-wise averaging of both orientations.

#### Generation of lesion frequency maps

Cervical spine lesion frequency maps were produced in the template space for a given cohort, by dividing the sum of weighted lesion masks by the sum of cord masks on a voxel-wise basis. Voxel intensities represented the frequency of a lesion (%) occurring at the corresponding voxel coordinate.

#### MRI data analysis

Lesion count, total lesion volume, and individual lesion volume were measured for each subject, using weighted lesion masks in the template space. In this study, individual
lesion volume refers to the volume of each distinct lesion. The cord cross-sectional area was also measured, using cord masks in the native space with geometric adjustment to account for cord curvature. To ensure that lesion characteristics measured in the template space produced the same result as those in the native space, the total lesion volume was computed for 10 randomly selected subjects in both the native and template space. Lesion frequency maps were produced for the whole cohort, patients grouped by clinical subtype, and patients grouped by EDSS score categories (mild: 0–2.5; moderate: 3–5; severe: ≥6) and further subgrouped by disease duration categories (short: 0–5 years; moderate: 5–15; long: ≥15). EDSS score ranges were chosen in accordance with benchmarks of disability accumulation in multiple sclerosis (Kurtzke, 1983).

Absolute lesion volume and normalized lesion volume were measured in various regions of interest for each subject, using probabilistic atlases (Lévy et al., 2015). In this study, absolute lesion volume refers to the total lesions volume within a region and normalized lesion volume refers to the total lesion volume within a region normalized to the total volume of the region, therefore indicating the proportion of tissue affected by lesions in the respective region. If, for example, a region of 1000 mm$^3$ is affected by lesions covering 100 mm$^3$, the effective normalized lesion volume would be 0.10. Lesion appearance was measured in: (i) white and grey matter; (ii) dorsal column, lateral funiculi and ventral funiculi; (iii) sensory and motor tracts, across the full length of the cervical cord; and (iv) the whole cord corresponding to each cervical vertebral level, C1–C7. Predilection sites were quantified within and between patients grouped by phenotype, EDSS score categories, and ranges of Multiple Sclerosis Severity Score (MSSS) (Roxburgh et al., 2005). For analyses involving grey matter, analyses were performed exclusively on patients with full cervical axial T$_2$-weighted images ($n=231$) due to the difficulty of detecting grey matter lesions in T$_2$*-weighted images.

Statistical analysis

Comparisons within and between patient groups were performed using t-test for normally distributed data, and Mann-Whitney U-test for non-parametric data. Correlations between EDSS score and lesion measures were determined using Spearman’s rank correlation coefficient. Multiple regression analysis was used to evaluate the influence of age, gender, disease duration and cross-sectional area. Statistical significance was thresholded at $P<0.05$. Non-parametric permutation based cluster analyses were performed to statistically infer voxelwise lesion frequency maps, using FMRIB Software Library’s Randomise function (Smith et al., 2004) with 5000 permutations. Voxelwise comparisons between phenotypes, corrected for age, were performed to identify differences in lesion location, and voxelwise correlation, adjusted for age and disease duration, was performed to determine lesion locations associated with an increased EDSS score. Significant clusters of voxels were identified with threshold-free cluster enhancement (Smith and Nichols, 2009) at $P<0.05$, using family-wise error correction for multiple comparisons. Anatomical locations of significant clusters were determined using PAM50 atlas coordinates.

Data availability

Guidelines for manual lesion segmentation, inter-rater reliability script, processing scripts and generated lesion frequency maps are available at: https://osf.io/cx5ur/. The authors confirm that the data supporting the findings of this study are available within the article, supplementary material, and public data repository.
Results

