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1 Introduction

1.1 Musical practice as a model for studying brain plasticity.

Musical expertise has become a useful model for investigating practice-related brain plasticity in humans (Dawson 2011; Fauvel et al. 2013). Performing music is a complex behavior, which relies on immediate and accurate associations between motor sequences and auditory events. Neuroimaging studies have shown that musical training leads to structural plasticity within auditory and motor areas (Münte et al. 2002), as well as a reinforced functional coupling of these regions during musical tasks (Haueisen and Knösche 2001; Bangert et al. 2006). Moreover, repeated musical practice improves not only perception and action, but also general cognitive processes such as memory (short- and long-term), executive functions and attention (Pantev and Herholz 2011). The reason is that, in addition to engaging specific brain areas, the cognitive processes applied to musical material involve broad networks that are used to process other kinds of stimuli as well (Gaab and Schlaug 2003; Bialystok and DePape 2009). This is especially true for experienced musicians, who have to master increasingly difficult pieces of music (Pallesen et al. 2010). Finally, brain plasticity related to musical practice has also been observed in several white-matter (WM) tracts such as the corpus callosum (Schlaug et al. 1995; Öztürk et al. 2002; Bengtsson et al. 2005), the corticospinal tract (Bengtsson et al. 2005; Imfeld et al. 2009) and the arcuate fasciculus (Bengtsson et al. 2005).

Thus, musical practice seems to be both a multimodal and a multiprocess activity that involves large parts of the brain.

1.2 Learning-related modulation of resting-state functional connectivity.

Researchers have recently discovered that during wakeful rest, the brain exhibits spontaneous activity, believed to be related to neuronal firing rather than to simple physiological noise (heart rate and breathing; Van den Heuvel and Hulshoff Pol 2010). With the use of resting-state functional connectivity, it seems that the pattern of correlations between the blood-oxygen-level dependent (BOLD) time series of several distinct brain areas points to the existence of a networks organization (Damoiseaux et al. 2006) similar to those known to be engaged during the performance of sensorimotor and cognitive tasks (Van den Heuvel and Hulshoff Pol 2010) and dependent upon the brain’s anatomical connectivity (Van den Heuvel et al. 2009). Additionally, some authors have suggested that recent experiences and learning episodes can modulate subsequent patterns of resting-state functional connectivity both within and between the networks they recruited. For example, Lewis et al.
(2009) found that sensory areas activated during an intensive training session for a very demanding visual task subsequently exhibited increased resting-state functional connectivity within parietal and frontal regions known to be involved in the control of spatial attention. Also using a visual learning paradigm, Urner et al. (2013) highlighted both short- and long-lasting learning-related changes in resting-state functional connectivity between the hippocampus and striatum. Regarding the motor domain, Vahdat et al. (2011) found that motor training reinforces resting-state functional connectivity within both a motor and a somatosensory network, while Albert et al. (2009) reported modulations in resting-state functional connectivity in the wake of new complex motor learning, but not after simple, familiar motor activities. This argues in favor of a learning consolidation function of resting-state brain activity. These findings have been replicated with studies featuring more cognitive tasks and with an emphasis on behavioral performances. Veroude et al. (2010) showed Dutch participants a weather report in Mandarin Chinese and assessed their resting-state functional connectivity both before and after an incidental auditory recognition task. When they divided the sample into high and low learners, they found that the high learners displayed not only greater post-task reorganization of resting-state functional connectivity between the regions involved in phonological shape storage (the auditory forms representation of words), but also stronger pre-task resting-state functional connectivity between the regions that sustain phonological rehearsal. These results pointed to both pre-existing and learning-induced differences between the two groups. Finally, a piece of evidence in favor of a memory consolidation role of task-related modulation of resting-state functional connectivity comes from a study by Tambini et al. (2010). They observed enhanced resting-state functional connectivity between the hippocampus and a portion of the lateral occipital lobe following incidental episodic encoding requiring highly associative memory processes for later recall (objects and faces), but not after episodic encoding with relatively low levels of subsequent associative memory (scenes and faces). Interestingly, the coefficient of correlation between the time series of the hippocampus and lateral occipital lobe during the post-encoding resting session was predictive of retrieval performances, thus powerfully demonstrating the importance of task-related modulation of resting-state functional connectivity for learning.

Taken together, the aforementioned studies have given rise to the idea that, even in the absence of external stimuli or demands, the brain is constantly sharing information, consolidating recent learning, and maintaining associated the activity of brain areas that are likely to be used together in the future (Fox and Raichle 2007).
1.3 Expertise-related modulation of resting-state functional connectivity.

