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Study Objectives: To estimate trajectories of sleep lost over worry as a function of age, using longitudinal modeling, and compare these trajectories with those for insomnia symptoms.

Design and Setting: Data from two prospective, occupational cohorts (the Whitehall II and Finnish Public Sector studies) comprising 84,384 observations from four to eight repeat measurements in 1985-2010.

Participants: There were 16,408 men and women age 34-79 yr.

Measurements and Results: Age-related trajectories of sleep lost over worry and insomnia symptoms (sleep initiation or maintenance problems, nonrefreshing sleep) were estimated using repeated-measures log-binomial regression analysis and generalized estimating equations. These analyses were adjusted for year of birth and time of measurement to minimize confounding by cohort or period effects. The prevalence ratio for insomnia symptoms was higher in older age groups compared with participants age 34-45 yr. In contrast, the age-related trajectory of sleep lost over worry included two phases: a period of high prevalence of sleep complaints at age 34-60 yr followed by a declining trajectory at older ages. Compared with participants age 34-45 yr, prevalence ratios for sleep lost over worry were 0.63 (0.49-0.80) and 0.59 (0.41-0.84) in the Whitehall II study participants ages 61-65 and 71-79 years. Corresponding figures were 0.62 (0.52-0.75) and 0.46 (0.32-0.66) in the Finnish Public Sector study.

Conclusion: This study shows a general age-related decrease in sleep lost over worry between late midlife and old age, a pattern strikingly different from the age-related increase in insomnia symptoms.
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INTRODUCTION

The widely acknowledged association between aging and increasing sleep problems has recently been challenged.1 There is evidence to suggest an age-related weakening of the homostatic and circadian sleep mechanisms, affecting nighttime sleep consolidation and daytime sleep propensity.2 In addition, prevalence of insomnia tends to increase with age.3 This may be explained by chronic diseases, such as cardiovascular disease, which are known to increase with age and are also associated with sleep disorders.4 However, these findings do not necessarily mean that sleep complaints due to worry increase with age because subjective sleep complaints are only moderately correlated with polysomnography sleep findings.5

Assessment of the effect of age on sleep complaints is not straightforward, as long-term follow-up data that span many decades are rare. There are numerous cross-sectional studies on age and different aspects of sleep complaints, but this evidence is inconsistent. Some studies have suggested that sleep dissatisfaction or poor sleep quality in general6-9 and insomnia symptoms corresponding to the Diagnostic and Statistical Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition (DSM-IV) criteria10-17 are more prevalent in older adults, but other studies have failed to replicate these findings.11,18-20 A recent cross-sectional study of more than 150,000 adults found a nonlinear association between age and self-reported problems with sleep initiation and maintenance or sleeping too much.1 The prevalence of sleep disturbances was the highest among those age 18-24 yr and decreased in a nonlinear manner until the age of 80 yr or older. Methodologic limitations may have contributed to these inconsistencies. Cross-sectional data cannot capture within-individual change nor can they distinguish the effect of chronicologic age from contextual change (cohort effects), or secular changes related to specific historical periods (the period effect). These three influences represent different underlying mechanisms; effects of age reflect the natural history of sleep complaints but cross-sectional findings are also affected by cohort and period effects, which are associated with changes in factors affecting sleep behaviors at different points in time.

Recent studies suggest sleep lost over worry, an indicator of sleep disturbances, is a robust predictor of increased cardiovascular morbidity and mortality.21,22 Sleep lost over worry has also been found to be associated with an increased risk of alcohol-related problems.23 When compared with the Jenkins Sleep Problem scale,24 a widely used measure of insomnia symptoms in epidemiologic research, the measure of sleep lost over worry seems to have lower sensitivity, but relatively good specific-
ity in identifying insomnia.25 Thus, it may measure a different aspect of disturbed sleep compared with insomnia symptoms. Sleep loss in general is associated with decline in cognitive performance26,27 and adverse health conditions, such as elevated blood pressure, increased cortisol secretion, and deteriorated immune response.28-30 However, we are not aware of studies that have examined the association of age with the evolution of sleep loss specifically related to worry.