Table 1 shows demographics and clinical information. The EDSS scores were similar for patients with SPMS and PPMS; however, MSSS scores were higher for PPMS than for SPMS (P < 0.05). Table 2 presents lesion count, total lesion volume, and individual lesion load measures across the full cervical cord. No cervical spine lesions were identified in 22.58% (n = 7) of CIS patients, 9.62% (n = 40) of RRMS patients, 4.76% (n = 4) of SPMS patients, and 1.37% (n = 1) of PPMS patients. Lesion count and total lesion volume was lower in CIS patients than in RRMS, SPMS and PPMS patients (P < 0.01). Compared to RRMS patients, lesion count was higher in SPMS (P < 0.01) and PPMS (P < 0.05) patients. Remaining comparisons were not significant (P > 0.05). Overall, inter-rater agreement was good with Dice kappa of 0.63 ± 0.21, lesion positive predictive value of 0.79 ± 0.16 and lesion sensitivity of 0.69 ± 0.16. For ensuring measures were equivalent between template and native spaces, the average total lesion volume across 10 randomly selected subjects was 238.52 mm³ in the template space and 238.04 mm³ in the native space, yielding a relative difference of 0.75%.

In multiple regression analysis, lesion count explained 44% of the variance in EDSS score and total lesion volume explained 43%. Age, gender, disease duration and cross-sectional area of the cord were significant contributors to the models. When removing cross-sectional area from the models, lesion count and total lesion volume explained 35 and 36% of the variance in EDSS score, respectively. No significant effect of individual lesion volume was found.

Lesion frequency maps

Lesions were more frequent in upper cervical cord (C1–C3) than in the lower (C4–C7) when observed across all patients (Fig. 2). In general, lesion appeared to affect the dorsal column most followed by the lateral funiculi, where highest frequencies were observed in the centre of these regions.

Between phenotypes, lesions occurred less in CIS patients than in RRMS, SPMS and PPMS patients (Fig. 3). In all groups, lesions affected C2 and C3 vertebral levels more than other cervical vertebral levels. Locations of high frequency appeared to be sporadic throughout the cervical cord in CIS patients. For remaining groups, frequencies >10% were observed in the dorsal region for RRMS patients, the dorsal and lateral regions for SPMS patients and the dorsal, lateral and central regions of the cord for PPMS patients, particularly at C3 vertebral level.

Voxelwise comparisons confirmed that lesion frequency was higher in SPMS than RRMS patients, with significant clusters in the lateral funiculi of C3 vertebral level (peak t-value: 4.5, P < 0.05). At the voxel location where maximum pseudo-t-value was observed, a lesion was present in 9.7% of SPMS patients, and 4.4% of RRMS. Lesion frequency was also higher in PPMS than RRMS patients, with significant clusters observed in the lateral funiculi (peak t-value: 5.0) and central region (peak t-value: 4.3) at C3, P < 0.05. Lesions affected 6.7% of PPMS versus 2.6% of RRMS patients at location of maximum pseudo-t-value. No voxel clusters were found significantly different between SPMS and PPMS patients.

In patients grouped by EDSS score categories and further subgrouped by disease duration, regions of highest lesion frequency were not the same for all groups (Fig. 4). In most groups, the dorsal portion of the cervical cord was most affected by lesions; however, in patients with severe EDSS scores and short disease durations, the dorsal, lateral, and central regions, were similarly affected. In the mild EDSS score group, an increased lesion frequency was observed in groups with longer disease durations. Conversely, in moderate and severe EDSS score groups, an increased lesion frequency was observed in groups with shorter disease durations. It was also observed that in a given disease duration category (Fig. 4), the lesion frequency tended to increase with EDSS score severity.

Voxelwise analysis showed that EDSS score, adjusted for age and disease duration, correlated with lesion frequency in lateral and central regions. Significant clusters were observed in the lateral funiculi and central regions at C1 (peak t-value: 5.2), C2 (peak t-value: 5.4) and C3 (peak t-value: 5.5), and the lateral funiculi at C4 (peak t-value: 4.3), P < 0.001, and C5 (peak t-value: 3.7), P < 0.01. Supplementary Table 4 shows results for voxelwise between-group comparisons for phenotypic distributions, and correlation with EDSS score.

