

Blood granulocyte patterns as predictors of asthma phenotypes in adults from the EGEA study

Rachel Nadif^{1,2}, Valérie Siroux^{3,4,5}, Anne Boudier^{3,4,5}, Nicole le Moual^{1,2}, Jocelyne Just⁶, Frederic Gormand⁷, Christophe Pison^{8,9,10}, Regis Matran^{11,12}, Isabelle Pin^{3,4,5}

Affiliations:

¹INSERM, U1168, VIMA: Aging and chronic diseases. Epidemiological and public health approaches, F-94807, Villejuif, France

²Univ Versailles St-Quentin-en-Yvelines, UMR-S 1168, F-78180, Montigny le Bretonneux, France

³INSERM, IAB, Team of Environmental Epidemiology applied to Reproduction and Respiratory Health, Grenoble, France.

⁴Univ. Grenoble Alpes, Grenoble, France.

⁵CHU de Grenoble, Pédiatrie, Grenoble, France.

⁶Centre de l'Asthme et des Allergies, APHP, Hôpital Trousseau, UMPC Paris 6, France

⁷CHU de Lyon, Pneumology Department, Lyon, France

⁸Clinique Universitaire de Pneumologie, Pôle Thorax et Vaisseaux, CHU Grenoble, France

⁹INSERM U1055, Grenoble, France

¹⁰Univ Grenoble Alpes, Grenoble, France

¹¹Univ Lille, F-59000, Lille, France

¹²CHU, F-59000, Lille, France

Corresponding author:

Rachel NADIF, PhD

INSERM UMR-S 1168, VIMA: Aging and chronic diseases. Epidemiological and public health approaches. 16, avenue Paul Vaillant Couturier, F-94807, Villejuif, France. Phone number: 33 (0) 145 59 51 89, Fax number: 33 (0) 145 59 51 69,

E-mail: rachel.nadif@inserm.fr

Word count: 4072.

Conflict of interest

None.

Abstract

To which extent blood granulocyte patterns may predict asthma control remains understudied. To study associations between blood neutrophilia and eosinophilia and asthma control outcomes in adults.

Analyses were conducted in 474 asthmatics from the first follow-up of the Epidemiological study on the Genetics and Environment of Asthma (EGEA2), including 242 asthmatics already adults a decade earlier (EGEA1). At EGEA2, asthma control was assessed with GINA 2015, and asthma exacerbations by use of urgent cares or oral corticosteroids courses in the last year. Blood EOS^{lo}/EOS^{hi} was defined as ≤ 250 eosinophils/mm³, and NEU^{lo}/NEU^{hi} as ≤ 5000 neutrophils/mm³. Estimates were adjusted for age, sex, and smoking.

At EGEA2, NEU^{hi} was associated with asthma exacerbations and poor asthma control (OR>2.10). EOS^{hi} was associated with higher bronchial hyperresponsiveness (BHR, OR[95%CI]=2.21[1.24-3.97]), poor lung function ($P=0.02$), and higher total IgE level ($P=0.002$). Almost 50% of asthmatics had persistent pattern between surveys. Persistent NEU^{hi} was associated with poor asthma control at EGEA2 (3.09[1.18-7.05]). EOS^{hi} at EGEA1 and persistent EOS^{hi} were associated with higher BHR (2.36 [1.10-5.07] and 3.85 [1.11-13.34]), poor lung function ($P<0.06$) and higher IgE level ($P<10^{-4}$) at EGEA2.

Granulocyte patterns were differently associated with asthma outcomes, suggesting specific roles for each one that could be tested as predictive signatures.

Key words: asthma control, adults, blood, eosinophil, neutrophil, longitudinal.

Running head: "Blood granulocyte patterns and asthma control"

Take home message: Blood eosinophils and neutrophils to help identifying adults with subsequent risk of asthma burden.

Introduction

Although induced sputum seems to be the gold standard test for phenotyping the inflammation in asthma [1-5], the use of circulating granulocyte counts has been proposed as a more suitable method in large-scale studies [6-8].

Blood neutrophilia and eosinophilia are recognized features of asthma [9-11]. Blood eosinophils are proposed as biological markers to monitor uncontrolled asthma [12], to personalize immunologic biotherapies in patients with asthma [11], to target severe asthma with increased blood eosinophils for treatment with anti-IL5 antibodies [13, 14], and also to select appropriate patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) to be treated with inhaled corticosteroids [15]. Regarding neutrophilia, recent studies have shown that neutrophils are closely associated with not only severity, but initiation of allergic inflammation and allergic sensitization [16]. However, data to support neutrophilic asthma as a specific phenotype are seldom, and no consensus exists for the level of blood neutrophilia that should be used to define this phenotype [10].

We were first to describe the use of cut-off points of $250/\text{mm}^3$ and $5000/\text{mm}^3$ for blood counts of eosinophils and neutrophils respectively to define granulocyte patterns in adults in the framework of the Epidemiological study on the Genetics and Environment of Asthma (EGEA) [6, 7]. We showed that eosinophilic pattern was associated with report of more asthma attacks and being woken by an attack of shortness of breath in the last 12 months, and that neutrophilic pattern was associated with fewer positive skin prick test responses and with report of more nocturnal symptoms and dyspnea. Then, a study by Volbeda *et al.* [17] showed that adult patients with uncontrolled asthma had higher number of eosinophils in peripheral blood, whereas no association was found with blood neutrophil numbers. High blood eosinophil count ($\geq 400/\text{mm}^3$) was also reported as a practical biological marker to identify adult patients with persistent asthma who are at increased risk for future asthma exacerbations

[18]. And concomitant systemic ($\geq 400/\text{mm}^3$) and bronchial ($\geq 3\%$) eosinophilic inflammation has been reported to contribute to poor asthma control [19]. To the best of our knowledge, no studies reported variations over long periods of time of blood neutrophilia and eosinophilia in adults with asthma, nor investigated the long-term relationship of both neutrophilic and eosinophilic pattern with asthma control outcomes.

In the EGEA study, using the same blood granulocyte count cut-off points as previously described, we assessed the association of blood eosinophil and neutrophil patterns with asthma control outcomes, both in a cross-sectional and longitudinal way.

Methods

Study design

Data used for the analyses were collected in the framework of the Epidemiological study on the Genetics and Environment of Asthma (EGEA, <https://egeanet.vjf.inserm.fr/>), a French cohort study based on an initial group of asthma cases and their first-degree relatives, and controls (first survey EGEA1). The protocol and descriptive characteristics have been described previously and in the supplementary data [20, 21] [22].

