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ABSTRACT

Background & Objectives: Research shows elevated levels of blood pressure in midlife to be linked to impaired cognitive performance in old age. However, it remains unclear if this association extends to younger age groups. The objective of this study was to examine the association between hypertension and a range of cognitive abilities in middle aged men and women.

Methods: Data are from the Whitehall II study. Measures of blood pressure were obtained at baseline (1985-1988) and two subsequent phases of data collection (1991-1994 and 1997-1999). Cognitive function (memory test, AH 4-I, Mill-Hill, phonemic and semantic fluency) was assessed (1997-1999) when participants (N=5838) were aged 46-68 years old.

Results: Results show a small inverse association between both diastolic and systolic blood pressure and cognitive performance, independent of age, education, employment grade, smoking status, alcohol consumption, use of antihypertensive medication, diagnoses of diabetes and cardiovascular disease. Similar associations were seen with prospective and cross-sectional analyses. The effect of hypertension was stronger in women, and stronger for cognitive measures assessing executive function.

Conclusion: Expanding on previous studies on older participants, the present study reports a small, but significant association between hypertension and poor cognitive performance in middle-aged men and women.

Keywords: Blood pressure, hypertension, cognition, memory, middle age, verbal fluency.

Running title: Hypertension and cognition in midlife
Hypertension has been widely linked to poor performance on neuropsychological tests (1-11). More specifically, research shows hypertension in midlife to be related to cognitive impairment in old age. Furthermore, it has been suggested that the link between hypertension and cognitive impairment develops before the onset of clinical symptoms of dementia; changes indicative of dementia were observed in brains of non-demented, middle-aged hypertensives (12). The implication is that healthy middle aged hypertensives may already have subtle neuropsychological deficits.

In this study, we examine this association in middle aged individuals using a battery of cognitive tests in order to allow an assessment of subtle cognitive deficits. The advantage of using standard cognitive tests rather than a specific test used to screen for dementia (e.g. the Mini Mental State Examination) is that it is less subject to ceiling effects, particularly in non-elderly populations. The disadvantage is the lack of standard criteria to judge poor cognitive performance and assign it clinical significance. However, recent attempts to identify poor performance as that being in the worst quintile (13), or below 1.5 standard deviation of the mean (14) provide appealing solutions to the problem. We also examine whether cross-sectional and prospective associations (mean follow up 11 years) differ from each other, and whether systolic and diastolic blood pressure (SBP & DBP) have similar relationships with cognition in midlife.

METHODS

Study population

The Whitehall II study was established in 1985 as a longitudinal study to examine the socioeconomic gradient in health and disease among 10,308 civil servants (6,895 men and 3,413 women). Full details of the cohort and its follow up have been published elsewhere (15) All civil servants aged 35-55 years in 20 London based departments were invited to participate by letter.
In total, 73% of those invited agreed to take part in Phase 1. Baseline examination (Phase 1) took place during 1985-1988, and involved a clinical examination and a self-administered questionnaire containing sections on demographic characteristics, health, lifestyle factors, work characteristics, social support and life events. Clinical examination included measures of blood pressure, anthropometry, biochemical measurements, neuroendocrine function, and sub-clinical markers of cardiovascular disease. Subsequent phases of data collection have alternated between postal questionnaire alone (Phases 2, 4 and 6) and postal questionnaire accompanied by a clinical examination (Phases 1, 3 and 5). Since baseline five phases of data collection rounds have been completed, with the most recent phase of data collection (Phase 6) completed in 2001. The University College London ethics committee approved the study.

**Blood pressure**

Blood pressure was measured at Phases 1 (baseline, 1985-1988), 3 (1991-1994), and 5 (1997-1999). On each occasion blood pressure was measured twice in the sitting position after 5 minutes rest with the Hawksley random-zero sphygmomanometer. The average of these two was taken to be the measured blood pressure. In keeping with the research in this field the following categories were created for SBP: low (< 120 mm Hg), medium (120-139 mmHg), and high (≥ 140 mm Hg) (7,21). These are also the risk categories defined by the Joint National Committee’s report (16) which were also used to categorize DBP as low (< 80 mm Hg), medium (80-89 mmHg), and high (≥ 90 mm Hg).