Lesion frequency maps for EDSS score categories are shown in Supplementary Fig. 1. For each map, mean

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CIS</th>
<th>RRMS</th>
<th>SPMS</th>
<th>PPMS</th>
<th>All patients</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender, female / male</td>
<td>22 / 10</td>
<td>293 / 150</td>
<td>49 / 34</td>
<td>39 / 36</td>
<td>411 / 231</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age, years, mean ± SD</td>
<td>43.1 ± 11.0</td>
<td>43.2 ± 8.2</td>
<td>54.0 ± 8.9</td>
<td>57.5 ± 12.0</td>
<td>44.3 ± 13.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Disease duration, years, mean ± SD</td>
<td>4.2 ± 3.7</td>
<td>8.9 ± 8.2</td>
<td>23.4 ± 10.7</td>
<td>16.6 ± 9.9</td>
<td>11.5 ± 10.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EDSS, median (range)</td>
<td>1.0 (0.0–3.5)</td>
<td>2.9 (0.0–8.0)</td>
<td>6.0 (2.5–8.0)</td>
<td>6.0 (1.0–8.5)</td>
<td>3.0 (0.0–8.5)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSSS, median (range)</td>
<td>2.0 (0.2–7.3)</td>
<td>3.4 (0.0–9.8)</td>
<td>6.3 (1.3–9.0)</td>
<td>6.7 (0.8–9.6)</td>
<td>4.3 (0.1–9.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Demographic and clinical data were not available for all subjects.
Table 2 Cervical cord MRI lesion characteristics

|                            | CIS $n = 31$ | RRMS $n = 416$ | SPMS $n = 84$ | PPMS $n = 73$ | All patients $n = 642$
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Lesion count, n Median (range)</td>
<td>1.0 (0.0–5.0)</td>
<td>2.0 (0.0–9.0)</td>
<td>3.0 (0.0–9.0)</td>
<td>3.0 (0.0–6.0)</td>
<td>2.0 (0.0–9.0)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>103.8</td>
<td>184.7</td>
<td>196.1</td>
<td>208.3</td>
<td>183.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>51.4</td>
<td>89.8</td>
<td>125.0</td>
<td>125.0</td>
<td>97.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IQR</td>
<td>108.6</td>
<td>209.1</td>
<td>139.6</td>
<td>170.9</td>
<td>191.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>(0.0–473.3)</td>
<td>(0.0–1511.7)</td>
<td>(0.0–1048.4)</td>
<td>(0.0–1677.2)</td>
<td>(0.0–1677.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Individual lesion volume, mm$^3$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>76.2</td>
<td>100.8</td>
<td>96.5</td>
<td>102.6</td>
<td>99.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>44.6</td>
<td>46.7</td>
<td>45.4</td>
<td>41.9</td>
<td>45.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IQR</td>
<td>66.7</td>
<td>82.5</td>
<td>50.6</td>
<td>64.7</td>
<td>75.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>(2.8–473.3)</td>
<td>(0.2–1511.7)</td>
<td>(5.9–1048.4)</td>
<td>(4.9–1677.2)</td>
<td>(0.2–1677.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cord cross-sectional area, mm$^2$</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean</td>
<td>73.7</td>
<td>72.0</td>
<td>65.5</td>
<td>68.6</td>
<td>70.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>73.4</td>
<td>72.2</td>
<td>64.8</td>
<td>67.2</td>
<td>70.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IQR</td>
<td>8.6</td>
<td>15.8</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>15.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Range</td>
<td>(61.5–97.1)</td>
<td>(42.2–99.6)</td>
<td>(47.0–85.4)</td>
<td>(51.1–90.9)</td>
<td>(40.5–99.6)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Phenotype was not available for all subjects.

Figure 2 Frequency of multiple sclerosis lesions in the cervical spinal cord for all patients ($n = 642$). Frequency shown in axial (left), coronal (middle) and sagittal (right) view. Note that the axial view shows an average of the lesion frequency across each vertebral level. The grey matter contour has been overlaid on the axial view for clarity purposes. A = anterior; I = Inferior; L = left; P = posterior; R = right; S = Superior.
lesion frequencies for studied regions of interest are detailed in Supplementary Tables 2 and 3.

