

Job strain and the risk of severe asthma exacerbations: a meta-analysis of individual-participant data from 100 000 European men and women.

Katriina Heikkilä, Ida Madsen, Solja Nyberg, Eleonor Fransson, Hugo Westerlund, Peter Westerholm, Marianna Virtanen, Jussi Vahtera, Ari Väänänen, Töres Theorell, et al.

► To cite this version:

Katriina Heikkilä, Ida Madsen, Solja Nyberg, Eleonor Fransson, Hugo Westerlund, et al.. Job strain and the risk of severe asthma exacerbations: a meta-analysis of individual-participant data from 100 000 European men and women.. Allergy, Wiley, 2014, 69 (6), pp.775-83. 10.1111/all.12381 . inserm-01151643

HAL Id: inserm-01151643

<https://www.hal.inserm.fr/inserm-01151643>

Submitted on 13 May 2015

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Job Strain and the Risk of Severe Asthma Exacerbations: A Meta-analysis of Individual-participant Data from 100 000 European Men and Women

Katriina Heikkilä PhD¹, Ida E.H. Madsen PhD², Solja T. Nyberg, MSc¹, Eleonor I. Fransson PhD^{3, 4,5}, Hugo Westerlund PhD^{5,6}, Peter J.M. Westerholm PhD⁷, Marianna Virtanen PhD¹, Jussi Vahtera MD^{8,9}, Ari Väänänen PhD¹, Töres Theorell MD⁵, Sakari B. Suominen MD⁹⁻¹¹, Martin J. Shipley MSc⁶, Paula Salo PhD^{8,13}, Reiner Rugulies PhD^{2,14}, Jaana Pentti BSc⁸, Jan H. Pejtersen PhD¹⁵, Tuula Oksanen PhD⁸, Maria Nordin PhD¹⁶, Martin L. Nielsen MD¹⁷, Anne Kouvonen PhD¹⁸, Aki Koskinen MSc¹, Markku Koskenvuo MD¹⁹, Anders Knutsson MD²⁰, Jane E. Ferrie PhD^{6,21}, Nico Dragano PhD¹², Hermann Burr PhD²², Marianne Borritz MD¹⁷, Jakob B. Bjorner MD², Lars Alfredsson PhD³, G. David Batty PhD²³, Archana Singh-Manoux PhD^{6,24} and Mika Kivimäki PhD^{6,1}; for the IPD-Work Consortium

1 Finnish Institute of Occupational Health, Helsinki, Finland.

2 National Research Centre for the Working Environment, Copenhagen, Denmark.

3 Institute of Environmental Medicine, Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden.

4 School of Health Sciences, Jönköping University, Jönköping, Sweden.

5 Stress Research Institute, Stockholm University, Stockholm, Sweden.

6 Department of Epidemiology and Public Health, University College London, London, UK.

7 Occupational and Environmental Medicine, Uppsala University, Uppsala, Sweden.

8 Finnish Institute of Occupational Health, Turku, Finland.

9 Department of Public Health, University of Turku, Turku, Finland

10 Folkhälsan Research Center, Helsinki, Finland.

11 Nordic School of Public Health, Göteborg, Sweden.

12 Institute for Medical Sociology, Medical Faculty, University of Düsseldorf, Düsseldorf, Germany.

13 Department of Psychology, University of Turku, Turku, Finland.

14 Department of Public Health and Department of Psychology, University of Copenhagen, Copenhagen, Denmark.

15 The Danish National Centre for Social Research, Copenhagen, Denmark.

16 Department of Psychology, Umeå University, Umeå, Sweden.

17 Department of Occupational and Environmental Medicine, Bispebjerg University Hospital, Copenhagen, Denmark

18 School of Sociology, Social Policy & Social Work, Queen's University Belfast, Belfast, UK.

19 Department of Public Health, University of Helsinki, Helsinki, Finland.

20 Department of Health Sciences, Mid Sweden University, Sundsvall, Sweden.

21 School of Community and Social Medicine, University of Bristol, Bristol, UK.

22 Federal Institute for Occupational Safety and Health (BAuA), Berlin, Germany.

23 Centre for Cognitive Ageing and Cognitive Epidemiology, University of Edinburgh.

24 Inserm U1018, Centre for Research in Epidemiology and Population Health, Villejuif, France.

Corresponding author:

Dr Katriina Heikkilä

Finnish Institute of Occupational Health, Uimalankatu 1, 33540 Tampere, Finland

Email: katriina.heikkila@ttl.fi

Tel.: +358 (0)30 474 2465; Fax: +358 (0)30 474 2779

Word counts: abstract: 237, main text: 2 536.

Display items: Tables: 1, figures: 3.

Keywords: asthma, exacerbation, work stress, job strain

Abstract

Background Many patients and health care professionals believe that work-related psychosocial stress, such as job strain, can make asthma worse but this is not corroborated by empirical evidence. We investigated the associations between job strain and the incidence of severe asthma exacerbations in working-age European men and women.

Methods We analysed individual-level data, collected between 1985 and 2010, from 102 175 working-age men and women in 11 prospective European studies. Job strain (a combination of high demands and low control at work) was self-reported at baseline. Incident severe asthma exacerbations were ascertained from national hospitalisation and death registries. Associations between job strain and asthma exacerbations were modelled using Cox regression and the study-specific findings combined using random effects meta-analyses.

Results During a median follow-up of 10 years, 1 109 individuals experienced a severe asthma exacerbation (430 with asthma as the primary diagnostic code). In the age and sex-adjusted analyses job strain was associated with an increased risk of severe asthma exacerbations defined using the primary diagnostic code (hazard ratio, HR: 1.27, 95% confidence interval, CI: 1.00, 1.61). This association attenuated towards the null after adjustment for potential confounders (HR: 1.22, 95% CI: 0.96, 1.55). No association was observed in the analyses with asthma defined using any diagnostic code (HR: 1.01, 95% CI: 0.86, 1.19).

Conclusions Our findings suggest that job strain is probably not an important risk factor for severe asthma exacerbations leading to hospitalisation or death.

Introduction

Asthma, an intermittent inflammation of bronchioles and smooth muscle in the lungs, is among the most common chronic respiratory diseases (1, 2). Its prevalence in the working population varies by type of employment from 1.7% to 17% (3). Though rarely lethal (the estimated age-standardised death rate worldwide was 5.2 per 100 000 individuals in 2010), asthma is a major cause of disease burden, with the estimated years lived with disability amounting to 201 per 100 000 (4). Most patients manage their disease at home, with the help of their primary care physician. However, severe asthma exacerbations do happen, leading to hospitalisations and incurring costs to the individual and the health care system (1, 5, 6). In Western Europe, the age and sex-standardised hospital admission rates for asthma in adults vary (by country and demographics) from 19 to 76 per 100 000 (7).