**Tests of cognitive function**

The cognitive test battery consisted of five standard tasks chosen to comprehensively evaluate cognitive functioning in middle-aged adults. High scores on all tests denote better performance.
The first was a 20-word free-recall test of short-term **verbal memory**. Participants were presented with a list of 20 one- or two-syllable words at 2-second intervals and were then asked to recall in writing as many of the words as they could, in any order; they had 2 minutes to do so.

The **AH-4 I** (17) is composed of a series of 65 verbal and mathematical reasoning items of increasing difficulty. This test of inductive reasoning measures the ability to identify patterns and infer principles and rules. Participants had 10 minutes to complete this section. The **Mill Hill** vocabulary test (18) assesses knowledge of verbal meaning and encompasses the ability to recognize and comprehend words. We used this test in its multiple format, which consists of a list of 33 stimulus words ordered by increasing difficulty and six response choices. Finally, we used two measures of verbal fluency: **phonemic and semantic** (19). Phonemic fluency was assessed via "s" words and semantic fluency via "animal" words. Subjects were asked to recall in writing as many words beginning with "s" and as many animal names as they could. One minute was allowed for each test of fluency.

**Confounders**

Physician diagnosed self-reported **stroke** cases (N=69) were excluded from analysis (2,11,20-22). Self reported dementia using the ICD-9 to recode a question on long-standing illness, asked as part of the Phase 5 questionnaire, revealed no cases of dementia.

**Age** influences both cognitive ability and blood pressure and was included as a confounder in the analysis.

**Socioeconomic position** is an important confounder, associated with both blood pressure and cognitive ability (2,20,22,23). In this study socioeconomic position was assessed via employment grade of participants, ranging from 1 to 6, with grade 1 representing the highest
level and grade 6 the lowest. People in different grades differ with respect to salary, social status and level of responsibility.

Education was measured as the highest level of education achieved, with the respondent choosing one of 11 categories in the questionnaire. This was regrouped into five standard hierarchic levels: (1) no formal education, (2) lower secondary education, (3) higher secondary education, (4) university degree, (5) higher university degree.

Both smoking (2,20) and alcohol (2,22,23) are regarded as confounders and were controlled for in the analysis.

Use of antihypertensive medication was adjusted for in the analyses.

Cardiovascular disease (CVD) (24) and diabetes (4) are also recognized confounders. To identify CVD we used an inclusive measure of coronary heart disease (including abnormal resting ECG, angina, and myocardial infarction). Cases of diabetes were obtained from recall of a physician’s diagnosis.

STATISTICAL ANALYSIS

The association between blood pressure and cognitive function was estimated using both multiple logistic regression analyses and multiple linear regressions. For the logistic regression poor cognitive function was indicated by being in the worst quintile (13). The blood pressure categories of low (the reference group), medium and high were used to estimate risk of poor cognitive function. We examined these relationships using baseline (prospective analysis) and current (cross-sectional analysis) blood pressure. Continuous measures of blood pressure and cognitive function were used in the multiple linear regression analysis. All analyses were carried out separately for men and women to explore a possible effect modification by gender.
RESULTS

10,308 individuals were examined at baseline (Phase 1, 1985-1988). A total of 355 participants died between Phases 1 and 5 (mean follow-up of 11 years) and 69 individuals (76.8% men, 23.2% women) with a stroke diagnosis were excluded from the analyses. At Phase 5 (1997-1999) 6552 participants attended the clinical screening examination, only 5838 (4158 men & 1680 women) of these took the cognitive tests. Missing data were more common among the older (p<0.001) and the lower grade individuals (p<0.02). Table 1 presents descriptive data on the participants included in the analyses. The average age for men at baseline was 43.93 and that for women was 44.42 years (Table 1). Table 1 also shows a greater concentration of women with low education.

Table 2 shows the prospective and cross-sectional relationship, adjusted for covariates, between poor cognitive function in midlife and blood pressure in men. The prospective analyses show an association between SBP and phonemic fluency (OR, 1.29; 95% CI, 1.01-1.68), and that between DBP and semantic fluency (OR, 1.31; 95% CI, 1.01-1.73). The cross-sectional associations were more extensive, with high level of SBP significantly associated with AH 4-I (OR, 1.52; 95% CI, 1.17-1.97) and medium levels of DBP with memory (OR, 1.32; 95% CI, 1.08-1.63), AH 4-I (OR, 1.29; 95% CI, 1.05-1.58), and semantic fluency (OR, 1.52; 95% CI, 1.26-1.82).

