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Abstract

Conformational changes in proteins are extremely important for their biochemical functions. Correlation between inherent conformational variations in a protein and conformational differences in its homologues of known structure is still unclear. In this study we have used a structural alphabet called Protein Blocks (PBs). PBs is used to perform abstraction of protein 3-D structures into a 1-D strings of 16 alphabets (a to p) based on dihedral angles of overlapping pentapeptides. We have analyzed the variations in local conformations in terms of PBs represented in the ensembles of 801 protein structures determined using NMR spectroscopy. In the analysis of concatenated data over all the residues in all the NMR ensembles, we observe that the overall nature of inherent local structural variations in NMR ensembles is similar to nature of local structural differences in homologous proteins with a high correlation coefficient of 0.94. High correlation at the alignment positions corresponding to helical and β-sheet regions is only expected. However, the correlation coefficient by considering only the loop regions is also quite high (0.91). Surprisingly, segregated position-wise analysis shows that this high correlation does not hold true to loop regions at the structurally equivalent positions in NMR ensembles and their homologues of known structure. This suggests that the general nature of local structural changes is unique; however most of the local structural variations in loop regions of NMR ensembles do not correlate to their local structural differences at structurally equivalent positions in homologues.
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Introduction

In recent years protein structural dynamics is gaining importance as the fourth dimension in structure-function paradigm of structural biology (Henzler-Wildman & Kern, 2007; Teilum, Olsen, & Kragelund, 2009; Zhuravlev, Materese, & Papoian, 2009). Recent studies show the importance of conformational dynamics in protein function, mainly in enzyme catalysis (Bhabha et al., 2011; Doshi, McGowan, Ladani, & Hamelberg, 2012) and molecular recognition (Lange et al., 2008). Due to dynamic nature of proteins, a native state of protein is best represented by ensemble of conformations than a single structure (DePristo, De Bakker, & Blundell, 2004; Friedland, Lakomek, Griesinger, Meiler, & Kortemme, 2009; Furnham, Blundell, DePristo, & Terwilliger, 2006; Larson, England, Desjarlais, & Pande, 2002). NMR has been extensively used to study dynamics in proteins (Ishima & Torchia, 2000; Sze & Lai, 2011; Tzeng & Kalodimos, 2011) and it also provides users with an ensemble of conformations for a single protein. NMR spectroscopy can provide us with information of conformational variation in a protein structure on pico- to milliseconds timescale (Salmon, Bouvignies, Markwick, & Blackledge, 2011).
The correspondence between the native state conformational ensembles and the conformational heterogeneity observed in crystal structures have been studied extensively (Best, Lindorff-Larsen, DePristo, & Vendruscolo, 2006; Friedland et al., 2009) and used in modeling of side-chain conformational variations, structural differences on mutation and protein design (Friedland, Linares, Smith, & Kortemme, 2008; Keedy et al., 2012; Smith & Kortemme, 2008). Recently few studies also have shown that sequence diversity in a protein family is related to molecular dynamics observed in a single protein from that protein family (Friedland et al., 2009; Liu & Bahar, 2012). Friedland et al (Friedland et al., 2009) have shown that the regions of high conformational variations in the sampled conformations of ubiquitin by Backrub motions (Davis, Arendall, Richardson, & Richardson, 2006) are similar to the regions of high conformational differences observed in homologues of ubiquitin. But this study by Friedland et al does not address the question of the correlation between the conformational variations observed in Backrub ensemble of ubiquitin and the corresponding conformational differences in the homologues of ubiquitin.

In this study we have compared the local conformational variations observed in the NMR ensemble of a single protein and local conformational differences observed in different homologues of known structure of that protein. We have not used the classical root-mean-squared-deviation (RMSD) to identify the changes in local backbone conformations because RMSD could be misleading when comparing local backbone conformations. Low RMSD value between two local backbone structures does not always suggest that these two conformations are similar and vice versa (Agarwal, Mahajan, Srinivasan, & De Brevern, 2011). For this reason we have used a structural alphabet, Protein Blocks (PBs), to characterize and compare local backbone conformations in protein structures. PBs is a classification of overlapping pentapeptide
fragments into 16 different classes, named \( a \) to \( p \), based on backbone dihedral angles (\( \varphi \) and \( \psi \)) (De Brevern, Etchebest, & Hazout, 2000). PBs have been used previously for many different applications including protein structural alignment and protein structure prediction (Etchebest, Benros, Hazout, & De Brevern, 2005; Joseph et al., 2010; Tyagi, Gowri, Srinivasan, de Brevern, & Offmann, 2006).

**Materials and Methods**

**Dataset**

A dataset of 2180 NMR structures was derived from PDB (Protein Data Bank) (Berman et al., 2000). All these NMR structures are largely non-homologous (30% sequence similarity cutoff), have more than one model and are at least 50 amino acids long. These 2180 structures have only one chain and are not bound to ligands.

