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Beyond structure, the mechanics of plasma membrane components is of key importance to biological function. Nanoscale mechanics is however poorly described due to a lack of suitable experimental tools. Here, we combined atomic force microscopy and nanomechanical mapping to analyze structure and mechanical properties of native eye lens cell membranes. Lens membranes mainly comprise two proteins; aquaporin-0 and connexin, forming respectively thin and gap intercellular junctions that sustain mechanical stress during accommodation. Our results reveal the mechanical heterogeneity of the plasma membrane, allowing examination of the mechanical nanoenvironment of individual proteins and the flexibility of supramolecular assemblies. The remarkable rigidity of gap junctions suggests their role as stable intercellular adhesion complexes assuring maintenance of thin junctions, which form more flexible supramolecular complexes capable of sustaining pressure differences between cells. Our work proposes the mechanical properties of individual proteins and protein domains directly related to biological function as a novel molecular signature.

Introduction

The plasma membrane is a selective physico-chemical barrier that mediates specific communication between the cell and the extracellular space; and it is often described as a two-dimensional fluid bilayer formed by lipids, cholesterol, sugars and proteins enthrallingly arranged\textsuperscript{1}. In conjunction with the different types of receptors, cell adhesion molecules provide mechanical contact between the cell interior and the extracellular matrix or neighbour cells, while membrane transporters and channels allow the exchange of metabolites, water, ions and macromolecules\textsuperscript{2-4}. Due to the lack of appropriate tools for mechanical mapping at the nanometer scale, the mechanical properties of individual membrane proteins and supramolecular assemblies have been systematically overseen until recent AFM-based developments\textsuperscript{5-11}. However, the mechanical properties of the membrane components and their environment provide fundamental information related to function, since this is the scale at which the membrane works. For example, the mechanical stability of supramolecular protein complexes is important in cell adhesion and force transduction\textsuperscript{12, 13}, membrane mechanics directly influences the functionality of membrane channels\textsuperscript{14}, and flexibility of individual proteins and protein subdomains is required for conformational changes that modulate biological function\textsuperscript{15}.

The eye lens is a highly specialized tissue formed by multiple layers of the so-called fiber cells\textsuperscript{16}. To assure transparency, fiber cells require exceptionally narrow intercellular spacing. Additionally, the process of accommodation allows the eye to focus at various distances and is assured by the elasticity of the eye lens. Hence, the intercellular junctions between the plasma membranes of adjacent fiber cells must comply with two functional tasks: narrow cell-cell contact and mechanical integrity of the tissue during deformation. Two major proteins are present in the plasma membrane: connexins (Cx) hexamers (connexons) and aquaporin 0 (AQP0) tetramers\textsuperscript{17}. The extracellular loops of both proteins interact homotypically between adjacent cells to form intercellular channel-junctions. The supramolecular organization of AQP0 and Cx in the lens plasma membrane has been extensively studied from both healthy and cataract tissue using electron and atomic force microscopy\textsuperscript{18-20}. These studies revealed AQP0 and connexons assembled in clusters of several adjacent protein repeats forming, respectively, thin junctions of AQP0 tetramers ordered in a square lattice and gap junctions of closely packed connexons. In addition, and possibly due to the interaction between Cx50 with AQP0, thin and gap junctions co-localized forming junctional microdomains\textsuperscript{19, 21}. It has been suggested that deficient organization of junctional microdomains has a direct implication in the development of senile and type-II diabetes cataracts\textsuperscript{22}. While a dual role of AQP0 as adhesion molecule and intercellular water pore is still matter of debate\textsuperscript{23-25}, recent works indicate that, in addition to the known channelling function, neuronal connexins play a role as adhesive molecules able to bear significant forces\textsuperscript{26-28}. Thus, AQP0 and Cx may play an important adhesive role to assure the mechanical integrity and tight cell-cell binding in the eye lens. Regardless of the well known supramolecular architecture of junctional microdomains, little is known about their mechanical properties, which is important for the proper chemical and physical intercellular communication that ensures tissue integrity. Here we report the direct mechanical mapping of intact membranes from nuclear fiber cells of the eye lens using PeakForce atomic force microscopy (AFM). Our measurements reveal the rich mechanical heterogeneity of the plasma membrane identifying the flexibility of the different membrane components and microstructures. The combination of topographical images and elasticity maps provides evidence of direct contact between AQP0s of apposing membranes. The remarkable rigidity of connexin gap junctions and the relative compliance of AQP0 junctional microdomains suggest that gap junctions play a major role in the chemistry of adhesion.
role as rigid and stable adhesion complexes that assure the structural stability of junctional microdomains and the proper contact between eye lens cells, while AQP0 microdomains comply to inter-cell pressure differences.