Several studies have specifically investigated the effects of long-term expertise on the architecture of resting-state functional connectivity. One of them took Chinese chess masters as model of experts (Duan et al. 2012), and another choose professional or student musicians (Luo et al. 2012). In accordance with these different types of expertise, Duan et al. (2012) focused on cognitive resting networks, whereas Luo et al. (2012) looked at perceptual and motor networks. The boardgame experts in the first study (Duan et al. 2012) displayed a particular pattern of the default mode network (DMN; a set of areas more active at rest than during task performance, thought to sustain spontaneous mind wandering, and mainly comprising the posterior cingulate cortex and prefrontal cortex, as well as temporal and parietal areas Damoiseaux et al. 2006) that included the caudate nucleus. This structure is not classically viewed as belonging to the DMN, but is involved in motivation and decision-making processes, and was found to be strongly engaged in the expert players during a chess task. For their part, the expert musicians (Luo et al. 2012) exhibited stronger resting-state time-series correlations among the perceptual and motor networks, probably related to the regular multimodal activity described earlier. These rsfMRI studies of long-term expertise suggest that changes in resting-state functional connectivity can be observed in individuals owning an expertise.

1.4 Morphometric differences-based resting-state functional connectivity.

One method of investigating the brain’s organization at rest is to look for the overall pattern of connectivity between the BOLD signal time courses of one specific area (classically called seed area) and those of the rest of the brain. This area is chosen because it has been shown to be of relevance for the population and/or cognitive process being studied. Several works have already combined structural MRI and rsfMRI by choosing the structurally affected areas for subsequent resting-state functional connectivity analysis. This has been done in the framework of several pathologies: heroin dependence (Yuan et al. 2010), antipsychotic-naive first-episode schizophrenia (Lui et al. 2009), idiopathic generalized epilepsy (Wang et al. 2012), social anxiety disorder (Liao et al. 2011); and expertise: professional badminton players (Di et al. 2012) and baduk (go) players (Jung et al. 2013). In the case of expertise studies, because rsfMRI does not require the performance of any particular task, functional connectivity changes may reflect a cumulative effect of experience over time (Lewis et al. 2009), and can therefore be used as complementary to task-related
fMRI for highlighting practice-related functional brain changes in dismissing the potential confounds due to differences in behavioral performances.

1.5 Aims of the present study.

In sum, musical practice shapes the brain both structurally and functionally, with practice-related enhancement of GM possibly related to the dendritic growth required for building or strengthening synaptic projections (Draganski and May 2008; Anderson 2011). There is sometimes an overlap between expertise-related structural and functional plasticity (Ilg et al. 2008; Groussard et al. 2010b). Furthermore, resting-state functional connectivity between regions could either reflect their anatomical connectivity (WM fiber tracts), their amount of concomitant activation during active states, or recent learning consolidation. We therefore reasoned that musical practice-related structural plasticity could be accompanied by the modulation of resting-state functional connectivity. Accordingly, we generated resting-state functional connectivity maps from brain areas that displayed greater GM volume in a sample of experienced musicians than in a group of nonmusician counterparts, and ran a second between-group comparison to highlight areas that had significantly increased resting-state functional connectivity with the structurally modified regions in the musician group. Furthermore, we conducted a supplementary analysis to investigate the relationship between our structural and functional results.

2 Material and Methods

2.1 Participants.

Thirty-three young volunteers (13 men, mean age ± SD: 24.35 ± 3.39 years, mean educational level ± SD: 15 ± 1.56 years of schooling) with no history of neurological or psychiatric disease took part in this study (Table 1). Sixteen were students who currently played a musical instrument (violin, cello, guitar, flute, recorder, trumpet, clarinet, or piano) in their spare time at least several times a week, and had been doing so for a mean duration (± SD) of 16.13 (± 4.29) years at the time of the study. They were recruited from the local conservatory or other classical music schools where they have learned music theory for at least 7 years, in order to be able to read music and to master their musical instrument in an autonomous manner. The remaining seventeen students were strictly nonmusicians (none had ever taken part in musical performances or received music lessons, except for the weekly hour
of basic musical education in high school; they were common listeners). Participants stated that they had no hearing deficits and did not possess absolute pitch. This study was approved by the regional ethics committee (Comité de Protection des Personnes Nord Ouest III), and informed written consent was obtained from all participants.