The cross-sectional analysis includes asthma cases and their first-degree relatives with asthma who were adults at the second survey (EGEA2, ≥ 16 years old) with available data on blood eosinophil and neutrophils cell counts ($n=232+242=474$, Figure 1). For the longitudinal analysis, asthma cases and their first-degree relatives already aged 16 years and older a decade earlier (EGEA1, $n=381$), and with available data for blood eosinophil and neutrophils cell counts ($n=242$) were included. Asthmatics included in the longitudinal analyses ($n=242$) were more often asthma cases and current smokers than those not included in the longitudinal analyses (Table 1 in supplementary data). The two groups did not differ for age, sex, body

mass index, asthma, treatment, lung function, allergic sensitization, Immunoglobulin E (IgE) level and blood eosinophil and neutrophil counts.

Ethical approval was obtained from the relevant institutional review board committees (Cochin Port-Royal Hospital and Necker-Enfants Malades Hospital, Paris). Written informed consent was signed by all participants.

Respiratory phenotypes

Inclusion criteria used to define asthma in cases were based on self-reported positive responses to four questions from the validated and standardized British Medical Research Council/European Coal and Steel Community, American Thoracic Society, and European Community Respiratory Health Survey (ECRHS) questionnaires: “*Have you ever had attacks of breathlessness at rest with wheezing?*”, “*Have you ever had asthma attacks?*”, “*Was this diagnosis confirmed by a physician?*”, and “*Have you had an asthma attack in the last 12 months?*”, or a positive response to at least two questions and a positive review of their medical record. Asthma in relatives of cases was defined as a positive answer to at least one of the first two questions [23, 24].

Asthma symptom control has been assessed in 3 classes, over a 3-month period, using responses to EGEA2 survey questions to approximate as closely as possible the GINA 2015 definition, and as previously used [25]. Participants were defined with controlled, partly-controlled and uncontrolled asthma if they had none, 1-2 or 3-4 of the following criteria, respectively: frequent daytime symptoms (defined by at least 1 asthma attack or 1 or more trouble breathing per week in the past 3 months), any night-time symptoms (defined by woken up because of asthma or by an attack of shortness of breath in the last 3 months), frequent use of reliever medication (defined by on average twice a week in the past 3 months), and any activity limitation (defined by the following answer “totally limited”, “extremely

limited”, “very limited”, “moderate limitation”, “some limitation” to the question “Overall, among all the activities that you have done during the last two weeks, how limited have you been by your asthma?”).

Medication for asthma is available in 470 of the participants (99.2%): 286 participants (60.3%) did not take any medication in the last twelve months. In the last three months, 122 participants (25.7%) reported regular use of inhaled corticosteroids (ICS), and 62 (13.1%) reported use of ICS but not regularly. Furthermore, main daily ICS dose over the past twelve month is available for the 122 participants with a median [min-max] of 250 [50-500] μg of beclomethasone equivalent.

Asthma exacerbation was defined at EGEA2 by hospital or emergency admissions because of respiratory problems or use of oral steroids for breathing difficulties in the last year.

A lung function test with spirometry and methacholine challenge was performed using a standardized protocol with similar equipment across centres according to the ATS/ERS guidelines [26]. Change in FEV_1 between first and second spirometry was assessed as annual lung function change (mL/year) with a negative value representing a decline.

Blood granulocyte patterns

At both surveys, four granulocyte patterns were defined from white blood cell (WBC) counts according to eosinophil (EOS) and neutrophil (NEU) count cut-off points previously described [6]. Briefly, samples with $\geq 250 \text{ EOS}/\text{mm}^3$ were classified as EOS^{hi} , and those with $\geq 5000 \text{ NEU}/\text{mm}^3$ as NEU^{hi} . The cut-off point for eosinophils is the one commonly used in epidemiology, and corresponded to the 75th percentile in the 1356 adults at the EGEA1 study. Only 20 patients with asthma had a neutrophil count equal to or higher than the upper limit adult references [27], and a cut-off point of $5000 \text{ NEU}/\text{mm}^3$ was chosen that corresponded to the 75th percentile of the distribution. Other cut-off points were also studied: 300 and 400

EOS/mm³ reported in the literature, 6040 NEU/mm³ corresponding to the 90th percentile in the 1356 adults from the EGEA1 study, and optimal cut-off points calculated by the Receiver-Operating Characteristic (ROC) curve (see supplementary data for more details).

Statistical Methods

Standard statistical tests were performed. Due to the familial aggregation of the data, multivariate analyses (except polytomous logistic regression) were conducted using generalized estimated equations (GEEs) to take into account dependence between observations. Controlled asthma was the reference group. Due to similarity in results in partly- and uncontrolled asthma, and due to the small number of asthmatics in the uncontrolled group, asthma control was also expressed as a dichotomous variable: partly controlled+uncontrolled versus controlled. A sensitivity analysis was performed to test associations with different cut-off points for eosinophils and neutrophils.

For the cross sectional analyses at EGEA2, a sensitivity analysis was also performed to test associations between granulocyte patterns and asthma control in participants without respiratory infections in the last four weeks. To investigate the modifying effect of current smoking status (smokers *versus* non- or ex-smokers) and ICS treatment in the last year on associations between granulocyte patterns and asthma control, analyses were conducted in dataset stratified by each of these factors. Sensitivity analyses were also performed with adjustment on ICS use in the last three months expressed as three classes (no use, irregular use, regular use), with adjustment on daily dose of ICS, and by excluding participants with chronic bronchitis. Moreover, to take into account the complex interplay between eosinophilia and allergic sensitization, associations between eosinophil patterns and asthma control were further adjusted for allergic sensitization (yes/no). For the longitudinal analysis, the association between blood granulocyte patterns at EGEA1 with the subsequent risk of poor

asthma control (partly-controlled or uncontrolled asthma) at EGEA2 was investigated. Persistence of the granulocyte patterns (NEU^{hi} at EGEA1 and 2, EOS^{hi} at EGEA1 and 2), and changes between EGEA1 and EGEA2 were also considered.

All multiple regression model considered age (continuous), sex, smoking status (never, ex-, current smokers, or never or ex, current smokers) and ICS treatment in the last year (yes/no) as potential confounding factors. These factors were measured at EGEA2 for the cross-sectional analyses and at EGEA1 for the longitudinal analyses (except for current smoking for which the status over the follow-up time was also considered). All statistical analyses were done using SAS software, version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, North Carolina, USA).

Results

The overall characteristics of the participants are shown in Table 1: At EGEA2, 48.9%, 10.6%, 31.6% and 8.9% of them belonged to the paucigranulocytic (EOS^{lo}/NEU^{lo}), neutrophilic (EOS^{lo}/NEU^{hi}), eosinophilic (EOS^{hi}/NEU^{lo}) and mixed (EOS^{hi}/NEU^{hi}) pattern respectively. These frequencies were similar among the sub population involved in the longitudinal analyses. Characteristics of the participants according to these four blood granulocyte patterns are shown in Table 2 in supplementary data.

