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Abstract

Background: Deletion of the Williams-Beuren syndrome (WBS) critical region (WBSCR), at 7q11.23, causes a developmental disorder commonly characterized by hypersociability and excessive talkativeness and often considered the opposite behavioral phenotype to autism. Duplication of the WBSCR leads to severe delay in expressive language. Gene–dosage effects on language development at 7q11.23 have been hypothesized.

Methods: Molecular characterization of the WBSCR was performed by fluorescence in situ hybridization and high-resolution single-nucleotide polymorphism array in two individuals with severe autism enrolled in a genetic study of autism who showed typical WBS facial dysmorphism on systematic clinical genetic examination. The serotonin transporter promoter polymorphism (5-HTTLPR, locus SLC6A4) was genotyped. Platelet serotonin levels and urinary 6-sulfatoxymelatonin excretion were measured. Behavioral and cognitive phenotypes were examined.

Results: The two patients had common WBSCR deletions between proximal and medial low copy repeat clusters, met diagnostic criteria for autism and displayed severe impairment in communication, including a total absence of expressive speech. Both patients carried the 5-HTTLPR ss genotype and exhibited platelet hyperserotonemia and low melatonin production.

Conclusions: Our observations indicate that behaviors and neurochemical phenotypes typically associated with autism can occur in patients with common WBSCR deletions. The results raise intriguing questions about phenotypic heterogeneity in WBS and regarding genetic and/or environmental factors interacting with specific genes at 7q11.23 sensitive to dosage alterations that can influence the development of social communication skills. Thus, the influence of WBSCR genes on social communication expression might be dramatically modified by other genes, such as 5-HTTLPR, known to influence the severity of social communication impairments in autism, or by environmental factors, such as hyperserotonemia, given that hyperserotonemia is found in WBS associated with autism but not in WBS without autism. In this regard, WBS provides a potentially fruitful model with which to develop integrated genetic, cognitive, behavioral and neurochemical approaches to study genotype–phenotype correlations, possible gene–environment interactions and genetic background effects. The results underscore the importance of considering careful clinical and molecular genetic examination of individuals diagnosed with autism.
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Background
Williams-Beuren syndrome (WBS) is a developmental disorder caused by a hemizygous recurrent deletion of the WBS critical region (WBSCR) at chromosomal band 7q11.23, which includes ELN (gene coding for elastin) and 27 additional coding genes [1]. The common deletion results from recombination between misaligned low copy repeat (LCR) sequences flanking the critical region. Three LCR clusters have been delineated: centromeric, medial and telomeric. Each LCR cluster is broken down in highly homologous subregions (blocks A, B and C). The deletions arise as a consequence of a nonallelic homologous recombination. The deletion occurs between proximal and medial LCRs in about 95% of WBS cases, and it occurs between proximal and distal LCRs in the remaining cases. The size of the deletion is approximately 1.55 Mb for the small deletion and approximately 1.84 Mb for the larger one [1-5]. However, the precise size depends upon the exact position of the breakpoints in each block.

The physical WBS phenotype includes typical facial dysmorphism (elfin-like face), vascular stenoses (most commonly aortic stenosis), infantile hypercalcaemia, dental problems, kidney abnormalities, feeding and sleep disturbances, abnormal gait and developmental delay with short stature [6,7]. The characteristic cognitive and behavioral profile includes some strengths in socialization (overfriendliness and enhanced social interest) and communication (excessive talkativeness and hyperverbal speech) with relatively good short-term verbal memory, contrasting with a common mild to moderate intellectual disability (it is noteworthy that some patients with WBS have been reported to show severe intellectual disability [8]) and severe impairment in visuospatial abilities associated with hyperacusis, peer interaction difficulties, general anxiety and behavioral problems such as hyperactivity [9-13].

The roles played by most genes deleted in the WBSCR are largely unknown. However, deletion of the elastin gene (ELN) is clearly involved in the vascular anomalies [14], and the LIMK1, GTF2I and GTF2IRD1 genes have been implicated in cognitive developmental delay and visuospatial deficiency [3,7,15]. Recently, duplication of the WBSCR has been reported to be associated with intellectual disability, severe delay in expressive language and autism spectrum disorders, suggesting that specific genes within WBSCR can influence language and social development through gene–dosage effects [16-19].

Here we report on two unrelated Caucasian individuals with typical WBSCR deletion and severe autistic disorder, including a total absence of expressive language, found during a systematic clinical genetic examination of a cohort of 71 individuals with autistic disorder enrolled for a genetic study of autism. The existence of such individuals raises questions about the common idea that WBS (regarding its characteristics of overfriendliness and excessive talkativeness) represents the opposite phenotype of autism (a developmental disorder characterized by social and communication deficits and stereotypies) and suggests that genetic background or epistatic effects can markedly influence the effects of WBSCR deletion on the development of social communication skills. To study further the characteristics of these two individuals, behavioral and cognitive phenotypes were examined by assessing severity of impairments in the main behavioral domains of autistic disorder and in cognitive functioning. Genetic analyses were performed by the molecular characterization of the WBSCR and by genotyping the serotonin transporter promoter polymorphism (5-HTTLPR at 17q11.2, locus SLC6A4). Given the well-replicated hyperserotonemia of autism [20,21] and multiple reports [22,23] of decreased melatonin production in autism (melatonin is a pineal neurohormone directly synthesized from serotonin), neurochemical phenotype was assessed by measuring whole-blood serotonin and urinary excretion of the melatonin metabolite 6-sulfatoxymelatonin. As these two neurochemical measures are the most well-established autism biomarkers (albeit without sufficient sensitivity or specificity to be used alone in assessing autism risk), their measurement was included in the characterization of the WBS individuals under study.