2.2 MRI data acquisition.

All the participants underwent a single MRI session composed of structural (T1, T2) and functional sequences (non-EPI T2* and rsfMRI) that lasted approximately 45 minutes (T1 = 10'; T2 = 5'; hippocampal sequence = 8'; FLAIR = 6'; T2* = 4'; rest = 12').

All the images were acquired using the Philips (Eindhoven, The Netherlands) Achieva 3.0T scanner at the CYCERON center (Caen, France). For each participant, a high-resolution T1-weighted structural image was acquired using a 3D fast-field echo sequence (3D-T1-FFE sagittal, time of repetition (TR) = 20 ms, time of echo (TE) = 4.6 ms, flip angle = 20°, 170 slices, slice thickness = 1 mm, no gap, field of view (FOV) = 256 x 256 mm², matrix = 256 x 256, in-plane resolution = 1 x 1 mm², acquisition time = 9.41 min), followed by a high-resolution T2-weighted spin echo anatomical image (2D-T2-SE sagittal) and a non-echo planar imaging (EPI) T2* image (2D-T2*-FFE axial, sensitivity encoding (SENSE) factor = 2, TR = 3505 ms, TE = 30 ms, flip angle = 90°, 70 slices, slice thickness = 2 mm, FOV = 256 x 256 mm², matrix = 128 x 128, acquisition voxel size = 2 x 2 x 2 mm³).

Resting-state functional images were acquired using an interleaved 2D T2* SENSE EPI sequence designed to reduce geometrical distortions by using parallel imaging, a shorter echo time, and smaller voxels (2D-T2*-FFE-EPI axial, SENSE factor = 2, TR = 2382 ms, TE = 30 ms, flip angle = 80°, 42 slices, slice thickness = 2.8 mm, no gap, in-plane resolution = 2.8 x 2.8 mm², 280 volumes, acquisition time = 11.5 min). The first six volumes were discarded due to saturation effects. Participants were equipped with earplugs and the scanner room’s light was turned off. During the scan, which was the last one of the MRI scanning session, participants were asked to relax, lie still in the scanner and keep their eyes closed, without actually falling asleep. A subsequent debriefing questionnaire allowed us to especially check that the participants had indeed easily stayed awake throughout the duration of the rsfMRI scan and that nothing particular had disturbed their attention during the scanning session (e.g., MRI noise, uncomfortable position, feeling hungry).
2.3 Data preprocessing and statistical analysis.

2.3.1 Demographic statistical analyses.

Two-sample t tests were run on the demographic data to confirm that age and educational level did not differ significantly between the two groups (age: $t(2, 31) = -0.49, p = 0.62$; educational level: $t(2, 31) = -0.4, p = 0.69$). These demographic information are provided in Table 1.

2.3.2 Anatomical data preprocessing.

All imaging data processing were performed using statistical parametric mapping software (SPM5; Wellcome Trust Centre for Neuroimaging, London, UK) implemented in Matlab 7.4.

The T1-weighted structural data for each participant were spatially normalized to the Montreal Neurological Institute (MNI) template and segmented to isolate the GM partitions using the voxel-based morphometry (VBM5) toolbox (Ashburner and Friston 2005). These GM partitions were modulated to correct for nonlinear warping only, so that the values in the resulting images would feature volumes corrected for brain size. Then, the modulated images were smoothed using an 8-mm full width at half maximum (FWHM) isotropic Gaussian kernel. Finally a binary GM mask was created prior to the statistical analysis to include only those voxels having the highest probability of belonging to GM. The binary mask was created by first calculating the mean GM, WM, and cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) images of all subjects, and then, by setting those voxels of GM to 1 where $(GM > WM) \cap (GM > CSF) \cap (GM > 0.3)$.

2.3.3 Functional data preprocessing.

The rsfMRI data were preprocessed using a procedure already described elsewhere (Mevel et al. 2012). They were first checked for artefacts through the application of the TSDiffAna routines (http://imaging.mrccbu.cam.ac.uk/imaging/DataDiagnostics), during which a variance volume was created for each participant to ensure that most of the signal variability was restricted to the cortex. None of the 33 participants showed evidence of significant movements (> 3 mm translation or 1.5° rotation) or abnormal variance distribution. For each participant’s dataset, the EPI volumes were corrected for slice timing and realigned on the first volume. Data were then spatially normalized using a technique designed to reduce geometric distortion effects (Villain et al. 2010). Briefly, for each individual, this procedure
includes (1) the coregistration of the mean EPI volume, non-EPI T2*, T2 and T1 volumes, (2) the warping of the mean EPI volume to match the non-EPI T2* volume, (3) the segmentation of the T1 volume using the VBM 5.1 ‘Segment’ procedure with the International Consortium for Brain Mapping (ICBM) / MNI priors, (4) the normalization of the coregistered T1, EPI, and non-EPI T2* volumes using the parameters issued from the T1 segmentation, and (5) the 4-mm FWHM smoothing of the EPI volumes.