Many triggers for asthma exacerbations, such as respiratory infections and allergens (e.g. dust, pollen and moulds) or airborne irritants (e.g. tobacco smoke, chemical fumes and pollution), are well known (1, 2, 6). The role of psychosocial factors in asthma exacerbations, however, is less well understood (1, 8-10). One psychosocial factor that may be implicated in asthma exacerbations is stress. Stress can make individuals prone to biological and behavioural triggers such as respiratory infections (11) and smoking (12) but the evidence for a direct link between stress and asthma exacerbations is unclear (1). Work is a common source of stress in adults and epidemiological research has shown that stress is associated with an increased risk of certain diseases (13, 14). However, a recent review identified no studies of work-related psychosocial stress and asthma exacerbations (8). To address this gap in the knowledge, we investigated the associations between job strain, an operationalisation of work-related psychosocial stress, and severe asthma exacerbations, defined as hospitalisations or deaths, in 100 000 European men and women.

Methods

Studies

We used individual-level data from 11 independent prospective cohort studies from Finland, Sweden, Denmark and the United Kingdom. All studies are part of the "Individual-participant-data Meta-analysis of Working Populations" (IPD-Work) Consortium. Studies included in the present analyses were Copenhagen Psychosocial Questionnaire I and II (COPSOQ-I and COPSOQ-II), Danish Work Environment Cohort Study (DWECS), Finnish Public Sector study (FPS), Health and Social Support (HeSSup), Intervention Project on Absence and Well-being (IPAW), Burnout, Motivation and Job Satisfaction study (Danish acronym PUMA), Still Working, Whitehall II and Work Lipids and Fibrinogen (WOLF) Norrland and WOLF Stockholm. Details of the IPD-Work Consortium and these studies have been published previously and are described in Appendix 1.

Participants

Our analyses were based on participants worked at study baseline and had complete data on job strain, age, sex, socioeconomic position, body mass index (BMI), smoking, alcohol intake and asthma, and no history of asthma hospitalisation before the study baseline or during the first 30 days of follow-up (Table 1). We excluded individuals with missing data on job strain or covariates (in the analyses with primary asthma diagnosis as the outcome: n=8 752, 7.9%, and in the analyses with any asthma diagnosis as the outcome: n=9 214, 8.3%).

Job strain exposures

Job strain was ascertained using questions from the validated Job Content and Demand-Control Questionnaires (15, 16). A detailed description of the job strain measure has been published previously (17). Briefly, participants answered questions on the psychosocial aspects of their job at study baseline. For each participant, mean response scores were calculated for job demands items and job control items. High demands were defined as a score higher than the study-specific median

score; low control was defined as a score lower than the study-specific median score. Job strain was categorised as high strain (high demands and low control), active job (high demands and high control), passive job (low demands and low control) and low strain job (low demands and high control). Binary job strain was defined as job strain (high demands and low control) versus no strain (all other categories combined).

Asthma exacerbations

Severe asthma exacerbations were ascertained from national hospitalisation and death registries in all studies. Asthma was defined as the International Classification of Diseases (ICD) version 9 code 493 or version 10 codes J45 or J46. (18-20) We investigated asthma as the primary diagnostic code and asthma as any diagnostic code. The date of the asthma exacerbation was defined as the date of hospital admission or the date of death to which asthma contributed. Hospital records were available since the 1960s in WOLF studies, 1970s in FPS, Still Working and the Danish studies, and 1997 in HeSSup.

Potential confounders

We adjusted our analyses for age, sex, socioeconomic position, BMI, tobacco smoking and alcohol intake. Details of the ascertainment, harmonisation and modelling of these are provided in Appendix 2. Briefly, information on sex and age was obtained from population registries or interview. Socioeconomic position was based on occupation ascertained from the employers' or other registers or participant-completed questionnaires. Smoking and alcohol intake were participant-reported. BMI (weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared) was calculated from height and weight, which were participant-reported or measured at baseline examination.

Statistical analyses

We modelled job strain as binary (strain vs. no strain) and categorical (high strain, active job and passive job vs. low strain). Asthma exacerbations (hospitalisations or deaths, with asthma as the primary diagnostic code or as any diagnostic code) were modelled as binary outcomes. We modelled the associations between job strain and asthma exacerbations in each study using Cox regression, with the participant's age as the time-scale. Each participant was followed from the date of their baseline assessment to the first asthma hospitalisation, death or the end of the registry follow-up, whichever occurred first. We ran age and sex-adjusted models and multivariable-adjusted models, which were further adjusted for socioeconomic position, BMI, smoking and alcohol intake. We checked the proportional hazards assumption using the Schoenfeld-test. The study-specific effect estimates were pooled using fixed effect and random effects meta-analyses and heterogeneity was quantified using the I^2 statistic. (21) Both fixed and random effects meta-analyses are shown in the figures for comparison but results from random effects meta-analyses are reported in the text. Meta-regression was used to examine the impact of study-level characteristics. Data on pre-baseline hospitalisations were unavailable in Whitehall II but we conducted sensitivity analyses to explore the impact of this (Appendix 3). All statistical analyses were conducted using Stata 11 (Stata Corporation Ltd., College Station, Texas, US) apart from study-specific analyses in the Danish studies, which were conducted using SAS 9.2 (SAS Institute Inc., Cary, North Carolina, US).

Results

The characteristics of the studies and participants are shown in Table 1 and Appendix 3, Table S1. Of the 102 207 participants, 56% were women. The proportion of participants who reported job strain at baseline varied by study, from 13% to 22%. During a median follow-up of 10 years (range: 1 to 24 years), 430 individuals had a severe asthma exacerbation as a primary diagnostic code and 1 109 individuals had a severe asthma exacerbation as any diagnostic code (Table 1).