In this study, we sought to describe the evolution of sleep lost over worry as a function of age from early midlife to old age. To achieve robust estimation of age-related trajectories, we obtained data from two independent large-scale European cohorts with four to eight repeat measurements of sleep complaints over one to two decades for a total of more than 16,000 adults age 34 to 79 yr. A significant advantage of these data is the opportunity to stratify analyses by date of birth and calendar period, i.e., phase of measurement, in each of the studies and thus control for two major sources of bias, cohort and period effects. For comparison, we performed corresponding analyses for self-reported frequency of insomnia symptoms, shown previously to increase with age.31,32

METHODS

Study Population and Design
Participants were from the prospective cohorts of the Whitehall II study, United Kingdom, and the Finnish Public Sector study, Finland, for a total of 16,408 men and women. Approval was obtained from the University College London Medical School committee on the ethics of human research for the Whitehall II study, and the ethics committee of the Finnish Institute of Occupational Health for the Finnish Public Sector study.

Whitehall II Cohort: This cohort was initially recruited in 1985-1988 (Phase 1) from 20 London-based civil service departments; 10,308 participants (67% men) age 35-55 yr responded (response rate 73%).33 Eight further data collection phases (Phases 2 to 9) have taken place. In addition to Phase 1, the current study used data from Phases 2 (1987-1990), 3 (1992-1994), 5 (1997-1999), 6 (2000-2001), 7 (2003-2004), 8 (2006-2007), and 9 (2008-2010) (Table 1). Data from Phase 4 were not included because this phase did not include a comparable measure of sleep complaints. We included all participants with sleep data at least at Phases 1 and 9, yielding a sample size of 6,601 participants (71% men) age 34-56 yr at Phase 1 and 55-79 yr at Phase 9. The dropout rate was 36% during the follow-up of up to 24 years.

Finnish Public Sector Study: The target population was public sector employees in 10 towns and 21 public hospitals in Finland.34 At baseline a random sample of the employees was invited to participate and responders included 11,168 employees age 18-65 yr (15% men) participating in a questionnaire survey in 1997-1998 (Phase 1, response rate 70%). Four follow-up surveys targeting the entire personnel of the participating organizations were carried out in the years 2000-2002 (Phase 2, n = 48,598, response rate 68%), 2004-2005 (Phase 3), 2006 (Phase 4, did not include a comparable measure of sleep complaints) and 2008-2009 (Phase 5). In this analysis, we included participants who have responded at least to the Phase 1 and Phase 5 surveys and were age 34 yr or older at baseline to correspond with the Whitehall II study, a total of 9,807 participants (15% men, reflecting the sex mix in municipal employees) age 34-66 yr at Phase 1 and age 45-78 yr at Phase 5. The dropout rate was 12% during the follow-up of up to 12 years.

Measurement of Sleep Lost Over Worry
In both the Whitehall II and Finnish Public Sector studies, sleep lost over worry was assessed using a single item from the General Health Questionnaire,35,36 used previously to show an association between sleep lost over worry and cardiovascular morbidity and mortality.6,7 The participant was asked to think about the past few weeks when responding to the question “Have you recently lost much sleep over worry?” using the following response categories: 1 = “not at all”, 2 = “no more than usual”, 3 = “rather more than usual”, 4 = “much more than usual”. Responses were dichotomized to indicate presence (response alternatives 3 and 4) or absence (response alternatives 1 and 2) of sleep complaints. Sleep lost over worry was measured
eight times in Whitehall II (Phases 1-3 and 5-9) and four times in the Finnish Public Sector study (Phases 1-3 and 5).