Neutrophil counts were significantly higher in women, in participants with respiratory infections in the last four weeks, and increased with age (all $P < 0.01$). Among all participants, neutrophil counts increased with the number of pack-years ($P = 0.07$). Among ex- and current smokers, neutrophil counts increased with the number of cigarettes per day (cig/d, mean (95% CI), 4054 (3527 to 4235), 3901 (3511 to 4290), 4380 (3878 to 4882), and 4576 (3724 to 5427) cells/mm³ for 0 cig/d, between 1 and 10 cig/d, between 11 and 20 cig/d and more than 20 cig/d, P for trend = 0.02). Eosinophil and neutrophil counts were significantly higher in ICS users than in non-users (adjusted mean (95% CI), 288 (259 to 317) vs. 231 (206 to 256)

cells/mm³, $P=0.003$, and 4286 (4078 to 4493) vs. 3905 (3724 to 4087) cells/mm³, $P=0.006$).

No other significant associations were found.

Granulocyte patterns and phenotypic characteristics in cross-sectional analyses, n=474

Participants with the NEU^{hi} pattern reported more nocturnal cough in the last year, more exacerbations, more chronic cough, and had poorer asthma control than those with the NEU^{lo} pattern (Table 2). They also had a non significant tendency for lower FEV₁ (mean (95 CI) 92.4 (88.7 to 96.0) vs. 95.6 (93.5 to 97.7) % predicted, $P=0.10$) than those with the NEU^{lo} pattern. Participants with the EOS^{hi} pattern had higher bronchial hyperresponsiveness (BHR, lower PD20) (Table 2), higher total IgE level (mean (95% CI) 213 (175 to 261) vs. 144 (122 to 171) IU/ml, $P=0.002$), and lower FEV₁ (93.2 (90.4 to 96.0) vs. 97.3 (95.0 to 99.6) % predicted, $P=0.02$) than those with the EOS^{lo} pattern. No significant association was found between EOS^{hi} pattern and allergic sensitization (OR=1.30 [0.67-1.90]).

The association between high neutrophil inflammation and asthma control remained significant after further adjustment on eosinophil count (OR=2.95 [1.74 to 5.00] and OR=3.08 [1.42 to 6.69] for partly-controlled and uncontrolled asthma respectively). Associations between neutrophil inflammation and poor asthma control (partly- or uncontrolled versus controlled) were consistently observed in participants without respiratory infections in the last four weeks, or after stratification according to current smoking status or ICS treatment (Figure 2, P values for Breslow and day's interaction test < 0.5). The associations remained also significant after adjustment on both current smoking status and ICS treatment in the last twelve months (Figure 2), but also after adjustment for ICS use in the last three months (OR=2.82 [1.73-4.62]), after adjustment for the daily dose of ICS (OR=3.24 [1.56-6.76]), or when excluding the 64 participants with chronic bronchitis (OR=2.21 [1.31-3.72]). Furthermore, associations between neutrophil inflammation and poor asthma control remained significant with 6040 cells/mm³ as the cut-off point for neutrophils (OR=2.55 [1.26-

5.18]), or with optimal cut-off points calculated with the ROC curve (see Figure 1 in supplementary data).

High eosinophil inflammation was positively but inconsistently associated with poor asthma control (Figure 2). The associations were significant after adjustment for age and sex, in participants without respiratory infections in the last four weeks, and in non-/ex-smokers. These associations did not remain significant after further adjustment on current smoking status and ICS treatment (P values for Breslow and day's interaction test < 0.3). Analyses done with 300 and 400 cells/mm³ as the cut-off points for eosinophils gave similar inconsistent findings (OR=1.50 [1.01-2.24] and OR=1.51 [0.91-2.50] for 300 and 400 cells/mm³ respectively), due to reduced power because of the unbalanced distribution of participants between classes. Further adjustment for allergic sensitization did not change the results.

Furthermore, participants with the mixed pattern (both high eosinophil and high neutrophil counts) had significant higher risks of poor asthma control, asthma attack and asthma exacerbation as compared to participants having only high eosinophil counts (Table 3). Such findings were not found when comparisons were done with participants having only high neutrophil counts.

Granulocyte patterns at baseline and follow-up and phenotypic characteristics 12 years later in longitudinal analyses, n=242

In our population, 46% of participants with the NEU^{hi} pattern at EGEA1, and 52% of those with the EOS^{hi} pattern at EGEA1 remained with the same pattern at EGEA2 (Table 3 in supplementary data for the other patterns).

The NEU^{hi} pattern at EGEA1 was unrelated to the asthma phenotypes assessed at EGEA2 (Table 3). However participants with persistent NEU^{hi} pattern (NEU^{hi} at EGEA1 and EGEA2) and those moving from NEU^{lo} to NEU^{hi} between the two surveys had poorer asthma control as compared to those with the NEU^{lo} pattern at both surveys (Table 4 and Figure 3). Similar findings were obtained when analyses were done with 6040 cells/mm³ as the cut-off point for neutrophils (OR=3.21 [0.73-14.04]), or with optimal cut-off points calculated with the ROC curve (see Figure 1 in supplementary data). No associations were found with the decline in lung function (Table 5).

Participants with EOS^{hi} pattern at baseline had higher BHR at follow-up (Table 4) than those with the EOS^{lo} pattern. They also had higher total IgE level (199 (153 to 259) vs. 101 (80 to 128) IU/ml, $P < 10^{-4}$), and lower FEV₁ at follow-up (Table 5). Participants with persistent EOS^{hi} pattern had higher BHR, higher total IgE level (270 (188 to 387) vs. 115 (89 to 149) IU/ml, $P < 10^{-4}$), and lower FEV₁ (Table 4) than those with persistent EOS^{lo} pattern. Changes in eosinophilic pattern between EGEA1 and EGEA2 were unrelated to asthma control (Figure 3). No associations were found with decline in lung function (Table 5).

Analyses done with 300 and 400 cells/mm³ as the cut-off points for eosinophils gave similar findings for the association with asthma control (OR=2.10 [1.01-4.35] and OR=1.97 [0.83-4.65] for 300 and 400 cells/mm³ respectively in participants with persistent EOS^{hi} pattern), although less significant due to reduced power.

Discussion

In the present study, we showed that blood neutrophil and eosinophil counts are relatively stable over 10 years, and that they are differently associated with clinical features of asthma – namely, NEU^{hi} pattern was associated with exacerbations, poor asthma control and nocturnal

symptoms, and EOS^{hi} pattern with higher IgE level, higher bronchial hyperresponsiveness, and lower lung function.