The associations between blood pressure and poor cognitive performance in women were more extensive (Table 3). Elevated systolic blood pressure prospectively predicted poor performance on all the cognitive outcomes examined here. A similar trend was observed for the prospective effects of DBP on AH 4-I (OR, 1.85; 95% CI, 1.10-3.11) and Mill Hill (OR, 1.49; 95% CI, 1.02-2.18). The cross-sectional analysis (Table 3) revealed high SBP to have an
association with poor performance on the AH 4-I (OR, 1.65; 95% CI, 1.07-2.54) and semantic fluency (OR, 1.97; 95% CI, 1.29-3.03).

Finally, Table 4 presents results with all cognitive tests and both blood pressure measures treated as continuous measures rather than categorized into groups. These results are reported using standardized regression coefficients, calculated from standardized data and reflect the impact on the outcome variable of a change of 1 standard deviation in the predictor variable. For example, in the prospective analysis (Table 4), an increase of one standard deviation in SBP in women is associated with a decrease of .05 of a standard deviation in AH 4-I. The advantage of the standardized regression coefficient is that it allows assessment of the relative importance of predictor variables to be made across different measures of cognitive function. Table 4 shows blood pressure to be more significantly related to cognitive ability among women, in both prospective and cross-sectional analyses. The associations in men were statistically significant only in the cross-sectional analyses, and SBP was associated with more cognitive function outcomes.

**DISCUSSION**

In our cohort of middle-aged men and women, there was a small but significant inverse relationship between blood pressure and cognitive ability. Elevated blood pressure, both systolic and diastolic, was associated with poor cognitive performance. The effect of blood pressure on cognition was stronger among women, and was stronger for some measures of cognitive ability than others. Associations between the two were seen when using baseline blood pressure and blood pressure measured at the time of the cognitive tests. Confounding factors of age, educational level, occupational position, smoking, alcohol consumption, use of antihypertensive
medication, diagnoses of diabetes and cardiovascular disease were controlled in the analyses; and individuals diagnosed with stroke were excluded.

The association between blood pressure and cognition has been extensively investigated in the Framingham study (2,3,4,20,22). Initial reports of no cross-sectional association were revised when BP over 26 years of longitudinal observation showed an association with poor cognitive ability (2,3,22). Elias and colleagues concluded that chronic and cumulative processes related to hypertension were causally related to modest declines in cognitive functioning in older adults (2). Other longitudinal studies like the Honolulu and Uppsala (5) studies have also found elevated blood pressure in midlife to be related to dementia and cognitive impairment in later-life.

It has remained unclear if the relationship between blood pressure and cognition extended to younger, healthier cohorts. This is important because it informs the debate on whether the link between blood pressure and cognitive impairment is causal; and if so, whether subtle neuropsychological changes have already occurred by middle age. Our results show elevated levels of both systolic and diastolic blood pressure to be significantly related to cognitive ability in middle age, independently of the covariates examined. In fact, a recent paper reports the association between elevated blood pressure and poor cognition, specified in that study as performance below 1.5 SD below the mean, to be stronger in the 20–40 age group when compared to the 40–60 age group (14). Poor cognitive performance in early adulthood or in middle age is clinically relevant as it is a marker for poor cognitive reserve. Individual differences in brain reserve capacity are a major factor in explaining threshold differences in the onset of clinical symptoms of dementia in older adults (25). Age-related neuronal attrition is likely to be clinically and symptomatically evident much earlier in individuals with impaired
cognition in middle age. Evidence also suggests that individuals with mild cognitive impairment progress to clinically diagnosed dementia at an accelerated rate (26-28).