Job strain at baseline was associated with an increased risk of severe asthma exacerbations in the age and sex-adjusted analyses with the outcome definition based on the primary diagnostic code (HR: 1.27, 95% CI: 1.00, 1.61) (Figure 1) However, with additional adjustment for socioeconomic position, BMI, smoking and alcohol intake, this association attenuated towards the null (HR: 1.22, 95% CI: 0.96, 1.55) (Figure 2). Job strain was not associated with severe asthma exacerbations defined as any diagnostic code in the age and sex-adjusted analyses (HR: 1.07, 95% CI: 0.91, 1.25) or multivariable-adjusted analyses (HR: 1.01, 95% CI: 0.86, 1.19) (Figures 1 and 2). There was little heterogeneity among the study-specific estimates.

We found no clear evidence for an association of passive job or high strain with severe asthma exacerbations (Figure 3). The findings were similar in the analyses with asthma exacerbations defined based on primary or any diagnostic code. There was some indication of active job (high demands and high control over work) being associated with a slightly increased risk of a severe asthma exacerbation (multivariable-adjusted HRs: asthma as primary diagnostic code: 1.28, 95% CI: 0.98, 1.57; asthma as any diagnostic code: 1.24, 95% CI: 1.05, 1.47) (Figure 3). The study-specific results, on which these summary estimates are based, are shown in Appendix 3 (Figures S1-S4).

As smoking is a strong confounder to any association between work-related stress and asthma exacerbations, we also fitted all the models stratified by baseline smoking. We found no clear evidence of job strain being associated with severe asthma exacerbations in baseline smokers or baseline non-smokers (Appendix 3, Table S2). Results from these and other sensitivity analyses (described in Appendix 3) suggest that our findings are robust.

Discussion

Main findings

In our meta-analyses of individual-level data from over 100 000 participants from four European countries, we found no clear evidence for an association between job strain (a combination of high demands and low control over work) and the risk of severe asthma exacerbations, regardless of whether asthma was ascertained from the primary diagnostic code (HR: 1.22, 95% CI: 0.96, 1.55) or any diagnostic code (HR: 1.01, 95% CI: 0.86, 1.19). These findings suggest that job strain is probably not an important risk factor of severe asthma exacerbations leading to hospitalisation or death. However, active job (high demands and high control over work), was associated with an up to 1.28-fold increase in the risk of severe asthma exacerbations. These estimates were robust to adjustment for age, sex, socioeconomic position, smoking, alcohol intake and BMI, which suggests that they are not explained by unhealthy lifestyle among individuals reporting job strain

Our findings in context

Work-related psychosocial stress has been reported being associated with an increased risk of depression (14) as well as some, though not all, somatic diseases (13, 22, 23). Results of animal and *in vitro* studies suggests that the physiological stress response, characterised by inflammation and other changes in the immune system functions, may have an aetiological role in asthma (24). There is some evidence that stressful life-events increase the risk of asthma exacerbations in children (25) and adults (26). Stress is also associated with asthma triggers, such as respiratory infections (11, 27) and smoking (12). However, we found no association between job strain and severe asthma exacerbations, which could suggest that at population-level, job strain specifically or without other life-stressors does not make asthma worse.

In our analyses having an active job (high demands combined with high control over work) was associated with a slight increase in the risk of severe asthma exacerbations. This association was not hypothesised. However, similar findings in relation to other diseases have been reported. An active job was associated with a 38% increase in the risk of myocardial infarction or stroke (95% CI: 1.07,

1.77) in the United States Women's Health Survey (n=22 086) (28). Among 48 361 women in the Finnish Public Sector study, those with active jobs were more likely to have cerebrovascular disease than those with low strain jobs (odds ratio: 2.32, 95% CI: 1.30, 4.10) (29). High decision authority, a feature of active jobs, was associated with an increase in mortality among Finnish industrial employees (30) . Despite the similar associations between active job and other outcomes, we believe that the association between an active job and an increased risk of a severe asthma exacerbation requires cautious interpretation. This finding may relate to job strain (high demands and low control) and active job (high demands and high control) measuring different aspects of work-related stress. In the original job strain theory, job strain is proposed to be detrimental to health, whereas high control over work is proposed to buffer against the adverse health effects of high demands. However, it may be that job strain captures the stressful aspects of routine work and that active job captures stress resulting from decision authority, control and responsibility, not only over one's own but also over others' work, which are more typical to managerial-level jobs.

Strengths and limitations

Our analyses were based on a large set of data from European men and women from a range of work settings and socioeconomic backgrounds, making the findings widely generalisable to working people in Northern and Western Europe. We investigated a validated measure of work-related psychosocial stress, job strain, which was harmonised across the studies, thus making it reasonable to combine the study-specific results in meta-analyses (15, 17). Also, by using an exposure that was defined and harmonised before the acquisition of outcome data, we avoided bias arising from *post-hoc* modifications of the exposure measure (17).

Our register-based outcomes are strength as well as a limitation. Asthma exacerbations ascertained from hospitalisation and death registers were based on clinical diagnoses. The specificity of the asthma diagnoses in the Danish hospitalisation register is 98% (31). The specificity and positive

predictive values for the majority of diseases are also reasonable in the Finnish (95%) and Swedish (85-95%) hospitalisation registers (32, 33). Prospectively collected, register-based outcome data cannot have been influenced by recall bias from the participants or the diagnosing physicians. However, as our analyses focused on severe asthma exacerbations, the findings cannot be extrapolated to associations between job strain and mild asthma exacerbations, which the patients can manage at home or in primary care. Also, the number of incident asthma exacerbations in the analyses using primary diagnostic codes to define asthma outcomes was smaller (n=430) than in the analyses using any diagnostic code for asthma in the outcome definition (n=1 109), which limited the statistical power in the first set of analyses.

Similarly, our study design has strengths as well as limitations. On one hand, our individual-participant meta-analyses of unpublished data were not prone to publication, reporting or citation biases, but on the other, our data were obtained from a collaborative research project and thus not based on a search of all potentially existing unpublished data. Another limitation is that we had no harmonised data on changes in job strain, occupation or working environments, exposure to air pollution, or the asthmatics' control of their disease, and were thus unable to investigate whether these influenced our findings. Finally, though we adjusted our analyses for a number of potential confounders, it is possible that residual confounding from other unknown or unmeasured confounders has influenced our estimates, or that they occurred due to chance.

Clinical relevance

Our findings would be useful to clinicians, particularly in occupational healthcare, who need evidence-based information on work-related factors that impact on the management of asthma in adults. This information would also benefit patients, who may be concerned about the impact of stressful work on asthma. The association between active jobs and an increased risk of severe asthma exacerbations, however, would merit further research and it would be premature to propose

changes to clinical practice based on this observation. Also, further studies would help to ascertain whether other aspects of work-related stress, such as low remuneration for demanding work or long working hours, might have an impact on asthma exacerbations.