Participants with asthma included in the analysis were for most of them recruited in chest clinics as asthma cases, with a careful procedure set up to include true asthmatics using standardized and validated questionnaires. Others were recruited as first-degree relatives of asthmatic cases, based on answers to questions on asthma diagnosis. This leads to a group of asthmatics with a wide range of severity and response to methacholine. No follow-up bias related to the asthma status and asthma-related phenotypes was shown in the EGEA study, and the adult asthmatics included in the present study are representative of the original study populations of asthmatic cases and their first-degree relatives with asthma. Furthermore, the association between high neutrophil inflammation and asthma control remained significant excluding participants with chronic bronchitis. The detailed respiratory questionnaire used in our study allowed to retrospectively assess asthma symptoms control following the principles of the GINA 2015 classification, although over a longer time frame (3 months rather than 1 month). GINA 2015 definition takes into account daytime and night-time symptoms, use of reliever medication, and activity limitation, but not lung function. Granulocyte patterns were defined according to eosinophil and neutrophil counts in blood, an easy approach in participants of all ages using standardized collection procedures. The approach of using blood markers to approximate airway inflammation has biological plausibility since the infiltrating granulocytes in the airways derive from the bone marrow and access the airways via the circulation. The eosinophil count cut-off point used (250 cells/mm³) was most commonly used in epidemiology, close to the threshold value of 220 cells/mm³ found as the best compromise for predicting sputum eosinophil count $\geq 2\%$ in 995 asthmatic adults [4], or for predicting uncontrolled airway eosinophilic inflammation in a population of 508 asthmatic adults [19]. The neutrophil cut-off point was the corresponding 75th percentile of the

distribution in the EGEA adult population, and was very similar to the optimal cut-off points obtained from a ROC curve to assess asthma control.

The eosinophilic feature is recognised as a pivotal trait of asthma [28]. In the present study, we confirmed the well-documented associations of high blood eosinophil counts with high IgE level, increased BHR, lower FEV₁, and more asthma attacks as previously reported in general or occupational populations [29, 30], and in the EGEA study at baseline [6]. Furthermore, we found associations between EOS^{hi} with subsequent risk of increased BHR. However, we found inconsistent associations between EOS^{hi} and asthma control, and no clear association with subsequent risk of poor asthma control, or subsequent risk of asthma attacks or exacerbations, whatever the threshold. We found that high blood eosinophil counts were associated with exacerbations defined as asthma attack (considered as mild exacerbation) but not with exacerbations defined as admissions to hospital or to emergency or use of oral steroids (considered as severe exacerbation). Previously, higher blood eosinophil counts (from 300 to 500 cells/mm³) have been also associated with asthma attacks in 9223 adults from the NHANES study [31], and in 3162 adults with asthma [32]. Regarding severe exacerbations, high blood eosinophil counts defined by a threshold of 400 or 300 cells/mm³ were associated with increased risk for future asthma exacerbations in a cohort of 2392 adults with persistent asthma [18], and in a very large cohort, 130 248 patients with asthma with blood eosinophil counts greater than 300 cells/mm³ defined as nine ascending eosinophil count categories (versus ≤ 200 cells/mm³) had a greater rate of asthma exacerbations over the subsequent year [33]. Recently, the threshold of 400 cells/mm³ was reported as a risk factor for multiple exacerbations in the same cohort [34]. Asthma attack and asthma exacerbation may identify exacerbations of different severity, which might partly explain the differences in the associations found with blood eosinophils. The cut-off point for blood eosinophilia (250 cells/mm³) applied in our study was in the lower range of the published cut-offs. Further,

neither adjustment on blood neutrophilic inflammation, neither changes in granulocyte pattern over time were taken into account in these studies, and might explain part of the between-study discrepancies.

Regarding asthma control, previous studies have also shown quite different results as ours; lack of control of asthma was significantly associated with higher blood eosinophils in 111 patients with asthma [17], and blood eosinophilic inflammation (≥ 400 cells/mm³) contributed to poor asthma control in 508 patients with asthma [19]. In a very large cohort of 130 248 patients with asthma, blood eosinophil counts greater than 400 cells/mm³ was associated with lower risk of achieving asthma control over the subsequent year [33]. Adjustment on blood neutrophilic inflammation was done in one study [32], and changes in granulocyte pattern over time were not taken into account in these studies. In our study, the definition of asthma control differed from the definition used in previous studies that included either airflow variability or FEV₁ level, and such phenotypic differences might explain part of the between-study discrepancies.

Variations over time of inflammatory markers in the blood or in the sputum are an important issue when one wants to try to predict asthma evolution. Short-term and long-term stability of granulocyte patterns in sputum has been previously reported in adults [9, 35], mostly based on two sequential sputum samples. However, in adults with moderate and severe asthma undergoing frequent (up to monthly) sputum induction over a 1-year period [36], stable granulocyte patterns were found in only one third of them. In our study, we reported variations over time of blood granulocyte patterns, and found that at least 50% of the participants remained with the same pattern 12 years apart. In adults with severe asthma from the DREAM study, 85% of those who had a screening blood eosinophil count of 150 cells/ μ L or greater remained with the same pattern in the following year [37]. Recently, variations over

time of blood eosinophilia in COPD patients have been studied and a good stability of the data one year apart was reported [15]. Overall, available data suggest that blood granulocyte patterns seem at least as stable as sputum patterns in adults with asthma.

To the best of our knowledge, only one study has investigated the role of blood neutrophils in asthma control in a very small sample of adults [38], reporting no differences between neutrophils from patients with well- (n=11) versus suboptimally controlled asthma (n=7). In the present study, we found that the NEU^{hi} pattern was associated with poor asthma control according to GINA 2015, and that persistent NEU^{hi} pattern was associated with subsequent risk of poor asthma symptom control. Interestingly, as for eosinophilia, the associations between high blood neutrophil counts and exacerbations varied according to the phenotype we used. Neutrophilia was increased in ICS users in our study; this may be partly due to the inhibitory effect of corticosteroids on neutrophil apoptosis that may, in some settings, contribute to neutrophil activation, suggesting that corticosteroid treatment itself is likely to have some role in the development of neutrophilia [39]. The association that we observed between NEU^{hi} pattern and poor asthma control persisted after accounting for respiratory infections in the last four weeks, current smoking status and ICS treatment in the past year. To our knowledge, our study is the first to report that persistent NEU^{hi} pattern and change from NEU^{lo} to NEU^{hi} pattern were associated with poor asthma control (partly-controlled or uncontrolled asthma) as compared to other patterns. In the EGEA study, using unsupervised methods, we showed that blood neutrophil counts were the highest in the phenotype labelled '*active treated adult-onset asthma*' [40]. The latter phenotype showed similar characteristics as clusters that exhibited the highest sputum and blood neutrophil counts found in studies by Moore *et al.* [41, 42]. All these studies highlight the interest of using also blood neutrophil counts when classifying adults with asthma. Overall, these results suggest that blood eosinophilia and neutrophilia may be associated with two particular and specific endotypes of

asthma in adults, that may be related to different characteristics (symptoms, lung function, and activity) of the disease. This is in line with new orientations for the clinical management of asthma, based on precise phenotyping and endo-typing. New therapies targeting eosinophilic asthma are already available; however due to the clinical importance of neutrophilic asthma, more research is needed to understand the basic mechanisms of neutrophilic asthma and offer opportunities of translational research for a more personalized and efficient treatment approach.