Measurement of Insomnia Symptoms

Further, to elucidate our results for sleep lost over worry, we carried out additional analyses of insomnia symptoms. Insomnia symptoms were assessed using the Jenkins Sleep Problem Scale and, based on previous studies, were hypothesized to increase with age. Participants were asked to evaluate how often in the past month they had experienced the following symptoms: difficulties initiating sleep, waking up several times per night, awakening too early in the morning, and non-refreshing sleep. These self-reported insomnia symptoms correspond to the DSM-IV diagnostic criteria for insomnia (except for daytime consequences). The response scale ranged from 1 (“not at all”) to 6 (Whitehall II study “22-31 days”; Finnish Public Sector study “every night”). If the participant reported more than one symptom, the frequency of insomnia symptoms was defined by the most frequent symptom. Symptoms occurring at least 5 nights per week (response alternative 6 in the Whitehall II and response alternatives 5-6 in the Finnish Public Sector study) defined participants with insomnia symptoms.

Time-Dependent Covariates

Current smoking (no/yes), excessive alcohol consumption, low physical activity, and obesity were used as time-dependent covariates in both studies.

In Whitehall II, height and weight were measured to obtain body mass index (BMI, weight in kg/height in meters squared) which was dichotomized (obese, BMI ≥ 30 kg/m² versus non-obese, BMI < 30 kg/m²). Smoking status (current smoker versus nonsmoker) was requested. Alcohol consumption in the previous week was measured as units per week, then categorized as heavy (> 21 units for men, > 14 units for women) versus other. Physical activity was assessed based on responses to questions on the frequency and duration of participation in moderately energetic (e.g., dancing, cycling), and vigorous physical activity (e.g., running, playing squash). Participants were classified as inactive (< 1 hr/wk of moderate physical activity and < 1 hr/wk of vigorous physical activity) versus other.

In the Finnish Public Sector study, obesity was defined based on self-reported height and weight. Participants reported their average weekly consumption of beer, wine, and spirits in portions, which were converted into units of alcohol and categorized as heavy (> 21 units for men, > 14 units for women) versus other. Participants assessed the quantity of their physical activity equivalent to walking, brisk walking, jogging, or running. Physical inactivity was defined as ≤ 2 metabolic equivalent task hr per day (no/yes), a definition close to that used in Whitehall II.

Statistical Analysis

Age was calculated for each year of survey response in both cohorts and divided into 5-yr age groups. For assessment of the period effect, we used survey phases as indicators of period. To examine cohort effects, the participants were divided into similar birth cohorts for both studies based on the timing of World War II. The participants from the Whitehall II study were divided into three subcohorts (yr of birth 1930-1938, 1939-1945, 1946-1952), whereas those from the Finnish Public Sector study were divided into six subcohorts (year of birth 1931-1938, 1939-1945, 1946-1952, 1953-1959, 1960-1963).

All analyses were performed using the SAS statistical software, version 9.2 (SAS Institute, Inc., Cary, NC). In each cohort, age-related trajectories of sleep lost over worry were analyzed using repeated-measures log-binomial regression analysis with the generalized estimating equations (GEE) method. The log-binomial model, rather than logistic regression, was chosen because of the high prevalence of the outcome (> 10%). The repeated measurements were nested within participants, i.e., the same individuals contributed more than one observation to the data set, and the nonindependence of the within-participant observations was taken into account in estimating the standard errors. The main effects of sex, age, period, and birth cohort were included in the model 1. The model 2 was additionally adjusted for time-dependent covariates current smoking, excessive alcohol use, physical inactivity, and BMI. We first examined the shape of the sleep trajectories graphically and then calculated prevalence ratios (PR) for sleep lost over worry and their 95% confidence intervals (CI) for the different age groups. Similarly, incidence ratios (IR) and their 95% CI were calculated by including only those participants who did not report sleep lost over worry at the preceding period (approximately 4- to 5-yr incidence; results reported in the Appendix). Age groups 34-40 yr and 41-45 yr were combined into one reference group to obtain sufficient statistical power and to harmonize the reference age group across the studies and the analyses of prevalence and incidence.

