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PURPOSE – Diffusion MRI enables non-invasive in vivo reconstruction of the white matter axon bundles hereafter referred to as fascicles. DTI is known to have a hard time depicting accurately this architecture in regions where multiple fascicles cross. New multi-compartment models [1,2,3] can unravel this issue provided that the number of fascicles is known in advance. This is a model selection problem that translates to finding the optimal number of fascicles. Recently, [4] proposed to use the generalization error to choose the best model based on its ability to predict new data that has not been used for its estimation, thus avoiding the common problem of over-fitting. Despite the excellent results obtained by this method, the generalization error needs to be estimated, which is a long process that takes up to a week on a high resolution data such as the recently publicly released Human Connectome Project (HCP) data [5]. In this abstract, we introduce a new model selection approach that gives results at least as good as the generalization error with a dramatically reduced computation time, making it closer to a clinical applicability.

METHODS – We used a ball-and-cylinders diffusion model that, given a priori number m of fascicles, predicts the diffusion weighted (DW) signal decay induced by the application of a diffusion sensitizing gradient with b-value $b_j$ and direction $\mathbf{g}_j$ as $A_j^{(m)} = (1 - \sum_{i=1}^{m} f_j^{(m)} e^{-b_j \mu_j^{(m)}} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} f_i^{(m)} e^{-b_j \mu_i^{(m)}})^{-1}$ (1), where $\mu_i^{(m)}$ and $f_i^{(m)}$ are respectively the orientation and occupancy of the $i$th fascicle, $D_j$ and $D_i$ are the fascicles’ parallel and perpendicular diffusivities and $D_{iso} = 0.003$ mm$^2$/s is the diffusivity of free water at 37°C. We fixed $D_2$ and $D_3$ to their averaged DTI-based value in the corpus callosum to prevent the models from describing isotropic diffusion in fascicle environments. We fitted this model for $m \in [1,M]$ by maximizing the log-likelihood $\log L(m)$ using the Gaussian approximation to the $\chi^2$-distributed noise. We defined the best model as the one at minimal Kullbach-Leibler (KL) divergence to the true unknown model. Model averaging theory states [6] that those obtained by generalization error, with qualitatively improved delineation of mono-fascicle regions. This was achieved by a model averaging procedure, which is consisted in 270 DW images with three different b-values at 1000, 2000 and 3000 s/mm$^2$ and 18 non-DW images, at a spatial resolution of $3.75 \times 3.75 \times 3.0$ mm$^3$.

RESULTS – We confronted the results of our method to those obtained using the generalization error [4] on two cases provided by the HCP [5]. For each case, the data gives results at least as good as the generalization error with a dramatically reduced computation time, making it closer to a clinical applicability.

DISCUSSION – Estimation of the optimal number of fascicles took almost a week using the generalization error and only three hours using model averaging theory. Fig. 1 shows coronal slices of the map of optimal number of fascicles obtained by generalization error (a,c) and by model averaging (b,d). The maps are qualitatively highly similar, which is quantitatively confirmed by a generalized Dice coefficient of 0.812 for subject #1 and 0.805 for subject #2. In addition, model averaging estimates seem to better capture known mono-fascicle regions like the basis of the cortico-spinal tract or the corpus callosum (green regions).

CONCLUSION – In this abstract, we showed that we were able to obtain estimates of the optimal number of fascicles in the white matter that are at least as reliable as those obtained by generalization error, with qualitatively improved delineation of mono-fascicle regions. This was achieved by a model averaging procedure, which is easily generalizable to any multi-compartment model, in a dramatically reduced computational time compared to the generalization error, making it clinically feasible.


Figure 1: Coronal view of the resulting map of optimal number of fascicles for subject #1 (a,b) and subject #2 (c,d) by means of generalization error (a,c) and model averaging (b,d).
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