In conclusion, the present longitudinal study identified for the first time that blood granulocyte patterns were differently associated with subsequent asthma control outcomes in adults with asthma. More generally, this study adds evidence for the interest of blood eosinophils and neutrophils to help identifying adults with subsequent risk of asthma burden that could be targeted for specific therapies.

Acknowledgments

The authors thank all those who participated to the setting of the study and on the various aspects of the examinations involved: interviewers, technicians for lung function testing and skin prick tests, blood sampling, IgE determinations, coders, those involved in quality control, data and sample management and all those who supervised the study in all centers. The authors are grateful to the three CIC-Inserm of Necker, Grenoble and Marseille who supported the study and in which participants were examined. They are also grateful to the biobanks in Lille (CIC-Inserm), and at Annemasse (Etablissement français du sang) where biological samples are stored. They are indebted to all the individuals who participated, without whom the study would not have been possible.

EGEA cooperative group

Coordination: V Siroux (epidemiology, PI since 2013); F Demenais (genetics); I Pin (clinical aspects); R Nadif (biology); F Kauffmann (PI 1992-2012).

Respiratory epidemiology: Inserm U 700, Paris: M Korobaëff (Egea1), F Neukirch (Egea1); Inserm U 707, Paris: I Annesi-Maesano (Egea1-2) ; Inserm CESP/U 1018, Villejuif: F Kauffmann, N Le Moual, R Nadif, MP Oryszczyn (Egea1-2), R Varraso ; Inserm U 823, Grenoble: V Siroux. Genetics: Inserm U 393, Paris: J Feingold ; Inserm U 946, Paris: E Bouzigon, F Demenais, MH Dizier ; CNG, Evry: I Gut (now CNAG, Barcelona, Spain), M Lathrop (now Univ McGill, Montreal, Canada).

Clinical centers: Grenoble: I Pin, C Pison; Lyon: D Ecochard (Egea1), F Gormand, Y Pacheco; Marseille: D Charpin (Egea1), D Vervloet (Egea1-2); Montpellier: J Bousquet; Paris Cochin: A Lockhart (Egea1), R Matran (now in Lille); Paris Necker: E Paty (Egea1-2), P Scheinmann (Egea1-2); Paris-Trousseau: A Grimfeld (Egea1-2), J Just.

Data and quality management: Inserm ex-U155 (Egea1): J Hochez ; Inserm CESP/U 1018, Villejuif: N Le Moual ; Inserm ex-U780: C Ravault (Egea1-2) ; Inserm ex-U794: N Chateigner (Egea1-2) ; Grenoble: J Quentin-Ferran (Egea1-2).

Funding:

Research was funded in part by the National Hospital program of clinical research (PHRC-national 2012, EvAdA), ANR-CES-2009, Region Nord Pas-de-Calais, Merck Sharp & Dohme (MSD), and the GA2LEN project, Global Allergy and Asthma European Network, and the Fonds AGIR pour les maladies chroniques.

Figure legends

Figure 1. Flow-chart of the participants included in the cross sectional and longitudinal analyses.

WBC: white blood cell.

Figure 2: Cross sectional association between neutrophilic or eosinophilic pattern and asthma control according to smoking status or to ICS treatment in the last 12 months.

ICS use was not available for four participants (n=470).

* Odds Ratio (OR) were adjusted on age and sex.

† Odds Ratio (OR) were adjusted on age, sex and current smoking status.

‡ Odds Ratio (OR) were adjusted on age, sex, current smoking status and ICS treatment.

Cont: controlled, Part: partly controlled, Un: uncontrolled.

Figure 3: Longitudinal association between changes in neutrophilic or eosinophilic pattern between EGEA1 and EGEA2 and asthma control.

Odds Ratios (OR) were adjusted on age, sex and current smoking status at baseline.

Cont: controlled, Part: partly controlled, Un: uncontrolled.

References

1. Simpson JL, Scott R, Boyle MJ, Gibson PG. Inflammatory subtypes in asthma: assessment and identification using induced sputum. *Respirology (Carlton, Vic)* 2006; 11(1): 54-61.
2. Choi J-S, Jang AS, Park JS, Park SW, Paik SH, Park JS, Uh S-T, Kim Y-H, Park CS. Role of neutrophils in persistent airway obstruction due to refractory asthma. *Respirology (Carlton, Vic)* 2012; 17(2): 322-329.
3. Fleming L, Tsartsali L, Wilson N, Regamey N, Bush A. Sputum inflammatory phenotypes are not stable in children with asthma. *Thorax* 2012; 67(8): 675-681.
4. McGrath KW, Icitovic N, Boushey HA, Lazarus SC, Sutherland ER, Chinchilli VM, Fahy JV. A large subgroup of mild-to-moderate asthma is persistently noneosinophilic. *American journal of respiratory and critical care medicine* 2012; 185(6): 612-619.
5. Hastie AT, Moore WC, Meyers DA, Vestal PL, Li H, Peters SP, Bleecker ER. Analyses of asthma severity phenotypes and inflammatory proteins in subjects stratified by sputum granulocytes. *The Journal of allergy and clinical immunology* 2010; 125(5): 1028-1036 e1013.
6. Nadif R, Siroux V, Oryszczyn MP, Ravault C, Pison C, Pin I, Kauffmann F, on behalf of the Epidemiological study on the Genetics and Environment of A. Heterogeneity of asthma according to blood inflammatory patterns. *Thorax* 2009; 64(5): 374-380.
7. Gibson PG. Tackling asthma phenotypes in community studies. *Thorax* 2009; 64(5): 369-370.
8. Nair P. What is an “eosinophilic phenotype” of asthma? *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology* 2013; 132(1): 81-83.
9. Wenzel SE. Asthma: defining of the persistent adult phenotypes. *The Lancet* 2006; 368(9537): 804-813.
10. Wenzel SE. Asthma phenotypes: the evolution from clinical to molecular approaches. *Nat Med* 2012; 18(5): 716-725.
11. Szeffler SJ, Wenzel S, Brown R, Erzurum SC, Fahy JV, Hamilton RG, Hunt JF, Kita H, Liu AH, Panettieri RA, Jr., Schleimer RP, Minnicozzi M. Asthma outcomes: biomarkers. *The Journal of allergy and clinical immunology* 2012; 129(3 Suppl): S9-23.
12. Zhang XY, Simpson JL, Powell H, Yang IA, Upham JW, Reynolds PN, Hodge S, James AL, Jenkins C, Peters MJ, Lin JT, Gibson PG. Full blood count parameters for the detection of asthma inflammatory phenotypes. *Clinical and experimental allergy : journal of the British Society for Allergy and Clinical Immunology* 2014; 44(9): 1137-1145.
13. Ortega HG, Liu MC, Pavord ID, Brusselle GG, FitzGerald JM, Chetta A, Humbert M, Katz LE, Keene ON, Yancey SW, Chanez P. Mepolizumab treatment in patients with severe eosinophilic asthma. *N Engl J Med* 2014; 371(13): 1198-1207.
14. Brightling CE, Chanez P, Leigh R, O'Byrne PM, Korn S, She D, May RD, Streicher K, Ranade K, Piper E. Efficacy and safety of tralokinumab in patients with severe uncontrolled asthma: a randomised, double-blind, placebo-controlled, phase 2b trial. *The Lancet Respiratory medicine* 2015.
15. Pascoe S, Locantore N, Dransfield MT, Barnes NC, Pavord ID. Blood eosinophil counts, exacerbations, and response to the addition of inhaled fluticasone furoate to vilanterol in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease: a secondary analysis of data from two parallel randomised controlled trials. *The Lancet Respiratory medicine* 2015; 3(6): 435-442.

