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Introduction
The successful reuse of shared data relies on the existence of easily-available well-described metadata [1]. The metadata, as a rich description of the data, must capture information on how the data was acquired, processed and analyzed. The terms used to describe the data should be chosen with a logical, consistent framework in mind and include definitions to avoid ambiguity. In addition, a lexicon or ontology should reuse terms from existing efforts as much as possible [2].

We report here on recent progress in the construction of two ontologies used to describe experiments, originally focused on neuroimaging, but recently expanded to encompass other modalities such as electrophysiology experiments. The goal of this work is to provide a set of terms that can be used by the Neuroimaging Data Model (NiDM) [3] and by others. NiDM is comprised of three distinct models: 1) NiDM-Experiment, NiDM-Workflows, and NiDM-Results. These models are being developed under the aegis of the International Neuroimaging Coordinating Facility [4] (INCF). NiDM-Results was developed first and is now available for use [5]. The other two models are under active development.

Methods:
Currently, our efforts are focused on terms describing both a general experiment acquisition and workflow (e.g., “Project” and “Acquisition”), and those that are modality specific (e.g., “T2 Star Weighted Imaging” and “Intracellular Recording”). The NiDM ontology uses the PROV Ontology (PROV-O) [6] as its base ontology, which expresses the PROV Data Model (PROV-DM) [7] using the OWL2 Web Ontology Language (OWL2). The NiDM ontology also incorporates terms from several other ontologies (Fig. 1) that provide terms related to diverse categories such as assessment instruments. Blue entries in Fig. 1 denote products of this project, purple denotes the sources for imported terms.
We have also created a separate DICOM-tag ontology, which is available at
https://github.com/incf-nidash/dicom-ontology. Included are terms for each of the DICOM tags, as well
as terms that have been extracted from DICOM supplement documents. These terms will be used in
the tagging of experimental parameters for data in the DICOM format.

To develop this ontology, we are using GitHub as an environment for openly discussing and defining
terms and definitions. The agreed-upon definitions are then referenced by URI in Terse RDF Triple
Language (Turtle) documents and expanded into a hierarchical Web Ontology Language (OWL) file.
The final OWL file and files containing terms imported from other ontologies are also available on
GitHub.

Results:
The NIDM-Experiment ontology is available at: https://github.com/incf-nidash/nidm/. We are currently
adding definitions to the new NIDM namespace terms using a community based workflow. Potential
contributors and users are encouraged to request terms by raising a new GitHub issue and
participating in the definition process.

As an example, Figure 2 shows the basic element of the model for two activities: informed consent
and the acquisition of neuropsychological testing data. The basic interaction in the Prov data model is
that an Agent with a specific role is associated with an Activity that generates or uses an entity.

Conclusions:
We have created a set of defined terms for various aspects of the neuro-imaging enterprise for use in
the INCF Neuroimaging Data Model as well as more broadly. In future work, we will be adding terms
for other imaging modalities as well as other neuro-related domains such as electrophysiology.
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