In the analysis of sex differences in the effect of age on sleep complaints, we pooled data from the Whitehall II and Finnish Public Sector studies to increase statistical power. We calculated PRs for sleep lost over worry and their 95% CI for the different age groups (reference category, the youngest age group) separately for men and women. These analyses were adjusted for study (Whitehall II versus Finnish Public Sector study).

In addition to the main analysis, in which response was required both at the first and last periods, we ran an analysis including all the participants who responded at least to the first survey. Using the chi-square test, we compared those who had produced a response both at the first and last periods to those who dropped out at some point after the first period. These groups were compared with regard to the outcome and sex, age, health behavior (current smoking, alcohol consumption, physical inactivity), and BMI.

We applied these same approaches for the subsidiary analysis of insomnia symptoms. The harmonized cut-point for insomnia symptoms across the two studies was reporting any of the four insomnia symptoms during at least five nights per week. However, we repeated the analyses using a lower harmonized cut-point, which was experience of insomnia symptoms at least two nights per week in both studies.

We conducted a sensitivity analysis excluding those participants who reported use of sleep medication. At Phases 1, 5, 7, and 9 of the Whitehall II study, the participants were asked if in the past 14 days they had taken sleeping pills prescribed by a doctor. We excluded 256 participants (4%) with an affirmative response. In the Finnish Public Sector study, data on purchase of sleep medication (the World Health Organisation’s Anatom-
and 2010, the average follow-up being 21.6 yr (standard deviation). Whitehall II study during the observation period between 1985 and 2009, with the average follow-up being 10.9 yr (SD 0.71, range 10-12). Baseline prevalence was 22% and 51% of the participants reported sleep lost over worry at least once during the study. Further baseline information regarding sex, age, year of birth, and the covariates is reported in Table 2.

There was no difference in the prevalence of sleep lost over worry at baseline between those who dropped out before the end of the study and those who responded to both the first and last surveys. In both studies, those who dropped out were more likely to be current smokers (Whitehall II 26% dropouts versus 14% participants; Finnish Public Sector 21% versus 14%, P < 0.0001) and physically inactive (Whitehall II 22% versus 12%; Finnish Public Sector 24% versus 21%, P < 0.01). In Whitehall II, the dropouts were also older (45.4 yr versus 43.9 yr, P < 0.0001) and more likely to be women (40% versus 29%, P < 0.0001) or obese (10% versus 6%, P < 0.0001), but there was no difference in heavy alcohol consumption. In the Finnish Public Sector study, the dropouts were more likely to be men (19% versus 15%, P < 0.0001) and heavy drinkers (8% versus 7%, P = 0.03), but there was no difference in terms of age or obesity.

**Age-Related Trajectories of Sleep Lost Over Worry**

Figure 1 shows unadjusted age-related trajectories of sleep lost over worry by birth cohort (longitudinal analysis) and period (cross-sectional analysis) separately for each data set. In longitudinal analysis of all the birth cohorts (Figure 1, A), the prevalence of sleep lost over worry was stable (or increased slightly) from early midlife to middle age after which there was a gentle decrease, which leveled off in early old age. In this analysis, the decrease in sleep lost over worry with age was observed in both studies. Prevalence of sleep lost over worry was at its highest between the ages of 46-60 yr and reached its nadir between the ages of 66-70 yr in both studies. This nonlinearity was supported by a statistically significant quadratic term (age*age P < 0.0001 in both studies) from a regression model in which age was considered as a continuous variable.

A similar declining trend in sleep lost over worry with increasing age was observed in the unadjusted cross-sectional design displaying prevalence rates by period (Figure 1, B). In both studies, older age groups reported less sleep lost over worry than the younger groups. No consistent differences in sleep patterns were observed for different study periods.