Fig. 1) Isolated plasma membranes from the eye lens maintain the native double-layered architecture. A) Crystal structure representation of the lens membrane proteins connexin and aquaporin 0, showing single layer configuration (exposing the extracellular side) and the native, double layer configuration (exposing the cytoplasmic side) (PDB codes 2ZW3 and 2B6O). Structural images rendered using Pymol, http://www.pymol.org). B) Overview topography of an isolated lens membrane revealing a darker, single layer region and a brighter, double layer region. The line graph shows the cross section along the dotted line in the image and reveals the thickness of the single and double layers. The false color scale was 25 nm.

Results

Isolated lens membranes maintain their double layer structure

We used AFM in PeakForce mode to map the topography and mechanical properties (elasticity and deformation) of native membranes isolated from nuclear eye lens cells. In PeakForce mode, the tip oscillates relative to the sample acquiring, at each pixel, a force-distance curve from which topography, elasticity (Young’s modulus, E) and deformation is determined (Fig. S1). The lateral forces in PeakForce mode are negligible and allowed us to obtain images of isolated lens membranes deposited on mica without disrupting their native double-layered supramolecular organization (Fig. 1). Figure 1 shows an example of an intact double layer membrane with a region of single layer, with thicknesses ranging between 12 nm and 16 nm and between 5 nm and 9 nm, respectively.

Figure 2 reveals the crowded composition of lens membranes, presenting ordered AQP0 square arrays surrounded by densely packed connexons and lipid regions. High-resolution images of that same membrane allowed us to distinguish individual AQP0 tetramers in 2D square arrays ($a=b=6.5 \pm 0.2$ nm, $n=78$), as well as ring-shaped structures of $5.9 \pm 0.5$ nm in diameter and with an average intermolecular spacing of $8.7 \pm 2.8$ nm characteristic of connexons ($n=14$, Fig. 3). Previous images of lens cells junctions using electron microscopy are in agreement with our reported thickness values for both thin and gap junctions and its single layered subcomponents. Given that most of the membrane fragment was intact (double layer), the top layer exposed the cytoplasmic face (CP) and the bottom layer is attached to the support also with the CP, the two layers sandwich the extracellular faces (EC) that are exposed in some particular areas (Fig. 1B and 2A). The low stability of the top layer made it difficult to identify the different types of proteins from their topographical signature viewed from the CP. However, we were able to observe on the CP top layer a square periodic signature with a periodicity of $6.7 \pm 0.3$ nm, characteristic of AQP0 arrays (Fig. 3) and sometimes ring-like structures interpreted as connexons. Dissection of the top layer using contact mode at moderately increased forces ($\geq 200$ pN) and subsequent contact mode imaging confirmed these assignments (Fig. 2D). It is remarkable that the lattice orientation of AQP0 domains of the top layer matches that of the bottom layer analyzed after dissection, revealing inter-membrane interaction of EC loops of AQP0, as previously shown on reconstituted 2D crystals.