16. Hosoki K, Itazawa T, Boldogh I, Sur S. Neutrophil recruitment by allergens contribute to allergic sensitization and allergic inflammation. *Current opinion in allergy and clinical immunology* 2016; 16(1): 45-50.
17. Volbeda F, Broekema M, Lodewijk ME, Hylkema MN, Reddel HK, Timens W, Postma DS, ten Hacken NH. Clinical control of asthma associates with measures of airway inflammation. *Thorax* 2013; 68(1): 19-24.
18. Zeiger RS, Schatz M, Li Q, Chen W, Khattry DB, Gossage D, Tran TN. High blood eosinophil count is a risk factor for future asthma exacerbations in adult persistent asthma. *J Allergy Clin Immunol Pract* 2014; 2(6): 741-750.
19. Schleich FN, Chevremont A, Paulus V, Henket M, Manise M, Seidel L, Louis R. Importance of concomitant local and systemic eosinophilia in uncontrolled asthma. *The European respiratory journal* 2014; 44(1): 97-108.
20. Kauffmann F, Dizier MH. EGEA (Epidemiological study on the Genetics and Environment of Asthma, bronchial hyperresponsiveness and atopy)--design issues. EGEA Co-operative Group. *Clinical and experimental allergy : journal of the British Society for Allergy and Clinical Immunology* 1995; 25 Suppl 2: 19-22.
21. Kauffmann F, Dizier MH, Annesi-Maesano I, Bousquet J, Charpin D, Demenais F, Ecochard D, Feingold J, Gormand F, Grimfeld A, Lathrop M, Matran R, Neukirch F, Paty E, Pin I, Pison C, Scheinmann P, Vervloet D, Lockhart A. EGEA (Epidemiological study on the Genetics and Environment of Asthma, bronchial hyperresponsiveness and atopy)-- descriptive characteristics. *Clinical and experimental allergy : journal of the British Society for Allergy and Clinical Immunology* 1999; 29 Suppl 4: 17-21.
22. Bouzigon E, Nadif R, Le Moual N, Dizier MH, Aschard H, Boudier A, Bousquet J, Chanoine S, Donnay C, Dumas O, Gormand F, Jacquemin B, Just J, Margaritte-Jeannin P, Matran R, Pison C, Rage E, Rava M, Sarnowski C, Smit LA, Temam S, Varraso R, Vignoud L, Lathrop M, Pin I, Demenais F, Kauffmann F, Siroux V. [Genetic and environmental factors of asthma and allergy: Results of the EGEA study]. *Rev Mal Respir* 2015.
23. Kauffmann F, Dizier M-H, Pin I, Paty E, Gormand F, Vervloet D, Bousquet J, Neukirch F, Annesi I, Oryszczyn M-P, Lathrop M, Demenais F, Lockhart A, Feingold J. Epidemiological Study of the Genetics and Environment of Asthma, Bronchial Hyperresponsiveness, and Atopy . Phenotype Issues. *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1997; 156(4): 123S-129.
24. Burney PG, Luczynska C, Chinn S, Jarvis D. The European Community Respiratory Health Survey. *The European respiratory journal* 1994; 7(5): 954-960.
25. Siroux V, Boudier A, Dolgopoff M, Chanoine S, Bousquet J, Gormand F, Just J, Le Moual N, Nadif R, Pison C, Varraso R, Matran R, Pin I. Forced midexpiratory flow between 25% and 75% of forced vital capacity is associated with long-term persistence of asthma and poor asthma outcomes. *The Journal of allergy and clinical immunology* 2015.
26. Miller MR, Hankinson J, Brusasco V, Burgos F, Casaburi R, Coates A, Crapo R, Enright P, van der Grinten CP, Gustafsson P, Jensen R, Johnson DC, MacIntyre N, McKay R, Navajas D, Pedersen OF, Pellegrino R, Viegi G, Wanger J. Standardisation of spirometry. *The European respiratory journal* 2005; 26(2): 319-338.
27. Troussard X, Vol S, Cornet E, Bardet V, Couaillac JP, Fossat C, Luce JC, Maldonado E, Siguret V, Tichet J, Lantieri O, Corberand J. Full blood count normal reference values for adults in France. *J Clin Pathol* 2014; 67(4): 341-344.
28. Bousquet J, Chané P, Lacoste JY, Barneon G, Ghavanian N, Enander I, Venge P, Ahlstedt S, Simony-Lafontaine J, Godard P, et al. Eosinophilic inflammation in asthma. *N Engl J Med* 1990; 323(15): 1033-1039.