Conclusions

Our findings suggest that job strain is unlikely to be an important risk factor for severe asthma exacerbations. The observed association between active job and an increased risk of severe asthma exacerbations should, at this stage, be treated as a hypothesis-generating finding.

Author contributions

All authors participated in designing the investigation, generating hypotheses, interpreting the data and writing and critically reviewing the paper. Some authors participated in collecting the data. Katriina Heikkila analysed the data from FPS, HeSSup, Still Working, Whitehall II and WOLF Norrland and WOLF Stockholm. Ida E.H. Madsen analysed data from COPSOQ-I, DWECS, IPAW and PUMA. Katriina Heikkila wrote the first draft of the paper with help from Mika Kivimäki.

Ethical approval

Each constituent study in the IPD-Work consortium was approved by the relevant local or national ethics committees and all participants gave informed consent to take part. Details of the ethical approval are provided in Appendix 1.

Data access

Katriina Heikkila and Mika Kivimäki had full access to anonymised data from FPS, HeSSup, Still Working, Whitehall II and WOLF Norrland and WOLF Stockholm. Ida E.H. Madsen had full access to anonymised data from COPSOQ-I, COPSOQ-II, DWECS, IPAW and PUMA.

Conflict of interest: Töres Theorell receives royalties for books written on various topics, including psychosocial factors; music and health; and Sweden's working life in the 1990s. Hugo Westerlund's institution has received a research grant from Saint-Gobain Ecophon AB, a manufacturer of sound absorbing materials, to study the effect of such materials on stress, job satisfaction and productivity in open-plan offices. Other authors declare no conflicts of interest.

Funding: The IPD-Work Consortium is supported by the EU New OSH ERA research programme (funded by the Finnish Work Environment Fund, Finland, the Swedish Research Council for Working Life and Social Research, Sweden, the Danish National Research Centre for the Working

Environment, Denmark, the Academy of Finland (grant #132944), the BUPA Foundation (grant 22094477) and the Economic and Social Research Council, UK. Mika Kivimäki is supported by the National Heart, Lung and Blood Institute, NIH, US, the Medical Research Council, UK (K013351) and a professorial fellowship from the Economic and Social Research Council, UK. The funding bodies had no role in the study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript.

Table 1. Study characteristics

Study, country	Baseline year	Eligible participants ¹	N with complete data ²		N (%) job strain at baseline		N severe asthma exacerbations (N hospitalisations/ N deaths)	
			Asthma as primary diagnostic code	Asthma as any diagnostic code	Asthma as primary diagnostic code	Asthma as any diagnostic code	Asthma as primary diagnostic code	Asthma as any diagnostic code
Copenhagen Psychosocial Questionnaire I (COPSOQ-I), Denmark	1997	1 853	1 716	1 707	348 (20.3)	348 (20.5)	22 (22/0)	22 (22/0)
Copenhagen Psychosocial Questionnaire II (COPSOQ-II), Denmark	2004-2005	3 818	3 293	3 280	463 (14.1)	461 (14.1)	15 (15/0)	12 (12/0)
Danish Work Environment Cohort Study (DWECS), Denmark	2000	5 606	5 428	5 375	1 196 (22.0)	1 194 (22.2)	38 (38/0)	36 (36/0)
Finnish Public Sector (FPS), Finland	2000	48 592	44 007	43 652	7 052 (16.0)	6 993 (16.0)	132 (132/0)	371 (369/2)
Health and Social Support (HeSSup), Finland	1998	17 102	15 004	15 004	2 644 (17.6)	2 644 (17.6)	46 (46/0)	47 (46/1)
Intervention Project on Absence and Well-being (IPAW), Denmark	1996-1997	2 068	1 912	1 905	330 (17.3)	330 (17.3)	38 (38/0)	37 (37/0)
Burnout, Motivation and Job Satisfaction study (Danish acronym PUMA), Denmark	1999-2000	1 914	1 723	1 716	257 (14.9)	256 (14.9)	22 (22/0)	20 (20/0)
Still Working, Finland	1986	9 282	8 916	8 911	1 390 (15.6)	1 387 (15.6)	58 (58/0)	117 (117/0)
Whitehall II, United Kingdom	1985-1988	10 308	10 175	10 175	1 421 (14.0)	1 421 (14.0)	42 (35/4)	382 (378/4)
Work Lipids and Fibrinogen (WOLF) Norrland, Sweden	1996-1998	4 718	4 561	4 556	581 (12.7)	579 (12.7)	8 (8/0)	21 (20/1)
Work Lipids and Fibrinogen (WOLF) Stockholm, Sweden	1992-1995	5 698	5 472	5 464	873 (16.0)	871 (15.9)	9 (9/0)	44 (44/0)
All	1985 - 2005	110 959	102 207	101 745	16 555 (16.2)	16 484 (15.7)	430 (430/0)	1 109 (1 101/8)

¹ Eligible participants were men and women who responded to the baseline questionnaire and were employed at study baseline.

² Participants with complete data on job strain, asthma and covariates.

