The antisense protein of HTLV-2 positively modulates HIV-1 replication
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Unlike HTLV-1, HTLV-2 does not induce leukemia and has been tentatively associated with an HTLV-1-associated myelopathy-like disorder. It has been reported that HTLV-2/HIV-1 co-infected patients progress less rapidly to AIDS than HIV-1-infected individuals. Tax2 has been suggested to mediate this protective state by inducing MIP-1α expression and blocking HIV-1 infection. As cells from HTLV-2-infected individuals mainly express Anti-sense Protein 2 (APH-2), our objective was to determine if this protein might also intervene in controlling HIV-1 replication in dually infected individuals. Using Jurkat cells, we first demonstrated that both APH-2 and HBZ, the HTLV-1 analogue, equally induced MIP-1α in unstimulated and stimulated Jurkat T cells. To assess if APH-2 might directly affect HIV-1 replication, a full length luciferase-expressing proviral DNA was tested in Jurkat cells. Surprisingly, upon co-transfection with an APH-2 expression vector, an increase in luciferase activity was observed, while HBZ expression rather led to reduced reporter gene expression. Western blot analyses and ELISA assay further indicated that HIV-1 p24 levels were more important in APH-2-expressing cells. To determine if APH-2 was directly modulating HIV-1 LTR activity, both NF-κB and NFAT were tested in stimulated Jurkat cells. Unexpectedly, HBZ and APH-2 inhibited NF-κB and NFAT activation, albeit at different extent. In addition, LTR activation was also inhibited by both antisense proteins although APH-2 had a more modest effect. Our results thus highlight the complex interplay between HTLV antisense transcript-encoded proteins and HIV-1 expression and further studies will be required to determine the potential impact of APH-2 in HTLV-2/HIV-1-infected individuals.