Finally, another binary GM mask was created from the group’s segmented mean GM T1 volume in conjunction with the mean non-EPI-T2* volume in the MNI space (including only voxels with values above 0.25 in both mean images). This GM mask was used in all the statistical analyses of the functional imaging data described below.

2.3.4 Anatomical imaging analysis / Seeds determination

First, a two-sample *t* test was run on the whole GM volume to assess differences between the two groups of participants. Results were viewed as significant at *p* (unc) ≤ 0.001 and we only considered clusters whom size were upper than 15 voxels (*k* ≥ 15).

Based on the results of this anatomical MRI data analysis, clusters where the GM volume was significantly higher in the musicians than in the nonmusicians were taken as the seeds for the subsequent functional connectivity analyses. To this end, images resulting from the anatomical analysis were binarized and the clusters were isolated and converted into a .mat file using the MarsBaR toolbox (Brett et al. 2002).

2.3.5 Functional imaging analyses.

The resting-state time series of the seeds were extracted using the MarsBaR toolbox (Brett et al. 2002), and correlated with the resting-state time series of all the other voxels included in the GM mask analysis. The six head motion parameters were controlled as nuisance variables, and we also applied a high-pass filter in order to remove the low-frequency component of the fMRI data time series. The resulting *r* correlation coefficients were then converted into a *z* score by Fisher’s *r*-to-*z* transformation to improve the Gaussian normality of the distribution. Since the two datasets (rsfMRI and aMRI) did not have the same original spatial resolution, a differential Gaussian kernel smoothing of 6.9 mm FWHM was applied to the functional connectivity map. In this manner, we obtained an equivalent data smoothing of 8 mm FWHM (final rsfMRI smoothing² = √(initial aMRI smoothing² - voxel size²; Poline et al. 1995). Finally we ran two-sample *t* tests on the musicians’ and nonmusicians’ maps to pinpoint between-group differences in the functional connectivity of
each seed. Only results that were significant at an uncorrected $p \leq 0.001$ and for a cluster size upper than 15 voxels were considered. The significant clusters resulting from these second analyses are referred to as target areas below.

2.3.6 Supplementary analysis investigating the link between anatomical and functional imaging results.

A complementary analysis was carried out in order to investigate the link between the structural and functional results. In other words, we wanted to address whether the effects observed on functional connectivity in musically experienced participants would be explained by the higher GM volume observed in the seed areas. To this aim, we replicated the four between-groups comparisons of the functional connectivity maps in taking the GM volume of the respective seed areas as covariates.

3 Results

The means of the resting-state functional connectivity maps generated from the anatomical results are displayed in Figure 1 ($p(fwe) \leq 0.0001, k = 0$) for both groups.

All the significant clusters resulting from the between-groups analysis are listed in Table 2 ($p(unc) \leq 0.001, k = 15$) and displayed in Figure 2 ($p(unc) \leq 0.005$). Moreover, for descriptive purpose, the means of their correlation coefficient values for each group are represented in a bar graph (Fig. 3) that also shows whether these means were significantly different from zero (*$p \leq 0.05$, **$p \leq 0.01$, ***$p \leq 0.001$).

3.1 Effects of musical expertise on whole-brain gray-matter volume.

In order to see whether one of the two groups had significantly higher GM volume in the brain, a two-sample $t$ test was performed on the segregated GM volume data. The musicians > nonmusicians contrast yielded four clusters: right posterior cingulate gyrus; right middle cingulate gyrus; left superior temporal gyrus; and right inferior orbitofrontal gyrus. The opposite contrast testing nonmusicians > musicians did not reveal any significant voxels.

3.2 Effects of musical expertise on functional connectivity between the seeds and the whole brain.

In order to reveal if any of the four seed areas with enhanced GM volume in the musician group also had greater resting-state functional connectivity with other brain regions, we generated whole-brain resting-state functional connectivity maps for the two groups and
ran two-sample $t$ tests on them. For each of the four seeds, these analyses highlighted one or more areas with more strongly correlated resting-state functional activity in the musician group, whereas the reverse comparison (nonmusicians > musicians) failed to show up any differences.