References

1. Jackson DJ, Sykes A, Mallia P, Johnston SL. Asthma exacerbations: Origin, effect, and prevention. *Journal of Allergy and Clinical Immunology* 2011;**128**(6):1165-1174.
2. WHO Fact sheet N°307: Asthma: World Health Organization; 2011.
3. McHugh MK, Symanski E, Pompeii LA, Delclos GL. Prevalence of asthma by industry and occupation in the U.S. working population. *Am J Ind Med* 2010;**53**(5):463-475.
4. Vos T, Flaxman AD, Naghavi M, Lozano R, Michaud C, Ezzati M, et al. Years lived with disability (YLDs) for 1160 sequelae of 289 diseases and injuries 1990-2010: a systematic analysis for the Global Burden of Disease Study 2010. *Lancet* 2013;**380**(9859):2163-2196.
5. Asthma, Health and Society. A Public Health Perspective. In: Harver A, Kotses H, editors. New York: Springer; 2010.
6. Locksley RM. Asthma and allergic inflammation. *Cell* 2010;**140**(6):777-783.
7. Health at a Glance 2011: OECD Indicators 5.1. Avoidable admission: respiratory diseases. 2011 [cited 2013 Aug 7, 2013]; Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1787/health_glance-2011-en
8. Lavoie KL, Joseph M, Bacon SL. Psychological distress and occupational asthma. *Curr Opin Allergy Clin Immunol* 2009;**9**(2):103-109.
9. Dave ND, Xiang L, Rehm KE, Marshall GD, Jr. Stress and allergic diseases. *Immunol Allergy Clin North Am* 2011;**31**(1):55-68.
10. Wright RJ. Epidemiology of stress and asthma: from constricting communities and fragile families to epigenetics. *Immunol Allergy Clin North Am* 2011;**31**(1):19-39.
11. Falagas ME, Karamanidou C, Kastoris AC, Karlis G, Rafailidis PI. Psychosocial factors and susceptibility to or outcome of acute respiratory tract infections. *Int J Tuberc Lung Dis* 2010;**14**(2):141-148.
12. Heikkilä K, Nyberg ST, Fransson EI, Alfredsson L, De Bacquer D, Bjorner JB, et al. Job Strain and Tobacco Smoking: An Individual-participant Data Meta-analysis of 166 130 Adults in 15 European Studies. *PLoS ONE* 2012;**7**(7):e35463.
13. Steptoe A, Kivimaki M. Stress and cardiovascular disease. *Nat Rev Cardiol* 2012;**9**(6):360-370.
14. Netterstrom B, Conrad N, Bech P, Fink P, Olsen O, Rugulies R, et al. The relation between work-related psychosocial factors and the development of depression. *Epidemiol Rev* 2008;**30**:118-132.
15. Karasek R, Brisson C, Kawakami N, Houtman I, Bongers P, Amick B. The Job Content Questionnaire (JCQ): an instrument for internationally comparative assessments of psychosocial job characteristics. *J Occup Health Psychol* 1998;**3**(4):322-355.
16. Karasek R, Theorell T. Healthy work: Stress, productivity, and the reconstruction of working life. New York: Basic Books; 1990.
17. Fransson EI, Nyberg ST, Heikkilä K, Alfredsson L, Bacquer de D, Batty GD, et al. Comparison of alternative versions of the job demand-control scales in 17 European cohort studies: the IPD-Work consortium. *BMC Public Health* 2012;**12**(62).
18. WHO. International Classification of Diseases 10. 2010 [cited 2013; Available from: <http://apps.who.int/classifications/icd10/browse/2010/en>
19. WHO. International Classification of Diseases Revision 9 (1975). 1975 [cited 2012; Available from: <http://www.wolfbane.com/icd/icd9.htm>
20. Strid JMC, Gammelager H, Johansen MB, Tonnesen E, Christiansen CF. Hospitalization rate and 30-day mortality among patients with status asthmaticus in Denmark: a 16-year nationwide population-based cohort study. *Clinical epidemiology* 2013;**5**:345-355.
21. Higgins JP, Thompson SG, Deeks JJ, Altman DG. Measuring inconsistency in meta-analyses. *British Medical Journal* 2003;**327**(7414):557-560.

22. Heikkila K, Nyberg ST, Theorell T, Fransson EI, Alfredsson L, Bjorner JB, et al. Work Stress and Cancer Risk: A Meta-analysis of 5 700 Incident Cancer Events in 116 000 European Men and Women. *British Medical Journal* 2013;**346**:f165.
23. Kivimaki M, Nyberg ST, Batty GD, Fransson E, Heikkila K, Alfredsson L, et al. Job strain as a risk factor for future coronary heart disease: Collaborative meta-analysis of 2358 events in 197,473 men and women. *Lancet* 2012;**380**(9852):1491-1497.
24. Haczku A, Panettieri RA, Jr. Social stress and asthma: the role of corticosteroid insensitivity. *J Allergy Clin Immunol* 2010;**125**(3):550-558.
25. Sandberg S, Paton JY, Ahola S, McCann DC, McGuinness D, Hillary CR, et al. The role of acute and chronic stress in asthma attacks in children. *Lancet* 2000;**356**(9234):982-987.
26. Wainwright NW, Surtees PG, Wareham NJ, Harrison BD. Psychosocial factors and incident asthma hospital admissions in the EPIC-Norfolk cohort study. *Allergy* 2007;**62**(5):554-560.
27. Rampton DS. The influence of stress on the development and severity of immune-mediated diseases. *J Rheumatol Suppl* 2011;**88**:43-47.
28. Slopen N, Glynn RJ, Buring JE, Lewis TT, Williams DR, Albert MA. Job Strain, Job Insecurity, and Incident Cardiovascular Disease in the Women's Health Study: Results from a 10-Year Prospective Study. *PLoS ONE* 2012;**7**(7):e40512.
29. Kivimaki M, Gimeno D, Ferrie JE, Batty GD, Oksanen T, Jokela M, et al. Socioeconomic position, psychosocial work environment and cerebrovascular disease among women: the Finnish public sector study. *Int J Epidemiol* 2009;**38**(5):1265-1271.
30. Joensuu M, Kivimaki M, Koskinen A, Kouvonen A, Pulkki-Raback L, Vahtera J, et al. Differential associations of job control components with mortality: a cohort study, 1986-2005. *Am J Epidemiol* 2012;**175**(7):609-619.
31. Jensen AO, Nielsen GL, Ehrenstein V. Validity of asthma diagnoses in the Danish National Registry of Patients, including an assessment of impact of misclassification on risk estimates in an actual dataset. *Clin Epidemiol* 2010;**2**:67-72.
32. Ludvigsson JF, Andersson E, Ekbom A, Feychting M, Kim JL, Reuterwall C, et al. External review and validation of the Swedish national inpatient register. *BMC Public Health* 2011;**11**:450.
33. Sund R. Quality of the Finnish Hospital Discharge Register: a systematic review. *Scand J Public Health* 2012;**40**(6):505-515.

Captions

Table 1. Participant characteristics

Figure 1. Age and sex-adjusted association between job strain and severe asthma exacerbations

Figure 2. Multivariable-adjusted association between job strain and severe asthma exacerbations

Figure 3. Associations between job strain model quadrants and severe asthma exacerbations

Figure 1. Age and sex-adjusted association between job strain and severe asthma exacerbations






Figure 2. Multivariable-adjusted* association between job strain and severe asthma exacerbations



*Adjusted for age, sex, socioeconomic position, body mass index, smoking and alcohol intake

Figure 3. Associations between job strain model quadrants and severe asthma exacerbations



¹ Adjusted for age, socioeconomic position, body mass index, smoking and alcohol intake.