The plasma membrane of lens cells is mechanically heterogeneous

Mechanical mapping of supported single- and double-layered lens membranes, exposing the EC and CP face respectively, revealed rich mechanical heterogeneity. As shown in figure 2B, EC components (bottom layer) appeared in general stiffer than CP ones (top layer), being protein regions always stiffer than lipids, and the mica substrate showing saturating $E$ values. While topographic images of the top layer allowed only visualization of faint AQP0 lattice characteristics of thin junctions and rarely ring-like structures (Fig. 3), we obtained clear mechanical contrast of the various membrane components on both layers. This contrast allowed us to recognize the different molecular species and calculate average values of mechanical properties for the EC and CP, protein and lipid regions. Indeed, on the EC face, connexon domains had a similar elastic modulus ($60 \pm 17$ MPa, mean ± standard deviation) than AQP0 domains ($58 \pm 22$ MPa), being both stiffer than lipids ($26 \pm 8$ MPa). The CP face of fully formed gap junction domains was softer ($51 \pm 12$ MPa) than their corresponding EC face. AQP0s appeared much more compliant at the EC face ($23 \pm 4$ MPa) than at the CP. Similarly, lipids appeared softer when probed on the CP surface ($8 \pm 2$ MPa) than on the EC (Fig. 4). It is important to note that the Young’s modulus values of the different membrane components extracted from CP side are only reliable in the case of Cx domains, since these are the thicker structures and thus the only ones in direct contact with the mica substrate. The CP AQP0 thin junction and lipid domains were only supported by the edges, thus the Young’s moduli provide only a qualitative estimate of the flexibility of these structures, as discussed below. Recent work using PeakForce at various applied forces on DOPC/DPPC lipid mixtures reported elasticity values of $19$ MPa and $28$ MPa, for fluid and gel phases respectively, which is in good agreement with our observed lipid elasticity of the EC face. The observed lipid elasticity suggests that the EC leaflet of lens membranes may have an important content of cholesterol, which is known to increase the bilayer stiffness.
Figure 2: Mechanical heterogeneity of the plasma membrane of lens cells. A) Topography, B) Young’s modulus and C) deformation of double and single-layered lens membrane. D) Contact mode deflection image of the bottom layer after nanodissection. False color scale were 11 nm (A) and 1.1 nm (D). Dotted squares represent the regions showed in Fig. 3.

The deformability of AQP0 thin junctions depends on their size

The force applied during AFM imaging may deform importantly a soft sample. PeakForce imaging allows the deformation to be controlled, measured and assessed at every pixel. We, thus, computed the “undeformed” im-ages by adding the deformation data. While the overall thickness measured from undeformed topography differed only by ~1 nm relative to the mica substrate compared to deformed ones, some regions showed larger deformations. This was particularly noticeable on large lipid and AQP0 domains of the top layer (Suppl. Fig. S2). Remarkably, the deformation of AQP0 thin junctions in-creased with domain diameter (Figs. 4B and S2F).

Mechanical properties of individual AQP0, con-nexons and associated lipids

To obtain detailed insight into the mechanical proper-ties of the individual proteins and protein-associated lip-ids we used masks to select APQ0 or Cx regions. We then fitted bimodal Gaussian functions corresponding to the two main elasticity populations of the masked regions, i.e. proteins and protein-associated lipids (see Methods section, Fig. 3 and supplementary Fig. S3). While average values report about the elasticity of the whole protein domain, the values obtained from the fitted distributions reflect the elasticity of the individual proteins and the lipids associated to that particular protein. The final elasticity values are shown in table 1. While the stiffness values of the individual proteins were similar to those of the protein domains, the stiffness of the lipids was systematically higher, especially on the cytoplasmic face, than that obtained from the average of pure lipid regions. This could be due to two main reasons. First, different species of lipids surround the protein, and/or second, proteins enhance the mechanical stability of the associated lipids by acting as stable supports.

Additionally, the relative area under the fitted bimodal distribution of the log(\(E\)) histograms provided us with an estimate of the ratio of protein/lipid concentration in each protein domain. On both EC and CP faces, AQP0/lipid area ratios were ~4. Connexon regions, in contrast, re-vealed area ratios of ~9 and ~49 on the EC and CP faces, respectively.