Previous work has demonstrated memory (2,3,11,29), attention (1,2,8,30), and verbal fluency (2,31) to be vulnerable to elevated levels of blood pressure. The specificity of these associations has remained somewhat unclear as a large proportion of the research in this domain has examined this association in the elderly with the use of global tests of dementia like the Mini mental State Examination (5,7,9,32-36) that do not allow different components of cognitive ability to be examined. Our analysis shows blood pressure to be related to verbal fluency, AH 4-I, and memory. Verbal fluency (both phonemic and semantic) and the AH 4-I are measures of executive function or “meta” cognitive ability as it integrates other cognitive processes like memory, attention and speed of information processing (37-39). Studies on dementia clearly show verbal fluency to be one of the affected neuropsychological domains (37-44). Our results suggest that hypertension has an adverse effect on executive or “meta” cognitive abilities, implicating more basic processes like attention, memory and speed of information processing.

Most of the research evidence on the association between hypertension and dementia has been on systolic blood pressure. In younger age groups DBP rather than SBP predicts CHD risk (45,46,47,48) and it is unknown if this could be also the case for cognitive function. Our results show both systolic and diastolic pressure to be important for cognition in the prospective and the cross-sectional analyses. In our study, participants were on average 44.07 years old (SD=5.99) when blood pressure was assessed for the prospective analysis and 55.57 years old (SD=5.99) for the cross-sectional analysis. Among the individuals with high SBP at Phase 5, 32.6% had high, 55.2% had medium and 12.2% had low SBP at Phase 1. Similarly, among individuals with high DBP at Phase 5, 25.7% had high, 46.2% had medium and 28.1% had low DBP at Phase 1. This suggests that the prospective and cross-sectional effects are not being driven by the same
individuals and that hypertension has both prospective and concurrent associations with cognitive function.

Most of the relevant research in this area has either been carried out on men only samples (1,5,7,11,49) or the variable gender is treated as a covariate and no difference between men and women reported (2,9,31,32). Our results, particularly in analysis using the entire range of blood pressure and cognitive outcomes, show associations to be much stronger in women. This is unlikely to be a result of confounding by socioeconomic factors as they have been controlled for in the analyses and the stronger effects in women are not confined to aspects of cognition most influenced by social factors, the Mill Hill. Further research is required to assess the significance of this finding, especially as research shows the link between vascular pathology and cognitive impairment to be particularly acute in women amongst the very old (50).

The mechanisms linking elevated blood pressure and poor cognition are thought to involve subtle disturbances in cerebral perfusion which are then thought to have an adverse effect on brain cell metabolism (2,3,11,30). Research shows cerebral oxygen metabolism to be diminished among hypertensives, with ventricular enlargement and white matter lesions also more prevalent (51-54). MRI studies have linked hypertension to brain atrophy (55). It is likely that subclinical changes in brain morphology underlie the association between hypertension and cognitive function. Hypertension appears to be related to a spectrum of brain injury that is clinically asymptomatic but impacts cognitive ability. Brain reserve theory would suggest that brain tissue or neuronal loss has to reach a certain threshold before clinical symptoms of impairment become apparent (25,56). However, this does not rule out changes in the structure of the brain or poor cognitive performance. The effect of vascular disorders have been statistically adjusted in the analyses reported here. However, these diseases do not fully reflect sub-clinical atherosclerosis and small-vessel disease in the brain, which may be a stronger intermediate factor.
Further research, perhaps with neuroimaging data, is required to elaborate the precise mechanisms linking hypertension to cognitive impairment.

There are obvious caveats to the conclusions drawn in this study. First, sample attrition in most cohorts, including Whitehall II, is more common among older and poorer individuals. However, it is unlikely that the relationship between blood pressure and cognition is different in different groups. Second, the conclusions drawn here apply to poor cognitive function and not cognitive decline. Further waves of data collection will allow us to examine whether the age-related decline in cognitive function is different for hypertensives.

Public health interest in the association between vascular factors, hypertension in particular, and dementia is driven by the fact that it is a treatable risk factor. Our results have public health significance as elevated levels of blood pressure are found to be related to poor cognitive performance even in a group with a low proportion of hypertensives. Furthermore, the associations are more obvious in women when measures of both blood pressure and cognitive function are treated as being continuously distributed rather than categorized. This suggests that adverse effects of elevated blood pressure are not restricted to the high blood pressure category (SBP $\geq 140$ or DBP $\geq 90$). In conclusion, these findings suggest that comprehensive cognitive testing in younger age groups may provide important insights into the association between hypertension and impaired cognitive function.
Acknowledgements

AS-M is supported by a ‘Chair of excellence’ award from the French Ministry of Research.