Table 3 presents associations of sex, age, period, and cohort with sleep lost over worry by study cohort in a multivariable model. In both studies, main effects of sex, age, and period were observed (P < 0.0001), but no consistent effect was found for the association between birth cohort and sleep lost over worry. More specifically, compared with the age group 34-45 yr, decrease in the PR for sleep complaints started in the age group 61-65 yr both in Whitehall II (PR 0.63, 95% CI 0.49-0.80) and the Finnish Public Sector study (PR 0.62, 95% CI 0.52-0.75) and continued into old age, being apparent in both age groups 66-70 yr and 71-79 yr.
Analysis of period effects showed that some increase in prevalence of sleep lost over worry occurred over time in the Finnish Public Sector study. The PR was 1.07 (95% CI 1.02-1.13) in the second period and 1.26 (95% CI 1.14-1.38) in the last period compared with the first. In the Whitehall II study, the period effects were less consistent (Table 3). Results from this analysis were not materially changed after adjustment for time-dependent covariates (health behavior, obesity). In both the Whitehall II and Finnish Public Sector data, results of the main analysis remained the same when we included all baseline participants without restricting the sample to those with responses at the first and last surveys (Table S1 in Appendix). In addition, sensitivity analysis in which participants who used sleep medication were excluded generated results similar to those observed in the main analysis (data not shown).

**Sex Differences in Trajectories of Sleep Lost Over Worry**

In both studies, women were more likely to lose sleep over worry than men (unadjusted PR 1.52, 95% CI 1.42-1.63 in Whitehall II and 1.17, 95% CI 1.08-1.25 in Finnish Public Sector) irrespective of adjustment for health behavior and BMI. In further analyses, the effect of age on sleep lost over worry was examined with pooled longitudinal data from both studies, separately for men and women. This stratification was supported by a statistically significant interaction between sex and age (P < 0.0001). After adjustment for study, the prevalence of sleep lost over worry decreased with age in both sexes (Figure 3). However, throughout the age span, women had a higher prevalence of sleep lost over worry than men and the decline in prevalence rates started at a later age in women.

More specifically, in women the prevalence of sleep lost over worry of 20%-21% increased after age 34-40 yr and 41-45 yr and was at its highest at age 51 to 60 yr (PR 1.14, 95% CI 1.05-1.22 at age 51-55 yr and 1.12, 95% CI 1.04-1.21 at age 56-60 yr compared with age 34-40 yr). After the age of 60 yr, sleep complaints decreased and remained stable between ages 61 to 79 yr (PRs between 0.83 and 0.85). In men age 34-40 yr, the prevalence was 14% and it remained stable until the age of 51-55 yr. Thereafter, sleep complaints decreased and remained stable between age 66-79 yr (PR at age 66-70 yr and 71-79 yr).
In comparative analysis, we examined age-related changes in the prevalence of insomnia symptoms at least five nights per week. Insomnia symptoms were measured four times in the Whitehall II (Phases 5 and 7-9) and three times in the Finnish Public Sector study (Phases 2-4). In the Whitehall II study, inclusion of participants with data on insomnia symptoms at least at Phases 5 and 9 yielded a sample size of 5,889 participants (71% men) age 44-68 yr at baseline. In the Finnish Public Sector study, data on insomnia symptoms at least at Phases 2 and 4 were available for 27,517 participants (18% men) age 37-65 yr at baseline. In Whitehall II, prevalence of insomnia symptoms at baseline (Phase 5) was 14%, and 37% of participants reported insomnia symptoms at least once during the study period. Corresponding figures were 24% (baseline at Phase 2) and 45% in the Finnish Public Sector study.