Restraints information is a widely used measure for the quality of protein structures solved using NMR spectroscopy (Clore, Robien, & Gronenborn, 1993; Laskowski, Rullmann, MacArthur, Kaptein, & Thornton, 1996). Therefore we filtered these 2180 NMR entries according to ‘restraints per residue’ and ‘restraints per atom’ to ensure the good quality of NMR structures. Restraints data for NMR structures was obtained from BMRB (Biological Magnetic Resonance Data Bank) (Ulrich et al., 2007). Out of 2180 entries, 801 NMR structures with at least 15 restraints per residue and with at least 75% of the residues having \( \geq 0.5 \) restraints per atom were selected. These 801 NMR structures were used to construct global PB variation matrix.
Protein Blocks

Protein blocks (PBs) (De Brevern et al., 2000; Joseph et al., 2010) are a structural alphabet, a library of 16 local backbone structural prototypes which can be used for abstraction of three-dimensional protein structures into one-dimensional sequences of alphabets a to p (Offmann, Tyagi, & De Brevern, 2007). PBs are assigned on the basis of φ and ψ dihedral angles of overlapping windows of 5 amino acids. PBs d and m correspond to central β-strand and α-helix conformations, respectively. PBs a to c correspond to N-terminus of β-strand and PBs e and f correspond to C-terminus of β-strand. Similarly, PBs k and l correspond to N-terminus of α-helix and PBs n to p correspond to C-terminus of α-helix; whereas PBs g to j are specific to loop regions. PBs were assigned using an in-house Perl script.

Concatenated data analysis of local conformational changes

PBs were assigned to each model of the dataset of 801 NMR structures. PB changes were counted at each position in all the models of NMR ensembles. We considered each position as structurally equivalent for concatenated data analysis because each position corresponds to the same amino acid residue in different models of an ensemble. These raw counts of PB changes were normalized by using the following formula adapted from Johnson and Overington (Johnson & Overington, 1993)

\[
S_{x,y} = \log_{10} \left( \frac{N_{x,y} \cdot \sum_{y=1}^{M} S_{x,y}}{\sum_{x=1}^{M} N_{x,y} \cdot \sum_{y=1}^{M} S_{x,y}} \right)
\]

(1)

where, \(N_{x,y}\) is the count of changes from PB \(x\) to PB \(y\) at the same position in NMR ensembles; \(M\) is total number of different PBs (i.e. 16) and \(S_{x,y}\) is normalized score for PB variation between PB \(x\) to PB \(y\). A 16x16 matrix was generated using normalized PB variation
scores ($S_{xy}$). This normalized global PB variation matrix was compared to PB substitution matrix (Joseph, Srinivasan, & De Brevern, 2011) derived from structurally equivalent positions (Ca atoms within 3 Å distance) in homologous structures. PB substitution matrix was first generated and used by Tyagi et al for protein structural alignments (Tyagi et al., 2006). PB substitution matrix was improved by Joseph et al (Joseph et al., 2011) by using 1922 domain families from PALI version 2.8a database (Balaji, Sujatha, Kumar, & Srinivasan, 2001). Counting of PB changes and normalization of matrix is exactly same for global PB variation and PB substitution matrices.

*Segregated position-wise analysis of local conformational changes*

Out of the 801 protein structures, a refined dataset of 65 protein structures was constructed on the basis of defined SCOP (Structural Classification Of Proteins) (Murzin, Brenner, Hubbard, & Chothia, 1995) domains. NMR ensembles with at-least 7 models and at-least 7 distinct but homologous domains, in the corresponding SCOP families were included for segregated position-wise data analysis.

This dataset of 65 NMR structures and their corresponding 1762 homologues of known structure was used for segregated position-wise analysis of local backbone changes; these homologues are taken as member of the same SCOP family. Most of these structures of homologues are solved by X-ray crystallography. The first model from each of these 65 NMR ensembles were structurally aligned with their homologues using MUSTANG software (Konagurthu, Whisstock, Stuckey, & Lesk, 2006) to find out the structurally equivalent positions (Ca atoms within 3 Å distance) between homologues and NMR ensembles. Structure-based multiple sequence alignments were converted to PB sequence alignments. Total of 1281 structurally equivalent positions excluding regular secondary structures were identified in these
structural alignments; positions with gaps were also not analyzed. At each of these structurally
equivalent positions in loop regions, the frequencies of PB changes were calculated for NMR
ensembles and their homologues separately. Pearson’s correlation coefficients were calculated
for the frequencies of PB changes at each of the 1281 structurally equivalent positions between
NMR ensemble and their homologues. For each of these 1281 positions, average of all the
pairwise PB variation scores in the NMR ensemble, namely ‘average PB variation score’, was
calculated using global PB substitution matrix. Similarly, average of all the pairwise PB
substitution scores at structurally equivalent positions of homologues, namely ‘average PB
substitution score’, was also calculated using global substitution matrix for each of the 1281
positions.