The Whitehall II study has been supported by grants from the Medical Research Council; British Heart Foundation; Health and Safety Executive; Department of Health; National Heart Lung and Blood Institute (HL36310), US, NIH; National Institute on Aging (AG13196), US, NIH; Agency for Health Care Policy Research (HS06516); and the John D and Catherine T MacArthur Foundation Research Networks on Successful Midlife Development and Socio-economic Status and Health. MM is supported by an MRC Research Professorship.

We also thank all participating civil service departments and their welfare, personnel, and establishment officers; the Occupational Health and Safety Agency; the Council of Civil Service Unions; all participating civil servants in the Whitehall II study; and all members of the Whitehall II study team.
References


11. Swan GE, Carmelli D, Larue A. Systolic blood pressure tracking over 25 to 30 years and

incidence of neurofibrillary tangles (NFT) in non-demented individuals with hypertension. J

13. Anstey KJ, Luszcz MA, Giles LC, Andrews GR. Demographic, health, cognitive, and sensory
variables as predictors of mortality in very old adults. Psychol Aging 2001; 16:3-11.

14. Suhr JA, Stewart JC, France CR. The relationship between blood pressure and cognitive
performance in the Third National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES III).

15. Marmot MG, Davey Smith G, Stansfeld S, Patel C, North F, Head J, White I, Brunner E,
Feeney A. Health inequalities among British civil servants: the Whitehall II study. Lancet

16. Chobanian AV, Bakris GL, Black HR, et al., The seventh report of the Joint National
Committee on prevention, detection, evaluation, and treatment of high blood pressure. JAMA
2003; 289:2560-2572.


18. Raven, JC. Guide to using the Mill Hill vocabulary scale with progressive matrices. London:
HK Lewis; 1965.

1967; 5:135-140.


34. Morris MC, Scherr PA, Hebert LE, Glynn RJ, Bennett DA, Evans DA. Association of incident Alzheimer Disease and blood pressure measured from 13 years before to 2 years after diagnosis in a large community study. Arch Neurol 2001; 58:1640-1646.


<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MEN</th>
<th>WOMEN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age at baseline (Phase 1, 1985-1988)</strong></td>
<td>Mean (SD) 43.93 (5.99)</td>
<td>44.42 (6.00)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Smoker at Phase 1 (1985-1988)</strong></td>
<td>(%) 11.6%</td>
<td>15.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Units of alcohol in a week (Phase 1, 1985-1988)</strong></td>
<td>Mean (SD) 12.79 (14.08)</td>
<td>5.96 (7.54)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Education</strong></td>
<td>No formal qualification 5.6% 20.1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lower secondary education</strong></td>
<td>24.6% 32.2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Higher secondary education</strong></td>
<td>30.3% 20.5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>University degree</strong></td>
<td>24.0% 18.2%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Higher university degree</strong></td>
<td>15.6% 9.1%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Blood pressure</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Phase 1 (1985-1988)</strong></td>
<td>Systolic Blood Pressure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Low N (%)</strong></td>
<td>1710 (41.2%)</td>
<td>926 (55.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Medium</strong></td>
<td>1928 (46.4%)</td>
<td>595 (35.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>High</strong></td>
<td>214 (12.4%)</td>
<td>159 (9.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Diastolic Blood Pressure</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Low N (%)</strong></td>
<td>2511 (60.5%)</td>
<td>1211 (72.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Medium</strong></td>
<td>1253 (30.2%)</td>
<td>340 (20.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>High</strong></td>
<td>388 (9.3%)</td>
<td>129 (7.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Low N (%)</strong></td>
<td>1908 (45.5%)</td>
<td>973 (60.9%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Medium</strong></td>
<td>1710 (43.2%)</td>
<td>528 (33.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>High</strong></td>
<td>343 (8.7%)</td>
<td>97 (6.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Diastolic Blood Pressure</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Low N (%)</strong></td>
<td>1804 (45.5%)</td>
<td>1030 (64.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Medium</strong></td>
<td>1579 (39.9%)</td>
<td>444 (27.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>High</strong></td>
<td>578 (14.6%)</td>
<td>97 (6.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Phase 5 (1997-1999)</strong></td>
<td>Systolic Blood Pressure</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Low N (%)</strong></td>
<td>1847 (44.6%)</td>
<td>842 (50.3%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Medium</strong></td>
<td>1664 (40.1%)</td>
<td>561 (33.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>High</strong></td>
<td>633 (15.3%)</td>
<td>272 (16.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Diastolic Blood Pressure</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Low N (%)</strong></td>
<td>2343 (56.5%)</td>
<td>1126 (67.2%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Medium</strong></td>
<td>1240 (29.9%)</td>
<td>418 (25.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>High</strong></td>
<td>562 (13.6%)</td>
<td>131 (7.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cognitive function, assessed at Phase 5 (1997-1999)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memory (Range 0-20)</td>
<td>6.88 (2.30)</td>
<td>6.98 (2.64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AH 4-I (Range 1-65)</td>
<td>48.76 (9.94)</td>
<td>41.68 (12.19)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mill Hill (Range 0-33)</td>
<td>25.72 (3.81)</td>
<td>23.12 (5.48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phonemic fluency</td>
<td>17.02 (4.34)</td>
<td>16.66 (4.91)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semantic fluency</td>
<td>16.68 (4.01)</td>
<td>15.88 (4.64)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