Discussion

This study uncovers a fundamental feature of the plasma membrane of living cells by revealing the rich mechanical heterogeneity of its individual structural components, not only at the nanoscale but also at the larger scale of supramolecular assembly. We probed the mechanical properties of the plasma membrane of nuclear fiber cells from the eye lens, as a relevant example of the crowded microenvironment found in the membrane of living cells that is exposed to physiological mechanical stress.

The low lateral forces of PeakForce AFM imaging prevented the disruption of the native double-layered architecture of isolated membranes, allowing a detailed study in a native-like configuration. An important observation of this work is that AQP0 lattices have the same orientation in the CP and EC surfaces (Figs. 2, 3 S5), implying interaction between apposing AQP0s and then formation of membrane junctions. This allowed us to hypothesize about the formation of domains in the lens membranes. Although the possibility of lateral phase separation due to lipid mediated protein-protein interaction is plausible, given the reported evidence that lens membrane protein domains assemble during the lifespan of the organism31, adhesion induced domain formation is perhaps more probable. We suggest that randomly distributed AQP0 and Cx of one cell interact homotypically with the apposing cell at the early stages of cell differentiation. As has been suggested before 32, 33, the different extend of EC domains and binding strength of the two protein species provides an energetically favourable context to form clusters and reorganize spontaneously into differentiated domains, in turn favouring the formation of more complexes. Thus, the supramolecular assembly of lens membranes may form after and as a consequence of the homotypic adhesion of AQP0 and Cx between apposing cells.

Elasticity maps showed lipids having clearly different mechanical signatures than AQP0 thin junctions and connexin gap junctions in the EC face; and revealing gap junctions more rigid and less deformable than thin junctions and lipid domains in the CP face (Figs. 2B, 2C and 4A). The Young’s moduli for the EC face ranged from 26 MPa for lipid to 60 MPa for connexon domains. We calculated the expected bending stiffness (\(k_\text{B}\)) of the lipid domains with the values of the Young’s modulus (E=58 MPa) and the bilayer thickness (\(h=4.5 \text{ nm}\)) and using the formula \(k_\text{B} = \frac{Eh^3}{24(1-v^2)}\), proposed by Rawicz et al. 34 (where \(v=0.5\) is the Poisson ratio, see S1). The resulting bending stiffness of \(\sim 32 \text{ k}_\text{B}T\) is in excellent agreement with values of 30-40 \(\text{k}_\text{B}T\) reported from flicker spectroscopy experiments on unilamellar lipid vesicles containing 20-30% cholesterol (being \(k_\text{B}T\) the Boltzmann constant and \(T\) room temperature35, similar to the concentration found in lens membranes). As we reported before on supported lipid bilayers, this remarkable coincidence of the values of the bending stiffness obtained from such different...
The elasticity of the individual proteins revealed signatures of their structure-function relationship. The CP surface of AQPO was on average significantly more compliant than the EC face. This may be a result of the more compact arrangement of the short AQPO EC loops evolved to engage in the homotypic junction formation, compared to the CP face with longer CP loops and the free-standing N- and C-termini. However, the compliant CP surface of AQPO appears to emerge from the lack of contact with the mica surface of thin junctions. In the case of connexons, in both configurations with either EC or CP exposed, the proteins are supported by the mica substrate. Thus, we are in position of comparing the stiffness of the two regions. The EC surface of connexons appeared stiffer than the CP surface, in agreement with the estimated temperature factors from crystallographic analysis. This reflects the rigid conformation of the CX EC loops, probably due to the disulfide bonded beta strands, compared to the yet unsolved, much larger and probably less structured CP tail of lens CX. This differential stiffness of CX is related to the different functions of the two faces, channel gating through a more flexible cytoplasmic plug, and adhesion and force-bearing role of the rigid extracellular domains. Unlike temperature factors, our elastic maps allow us to compare the relative rigidity of the two proteins. The similarly high E values of EC connexons and AQPO may reflect a common feature of the binding domains of proteins.
burying the surrounding lipids, and adopting very close packing of the CP domains of connexons, perhaps forming actual contacts.

