



Iterative fecal microbiota transplantations for
eradicating digestive colonization with
carbapenemase-producing Enterobacteriaceae: is it
worth it?

Rafael Mahieu, Viviane Cassisa, Diane Sanderink, Rachel Chenouard, H  l  ne
Pailhori  s, Marie Kempf, Vincent Dub  e, Matthieu Eveillard

► To cite this version:

Rafael Mahieu, Viviane Cassisa, Diane Sanderink, Rachel Chenouard, H  l  ne Pailhori  s, et al.. Iterative fecal microbiota transplantations for eradicating digestive colonization with carbapenemase-producing Enterobacteriaceae: is it worth it?. Infection Control and Hospital Epidemiology, 2017. inserm-01559275

HAL Id: inserm-01559275

<https://inserm.hal.science/inserm-01559275>

Submitted on 10 Jul 2017

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Infection Control and Hospital Epidemiology

Iterative fecal microbiota transplantations for eradicating digestive colonization with carbapenemase-producing Enterobacteriaceae: is it worth it?

--Manuscript Draft--

Manuscript Number:	
Full Title:	Iterative fecal microbiota transplantations for eradicating digestive colonization with carbapenemase-producing Enterobacteriaceae: is it worth it?
Short Title:	
Article Type:	Letter
Corresponding Author:	Matthieu Eveillard, PharmD PhD CHU Angers Angers, FRANCE
Corresponding Author Secondary Information:	
Corresponding Author's Institution:	CHU Angers
Corresponding Author's Secondary Institution:	
First Author:	Rafael Mahieu
First Author Secondary Information:	
Order of Authors:	Rafael Mahieu
	Viviane Cassisa
	Diane Sanderink
	Rachel Chenouard
	Hélène Pailhoriès
	Marie Kempf
	Vincent Dubée
	Matthieu Eveillard, PharmD PhD
Order of Authors Secondary Information:	
Abstract:	Carbapenemase-producing Enterobacteriaceae (CPE) have emerged as a major source of bacterial resistance and their dissemination is a serious public health threat. ¹ It has also been demonstrated that those bacteria could disseminate outside the hospital setting. A large study including 34 hospitals in Spain demonstrated that a significant proportion of patients identified as colonized or infected with CPE during hospitalization probably acquired this multi-resistant organism in a nursing home during the period preceding their hospital admission. ² In addition, a recent review of the literature demonstrated that according to US-based studies, the percentage of CPE isolates that could be associated with the community ranged from 5.6 to 10.8%. ³
Suggested Reviewers:	

Affiliations :

1. Center for Research in Cancerology and Immunology Nantes-Angers, Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale, Université de Nantes, Université d'Angers, Angers, France ; Equipe Atip-Avenir, Center for Research in Cancerology and Immunology Nantes-Angers, Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale, Université de Nantes, Université d'Angers, Angers, France
2. Laboratoire de bactériologie, CHU Angers, 4 rue Larrey, F-49933 Angers, France
3. Service des Maladies infectieuses et tropicales, CHU Angers, 4 rue Larrey, F-49933 Angers, France

Word count: 889

Keywords: fecal microbiota transplantation; mouse model; iterative administration; carbapenemase-producing Enterobacteriaceae; eradication

To the Editor - Carbapenemase-producing Enterobacteriaceae (CPE) have emerged as a major source of bacterial resistance and their dissemination is a serious public health threat.¹ It has also been demonstrated that those bacteria could disseminate outside the hospital setting. A large study including 34 hospitals in Spain demonstrated that a significant proportion of patients identified as colonized or infected with CPE during hospitalization probably acquired this organism in a nursing home during the period preceding their hospital admission.² In addition, a recent review of the literature demonstrated that according to US-based studies, the percentage of CPE isolates that could be associated with the community ranged from 5.6 to 10.8%.³

We have recently demonstrated the less effective effect of fecal microbiota transplantation (FMT) on CPE as compared to vancomycin-resistant Enterococci (VRE) fecal carriage.⁴ Those results are consistent with another recent study⁵ conducted in six patients colonized with CPE and showing an eradication of the colonization in only 2/6 patients. In these studies, the decolonization procedure included only one FMT. One hypothesis is that a protocol including iterative FMT separated each other by a several-day latency could increase the effectiveness of the procedure.

Our objective was to evaluate the impact of iterative MFT for the clearance of CPE carriage in our mouse model of digestive colonization.

Ethical approval was obtained from the Ethical Committee in Animal Experimentation of Pays-de-la-Loire, France (reference: 2015041415088410/APAFIS 513) and conducted according to European directives concerning the use of animals in research (86/609/EEC).

Twenty-eight 8-week old mice (Swiss type) were used for the model. The normal digestive flora was disrupted with the daily oral administration of a combination of antimicrobial agents including vancomycin (50 mg/kg), metronidazole (25 mg.kg) and ceftriaxone (25 mg.kg) during 5 days (from day 1 to day 5). Mice were then randomized to receive a high inoculum (5.10^9 bacteria) of a strain of *Escherichia coli* producing a New Delhi metallo- β -lactamase -1 (NDM-1). Those bacteria were inoculated to the mice by oral gavage on day 4, day 5, and day 8. Mice were housed in individual cages.