29. Pavord ID. Eosinophilic phenotypes of airway disease. *Annals of the American Thoracic Society* 2013; 10 Suppl: S143-149.
30. Pavord ID, Shaw DE, Gibson PG, Taylor DR. Inflammometry to assess airway diseases. *The Lancet* 2008; 372(9643): 1017-1019.
31. Malinovsky A, Fonseca JA, Jacinto T, Alving K, Janson C. Exhaled nitric oxide levels and blood eosinophil counts independently associate with wheeze and asthma events in National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey subjects. *The Journal of allergy and clinical immunology* 2013; 132(4): 821-827.e821-825.
32. Tran TN, Khattry DB, Ke X, Ward CK, Gossage D. High blood eosinophil count is associated with more frequent asthma attacks in asthma patients. *Annals of allergy, asthma & immunology : official publication of the American College of Allergy, Asthma, & Immunology* 2014; 113(1): 19-24.
33. Price DB, Rigazio A, Campbell JD, Bleecker ER, Corrigan CJ, Thomas M, Wenzel SE, Wilson AM, Small MB, Gopalan G, Ashton VL, Burden A, Hillyer EV, Kerkhof M, Pavord ID. Blood eosinophil count and prospective annual asthma disease burden: a UK cohort study. *The Lancet Respiratory medicine* 2015; 3(11): 849-858.
34. Price D, Wilson AM, Chisholm A, Rigazio A, Burden A, Thomas M, King C. Predicting frequent asthma exacerbations using blood eosinophil count and other patient data routinely available in clinical practice. *Journal of asthma and allergy* 2016; 9: 1-12.
35. Green RH, Pavord I. Stability of inflammatory phenotypes in asthma. *Thorax* 2012; 67(8): 665-667.
36. Al-Samri MT, Benedetti A, Prefontaine D, Olivenstein R, Lemiere C, Nair P, Martin JG, Hamid Q. Variability of sputum inflammatory cells in asthmatic patients receiving corticosteroid therapy: A prospective study using multiple samples. *The Journal of allergy and clinical immunology* 2010; 125(5): 1161-1163 e1164.
37. Katz LE, Gleich GJ, Hartley BF, Yancey SW, Ortega HG. Blood eosinophil count is a useful biomarker to identify patients with severe eosinophilic asthma. *Annals of the American Thoracic Society* 2014; 11(4): 531-536.
38. Tang FS, Foxley GJ, Gibson PG, Burgess JK, Baines KJ, Oliver BG. Altered Innate Immune Responses in Neutrophils from Patients with Well- and Suboptimally Controlled Asthma. *Mediators of inflammation* 2015; 2015: 219374.
39. Cowan DC, Cowan JO, Palmay R, Williamson A, Taylor DR. Effects of steroid therapy on inflammatory cell subtypes in asthma. *Thorax* 2010; 65(5): 384-390.
40. Siroux V, Basagana X, Boudier A, Pin I, Garcia-Aymerich J, Vesin A, Slama R, Jarvis D, Anto JM, Kauffmann F, Sunyer J. Identifying adult asthma phenotypes using a clustering approach. *The European respiratory journal* 2011; 38(2): 310-317.
41. Moore WC, Hastie AT, Li X, Li H, Busse WW, Jarjour NN, Wenzel SE, Peters SP, Meyers DA, Bleecker ER. Sputum neutrophil counts are associated with more severe asthma phenotypes using cluster analysis. *The Journal of allergy and clinical immunology* 2014; 133(6): 1557-1563.e1555.
42. Moore WC, Meyers DA, Wenzel SE, Teague WG, Li H, Li X, D'Agostino R, Jr., Castro M, Curran-Everett D, Fitzpatrick AM, Gaston B, Jarjour NN, Sorkness R, Calhoun WJ, Chung KF, Comhair SA, Dweik RA, Israel E, Peters SP, Busse WW, Erzurum SC, Bleecker ER. Identification of asthma phenotypes using cluster analysis in the Severe Asthma Research Program. *American journal of respiratory and critical care medicine* 2010; 181(4): 315-323.

Table 1. Characteristics at EGEA2 of adults with asthma included in the analyses

	Cross-sectional analyses (n=474)	Longitudinal analyses (n=242)
Age, year, mean \pm SD	38.2 \pm 16.1	48.2 \pm 13.1
Sex, women, %	47.9	53.3
Body Mass Index (BMI), kg/m ² , %		
<20	12.2	8.3
[20-25[53.3	47.5
[25-30[24.4	30.8
\geq 30	10.1	13.4
Smoking habits, %		
smokers	24.0	16.5
ex-smokers	24.3	34.3
non-smokers	51.7	49.2
Age of asthma onset, %		
\leq 4 years	31.6	19.4
4-16 years	36.3	33.5
> 16 years	32.1	47.1
Total IgE, IU/ml, GM	155(68.7-377)	123(48.6-304)
Current asthma (last 12 months), %	89.2	91.3
Skin prick test positivity*, %	82.1	77.2
White blood cell counts		
Eosinophils/mm ³ , mean \pm SD	259 \pm 198	255 \pm 189
Neutrophils/mm ³ , mean \pm SD	4047 \pm 1442	4225 \pm 1536
FEV ₁ % predicted, mean \pm SD	96.2 \pm 18.2	93.1 \pm 21.4
FEV ₁ < 80% predicted, %	14.4	21.7
Methacholine challenge, n †	282	126
PD 20 \leq 4 mg, %	71.6	65.9
Asthma attacks in the last 12 months, %	41.8	40.9
Nocturnal symptoms (last 12 months), %		
Cough	37.0	38.0
Chest tightness	22.8	27.3
Shortness of breath	48.9	51.6
Asthma control, %		
Uncontrolled	10.6	13.2
Partly controlled	32.7	33.5
Controlled	56.7	53.3
Chronic cough, %	12.5	14.5
Chronic phlegm, %	10.8	12.9
Cough or phlegm all days during 3 months, %	13.6	17.0
Dyspnea grade 3, %	16.2	23.4
Inhaled corticosteroids (last 12 months), %	44.5	55.6
Respiratory infection (last 4 weeks), %	15.0	15.8

GM= geometric mean is shown with interquartile range. *Skin Prick Test positivity (SPT+) was defined by a mean wheal diameter \geq 3mm than the negative control for at least one of 12

aeroallergens. †Methacholine challenge test was not performed if baseline FEV₁ <80% predicted.

Table 2. Adjusted* cross sectional associations between neutrophilic or eosinophilic granulocyte patterns and asthma or asthma-related phenotypes at EGEA2 (n=474)

	NEU ^{hi} versus NEU ^{lo} (n=92/382)	EOS ^{hi} versus EOS ^{lo} (n=192/282)
Skin Prick Test positivity *	0.91 [0.49-1.70]	1.13 [0.67-1.90]
Methacholine challenge, PD 20 ≤ 4 mg	1.60 [0.75-3.40]	2.21 [1.24-3.97]†
At least one asthma attack (last 12 months)	1.55 [0.98-2.46]	1.48 [0.99-2.21]‡
Nocturnal symptoms (last 12 months)		
Cough	1.74 [1.10-2.74]†	1.16 [0.78-1.72]
Chest tightness	1.34 [0.78-2.29]	1.33 [0.82-2.17]
Shortness of breath	1.38 [0.87-2.17]	1.22 [0.83-1.80]
Asthma control		
Controlled	1	1
Partly controlled	2.88 [1.70-4.87]†	1.32 [0.87-2.02] #
Uncontrolled	2.99 [1.38-6.46]†	1.62 [0.83-3.16] #
Asthma exacerbations (last 12 months)	2.19 [1.07-4.50]†	0.81 [0.48-1.38]
Chronic cough	2.20 [1.15-4.21]	1.22 [0.68-2.17]
Chronic phlegm	1.73 [0.84-3.59]	1.40 [0.78-2.54]
Dyspnea grade 3	1.71 [0.91-3.22]	1.26 [0.73-2.18]

Results are expressed as adjusted odds ratio (OR) with a 95% confidence interval [95% CI]. OR [95% CI] were adjusted on age, sex, current smoking status and ICS use in the last year, taking into account familial dependence of the participants.