Figure 2 shows an increasing unadjusted prevalence in insomnia symptoms (at least 5 nights per week) with age in both studies. In Whitehall II, the increase was monotonic (quadratic term age*age $P = 0.36$) whereas in Finnish Public Sector study it was curvilinear (age*age $P < 0.0001$). In Whitehall II, prevalence increased from the age of 51 yr onward until age 71-79 yr (PR 4.08, 95% CI 1.16, 14.26) compared with participants age 34-45 yr. In the Finnish Public Sector study, prevalence was similarly higher between the ages 46-65 yr than at 34-45 yr (Table 3). In both studies, prevalence was higher in women than men and in all subsequent periods compared to baseline (Whitehall II: Phase 5 in 1997-1999; Finnish Public Sector: Phase 2 in 2000-2002). Insomnia symptoms were less common in the younger birth cohorts (1946-1952 in Whitehall II; 1953-1959 and 1960-1963 in the Finnish Public Sector) compared with those born before World War II in 1930-1938. Repeating the analyses with a lower cut-point for the outcome definition (insomnia symptoms at least 2 nights per week) replicated the results regarding effects of sex, period, and birth cohort, but not the effect of age (data not shown). The findings on age and 4-yr incidence (rather than prevalence) of insomnia symptoms did not materially differ from the main analyses (Appendix).

**DISCUSSION**

We described trajectories of sleep lost over worry across the adult life course in cohorts from the United Kingdom and Fin-
land and found three age-related phases: (1) a period characterized by a high prevalence of sleep complaints between the ages 34 and 55 yr followed by (2) a declining trajectory between age 56 and 65 yr that (3) leveled off in early old age. This general pattern was observable across both cohorts and in both sexes, although in men the prevalence of sleep lost over worry was lower and the decline therein began earlier than in women. For insomnia symptoms, the prevalence increased with age in both cohorts. The age-related trajectory observed was unlikely to be attributable to confounding by cohort or period effects as our analyses took into account birth yr and calendar period of measurement.

The key strengths of this study are: the use of individual-level longitudinal data, frequent repeat measurements, and formal longitudinal modeling to describe the trajectories. As far as we are aware, this study is the first to describe the evolution of sleep complaints due to worry as a function of age from early midlife to old age. In the literature, there is substantial heterogeneity in operationalization of sleep problems. Although many studies have assessed insomnia symptoms corresponding to the DSM-criteria,10-17,19,37 other studies have measured sleep dissatisfaction or sleep quality in general.1,6-9 Our results regarding frequent insomnia symptoms (at least 5 nights per wk) support the cross-sectional10-17 and longitudinal evidence17 on age-related increases in prevalence. However, an additional analysis using a lower cut-point for the insomnia symptoms (at least 2 nights per week) showed that prevalence of less severe insomnia symptoms do not change with age. Our
study also provides unique information regarding decrease in sleep complaints due to worry. These results, further supported by the statistically significant quadratic age term, address the questions of nonlinear associations between sleep problems and aging raised by Grandner et al.1

Reasons for the declining trajectories in sleep lost over worry are still unknown, but may be partly explained by life transitions (such as retirement) that might affect sleep lost over work-related worries in particular. Previous studies suggest that retirement is associated with favorable changes in self-reported sleep complaints,43 mental well-being,44 use of antidepressant medication,45 mental and physical fatigue,46 and perceived health, despite no concurrent improvement in physical health problems or incidence of chronic conditions.44,46,47 In younger age groups, in particular, sleep complaints have also been found to be related to psychosocial stressors, such as being separated, divorced, or widowed,48 whereas somatic comorbidities and pain may be more common correlates of sleep disturbances at older age.48-50 Although nighttime sleep duration may decrease with age,51 this is not necessarily associated with poorer subjective sleep quality52 because lost nighttime sleep in the elderly may be compensated with daytime napping more readily than in younger age groups.53

Our findings are in agreement with previous studies on secular trends in sleep complaints. We observed some increase in prevalence of sleep complaints across time periods in both study cohorts, but only in the Finnish Public Sector study was the increase continuous. Although previous studies exploring historical changes in the prevalence of various types of sleep complaint have not always used multiple repeated measurements over time, their results have also suggested increasing trends in recent times54-56 and attributed this to increasing work stress as a consequence of changes that have taken place in working life,54-57 or increased information regarding sleep and its disorders that may have raised awareness in laymen.55