† Information relevant to individuals who provided cognitive data at Phase 5, excludes individuals with self-reported-physician diagnosed stroke.
‡ High scores on the cognitive function tests represent better performance.
TABLE 2. Prospective and cross-sectional association between blood pressure and being in the worst quintile of cognitive function in men.†‡

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Memory</th>
<th>AH 4-I</th>
<th>Mill Hill</th>
<th>Phonemic fluency</th>
<th>Semantic fluency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OR (95 % CI)</td>
<td>OR (95 % CI)</td>
<td>OR (95 % CI)</td>
<td>OR (95 % CI)</td>
<td>OR (95 % CI)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Prospective analysis (Blood pressure assessed at Phase 1, 1985-1988 and cognition at Phase 5, 1997-1999)

Systolic Blood Pressure

| Low (< 120) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Medium (120-139) | 0.92 (0.76-1.13) | 1.01 (0.83-1.23) | 0.97 (0.80-1.16) | 1.05 (0.87-1.25) | 1.12 (0.94-1.34) |
| High (≥ 140) | 1.06 (0.79-1.43) | 1.13 (0.84-1.52) | 1.06 (0.80-1.40) | **1.29 (1.01-1.68)** | 1.07 (0.82-1.41) |

Diastolic Blood Pressure

| Low (< 80) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Medium (80-89) | 1.02 (0.83-1.25) | 1.01 (0.83-1.24) | 1.15 (0.95-1.39) | 1.08 (0.90-1.30) | 1.05 (0.87-1.27) |
| High (≥ 90) | 1.29 (0.95-1.75) | 0.74 (0.53-1.04) | 0.89 (0.66-1.22) | 1.22 (0.92-1.61) | **1.31 (1.01-1.73)** |

Cross-sectional analysis (Both blood pressure and cognition assessed at Phase 5, 1997-1999)

Systolic Blood Pressure

| Low (< 120) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Medium (120-139) | 1.03 (0.84-1.27) | 1.11 (0.91-1.37) | 1.12 (0.93-1.35) | 1.11 (0.92-1.33) | 1.16 (0.97-1.39) |
| High (≥ 140) | 0.99 (0.75-1.30) | 1.52 (1.17-1.97) | 1.15 (0.89-1.49) | 1.24 (0.97-1.58) | 1.13 (0.88-1.45) |

Diastolic Blood Pressure

| Low (< 80) | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Medium (80-89) | **1.32 (1.08-1.63)** | **1.29 (1.05-1.58)** | 1.20 (0.99-1.45) | 1.17 (0.98-1.41) | **1.52 (1.26-1.82)** |
| High (≥ 90) | 1.15 (0.87-1.53) | 1.03 (0.78-1.36) | 1.06 (0.82-1.37) | 0.98 (0.76-1.26) | 1.07 (0.83-1.39) |

† For each test poor cognitive function was indicated by being in the worst quintile. Odds-ratios significant at p<0.05 are in bold.
‡ Adjusted for age, occupational position, education, alcohol consumption, smoking, use of antihypertensive medication, diagnosis of diabetes and CVD; excluding individuals with stroke.
TABLE 3. Prospective and cross-sectional association between blood pressure and being in the worst quintile of cognitive function in women.†‡