PB variation and PB substitution matrices for loop regions were constructed by combining the
frequencies of PB changes at these 1281 structurally equivalent positions from NMR ensembles
and their homologues separately. Correlation coefficient between these two matrices for
structurally equivalent positions in only loop regions was calculated. Similarly, PB variation and
PB substitution matrices were generated for all 4348 structurally equivalent positions, including
regular secondary structures, from NMR ensembles and their homologues separately. Correlation
coefficient between these matrices corresponding to all the structurally equivalent positions was
calculated. Same normalization (equation (1)) was used to construct these matrices. It is
important to analyze all structurally equivalent positions and, separately, only those structurally
equivalent positions present in loop regions. Indeed, regular secondary structures are known to
be conserved better than loop regions. So correlation coefficients could be biased if the
alignment positions corresponding to regular secondary structures are included.
Results and discussion

The main objective of this work is to address the correlation between inherent local structural dynamics inferred from NMR ensembles and evolutionary local structural dynamics of their homologues. Here, we have considered local structural changes by means of PB changes. Figure 1 shows different models from NMR structure of phospholipase A2 (Yuan, Byeon, Li, & Tsai, 1999) enzyme (PDB: 1BVM). This example underlines local backbone structural variations between different models of a NMR ensemble can be easily characterized and distinguished from regions with no local backbone structural variations thanks to PB assignments for these regions. Local structural variation analysis on large dataset of NMR structures can provide the general rules for allowed and disallowed conformational variations inherent to proteins irrespective of their family, superfamily or fold. To analyze such overall local structural variations in NMR ensembles we generated a global PB variation matrix (see Materials and Methods) in a similar way in some of the previous works (Joseph et al., 2011; Tyagi et al., 2006). Surprisingly, global PB variation matrix showed similar patterns for local structural changes as global PB substitution matrix (fig. 2) generated by Joseph et al. even if the datasets used for these matrices are completely different. Global PB variation matrix is based on non-homologous protein structures from NMR ensembles and global PB substitution matrix is based on only structurally equivalent positions from homologous proteins. Pearson’s correlation coefficient between global PB variation and PB substitution matrices equals to 0.94. This high correlation suggests that the nature of PB variations in NMR ensembles and nature of PB substitutions in homologous proteins are overall similar.
We decided to further investigate the correlation between PB variations and PB substitutions at structurally equivalent positions in NMR ensembles and their corresponding homologues, respectively, using a refined dataset of 65 NMR structures with their homologues (see Materials and Methods). Structurally equivalent positions in a NMR ensemble and its corresponding homologues were identified by structural alignment between the first model from each of the NMR ensemble to all its homologues. PB variation and PB substitution matrices were calculated using the structurally equivalent positions. Pearson’s correlation coefficient of 0.93 between these matrices confirms that the high correlation between PB variation and PB substitution matrices is independent of datasets used. Similarly, we constructed PB variation and PB substitution matrices only for structurally equivalent positions in the loop regions and still we got a high correlation coefficient of 0.91. This suggests that even in loop regions the general rules for local structural changes are followed as observed in global PB variation or substitution matrices.

We also compared each structurally equivalent position of the loop regions separately in NMR ensembles and their homologues. Surprisingly this position-wise analysis showed that 793 out of 1281 (61.90%) of these positions, PB variations and PB substitutions are not correlated i.e. correlation coefficient is less than 0.5 (fig. 3); e.g. residue positions 44 to 48 in second Kunitz domain of human tissue factor pathway inhibitor protein (Burgering et al., 1997) (PDB: 1ADZ) have no correlation between PB variations in this NMR ensemble and PB substitutions at structurally equivalent positions in its homologues (fig. 4). PBs at these positions in NMR ensemble and at the corresponding structurally equivalent positions in homologues are given in supplementary table S1. Similar example is shown in figure 5a, at residues 30 and 31 (shown in gray color) from NMR structure of phospholipase A2 (Yuan et al., 1999) (PDB: 1BVM), there is
a weak correlation between PB variations in the NMR ensemble and PB substitutions at structurally equivalent positions in its homologues of phospholipase A2.