We observed higher overall prevalence of both sleep lost over worry and frequent insomnia symptoms (at least 5 nights per week) in women than men, confirming findings from a number of previous studies.6-17,19,20,37,48,58,59 The later start of the declining trajectory in sleep complaints in women may be explained by climacteric symptoms60,61 or distressing life events, such as children leaving home, which often coincide with the menopausal transition.62 The different distribution by sex in the two cohorts may also explain differences in the shape of the insomnia trajectories between the two cohorts. In the Whitehall II study, 67% of participants were men, whereas the Finnish Public Sector study is female dominated (15% males).

Our results have some limitations. These analyses are descriptive and not designed to assess the degree to which the observed changes are natural features of aging or a consequence of the dynamic aggregate of lifetime exposures. Adjusting the main analyses for time-dependent covariates, health behavior, and BMI did not materially change the results, but further research that includes a wider range of confounders potentially affecting these sleep complaints trajectories is needed. The use of the GEE method presupposes missing data to be missing at random. Because we included in the main analysis only participants who responded to both the first and last measurement points met this assumption. However, the cohort did not fully represent the baseline population because dropout during the follow-up period was more common among participants with a worse health risk profile. It might be assumed that the observed trajectories underestimate change in the trajectory for sleep lost over worry because those more likely to survive will be the most healthy and least affected by risk factors common to both premature mortality and sleep disturbances.53-60 However, a subsidiary analysis including all baseline participants irrespective of their response to the last phase suggest that any bias is small, although this should be interpreted cautiously as the missing-at-random assumption was probably not fully met in these analyses. Furthermore, given the known differences in working and nonworking populations in terms of socioeconomic circumstances and health, both of which have the potential to modify sleep trajectories, our results from occupational cohorts may not be directly generalizable to the general population.

In conclusion, evidence from two large cohorts from different countries shows that sleep lost over worry is less prevalent among older than younger adults. These results are in striking contrast to the age-related increase in insomnia symptoms observed in this study and reported previously in other studies. Sleep lost over worry has been recognized as a risk factor for morbidity, for example, cardiovascular diseases,71,22 and mortality,22 and is thus of clinical importance. Our results suggest it is important that general practitioners pay more attention to sleep complaints in people of working age. Further research should identify key determinants of these trajectories in sleep complaints and thereby opportunities for intervention.
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Analysis of the Incidence of Sleep Lost Over Worry

We analyzed 4-yr incidence of sleep lost over worry and found that incidence at the 2nd study period (1987-1990 in the Whitehall II and 2000-2002 in the Finnish Public Sector study) was 15% in the Whitehall II and 23% in the Finnish Public Sector study. In both studies, incidence was higher among women than men (Whitehall II: unadjusted incidence rate, IR 1.44, 95% CI 1.34-1.56; Finnish Public Sector: IR 1.30, 95% CI 1.17-1.45). In Whitehall II (n = 6,501, number of measurements 34,531) incidence was higher among participants age 46-50 yr compared with those age 34-45 yr (IR 1.22, 95% CI 1.04-1.42). No consistent pattern was observed for study period. Compared with Phase 5 (yr 1997-1999), incidence was higher at Phase 2 (1987-1990: IR 1.33, 95% CI 1.14-1.56) and Phase 6 (2000-2002: IR 1.17, 95% CI 1.03-1.33), but lower at Phase 3 (1992-1994: IR 0.80, 95% CI 0.69-0.94). In the Finnish Public Sector study (n = 8,410, number of measurements 17,327), the incidence of sleep lost over worry was lower among those age 56-60 yr (IR 0.78, 95% CI 0.62-0.98), 61-65 yr (IR 0.55, 95% CI 0.41-0.73), and 66-70 yr (IR 0.49, 95% CI 0.35-0.70) compared with participants age 34-45 yr. The incidence was higher in Phase 4 (2008-2009) compared with Phase 2 (2000-2002). There was no difference between birth cohorts in either study.