**Absolute and normalized lesion volumes in regions of interest**

The absolute lesion volume was greater in the white matter than in the grey matter when evaluating measures across all patients (median: 62.01 mm$^3$ versus 11.09 mm$^3$, $P < 0.001$). For all phenotype groups, absolute lesion volume was greater in the white matter than in the grey matter ($P < 0.001$, Fig. 5A). When normalizing by each region, the normalized lesion volume in white matter was also greater than in the grey matter for all groups. The normalized lesion volume in the grey matter was more comparable to white matter in progressive subtypes compared to other subtypes, where relative differences between regions were 86% for CIS, 28% for RRMS, 20% for SPMS and 13% for PPMS.

Between groups, absolute and normalized lesion volumes in cervical grey matter were less in CIS patients compared to RRMS ($P < 0.01$), SPMS and PPMS patients ($P < 0.001$), and less in RRMS compared to SPMS ($P < 0.05$). Absolute and normalized lesion volumes in cervical white matter were greater in SPMS and PPMS patients than in RRMS ($P < 0.01$) and in CIS ($P < 0.001$).

When evaluating regions in cervical white matter (Fig. 5B), both absolute and normalized lesion volumes were significantly greater in the dorsal column and lateral funiculi than in the ventral funiculi for CIS patients ($P < 0.01$), RRMS, SPMS and PPMS patients ($P < 0.001$). The absolute lesion volume was also greater in the lateral funiculi than in the dorsal column for PPMS patients ($P < 0.05$).

For assessing differences between groups, the dorsal column and ventral funiculi were less affected by lesions in CIS patients than other groups ($P < 0.01$). Patients with SPMS and PPMS were more affected by lesions in the lateral funiculi than patients with RRMS and CIS ($P < 0.01$). Patients with PPMS were also more impacted by lesions than RRMS patients in the ventral funiculi ($P < 0.05$).

In the rostrocaudal direction, the upper cervical was more impacted than the lower cervical cord across the whole cohort ($P < 0.001$, Fig. 5C). Cervical levels C2 and
C3 were the most affected vertebral levels for all patient groups, and C7 was the least for all patient groups excluding CIS patients \((P < 0.001)\). No other comparisons were significant.

Absolute and normalized lesion volumes corresponding to Fig. 5A and B are summarized in Supplementary Tables 5 and 6.

In patients grouped by ranges of EDSS score, sensory tracts were more affected by lesions than motor tracts in patients with mild and moderate EDSS scores \((P < 0.01)\) and severe EDSS scores \((P < 0.05)\).

Between groups, patients with severe EDSS were more affected by lesions in motor tracts than patients with moderate \((P < 0.01)\) and mild EDSS \((P < 0.001)\). Lesions also affected sensory tracts more in patients with severe EDSS than with mild EDSS \((P < 0.01)\), and moderate EDSS than with mild EDSS \((P < 0.05)\), however no differences were detected between severe and moderate EDSS groups. Between moderate and severe groups, the severe EDSS group had 17% more lesion-affected volume in sensory tracts, and 40% more lesion-affected volume in motor tracts. Spearman correlation coefficients were 0.17 \((P < 0.001)\) for motor tracts and 0.13 for sensory tracts \((P < 0.01)\). In multiple regression analysis, the normalized lesion volume in motor tracts explained 44% of the variance in EDSS score, and normalized lesion volume in sensory tracts explained 43%. Absolute and normalized lesion volumes corresponding to Fig. 6 are shown in Supplementary Table 7.

When grouping patients by MSSS score, patients with MSSS scores < 9.0 were also more impacted by lesions in sensory than in motor tracts (Fig. 6B). For patients with MSSS scores > 9.0, motor tracts were 23% more occupied by lesions than sensory tracts. Comparisons between normalized lesion volume in sensory and motor tracts were not significant however, other than for patients with an MSSS score between 5.0 and 6.0 \((P < 0.05)\).