Appendix 1. Studies and participants

Individual-participant Data Meta-analysis of Working populations (IPD-Work) Consortium

IPD-Work Consortium is a collaborative meta-analysis project that was established at the Four Centers Initiative Meeting (a meeting of stress researchers from University College London, the Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche in Paris, the University of Dusseldorf in Germany, and Karolinska Institutet in Stockholm) in London in 2008. IPD-Work Consortium consists of 19 prospective European cohort studies. The overarching aim of the consortium is to investigate the effect of work-related stress on chronic diseases using individual-participant data from prospective studies with a measure of work-related stress at baseline and register-based information on incident chronic diseases during follow-up.

Of the 19 studies, 11 studies were included in our meta-analyses and are described below. Six studies were excluded from the current analyses because no register data on severe asthma exacerbations were available (Belstress, GAZEL, Heinz-Nixdorf Recall study, Cooperative Health Research in the Region Augsburg (KORA) study, German Socioeconomic Panel Study (German acronym SOEP) and Netherlands Working Conditions Survey (NWCS)). Further two studies were excluded because the numbers of severe asthma exacerbations were too small to analyse (Swedish Longitudinal Occupational Survey of Health (SLOSH) and Permanent Onderzoek Leefsituatie (POLS)). It is unlikely that these reasons for exclusion would be associated with the study results or introduce bias to our meta-analyses. All studies provided individual-level data or conducted study-specific analyses according to our instructions and provided us with aggregate results. No study team refused to provide data or aggregate results.

IPD-Work Consortium used a pre-defined two-stage data acquisition protocol: in the first stage, baseline data on job strain and other indicators of work-related stress, socio-demographic factors

and lifestyle-related factors were acquired, validated and harmonised (1-7). In the second stage, these data were linked to data on disease outcomes from national hospitalisation and mortality registers (8-10). Record linkage was done using personal identification numbers in the Danish, Finnish and Swedish studies (Copenhagen Psychosocial Questionnaire I and II, Danish Work Environment Cohort Study, Finnish Public Sector study, Health and Social Support , Intervention Project on Absence and Well-being , Burnout, Motivation and Job Satisfaction study, Still Working and Work Lipids and Fibrinogen Norrland and Stockholm studies). In Whitehall II, based in the United Kingdom, the linkage was done using the participants' personal National Health Service numbers. All participants provided informed consent to record linkage.

Studies included in the present analyses

Copenhagen Psychosocial Questionnaire version I (COPSOQ-I)

COPSOQ-I is a prospective cohort study of a random sample of Danish residents selected from the Danish population register. The participants were aged 20-60 years of age and were in paid employment at the study baseline in 1997. A baseline questionnaire, information about the study and its aims and an invitation to take part was posted to 4 000 people and 2 454 individuals agreed to participate, of whom 1 853 were gainfully employed (11). In Denmark, questionnaire- and register-based studies do not require approval from the Danish National Committee on Biomedical Research Ethics (Den Centrale Videnskabetiske komité). COPSOQ-I was approved by and registered with the Danish Data protection agency (registration number: 2008 - 54 - 0553). Responding to the baseline questionnaire was taken to imply informed consent to take part.

Copenhagen Psychosocial Questionnaire version II (COPSOQ-II)

COPSOQ-II was carried out in 2004-2005. It included a follow up of respondents from COPSOQ I and also a representative sample of Danish residents aged 20-60 at study baseline. The

questionnaire, with information about the study, was sent to 8 000 individuals from the random sample. The questionnaire could be completed using the posted questionnaire or via the internet (12). Of the 4 732 individuals who responded to the baseline questionnaire, 3 817 were gainfully employed and of these, 3 427 had data on job strain and were eligible for our meta-analyses. In Denmark, questionnaire- and register-based studies do not require ethics committee approval. COPSQ-II was approved by and registered with the Danish Data protection agency (registration number: 2004-54-1493). Responding to the baseline questionnaire was taken to imply informed consent to take part.

Danish Work Environment Cohort Study (DWECS)

DWECS is a split panel survey of working age Danish people. The cohort was established in 1990, when a simple random sample of men and women, aged 18-59, was drawn from the Danish population register. The participants have been followed up at five year intervals and data from the year 2000 was used for the IPD-Work. That year 11 437 individuals were invited to participate and 8 583 agreed to do so (13, 14). Of these, 5 606 individuals were gainfully employed. In Denmark, questionnaire- and register-based studies do not require ethics committee approval. DWECS was approved by and registered with the Danish Data protection agency (registration number: 2007-54-0059). Participants were provided information about the study with the baseline questionnaire and responding was taken to imply informed consent to take part.

Finnish Public Sector study (FPS)

The Finnish Public Sector study is a prospective cohort study comprising the entire public sector personnel of 10 towns (municipalities) and 21 hospitals in the same geographical areas. Participants, recruited from employers' records in 2000-2002, were individuals who were employed in the study organisations at the time of the questionnaire survey (15). 48 592

individuals (9 337 men and 39 255 women, aged 17 to 65) responded to the questionnaire. Ethical approval was obtained from the ethics committees of the Finnish Institute of Occupational Health and Helsinki and Uusimaa Hospital District. According to the Finnish law, written consent is not required for survey and register-based research, as long as that participation is voluntary, and the participants have been informed about the aims of the study and possible register linkages (16). Thus, responding to the questionnaire voluntarily (having had access to information on the study aims and possible register linkages) was taken to imply written consent.

Health and Social Support (HeSSup)

The Health and Social Support (HeSSup) study is a prospective cohort study of a stratified random sample of the Finnish population in the following four age groups: 20–24, 30–34, 40–44, and 50–54. The participants were identified from the Finnish population register and posted an invitation to participate, along with a baseline questionnaire, in 1998 (17). Of the 25 898 respondents 17 102 were gainfully employed. Turku University Central Hospital Ethics Committee approved the study. All participants gave written informed consent to take part.

Intervention Project on Absence and Well-being (IPAW)

IPAW is a 5-year psychosocial work environment intervention study including 22 intervention and 30 control work places in three organisations (a large pharmaceutical company, municipal technical services and municipal nursing homes) in Copenhagen, Denmark (18, 19). The baseline questionnaire was posted to all the employees at the selected work-sites between 1996 and 1997. Of the 2 721 employees who worked at the 52 IPAW sites, 2 068 men and women completed the baseline questionnaire. IPAW was approved by and registered with the Danish Data Protection Agency (registration number: 2000-54-0066). Participants were provided information about the

study and with the baseline questionnaire and responding was taken to imply informed consent to take part.