3.2.1 Seed 1: right posterior cingulate gyrus.

The two-sample $t$ test we used to compare the groups’ functional connectivity maps for the first seed (cluster within the right posterior cingulate gyrus) revealed a significantly stronger correlation in the musically experienced group than in the nonmusician one between the resting-state time series activity of this area and those of voxels located in the right inferior orbitofrontal gyrus, extending to the anterior cingulate cortex.

3.2.2 Seed 2: right middle cingulate gyrus.

The two-sample $t$ test comparing the functional connectivity maps generated from the second seed (within the right middle cingulate gyrus) showed that this region had more functional connectivity at rest with the left superior temporal pole and right inferior frontal gyrus in the musically experienced group compared to the nonmusician group.

3.2.3 Seed 3: left superior temporal gyrus.

The comparison of the resting-state functional connectivity maps for the third seed (within the left superior temporal gyrus) highlighted several significant clusters when comparing the musically experienced group to the nonmusician group. In the left hemisphere, the analysis revealed enhanced functional connectivity at rest with the premotor cortex, middle anterior temporal gyrus and middle frontal gyrus. We also found enhanced connectivity with the globus pallidus in the right hemisphere and, bilaterally, with the inferior part of the precentral gyrus and the supramarginal gyrus.

3.2.4 Seed 4: right inferior orbitofrontal gyrus.

The last two-sample $t$ test run on the functional connectivity maps for the fourth seed (within the right inferior orbitofrontal gyrus) showed that the resting-state time series of the claustrum (bilaterally) was more synchronous with that of this seed in the musically experienced group than in the nonmusician group.
3.3 Replication of the functional connectivity map comparisons with seeds’ GM volumes as covariates.

The replications of the group comparisons for the four functional connectivity maps with their corresponding seed’s GM volume as covariate resulted in lowering the significance of the differences (that now survived at $p \leq 0.005$) for the first (corresponding seed: right posterior cingulate gyrus), second (corresponding seed: right middle cingulate gyrus) and fourth networks (corresponding seed: right inferior orbitofrontal gyrus). The result remains almost unchanged with the initial significance threshold ($p \leq 0.001$) for the third network (corresponding seed: left superior temporal gyrus).

4 Discussion

In order to extend our knowledge about the brain plasticity triggered by musical practice, we designed the present study to find out whether the enhancement of regional GM volume observed in a group of experienced musicians is associated with changes in their functional connectivity at rest. To this end, we used structurally enhanced areas found in the musically experienced participants group to generate resting-state functional connectivity maps, which we then compared with those of nonmusicians.

Results showed that the experienced musician group had significantly more GM volume within the right posterior and middle cingulate cortex, left primary auditory cortex, and right inferior frontal gyrus. Structural plasticity of the left primary auditory cortex induced by musical practice has been established cross-sectionally several times (Schneider et al. 2002; Gaser and Schlaug 2003), and a GM increase within the cingulate gyrus (especially in its posterior part) has been attested in children after 15 months of musical training (Hyde et al. 2009). Concerning the right inferior frontal gyrus, a recent cross-sectional study showed that its GM density rises with the amount of musical expertise (James et al. 2013).

Additionally, results showed that each of these four areas displayed higher resting-state functional connectivity with one or several regions of the brain in the musically experienced group than in the nonmusician group (see Fig. 2). Interestingly, none of the analyses revealed an area that was more functionally connected in the nonmusician group compared with the musically experienced one. Moreover, supplementary analysis showed that results remained significant, but lowered in their statistical power when local GM volumes were statistically controlled for. This suggests that local GM volumes partially influenced the functional results, but that the increases we found regarding resting-state functional connectivity in the musically-experienced group cannot however wholly be explained by their higher GM
volume. Finally, as shown in Figure 3, almost all the brain areas we found to be more functionally connected at rest in the musically experienced group compared with the nonmusicians one were also significantly connected in the nonmusician group (compared with the null hypothesis). This suggests that the reinforced functional connectivity we found in the musically trained subjects was more of a quantitative nature rather than a qualitative one. In other words, musical skills would rather rest upon already existing general networks instead of on specific ones, which would be newly created especially for this activity.

On the basis of the literature, in the following sections, we speculate about the relevance of our results in the framework of musical practice and described four functional networks.