As presented in Table 1, the elasticity of lipids surrounding AQP0 or connexons was remarkably higher than that of isolated lipids. Although mechanical perturbation of the proteins themselves cannot be excluded, this suggests two possibilities. One, that the protein-associated lipids are mechanically more stable and less mobile than isolated ones due to the more crowded nanoenvironment. And two, that protein-associated lipids are of a different species than isolated ones. Interestingly, there is strong evidence that AQP0 recruit and tightly bind cholesterol and sphingomyelin present in the eye lens membrane and form, together with these, detergent resistant membranes, reported to be up to three times stiffer than pure phospholipid domains. These results imply that the mechanical stability of the plasma membrane is not only due to the mechanical properties of the individual components, but also modulated by their supramolecular organization.

The eye lens is a remarkable tissue that must assure two functions. On the one hand, it must be deformable, allowing accommodation when focusing at different distances throughout the human lifespan. On the other hand, it must preserve a tight and solid cell packing to distances inferior to the wavelength of visible light for being transparent. Therefore, the eye lens requires cell-cell junctions capable of transmitting forces and withstanding pressure changes from one cell to the other to allow deformation, and close proximity between cells to avoid light scattering. Indeed, lenses with compromised cytoskeleton but intact cell-cell adhesion have shown to preserve transparency, which reflects the importance of proper cell contacts. Our data suggests that the more rigid gap junctions would effectively carry this first biomechanical function by maintaining firm cell-cell contact, while serving as stable lateral support to the more flexible AQP0 thin junctions that would assure close proximity between adjacent plasma membranes and provide flexibility to allow pressure release. We could speculate that the normal deformation of AQP0 domains will increase membrane tension, in turn modulating water conductance.

In summary, we present direct evidence of the mechanical heterogeneity of the components, nanoenvironment and supramolecular assembly of the plasma membrane of lens fiber cells. Given the observed crowdedness of other plasma membranes, we propose that this mechanical heterogeneity is not particular to fiber cells from the eye lens but instead found in every plasma membrane. Therefore, the mechanics of membrane proteins provides an essential and specific signature associated to biological function.

Fig. 3 Mechanical properties of individual membrane proteins. Left) maps of the cytoplasmic (top layer) surface. The inset in deflection shows the same region of the bottom layer after nanodissection, revealing the same AQP0 orientation (arrows). Right) extracellular face (bottom layer) revealing the square array formed by AQP0 tetramers and ring-like connexons with visible central pore. Shaded areas in the deflection images show Cx (blue) and lipid domains (yellow). Unlabeled areas represent AQP0 domains. The regions shown are those delimited by dotted squares in Fig. 2.

Fig. 4 Quantitative mechanics of eye lens membranes. A) Average mean (± standard deviation) obtained from regions presenting either molecular species. B) Maximum deformation of aquaporin 0 (AQP0) thin
junctions as a function of domain diameter (open circles). The solid line is not a fit to the experimental data but the prediction assuming thin plate theory using the Young’s modulus of extracellular AQP0 ($E=58$ MPa) and 10-nm thickness of AQP0 thin junctions (see Eq. S2 in Supporting Information). C) Deformation mechanism of the lens membrane due to pressure difference between neighbour cells.

**Experimental procedures**

**Lens membrane preparation and immobilization**

Native membranes were isolated from nuclear fiber cells from ovine eye lenses after removal of the cortical shell as previously described. Lens membranes were immobilized on a freshly cleaved mica surface for 10 min by adding ~3 µL in 40 µL of adsorption buffer (10 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.4), 150 mM KCl, 25 mM MgCl₂) at room temperature and then rinsed with measurement buffer (10 mM Tris–HCl (pH 7.4), 150 mM KCl).