Burnout, Motivation and Job Satisfaction study (Danish acronym: PUMA)

Burnout, Motivation and Job Satisfaction study (Danish acronym: PUMA) is an intervention study of burn-out among employees in the human service sector (20). Selection criteria for the participating organisations was that they had between 200 and 500 employees, that occupational groups within each organisation were willing to participate and that the organisations would commit to the entire five-year study period. At study baseline in 1999-2000, 1 914 employees agreed to take part. Participants gave consent to having their national identity numbers collected and used in later record linkages to Danish hospitalisation and cause of death registries (Hospitalsindlæggelsesregisteret, Dødsårsagsregisteret. PUMA was approved by the Scientific Ethical Committees (Videnskabsetisk Komiteer) in the counties in which the study was conducted and approved by and registered with the Danish Data Protection Agency (registration number: 2000-54-0048).

Still Working

Still Working is an ongoing prospective cohort study. At study baseline in 1986, the employees (n = 12 173) at all Finnish centres of operation of Enso Gutzeit (a forestry products manufacturer) were invited to participate in a questionnaire survey on demographic, psychosocial and health-related factors and 9 282 individuals participated. The study was approved by the ethics committee of the Finnish Institute of Occupational Health (21, 22). Responding to the questionnaire voluntarily was taken to imply written consent.

Whitehall II

The Whitehall II study is a prospective cohort study set up to investigate socioeconomic determinants of health. At study baseline in 1985-1988, 10 308 civil service employees (6 895 men and 3 413 women) aged 35-55 and working in 20 civil service departments in London were invited to participate in the study (23, 24). The Whitehall II study protocol was approved by the University College London Medical School committee on the ethics of human research. Written informed consent was obtained at each data collection wave.

WOLF (Work, Lipids, and Fibrinogen) Norrland and Stockholm studies

The WOLF (Work, Lipids, and Fibrinogen) Norrland is a prospective cohort of 4 718 participants aged 19-65 working in companies in Jämtland and Västernorrland counties (25). WOLF Stockholm study is a prospective cohort study of 5 698 people (3 239 men and 2 459 women) aged 19-70 and working in companies in Stockholm county (26). At study baseline the participants underwent a clinical examination and completed a set of health questionnaires. For WOLF Stockholm, the baseline assessment was undertaken at 20 occupational health units between November 1992 and June 1995 and for WOLF Norrland at 13 occupational health service units in 1996-98. The Regional Research Ethics Board in Stockholm, and the ethics committee at Karolinska Institutet, Stockholm, Sweden approved the study. The participants received written and verbal information about the study and participation was voluntary. Answering the baseline questionnaire was taken to imply informed consent to participate.

References

1. Fransson EI, Heikkilä K, Nyberg ST, Zins M, Westerlund H, Westerholm P, et al. Job strain as a Risk Factor for Sedentary Lifestyle: An Individual-Participant Meta-analysis of up to 170 000 Men and Women. The IPD-Work Consortium. *American Journal of Epidemiology* 2012;**In press**.
2. Fransson EI, Nyberg ST, Heikkilä K, Alfredsson L, Bacquer de D, Batty GD, et al. Comparison of alternative versions of the job demand-control scales in 17 European cohort studies: the IPD-Work consortium. *BMC Public Health* 2012;**12**(62).
3. Heikkilä K, Nyberg ST, Fransson EI, Alfredsson L, De Bacquer D, Bjorner JB, et al. Job Strain and Alcohol Intake: A Collaborative Meta-analysis of Individual-participant Data from 140 000 Men and Women. *PLoS ONE* 2012;**7**(7):e40101.
4. Heikkilä K, Nyberg ST, Fransson EI, Alfredsson L, De Bacquer D, Bjorner JB, et al. Job Strain and Tobacco Smoking: An Individual-participant Data Meta-analysis of 166 130 Adults in 15 European Studies. *PLoS ONE* 2012;**7**(7):e35463.
5. Nyberg ST, Heikkilä K, Fransson EI, Alfredsson L, De Bacquer D, Bjorner JB, et al. Job strain in relation to body mass index: pooled analysis of 160 000 adults from 13 cohort studies. *Journal of Internal Medicine* 2012;**272**(1):65-73.
6. Nyberg ST, Fransson EI, Heikkilä K, Alfredsson L, Casini A, Clays E, et al. Job strain and cardiovascular disease risk factors: meta-analysis of individual-participant data from 47,000 men and women. *PLoS ONE* 2013;**8**(6):e67323.
7. Siegrist J, Dragano N, Nyberg ST, Lunau T, Alfredsson L, Erbel R, et al. Validating abbreviated measures of effort-reward imbalance at work in European cohort studies: the IPD-Work consortium. *Int Arch Occup Environ Health* 2013.
8. Heikkilä K, Nyberg ST, Theorell T, Fransson EI, Alfredsson L, Bjorner JB, et al. Work Stress and Cancer Risk: A Meta-analysis of 5 700 Incident Cancer Events in 116 000 European Men and Women. *British Medical Journal* 2013;**346**:f165.
9. Kivimäki M, Nyberg ST, Batty GD, Fransson E, Heikkilä K, Alfredsson L, et al. Job strain as a risk factor for future coronary heart disease: Collaborative meta-analysis of 2358 events in 197,473 men and women. *Lancet* 2012;**380**(9852):1491-1497.
10. Virtanen M, Heikkilä K, Jokela M, Ferrie JE, Batty GD, Vahtera J, et al. Long working hours and coronary heart disease: a systematic review and meta-analysis. *Am J Epidemiol* 2012;**176**(7):586-596.
11. Kristensen TS, Hannerz H, Hogh A, Borg V. The Copenhagen Psychosocial Questionnaire--a tool for the assessment and improvement of the psychosocial work environment. *Scand J Work Environ Health* 2005;**31**(6):438-449.
12. Pejtersen JH, Kristensen TSnr, Borg V, Bjorner JB. The second version of the Copenhagen Psychosocial Questionnaire. *Scandinavian Journal of Public Health* 2010;**38**(3 suppl):8-24.
13. Burr H, Bjorner JB, Kristensen TS, Tüchsen F, Bach E. Trends in the Danish work environment in 1990–2000 and their associations with labor-force changes. *Scand J Work Environ Health* 2003;**29**(4):270-279.
14. Feveile H, Olsen O, Burr H, Bach E. Danish Work Environment Cohort Study 2005: From idea to sampling design. *Statistics in Transition* 2007;**8**(3):441-458.
15. Kivimäki M, Lawlor DA, Smith GD, Kouvonen A, Virtanen M, Elovainio M, et al. Socioeconomic Position, Co-Occurrence of Behavior-Related Risk Factors, and Coronary Heart Disease: the Finnish Public Sector Study. *Am J Public Health* 2007;**97**(5):874-879.
16. Justice FMO. Personal Data Act (523/1999). In; 1999.
17. Korkeila K, Suominen S, Ahvenainen J, Ojanlatva A, Rautava P, Helenius H, et al. Non-response and related factors in a nation-wide health survey. *Eur J Epidemiol* 2001;**17**(11):991-999.
18. Nielsen M, Kristensen T, Smith-Hansen L. The Intervention Project on Absence and Well-being (IPAW): design and results from the baseline of a 5-year study. *Work and Stress* 2002;**16**:191-206.
19. Nielsen ML, Rugulies R, Christensen KB, Smith-Hansen L, Bjorner JB, Kristensen T. Impact of the psychosocial work environment on registered absence from work: a two-year longitudinal study using the IPAW cohort. *Work & Stress* 2004;**18**(4):323-335.
20. Borritz M, Rugulies R, Bjorner JB, Villadsen E, Mikkelsen OA, Kristensen TS. Burnout among employees in human service work: design and baseline findings of the PUMA study. *Scand J Public Health* 2006;**34**(1):49-58.