4.1 An autobiographical related network.

The posterior cingulate gyrus was more functionally connected to the right inferior orbitofrontal gyrus in the musically experienced group. The posterior cingulate gyrus and the prefrontal cortex are important parts of the DMN (Damoiseaux et al. 2006), and during active state, they are the core system of the autobiographical memory network (Martinelli et al. 2012), with the posterior cingulate gyrus sustaining episodic self-related memory (Maddock et al. 2001), and the orbitofrontal gyrus its emotional component (Kross 2009; Etkin et al. 2011). Interestingly, music is widely assumed to trigger both emotion and autobiographical memories, engaging the posterior cingulate cortex (Ford et al. 2011). In an fMRI study, Groussard et al. (2010b) showed that when expert musicians had to judge the familiarity of melodies they had learned to play in the past, they activated the posterior cingulate and orbitofrontal cortex more strongly than nonmusicians, suggesting that these familiar musical pieces were linked to autobiographical events (the various autobiographical contexts of learning and performing).

We could therefore propose that the higher resting-state functional coupling between the right posterior cingulate gyrus and the right inferior orbitofrontal gyrus in musically-trained participants is triggered by the frequent association of general memory processes with emotional stimuli (music) while learning and recalling pieces of music (Groussard et al. 2010b).
4.2 A semantic related network.

The right middle cingulate gyrus is more functionally connected at rest with the left temporal pole and the right inferior frontal gyrus. These three regions belong to the resting-state salience network, which is implied for the evaluation of external and internal stimuli and the monitoring of errors (Seeley et al. 2007). Moreover, during active state, the middle cingulate gyrus is an important structure for working memory processes, especially the detection of incongruity, salience and/or surprising events (Etkin et al. 2011), whereas on the contrary, the inferior frontal gyrus seems to process the regular rules that govern both language and Western tonal music (Tillman et al. 2003). Finally, the temporal pole is viewed as the region where semantic knowledge about unique entities are stored (Simmons and Martin 2009; Ross and Olson 2011).

Interestingly, the middle cingulate gyrus has been shown to be more highly activated in musicians than in nonmusicians in fMRI studies of auditory working memory for musical sounds (Pallesen et al. 2010) and musical semantic memory (Groussard et al. 2010a). Moreover, both the right inferior frontal gyrus (Groussard et al. 2010) and the left temporal pole (Platel et al. 2003) have been found to be involved in semantic memory tasks using musical material.

Taking these findings together, we can hypothesize that the higher resting-state functional connectivity observed between these three areas could be induced by frequent access to musical semantic knowledge and auditory structures, and their maintenance in working memory.

4.3 A language related network.

The left superior temporal gyrus (Brodmann area, BA 48) corresponds to the primary auditory cortex, which contains auditory frequency-selective neurons that code for sound perception and production (Price 2012).

In our study, the musicians exhibited greater functional connectivity between this region and subcortical area (right globus pallidus), bilateral motor areas (inferior part of the precentral gyrus) and the left premotor cortex, the bilateral supramarginal gyrus, an associative auditory area (left middle anterior temporal gyrus), and the left middle frontal gyrus. It is interesting to note that all these regions could belong to the resting-state auditory network and that during active state, all of them (except for the globus pallidus) have been linked to one or several stages of language perception, comprehension and/or production (Price 2012).
It would not be very surprising that musical practice leads to a strengthening of a language-related network, as more and more authors argue that the brain regions classically dedicated to speech are actually involved in all forms of auditory-motor behavior, including musical practice (Dick et al. 2010).

For instance, works have shown a functional coupling of primary motor and auditory areas during the perception and production of these two behaviors (speech: Watkins et al. 2003; music: Haueisen and Knösche 2001; Bangert et al. 2006). Moreover, secondary auditory and motor areas have been shown to be active during the mental imagery of speech (Shergill et al. 2002) and music (Kristeva et al. 2003). Then, the role of the supramarginal gyrus in the sight reading of words (Stoeckel et al. 2009) has also been demonstrated by Sergent et al. (1992) for musical scores, and the left middle dorsolateral frontal gyrus seems to play a role in attributing verbal labels to music notes (Bermudez and Zatorre, 2005). Finally, the globus pallidus is a subcortical motor pathway of the brain which has been found to be involved in visually guided, voluntary, small-amplitude movements in animal studies (Alamy et al. 1995), its function in a musical practice context could therefore be link with the subtle motor modulation that is required to ensure accurate synchrony.