**Discussion**

In this study, we explored the spatial distribution of multiple sclerosis lesions in the cervical spine by producing voxelwise lesion frequency maps with non-parametric permutation based cluster analyses. In seeking to further understand the contribution of lesion location, we also examined the extent of lesion damage in several regions of interest. We measured the absolute lesion volume, as well as normalized lesion volumes, representing the proportion of the respective regions affected by lesions to account for differences in tissue volume and changing cross-sectional area across the cervical cord. Throughout this work, we confirmed findings (Fog, 1950; Tartaglino et al., 1995; Kearney et al., 2016; Valsasina et al., 2018),
and extended prior works by using atlas-based methods and evaluating differences between patients categorized by clinical subtype and disability measures in a large, multi-centre cohort.

**Association between lesion distribution and clinical subtype**

Our results showed differences in lesion distribution between clinical subtypes. Whilst lesions occurred frequently in the dorsal column for all subtypes, lesions occurred more than twice as often in the lateral funiculi for primary progressive and secondary progressive patients, compared to relapsing-remitting patients. To further support this finding, absolute and normalized lesion volumes confirmed that the lateral funiculi was more affected by lesions in progressive subtypes. One recent study reported similar results in that primary progressive patients were more likely to incur a lesion in the lateral funiculi, than relapsing-remitting (Valsasina *et al.*, 2018). From a clinical perspective, lesions affecting the lateral funiculi which are primarily composed of motor pathways may be expected to have a more severe impact on motor function and therefore may at least partially account for the higher disability shown in progressive subtypes. Further analyses to investigate association between lateral lesion presentation and disability in progressive subtypes were not performed in this study, however, and therefore the extent of the contribution, if any, cannot be substantiated from these findings alone.

It was also observed that PPMS patients were also twice as affected by lesions than relapsing-remitting in the central region of cord, which may be considered as cervical grey matter. In line with this, the difference between normalized lesion volume in white and grey matter was lowest in primary progressive patients compared to other phenotypes. Studies have suggested that grey matter may have a relatively poor ability to remyelinate in comparison to white matter (Gilmore *et al.*, 2006), which may explain the higher occurrence of grey matter lesions observed in primary
progressive patients. Unfortunately, confirmation and comparison of these findings were prevented by the lack of MRI studies identifying differences in the lesion distribution between phenotypes. There are also difficulties present in directly comparing with histopathological studies where patients have often suffered from a long disease duration or severe disease course. This highlights the contribution and need for large MRI studies.

The differences observed between phenotypic distributions were primarily located at cervical vertebral level C3. This may simply be explained by the overall higher lesion presentation found at the C3 vertebral level, which is consistent with previous reports (Valsasina et al., 2018). We also observed that the upper cervical cord was more impacted by lesions than the lower cervical cord in all patient groups, also in line with previous findings in MRI studies (Bonek et al., 2007; Goldin and Kantor, 2008a; Hua et al., 2015; Valsasina et al., 2018) and histopathological studies (DeLuca et al., 2004). However, these observations in the upper cervical cord may be biased by imaging-related drawbacks in the lower cervical cord, including lesser receive-coil coverage and larger respiratory-related B₀ field variations (Verma and Cohen-Adad, 2014).

**Association between lesion distribution and disability measures**

To further our investigations, we evaluated patients characterized by disability measures. We found that lesion frequency was significantly associated with EDSS score when correcting for disease duration, in the lateral funiculi and central cervical spine regions. More specifically, these regions were the central area and lateral funiculi of cervical vertebral levels C1 and C3, and the lateral funiculi in C2, C4 and C5. In a similar study, EDSS was also found to correlate in the central region, at cervical level C2 (Valsasina et al., 2018), although no other locations were found to be associated in this study.