**AFM measurements**

AFM measurements were carried out in measurement buffer at room temperature and ambient pressure on a Nanoscope-V AFM (Bruker, Santa Barbara, CA) equipped with Nanoscope 8 control software, in PeakForce and contact modes. We used Si₃N₄ cantilevers with nominal spring constant of 100 pN/nm or 500 pN/nm and silicon tips with 2-nm nominal radius (MSNL, Bruker, Santa Barbara, CA). The spring constant of the cantilevers was calibrated using the thermal fluctuations method before the measurements. PeakForce imaging mode consisted of oscillating the sample support at constant rate (2 kHz) and amplitude (between 5 and 30 nm). During each oscillation cycle, the deflection (force) of the cantilever was monitored to obtain a force-distance curve. The vertical amplitude of the piezoelectric displacement was set to allow the tip to completely separate out of contact from the sample surface, allowing accurate determination of the zero force and the maximum applied indentation force (Fig. S1). The approach trace was used to control the maximum force applied (~300 pN) and the deformation. The retraction regime was used to determine the Young’s modulus. Images were obtained at a resolution of 256 by 256 pixels (Figs. 2A, 2B and 2C) or 512 by 512 pixels (Figs. 1B and 2D) at scan rates of 2 Hz and 1 Hz, respectively. The Young’s modulus ($E$) was calculated at each pixel of the image from the contact part of the retraction trace of each oscillation cycle by fitting the Hertz model of a spherical tip of radius $R$ indenting an elastic half-space,$^8, 49$

$$F = \frac{4E}{3(1-\nu^2)} \sqrt{R \delta^{3/2}}$$

being $\nu=0.5$ the Poisson ratio and $\delta$, the indentation. The radius of the tip was assumed to be 2 nm, its nominal value. To avoid the contribution of the long-range forces coming from electrostatic and van del Waals interactions, we restricted the fit to a range between 5% and 70% of the maximum indentation force. The deformation was calculated as the indentation range corresponding to the 85% of the maximum force of the approach curve (SI).

**Nanodissection of lens membranes**

Nanodissection of the top layer of lens membrane was carried out in contact mode. During imaging (scan rate 5 Hz) of the whole membrane fragment including the surrounding mica substrate, the imaging setpoint was increased until physical removal of the top layer took place (at around and above 200pN of applied force)$^{29}$.

**Image analysis**

Images were analyzed using Gwyddion 2.26 software (http://gwyddion.net). Average values of the Young’s modulus of CP and EC AQP0, Cx and lipid domains were obtained from at least 3 regions from one sample of double-layered, CP-exposing membranes and from 5 samples of single-layered, EC-exposing membranes. The diameter of the AQP0 domains was determined by measuring the area of each domain and assuming circular shape, thus $Diameter = 2\sqrt{Area/\pi}$.

To obtain detailed insight into the mechanical properties of the individual proteins and the associated lipids, we computed histograms of the logarithm of $E$ of masked regions presenting only either AQP0 or Cx from both EC and CP faces (supplementary Fig. S3). Histograms were well fitted with bimodal Gaussian functions corresponding to the two main elasticity populations, one of protein associated lipids and one of proteins (Igor Pro 6, Wavemetrics, Oswego, OR).
Table 1. Elasticity of individual proteins and protein-associated lipids. Young's modulus ($E$) and relative areas obtained from bimodal Gaussian fits to the stiffness distributions for extracellular and cytoplasmic domains presenting either only APQ0 or Cx proteins (see Fig. S3). For comparison, the average $E$ values for CP and EC lipid domains are shown in the bottom row.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Cytoplasmic</th>
<th>Extracellular</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$E$ (MPa)</td>
<td>Area (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AQP0 domains</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AQP0- associated lipid</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cx domains</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cx-associated lipid</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lipid domains</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>100</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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