21. Kalimo R, Toppinen S. Organizational well-being: ten years of research and development: in a forest industry corporation. In: Kompier M, Cooper C, editors. *Preventing Stress, Improving Productivity: European Case Studies in the Workplace*. London: Routledge; 1999. p. 52-85.
22. Vaananen A, Murray M, Koskinen A, Vahtera J, Kouvonen A, Kivimaki M. Engagement in cultural activities and cause-specific mortality: prospective cohort study. *Prev Med* 2009;**49**(2-3):142-147.
23. Marmot M, Brunner E. Cohort Profile: the Whitehall II study. *Int J Epidemiol* 2005;**34**(2):251-256.
24. Marmot MG, Smith GD, Stansfeld S, Patel C, North F, Head J, et al. Health inequalities among British civil servants: the Whitehall II study. *Lancet* 1991;**337**(8754):1387-1393.
25. Alfredsson L, Hammar N, Fransson E, de Faire U, Hallqvist J, Knutsson A, et al. Job strain and major risk factors for coronary heart disease among employed males and females in a Swedish study on work, lipids and fibrinogen. *Scand J Work Environ Health* 2002;**28**(4):238-248.
26. Peter R, Alfredsson L, Hammar N, Siegrist J, Theorell T, P. W. High effort, low reward, and cardiovascular risk factors in employed Swedish men and women: baseline results from the WOLF Study. *J Epidemiol Community Health* 1998;**52**:540-547

Appendix 2. Potential confounders

We adjusted our analyses for age, sex, socioeconomic position, body mass index (BMI), tobacco smoking and alcohol intake. Information on sex and age was obtained from population registries or interview (COPSOQ-I, COPSOQ-II, DWECS, FPS, IPAQ, PUMA, Still Working, WOLF Norrland and WOLF Stockholm) or from participant-completed questionnaires (in HeSSup and Whitehall II). Socioeconomic position was based on occupation, which was ascertained from the employers' or other registers (in COPSOQ-I, COPSOQ-II, DWECS, FPS, IPAQ, PUMA and Still Working) or participant-completed questionnaires (in HeSSup Whitehall II, WOLF Norrland and WOLF Stockholm). In HeSSup, socioeconomic position was based on the highest educational qualification reported by each participant. We harmonised socioeconomic position into low (routine and manual occupations or comprehensive education), intermediate (non-manual intermediate occupations or vocational education), high (higher managerial, administrative and professional occupations or university-level education) and other (for those with missing data on job title) (1).

Smoking and alcohol intake were participant-reported in all studies. Smoking was harmonised into never, ex- and current (2). Alcohol intake was ascertained from questions on the total number of alcoholic drinks (defined as one unit, one glass or 10g of ethanol) the participants consumed in a week. Alcohol intake was harmonised into none, moderate (women: 1-14 drinks/week, men: 1-21 drinks/week), intermediate (women: 15-20 drinks/week, men: 22-27 drinks/week) and heavy (women: ≥ 21 drinks/wk, men: ≥ 28 drinks/week) (3). In Still Working, we were only able to categorise alcohol intake approximately as none, moderate and heavy. Body mass index (BMI: weight in kilograms divided by height in meters squared) was calculated using data on height and weight, which were self-reported in six studies (COPSOQ-II, DWECS, FPS, HeSSup, IPAQ and

PUMA) and measured in three studies (Whitehall II, WOLF Norrland and WOLF Stockholm). We harmonised BMI according to the World Health Organization recommendations into underweight ($<18.5 \text{ kg/m}^2$), normal weight (18.5-24.9), overweight (25-29.9) and obese (≥ 30)(4). Participants with BMI <15 or >50 were excluded from the analysis. In COPSOQ-I no data were collected on BMI and alcohol intake. Similarly, no BMI data were collected in Still Working. The multivariable-adjusted analyses in these studies were adjusted for all other covariates apart from the ones that were not available.

References

1. Nyberg ST, Heikkilä K, Fransson EI, Alfredsson L, De Bacquer D, Bjorner JB, et al. Job strain in relation to body mass index: pooled analysis of 160 000 adults from 13 cohort studies. *Journal of Internal Medicine* 2012;**272**(1):65-73.
2. Heikkilä K, Nyberg ST, Fransson EI, Alfredsson L, De Bacquer D, Bjorner JB, et al. Job Strain and Tobacco Smoking: An Individual-participant Data Meta-analysis of 166 130 Adults in 15 European Studies. *PLoS ONE* 2012;**7**(7):e35463.
3. Heikkilä K, Nyberg ST, Fransson EI, Alfredsson L, De Bacquer D, Bjorner JB, et al. Job Strain and Alcohol Intake: A Collaborative Meta-analysis of Individual-participant Data from 140 000 Men and Women. *PLoS ONE* 2012;**7**(7):e40101.
4. Obesity: preventing and managing the global epidemic. Report of a WHO consultation. *World Health Organ Tech Rep Ser* 2000;**894**:i-xii, 1-253.