*Skin Prick Test positivity (SPT+) was defined by a mean wheal diameter ≥3mm than the negative control for at least one of 12 aeroallergens.

Methacholine challenge test was not performed if baseline FEV₁ <80% predicted.

† Remained significant in participants without respiratory infections in the last 4 weeks (n=404).

‡ Became significant in participants without respiratory infections in the last 4 weeks (OR=1.65 [1.06-2.55], n=404).

OR=1.52 [1.01-2.28] and 2.03 [1.08-3.80] for partly controlled and uncontrolled respectively in a model with adjustment for age and sex.

Table 3. Associations between asthma control outcomes and exacerbations and mixed pattern (cross-sectional analyses, n=474)

	EOSlo/NEUhi (n=50)	Mixed: EOShi/NEUhi (n=42)	EOShi/NEUlo (n=150)	Mixed: EOShi/NEUhi (n=42)
Methacholine challenge, PD 20 ≤ 4 mg	Ref	NA*	Ref	2.89 [0.77-10.8]
Asthma attack (last 12 months), %	Ref	2.23 [0.87-5.71]	Ref	2.12 [1.02-4.40]
Asthma control, % Partly controlled/uncontrolled	Ref	1.84 [0.64-5.31]	Ref	3.46 [1.54-7.76]
Exacerbations (last 12 months), %	Ref	0.79 [0.29-2.18]	Ref	2.56 [1.02-6.46]

Results are expressed as odds ratio (OR) with a 95% confidence interval [95% CI] and were adjusted on age, sex, current smoking status and ICS use in the last year, and taking into account familial dependence of the participants.

Methacholine challenge test was not performed if baseline FEV₁ <80% predicted.

*NA: not available due to smallest sample sizes.

Table 4. Adjusted longitudinal associations between neutrophilic or eosinophilic granulocyte patterns at baseline (EGEA1), or similar patterns at baseline and follow-up (EGEA1 and EGEA2) and asthma or asthma-related phenotypes at follow-up (EGEA2, n=242)

	NEU ^{hi} versus NEU ^{lo} at baseline (n=52/190)	NEU ^{hi} versus NEU ^{lo} at baseline and follow-up (n=24/159)	EOS ^{hi} versus EOS ^{lo} at baseline (n=106/136)	EOS ^{hi} versus EOS ^{lo} at baseline and follow-up (n=55/99)
Methacholine challenge, PD 20 ≤ 4 mg *	0.67 [0.27-1.69]	NA	2.36 [1.10-5.07]	3.85 [1.11-13.3]
Asthma attack (last 12 months)	0.90 [0.48-1.69]	1.22 [0.52-2.87]	1.02 [0.61-1.71]	1.30 [0.67-2.54]
Nocturnal symptoms (last 12 months)				
Cough	0.80 [0.42-1.50]	0.93 [0.37-2.30]	0.63 [0.36-1.09]	0.65 [0.31-1.39]
Chest tightness	0.77 [0.39-1.53]	1.10 [0.43-2.81]	1.11 [0.63-1.95]	1.21 [0.58-2.51]
Shortness of breath	0.77 [0.42-1.42]	1.08 [0.46-2.52]	1.01 [0.60-1.68]	1.07 [0.53-2.16]
Asthma control				
Controlled	1	1	1	1
Partly controlled/uncontrolled	1.52 [0.79-2.89]	3.09 [1.18-8.09]	1.30 [0.77-2.21]	1.68 [0.84-3.34]
Exacerbations (last 12 months)	0.85 [0.37-1.95]	1.97 [0.70-5.53]	1.26 [0.65-2.43]	0.85 [0.33-2.23]
Chronic cough	0.96 [0.39-2.36]	1.89 [0.59-6.07]	0.81 [0.38-1.75]	1.31 [0.47-3.63]
Chronic phlegm	0.90 [0.34-2.41]	2.11 [0.27-5.08]	1.32 [0.61-2.84]	1.87 [0.67-5.20]
Dyspnea grade 3	1.30 [0.65-2.62]	1.66 [0.59-4.68]	1.24 [0.68-2.26]	1.33 [0.57-3.07]

Results are expressed as adjusted odds ratio (OR) with a 95% confidence interval [95% CI]. OR [95% CI] were adjusted on age, sex and smoking at baseline, and taking into account familial dependence of the participants.

NA: not available due to smallest sample sizes.

*Methacholine challenge test was not performed if baseline FEV₁ <80% predicted.

Table 5. Adjusted longitudinal associations between neutrophilic or eosinophilic granulocyte patterns at baseline (EGEA1), or stable patterns between baseline and follow-up (EGEA1 and EGEA2) and lung function or lung function decline (EGEA2, n=242)

	NEU^{lo} at baseline (n=190)	NEU^{hi} at baseline (n=52)	P value	NEU^{lo} at baseline and follow-up (n=159)	NEU^{hi} at baseline and follow-up (n=24)	P value
FEV ₁ % predicted*	93.7 [90.2-97.1]	91.5 [90.2-97.1]	0.5	94.6 [90.8-98.4]	89.9 [81.4-98.5]	0.3
Change in FEV ₁ slope (mL/year)†	-27.9 [-35.0;-20.8]	-27.6 [-37.8;-13.7]	0.7	-27.8 [-35.4;-20.1]	-33.6 [-51.1;-16.1]	0.5
	EOS^{lo} at baseline (n=136)	EOS^{hi} at baseline (n=106)	P value*	EOS^{lo} at baseline and follow-up (n=99)	EOS^{hi} at baseline and follow-up (n=55)	P value*
FEV ₁ % predicted*	95.3 [91.4-99.1]	90.5 [86.2-94.7]	0.06	96.0 [91.3-100.7]	88.6 [82.3-94.9]	0.04
Change in FEV ₁ slope (mL/year)†	-30.7 [-38.6;-22.7]	-23.3 [-32.0;-14.6]	0.2	-28.6 [-38.2;-19.0]	-31.9 [-44.5;-19.4]	0.6

Results are expressed as mean with a 95% confidence interval [95% CI]. Means [95% CI] were adjusted on age, sex and smoking at baseline, and taking into account familial dependence of the participants.

*At follow-up (EGEA2).

†Calculated as annual lung function change (mL/year) with a negative value representing a decline.