FMT was collected daily from related (Swiss mice of the same age) untreated mice. Stool suspensions for FMT were prepared and stored as previously described.⁴ On day 10, mice were randomized to receive FMT (14 mice) or placebo (14 mice). During the experiment, 4 series of FMT or placebo administration were administered to the mice. In each series, the mice received FMT or placebo once daily for three successive days (from day 10 to day 12, from day 23 to day 25, from day 37 to day 39, and from day 49 to day 51) by oral gavage with 200 μ L of the stool suspension or 200 μ L of saline.

Stools were collected 3 times a week until day 57 and were weighted for quantitative cultures. They were seeded on agar media (ChromID CARBA, bioMérieux, France) after serial dilutions for CPE screening. Bacterial identification of CPE colonies was controlled by using the MALDI-TOF technology (Vitek MS, bioMérieux). A mouse was considered decolonized when 3 successive stool samples (corresponding to 4 or 5 days of follow-up) were found negative for CPE.

The evolution of the percentage of colonized mice during the follow-up period was studied by the Kaplan-Meier analysis (SPSS software v15.0). The comparison

1 between the FMT group and the placebo group was performed by using the log-rank
2 test. The comparison of the percentage of colonized mice in each group at day 57
3
4 was performed with the Fisher exact test. A p value < 0.05 was considered
5
6 statistically significant.
7
8
9

10
11 The results are presented on figure 1. Overall, by considering the placebo effect
12
13 corresponding to the natural clearance of CPE digestive colonization of mice, the
14
15 iterative FMT series demonstrated a moderate impact on the decolonization kinetics
16
17 ($p = 0.22$). However, by considering the difference between the FMT protocol and the
18
19 placebo at the end of the follow-up period, the decolonization rates were more clearly
20
21 different (50% (7/14) and 21% (3/14) respectively), although this difference was not
22
23 significant ($p = 0.11$).
24
25
26
27
28
29
30

31 Due to the low number of mice included, this study only provides preliminary results.
32
33 Moreover, the uncertainty of reproduction in humans of what is demonstrated in
34
35 animals must be considered.
36
37
38

39 The iterative FMT allowed the eradication of CPE in 50% of colonized mice, which
40
41 can be considered as a moderately convincing result. In a recent study ⁶ conducted
42
43 with patients presenting blood disorders, the digestive carriage of NDM-1-producing
44
45 *Klebsiella pneumonia* was eradicated in less than 50% (6/14) of cases. In our
46
47 experiment, it is noteworthy that the decrease of the percentage of colonized mice
48
49 was higher in the FMT group than in the placebo group for each of the 4 treatment
50
51 series (figure 1). However, the short length of stay of most hospitalized patients limits
52
53 the applicability of a decolonization protocol including iterative FMT in the clinical
54
55 practice. However, it could be conceivable for patients hospitalized in long-term care
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

1 facilities or for the residents in nursing homes, in contexts of uncontrolled cross-
2 transmission during certain outbreaks of CPE carriage, and if the FMT are
3 administered orally. Additional studies are needed for evaluating the impact of the
4 composition of the transplanted fecal material on the FMT outcome in terms of CPE
5 eradication. Indeed, Ubeda et al.⁷ have recently demonstrated that intestinal
6 microbiota transplant containing *Barnesiella* species cured VRE colonization in mice.
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16

17 **Conflict of interest statement**

18
19 No author declares conflict of interest.
20
21
22

23 **Financial support**

24
25 The study was supported by INSERM (Institut national de la santé et de la recherche
26 médicale) and by Angers University (programme « Année recherche 2015 »).
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
61
62
63
64
65

References

1. Logan LK, Weinstein RA. The epidemiology of carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae: the impact and evolution of a global menace. *J Infect Dis* 2017;215(Suppl. 1):S28-S36.
2. Palacios-Baena ZR, Oteo J, Conejo C, et al. Comprehensive clinical and epidemiological assessment of colonization and infection due to carbapenemase-producing Enterobacteriaceae in Spain. *J Infect* 2016;**72**:152-60.
3. Kelly AM, Mathema B, Larson EL. Carbapenem-resistant Enterobacteriaceae in the community: a scoping review. *Int J Antimicrob Agents* 2017. doi: 10.1016/j.ijantimicag.2017.03.012.
4. Mahieu R, Cassisa V, Hilliquin D, et al. Impact of faecal microbiota transplantation on mouse digestive colonization with two extensively resistant bacteria. *J Infect* 2017. doi: 10.1016/j.jinf.2017.04.008 (In press).
5. Davido B, Batista R, Michelon H, et al. Is faecal microbiota transplantation an option to eradicate highly drug-resistant enteric bacteria carriage? *J Hosp Infect* 2017;**95**:433-7.
6. Bilinski J, Grzesiowski P, Sorensen N, et al. Fecal microbiota transplantation in patients with blood disorders inhibits gut colonization with antibiotic-resistant bacteria: results of a prospective, single-center study. *Clin Infect Dis* 2017. doi: 10.1093/cid/cix252.
7. Ubeda C, Bucci V, Caballero S, et al. Intestinal microbiota containing *Barnesiella* species cures vancomycin-resistant *Enterococcus faecium* colonization. *Infect Immun* 2013 ;**81** :965-73.

Figure 1: Comparative effect of iterative fecal microbiota transplantation and placebo administration on the digestive colonization with a carbapenemase-producing *Escherichia coli* in a mouse model.