Taking these findings together, we suggest that the greater resting-state functional connectivity observed in the musically experienced group among these areas dedicated to language perception and production processes could be explained by the activity of regularly reading musical scores and generating musical phrases.

4.4 An emotional related network.

The final area that showed a higher GM volume in musicians was located within the right inferior orbitofrontal gyrus, and was more functionally connected at rest with the bilateral claustrum. Together, these three regions form part of the resting-state executive control network (Damoiseaux et al. 2006).

During active state, the orbitofrontal gyrus is involved in decision making and emotional state regulation (Hariri et al. 2000; Phan et al. 2002; Payer et al. 2012), and has often been found to be active during neuroimaging protocols that require the processing of emotion in facial expressions (Nakamura et al. 1999), prosody (Morris et al. 1999; George et al. 2012) and music (Brattico et al. 2011). The claustrum is a subcortical area that contains retinotopic and somatosensory maps that code for bodily and visceral states by owning inputs and outputs with almost the entire cortex (Crick and Koch 2005). This allows for the integration of external and internal information. In a recent paper, Smythies et al. (2012)
extended this view of the claustrum’s function to all voluntary behavior. They likened the claustrum to a general of war who “could review the whole situation at a glance, and make instant decisions about what orders to send back”.

It seems coherent to assume that these three regions play a collaborative role in musical practice, integrating the emotion aroused by the sounds into the modulated planning of future motor sequences in order to maintain the proper expressiveness of the piece being played.

5 Conclusion

To sum-up, after identifying four regions with increased GM volume in the musician group, we looked for brain areas whose resting-state time-series activity was more closely synchronized with one of these regions in the musician group than in the nonmusician group. For each of the four comparisons, analyses revealed a network of one or more areas, allowing us to supply integrative interpretations of their hypothetical cognitive functions during musical practice.

The first network we found comprised autobiographical memory-related regions belonging to the DMN. In the context of musical practice, we suggest that this network is recruited by the encoding, storage and recall of melodies that are partly based on their emotional and autobiographical contextual components. The second network we identified comprised areas that belong to the salience network. Its involvement during musical practice could be explained by the need to access to semantic musical memory, where each piece of music is stored as a unique entity characterized especially by verbal labels and knowledge, and by its auditory structure. The third network encompassed several regions, all known to be implied in language processing and to form part of the resting-state auditory network. Music and speech share many similarities, therefore, differences within a language network in experienced musicians seem entirely plausible (and have already been attested). Finally, the fourth network incorporated the right inferior frontal gyrus and the claustrum (bilaterally), structures that belong to the executive control network. We propose that this network could subserve the motor modulation required for the emotionally expressive interpretation of music. At this point, it is important to note that these interpretations are hypothetical, and deserve to be confirmed by behavioral assessments that would be statistically linked to the functional results. Moreover, we cannot provide a causal explanation between brain differences and musical practice, as the present work was cross-sectional, and that some
studies have suggested brain anatomical (Wong et al. 2007) and functional predisposition for learning (Zatorre et al. 2012; López-Barroso et al. 2013), even at rest (Veroude et al. 2010; Ventura-Campos et al. 2013). In other words, the differences we found in the brain of musically trained individuals might not have been triggered by practice-related plasticity, but might rather have prompted musicians to engage themselves in music and to reach a high level of expertise.

Our supplementary analysis indicated that the functional reorganization we found in the musically experienced group cannot wholly be explained by higher local GM volume. This suggests that other variables (not assessed in this study) are also implicated in the expertise-related resting-state functional reorganization. In future works, it could be worthwhile taking other proxies into account, such as the frequency of training and the number of musical pieces recently learned, in view of the hypothesized role of resting-brain neural activity in consolidating new learning, as well as in maintaining synchronous the activity of areas that are frequently jointly engaged during active states (Fox and Raichle, 2007). Moreover, adding diffusion tensor imaging (DTI) data could help to clarify the link between morphological and resting-state functional modulation of the brain in the framework of expertise-related plasticity given that resting-state functional connectivity also reflects the brain’s anatomical connectivity (van den Heuvel et al. 2009). Finally, other sophisticated statistical procedures, such as stochastic dynamic causal modeling (DCM), could provide information about the effective connectivity between functionally connected areas at rest. That means, it could allow having clues regarding the amount and direction of the influence that one area’s time series exerts over another.