Weak correlations at majority of the loop region structurally equivalent positions in NMR ensembles and their homologues inform us about the different local structures adopted at these positions but it does not inform us on how different these local structures are. To quantify the differences in adopted local structures, we calculated ‘average PB variation scores’ and ‘average PB substitution scores’ respectively from NMR ensembles and their homologues at structurally equivalent positions in loop regions (see Materials and Methods). We compared the distributions of ‘average PB variation scores’ and ‘average PB substitution scores’ (fig. 6) for the structurally equivalent positions in loop regions. The significance of difference between these distributions was assessed by paired t-test. ‘Average PB substitution scores’ were significantly lower than ‘average PB variation scores’ ($t=38.36, df=1280, p$-value=$2.2e-16$), suggesting that observed local structural variations in NMR ensembles are quantitatively different than the local structural differences observed in their homologues at loop region structurally equivalent positions. Lack of negative scores in the score distributions in figure 6 also confirm that inherent structural dynamics as well as evolutionary structural dynamics follow the rules defined for local structural changes in global PB variation and substitution matrices.

Out of 488 loop region structurally equivalent positions with high (at least 0.5) correlations (shaded region in figure 3) in PB variations and substitutions, 262 (53.69%) positions have more than 2 score difference in ‘average PB variation scores’ and ‘average PB substitution scores’; e.g. at structurally equivalent position corresponding to residue 19 from NMR structure of human macrophage inflammatory protein (PDB: 2JYO) (Chan, Hunter, Tack, & Vogel, 2008), the correlation coefficient between PB variations in NMR ensemble and PB
substitutions in its homologues is 0.59 but ‘average PB substitution score’ is higher than ‘average PB variation score’ (figure 5b).

Conclusions

Most of the local structural variations in the loop regions in NMR ensembles do not correlate to their local structural differences at structurally equivalent positions in homologous proteins of known structure. However, the overall nature of local structural changes remains highly correlated, i.e. the pattern followed for these local structural changes is unique. These rules for local backbone structural changes can be used to guide molecular dynamics and protein design approaches.

This study also underlines the caution we must exercise while arriving at general conclusions based on analysis of concatenated data. High or low correlations for concatenated data may not always apply to segregated data.
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**Figures**

**Figure 1. Characterization of local structural changes using PBs.** Different models from NMR structure of phospholipase A2 (Yuan et al., 1999) enzyme (PDB: 1BVM) are shown in blue color. Regions with local backbone structural variations (encircled red residues) between different models of a NMR ensemble can be easily characterized and distinguished from regions
with no local backbone structural variations (encircled blue residues) by using PB assignments for these regions (shown in rectangular boxes).

**Figure 2. Correlation between global PB variation and substitution matrix.** Global PB variation matrix (left) shows similar patterns for local structural changes as in global PB substitution matrix (right) generated by Joseph et al (Joseph et al., 2011), with high correlation coefficient of 0.94.
Figure 3. Segregated position-wise analysis of PB changes. Histogram of correlation coefficients between PB variations observed in NMR ensembles and PB substitutions in their homologues at 1281 structurally equivalent positions from loop region is shown here. For 61.90% of these positions correlation coefficient between PB variations and PB substitutions is less than 0.5 (area without shading).
Figure 4. Analysis of local structural changes in second Kunitz domain of human tissue factor pathway inhibitor protein (TFPI). In NMR ensemble of second Kunitz domain of human TFPI protein (Burgering et al., 1997) (PDB: 1ADZ), at residue numbers 44 to 48 (shown in gray color) there is no correlation between PB variations in this NMR ensemble (shown in orange color) and PB substitutions at structurally equivalent positions in its homologues (shown in magenta color) of known structure. Residue number in NMR ensemble, correlation between PB variations and PB substitutions, ‘average PB substitution score’ and ‘average PB variation score’ are given in a table. PBs at these positions are given in supplementary table S1.
Figure 5. Case studies for the analysis of local structural changes. (a) At residues 30 and 31 (shown in gray color) from NMR structure of phospholipase A2 (Yuan et al., 1999) (PDB: 1BVM), there is no correlation between PB variations in the NMR ensemble (shown in orange color) and PB substitutions at structurally equivalent positions in its homologues (shown in magenta color). (b) At residue 19 (shown in gray color) from NMR structure of human macrophage inflammatory protein (PDB: 2JYO) (Chan et al., 2008), the correlation coefficient between PB variations in NMR ensemble (shown in orange color) and PB substitutions in its homologues (shown in magenta color) is 0.59 but ‘average PB substitution score’ is higher than ‘average PB variation score’. Residue number in NMR ensembles, correlation between PB variations and PB substitutions, ‘average PB substitution score’ and ‘average PB variation score’ are given in a table.
Figure 6. Segregated position-wise analysis of PB change scores. Box-plots of ‘average PB variation scores’ in NMR ensembles and ‘average PB substitution scores’ in their homologues at structurally equivalent positions from loop regions are shown here. ‘Average PB substitution scores’ are significantly lower than ‘average PB variation scores’ (paired t-test: t=38.36, df=1280, P-value=2.2e-16).