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Memory</th>
<th>AH 4-I</th>
<th>Mill Hill</th>
<th>Phonemic fluency</th>
<th>Semantic fluency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>OR (95% CI)</td>
<td>OR (95% CI)</td>
<td>OR (95% CI)</td>
<td>OR (95% CI)</td>
<td>OR (95% CI)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Prospective analysis (Blood pressure assessed at Phase 1, 1985-1988 and cognition at Phase 5, 1997-1999)

**Systolic Blood Pressure**

- Low (< 120) 1 1 1 1 1
- Medium (120-139) 1.48 (1.04-1.93) 1.35 (0.97-1.89) 0.89 (0.63-1.26) 1.42 (1.04-1.93) 1.13 (0.81-1.59)
- High (≥ 140) 1.28 (0.78-2.10) 1.53 (0.90-2.58) 2.03 (1.19-3.46) 1.66 (1.03-2.67) 1.89 (1.15-3.11)

**Diastolic Blood Pressure**

- Low (< 80) 1 1 1 1 1
- Medium (80-89) 1.15 (0.81-1.63) 1.30 (0.89-1.89) 1.49 (1.02-2.18) 1.24 (0.88-1.76) 1.06 (0.72-1.54)
- High (≥ 90) 1.15 (0.69-1.93) 1.85 (1.10-3.11) 1.55 (0.90-2.67) 1.45 (0.88-2.38) 1.30 (0.76-2.21)

Cross-sectional analysis (Both blood pressure and cognition assessed at Phase 5, 1997-1999)

**Systolic Blood Pressure**

- Low (< 120) 1 1 1 1 1
- Medium (120-139) 1.23 (0.88-1.70) 1.35 (0.95-1.92) 1.13 (0.79-1.61) 1.15 (0.82-1.60) 1.31 (0.91-1.88)
- High (≥ 140) 1.24 (0.82-1.86) 1.65 (1.07-2.54) 1.18 (0.75-1.84) 1.44 (0.97-2.16) 1.97 (1.29-3.03)

**Diastolic Blood Pressure**

- Low (< 80) 1 1 1 1 1
- Medium (80-89) 1.31 (0.95-1.83) 1.23 (0.86-1.76) 0.95 (0.65-1.38) 1.13 (0.81-1.58) 1.50 (1.05-2.13)
- High (≥ 90) 1.39 (0.84-2.30) 1.50 (0.87-2.59) 1.44 (0.81-2.43) 0.94 (0.54-1.62) 1.22 (0.69-2.13)

† For each test poor cognitive function was indicated by being in the worst quintile. Odds-ratios significant at p<0.05 are in bold.
‡ Adjusted for age, occupational position, education, alcohol consumption, smoking, diagnosis of diabetes and CVD; excluding individuals with stroke.
Table 4. Linear regression results showing the association between blood pressure and cognitive function.†‡

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>MEN</th>
<th>WOMEN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>SBP</td>
<td>DBP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Prospective analysis (Blood pressure and assessed at Phase 1, 1985-1988 and cognition at Phase 5, 1997-1999)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memory</td>
<td>-.02</td>
<td>-.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AH 4-I</td>
<td>-.01</td>
<td>.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mill Hill</td>
<td>.00</td>
<td>-.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phonemic fluency</td>
<td>-.01</td>
<td>-.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semantic fluency</td>
<td>-.01</td>
<td>-.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Cross-sectional analysis (Both blood pressure and cognition assessed at Phase 5, 1997-1999)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Memory</td>
<td>-.02</td>
<td>-.05**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AH 4-I</td>
<td>-.03*</td>
<td>-.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mill Hill</td>
<td>-.04**</td>
<td>-.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Phonemic fluency</td>
<td>-.03*</td>
<td>-.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Semantic fluency</td>
<td>-.04**</td>
<td>-.03*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p<0.05; **p<0.01; ***p<0.001
† Association expressed as a beta, the standardized regression coefficient; High scores on all tests of cognitive function denote better performance.
‡ Adjusted for age, occupational position, education, alcohol consumption, smoking, use of antihypertensive medication, diagnosis of diabetes and CVD; excluding individuals with stroke.