To conclude, although the results of the present study need to be confirmed and deepened, it suggests that resting-state functional connectivity studied from structurally modified brain areas can contribute to the investigation of expertise-related functional plasticity. In the framework of musical practice, it corroborates that expertise is linked to structural enhancements beyond the sensorimotor cortex. In addition, it also shows that these morphological particularities are accompanied by modulations in their resting-state functional connectivity with many other areas of the brain, ranging from subcortical regions to high-level cognitive areas, via the primary sensorimotor cortex.
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## Table 1

**Demographic Characteristics of Musicians and Nonmusicians**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>n</th>
<th>Sex ratio (male/female)</th>
<th>Age (years) Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Education (years) Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Training duration (years) Mean ± SD</th>
<th>Age at the start of practice Mean ± SD</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Musicians</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>7/9</td>
<td>24.06 ± 3.55</td>
<td>14.88 ± 0.81</td>
<td>16.13 ± 4.29</td>
<td>7.75 ± 2.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonmusicians</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>6/11</td>
<td>24.65 ± 3.24</td>
<td>15.12 ± 2.31</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2

Anatomical Labelling, Cluster Size, MNI Coordinates and Z-value for all analyses (p(unc) \leq .001, k \geq 15).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Contrast and anatomical labelling</th>
<th>Cluster size</th>
<th>x</th>
<th>y</th>
<th>z</th>
<th>Z score</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Structural modulated data contrast: Mus-Nonmus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bilateral posterior cingulate gyrus (BA23)</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>-36</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>3.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right middle cingulate gyrus (BA23)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>-16</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>3.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left superior temporal gyrus (BA 22)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>-54</td>
<td>-12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right inferior orbitofrontal gyrus (BA11)</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>-14</td>
<td>3.61</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seed 1 (right posterior cingulate gyrus)-based resting state functional connectivity map contrast: Mus-Nonmus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right inferior orbitofrontal gyrus (BA11)</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>-14</td>
<td>3.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seed 2 (right middle cingulate gyrus)-based resting state functional connectivity map contrast: Mus-Nonmus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right inferior frontal gyrus (BA47)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left superior temporal pole (BA38)</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>-42</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>-20</td>
<td>3.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seed 3 (left temporal superior gyrus)-based resting state functional connectivity map contrast: Mus-Nonmus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left premotor cortex (BA6)</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>-22</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>3.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right globus pallidus</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-14</td>
<td>3.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bilateral supramarginal gyrus (BA39/40)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left</td>
<td>121/33</td>
<td>-50/-30</td>
<td>-50/-58</td>
<td>38/42</td>
<td>3.72/3.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>-50</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>3.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bilateral inferior part of the precentral gyrus (BA48)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>-48</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>61/18</td>
<td>34/50</td>
<td>-20/0</td>
<td>52/6</td>
<td>3.54/3.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left middle anterior temporal gyrus (BA20)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Left middle frontal gyrus (BA45)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seed 4 (right inferior frontal gyrus)-based resting state functional connectivity map contrast: Mus-Nonmus</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bilateral claustrum</td>
<td>Left</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>-26</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Right</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3.36</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
9. Figure 1

Seed 1: Right posterior cingulate gyrus

Seed 2: Right middle cingulate gyrus

Seed 3: Left superior temporal gyrus

Seed 4: Right inferior orbitofrontal gyrus

Musicians

Nonmusicians
**Figure 1.** Image showing the four resting-states functional connectivity maps for musically-experienced group and nonmusicians group. $p(fwe)\leq 0.0001$
Figure 2. Image showing the four clusters of enhanced gray matter volume in the musician group (red regions), as well as the brain areas with resting-state time series more correlated in the musician group (shown in blue, green, yellow, and purple). \( p(\text{unc}) \leq 0.05. \)

RpCG: Right posterior Cingulate Gyrus; RmCG: Right middle Cingulate Gyrus; RioFG: Right inferior orbitofrontal Gyrus; LsTG: Left superior Temporal Gyrus; LsTP: Left superior Temporal Pole; RiFG: Right inferior Frontal Gyrus; BC: Bilateral Claustrum; BSmG: Bilateral Supramarginal Gyrus; LmaTG: Left middle anterior Temporal Gyrus; LmFG: Left middle Frontal Gyrus; LpMC: Left preMotor Cortex.
Figure 3. Graph displaying averaged targets’ correlation Z-values for musically-experienced group and nonmusicians group, and indicating whether these values are significantly different than 0 (*\(p \leq \alpha_{0.05}\), **\(p \leq \alpha_{0.01}\), ***\(p \leq \alpha_{0.001}\)).
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