**Figure 6 Normalized lesion volumes in cervical spine sensory and motor tracts.** Normalized lesion volumes for patient groups categorized by (A) EDSS score categories, and (B) ranges of MSSS score. Normalized lesion volumes represent the proportion of a region affected by lesions. EDSS scores categories: mild (0–2.5), moderate (3–5.5) and severe (≥6). Box and whisker plots represent median, interquartile range and range.
Next we evaluated patients characterized by disability measures and found that lesion frequency was significantly correlated with an increasing EDSS score in several cervical spine regions. These regions included the central area and lateral funiculi of cervical vertebral levels C1, C2 and C3, and the lateral funiculi in C4 and C5. In one study, EDSS was also found to correlate in the central region at cervical level C2 (Valsasina et al., 2018), although no other locations were found to be associated in this study.

We also analysed lesion distribution in patients grouped by EDSS score, and further subgrouped by disease duration which showed interesting results. Contrary to expectation, highest lesion frequencies were observed in moderately or severely impaired patients with short disease durations, rather than patients with long disease durations. This observation demonstrates the importance of both clinical factors, in that lesions did not necessarily occur more frequently in patients with a higher EDSS score or longer disease duration, but rather patients with a more aggressive disease course.

Similar to phenotypic observations, lesions commonly affected the dorsal column in most groups. Patients with moderate or severe EDSS scores were more affected by lesions in central and lateral regions with shorter disease durations, indicating that it may be a combination of lesion accumulation and lesion location that contribute to a more severe disease course. Even within a given disease duration category, it was shown that central and lateral regions were more affected by lesions with increase in EDSS score.

These regions, the central and lateral aspects of the cervical cord, which were also frequently affected in patients with progressive subtypes, may be important in better understanding the association between lesions and clinical status. The central cord area, which may be considered as representative of grey matter, has been shown to be a clinically relevant site in studies suggesting that lesions in the cervical grey matter have considerable functional consequences on motor, sensory and bladder dysfunction (Rovaris et al., 2002; Agosta et al., 2007). As for the lateral regions, the prevalence and impact of lesions appearing in these regions has been highlighted in previous MRI (Zackowski et al., 2009) and histopathology studies (Fog, 1950; Oppenheimner, 1978; Nijeholt et al., 2001). Interestingly, the lateral funiculi is primarily composed of motor pathways. However, the correlation between EDSS and normalized motor and sensory tracts, corrected for several clinical factors including disease duration, was surprisingly modest. Correlation coefficients were almost identical between sensory and motor tracts, which is likely explained by the fact that EDSS score is representative of impairment in several functional systems. Other considerations of the EDSS score, particular to this study, are that the measure is reflective of both brain and spinal cord lesions, and is also intended primarily for longitudinal studies. In this instance, it would be suggested to use other scores such as the Multiple Sclerosis Functional Composite (Fischer et al., 1999). This limitation, however, was partially overcome by use of the MSSS score, although it cannot entirely overcome the lack of specificity in the scoring system. The use of the MSSS score did not return results any more informative than those where EDSS score was corrected for disease duration.

**Technical considerations**

**MRI data acquisition**

Limitations in this study include variation in MRI data and image quality arising from non-uniform protocol across multiple acquisition sites, which may have led to differences in lesions identification across sites. Conversely, intersite variation also has its advantages in that it minimises bias that may be present in single-site studies.

Other issues include the occurrence of partial cervical spine coverage in some axial scans leading to reliance on sagittal scans, which were shown to be less superior for lesion detectability and is greater impacted by partial volume effect (Breckwoldt et al., 2017). To avoid overestimation of lesion size caused by poor resolution, a weighting was applied to lesions detected in sagittal images, although may have contributed to a lesser occurrence of lesions appearing in lower cervical levels shown throughout this study. With this in mind, previous studies have also shown that lesions are less common in lower levels (Rocca et al., 2013; Hua et al., 2015; Valsasina et al., 2018).