Analysis of Incident Insomnia Symptoms

At the 2nd study period (2003-2004 in the Whitehall II and 2004-2005 in the Finnish Public Sector study), incidence of insomnia symptoms was 13% in the Whitehall II and 17% in the Finnish Public Sector study. Four-yr incidence of insomnia symptoms was higher in women than men in both studies (Whitehall II: IR 1.15, 95% CI 1.03-1.28; Finnish Public Sector: IR 1.27, 95% CI 1.19-1.36). In Whitehall II (n = 5437, number of measurements 13,730) compared with Phase 7 (yr 2003-2004, earliest period available for analysis of incidence), incidence of insomnia symptoms was lower at Phase 8 (2006-2007: IR 0.85, 95% CI 0.75-0.97) and Phase 9 (2008-2010: IR 0.83, 95% CI 0.72-0.97), but there were no differences between older age groups compared with participants age 46-55 yr (the youngest age groups available in 2003-2004) or between birth cohorts (data not shown). In the Finnish Public Sector study (n = 20,105, number of measurements 34,912), incidence of

<p>| Table S1—The age, period, and cohort effects in relation to sleep lost over worry in the analytic sample and among all baseline participants |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Whitehall II</th>
<th>Finnish Public Sector study</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Response required both at the 1st and last period</strong></td>
<td><strong>Response required only at the 1st period</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR (95% CI)</td>
<td>PR (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All</td>
<td>Men</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.00 (ref)</td>
<td>1.00 (ref)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.52 (1.42, 1.63)</td>
<td>1.46 (1.38, 1.55)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Men</td>
<td>Age group, yr</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34-45</td>
<td>1.00 (ref)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46-50</td>
<td>1.07 (0.96, 1.20)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51-55</td>
<td>0.99 (0.86, 1.15)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>56-60</td>
<td>0.83 (0.68, 1.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>61-65</td>
<td>0.63 (0.49, 0.80)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>66-70</td>
<td>0.57 (0.42, 0.76)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>71-79</td>
<td>0.59 (0.41, 0.84)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Period*</td>
<td>Men</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1985-1988</td>
<td>1.02 (0.89, 1.17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1987-1990</td>
<td>1.27 (1.12, 1.43)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1992-1994</td>
<td>0.85 (0.76, 0.95)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1997-1999</td>
<td>1.00 (ref)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2000-2002</td>
<td>1.23 (1.12, 1.35)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2003-2004</td>
<td>1.20 (1.08, 1.33)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2006-2007</td>
<td>1.13 (0.99, 1.29)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2008-2010</td>
<td>1.11 (0.96, 1.30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth cohort</td>
<td>Men</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1930-1938</td>
<td>1.00 (ref)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1939-1945</td>
<td>1.00 (0.88, 1.13)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1946-1952</td>
<td>1.10 (0.94, 1.30)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1953-1959</td>
<td>0.82 (0.65, 1.04)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1960-1963</td>
<td>0.81 (0.63, 1.06)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

CI, confidence interval; PR, prevalence ratio.
insomnia symptoms was higher at age 46-50 yr (IR 1.15, 95% CI 1.02-1.29) compared with age 34-45 yr and was at its highest at age 56-60 yr (IR 1.50, 95% CI 1.25-1.81). Increase in incidence at age 66-70 yr (IR 1.29, 95% CI 1.00-1.68) and age 71-79 yr (IR 1.68, 95% CI 0.90-3.13) compared with age 34-45 yr did not reach statistical significance. There were no effects of period or birth cohort on incidence of insomnia symptoms in the Finnish Public Sector study.