While use of T2-weighted sequences are considered sufficient in lesion detection, other sequences have shown better lesion contrast, such as the 3D MPRAGE in the cervical spinal cord (Nair et al., 2013; Valsasina et al., 2018). Development of column-specific imaging methods may also be of interest in future studies (Zackowski et al., 2009). High field strength scanners used in this study, operating at 3 T and 7 T, are known to increase the sensitivity and detectability of multiple sclerosis lesions over lower field strength scanners (Dula et al., 2016). Difficulties are, however, still present in detecting lesions in grey matter using conventional MRI. Despite removing T2*-weighted data from analyses involving grey matter, these difficulties could not be entirely overcome, which may explain minor discrepancies between our study and histopathological studies where higher proportions of lesions were observed in grey matter over white matter (Gilmore et al., 2009a). Use of grey matter specific pulse sequences such as double inversion recovery or phase sensitive recovery (Kilsdonk et al., 2016), as well as exclusive use of 7 T scanners, which has also been approved for clinical use, has been suggested to overcome contrast issues between lesions and grey matter (Mainiero et al., 2009; Beck et al., 2018).

**MRI data processing**

Common to multiple sclerosis template-based studies, poor registration between subject and template spaces causes misplacement of lesions and subsequent inaccuracies in
lesion quantification. To mitigate this issue, spinal cord masks were used as a preliminary guide in the non-linear registration, instead of the scans themselves which are often hampered by lesions and artifact causing misregistration (De Leener et al., 2016; Paquin et al., 2018). Visual inspection was also performed, and good similarity was shown in our study between native and template space measures.

The benefits of registering data to a spinal cord template outweigh potential inaccuracies associated with registration, due to the ability of using atlas-based as opposed to manually-drawn masks for delineating white matter tracts (De Leener et al., 2016). Other methods attempt to manually draw masks for delineating spinal tracts and regions based on personal interpretation and user knowledge of cord anatomy (Zackowski et al., 2009; Kearney et al., 2013; Hua et al., 2015), or ignore anatomically-defined regions (Valsasina et al., 2012; Breckwoldt et al., 2017). Partial volume effect, which remains a frequent challenge in spinal MRI studies, is also of less concern in probabilistic atlas-based methods since Gaussian-mixture models can retrieve the true metric values within tracts (Lévy et al., 2015).

Consistent with histopathological studies, we observed that lesions most frequently occurred in the central portions of white matter columns, in all patient groups (Fog, 1950). This observation has been previously described as lesions originating where white matter is broadest, most commonly at the centre of the lateral regions and at the posterior median sulcus. The phenomenon now known as central vein sign describes lesion formation around the veins (Sati et al., 2016), which prompts investigation into the venous anatomy of the spinal cord.

Further perspectives
Throughout this study we focused on lesions in the cervical cord, which although showed interesting results, excludes lesions existing in the brain. The PAM50 spinal cord template has been aligned with the ICBM152 space (De Leener et al., 2018), enabling combination of brain and spinal MRI, and therefore prompting further investigation into influence of brain and cervical spine lesion location on clinical status. Recent progress in automatic multiple sclerosis lesion segmentation in the spinal cord (Gros et al., 2018), which has shown good performance across highly variable MRI data, may also be of interest in follow-up studies. Addressing other key pathological mechanisms, such as atrophy and myelopathy, may increase the strength of correlation between lesion measures and disability, as has been shown previously (Lukas et al., 2013; Rocca et al., 2013; Kearney et al., 2015a). Finally, performing a similar longitudinal study is likely to considerably improve our understanding of the association between lesion location and clinical status.

Conclusion
In this study we produced an automatic processing and analysis pipeline which has been made publicly-available, minimizing user bias and promoting standardization and reproducibility of scientific results. We used voxelwise lesion frequency maps and atlas-based method to characterize cervical spine lesion distribution in a large multicentre cohort of multiple sclerosis patients with high precision. We found that the lateral funiculi and central cord area were significantly more affected by lesions in progressive subtypes than relapsing subtypes, and are also associated with disability.
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