Methods
Patients and clinical genetic examination
On the basis of direct clinical observation of the two patients by two independent child psychiatrists, the diagnosis of autistic disorder was made according to the criteria set forth in the Diagnostic Manual of Mental Disorders, Fourth Edition–Text Revision (DSM-IV-TR) [24], and the International Classification of Diseases, 10th Revision (ICD-10) [25]. The protocol was approved by the ethics committee of Bicêtre Hospital (CPP of Bicêtre). Written informed consent was obtained from the participants’ parents and guardians, including a written consent to publish. The clinical characteristics and physical features of both patients are presented in Table 1.

Patient 1, a 17.6-year-old male, was born prematurely at 32 weeks of gestation. The pregnancy was complicated by maternal depression. Patient 1 had feeding difficulties in infancy related to a gastroesophageal reflux. According to parental report, sleep disturbances were present since the first year of life and included longer sleep latency associated with body rocking in the bed before sleeping and very deep sleep. The current presence of these disturbances was confirmed by Actiwatch monitoring (Mini Mitter Co, Bend, OR, USA). The patient first walked at 3.5 years old with balance disturbances, never developed expressive language and was not toilet-trained (no bladder or bowel control). The parents and the pediatrician noticed autistic behaviors during the first months of life,
such as absence of eye contact and absence of facial expressions directed toward others. Referral to a child-care center for autistic disorder occurred at 3.5 years of age. On the basis of dysmorphic facial features (large forehead, broad nose and long philtrum, dental malocclusion) and mild pulmonary stenosis, a clinical diagnosis of WBS was initially suggested in infancy, but cytogenetically confirmed only during our systematic genetic survey 15 years later.

**Table 1** Demographic information, physical features and medical information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Patient 1</th>
<th>Patient 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Demographics</strong></td>
<td>M</td>
<td>M</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age (yr; mo)</strong></td>
<td>17;6</td>
<td>19;2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age autistic disorder reported by a professional (yr; mo)</strong></td>
<td>0;11</td>
<td>2;11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age at referral to an autism center (yr; mo)</strong></td>
<td>3;6</td>
<td>5;9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age at WBS diagnosis (yr; mo)</strong></td>
<td>17;6</td>
<td>19;2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Clinical genetic examination and physical features</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth height</td>
<td>45 cm</td>
<td>48 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth weight</td>
<td>1,680 g</td>
<td>3,080 g</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth head circumference</td>
<td>30 cm</td>
<td>33.5 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current height</td>
<td>160 cm</td>
<td>161 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current weight</td>
<td>44.2 kg</td>
<td>47 kg</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current head circumference</td>
<td>50 cm</td>
<td>53 cm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Heart defect</td>
<td>Mild PS</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infantile hypercalcemia</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>No</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kidney abnormalities</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Developmental anomalies</td>
<td>Bilateral inguinal hernia and ectopic testis</td>
<td>Bilateral inguinal hernia and cutaneous cysts</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Facial dysmorphism</td>
<td>Typical WBS features</td>
<td>Typical WBS features</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walking</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
<td>Unstable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurological examination</td>
<td>Unremarkable</td>
<td>Brisk reflexes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*PS* pulmonary stenosis, WBS Williams-Beuren syndrome. *For patient 1, a heart murmur was detected at 3 weeks old, and the diagnosis of mild PS was made by cardiologists; for patient 2, a systolic heart murmur was detected at 1 year old, but there was no heart defect. *Patient 1 had an abnormal gait, needed assistance to maintain balance when standing upright and required hand-holding when walking uphill or downhill; patient 2 also had balance disturbances and an abnormal gait (head forward and bent back like his father and brother).

The neurological examination showed balance disturbances and an abnormal gait (Table 1). In addition, brisk reflexes were observed for patient 2.

**Behavioral and cognitive assessments**

Diagnostic and behavioral assessments were performed using the Autism Diagnostic Interview–Revised (ADI–R) and the Autism Diagnostic Observation Schedule (ADOS) scales [26] (Table 2).
The ADI–R is an extensive semistructured parental interview, and the ADOS involves direct observation of the patient through a standardized semistructured situation of games. The ADI–R and the ADOS were administered by two trained psychiatrists certified in the administration of these scales. The ADI–R and ADOS scales were used to assess the three major domains of autistic impairment: reciprocal social interactions, verbal and nonverbal communication, stereotyped behaviors and restricted interests. We used ADOS module 1, which is dedicated to individuals with no or limited speech. The severity of impairment in the major domains and subdomains of autism were scored using the subset items included in the ADI–R and ADOS algorithms. The ADI–R scale is validated to assess the behavior that was most abnormal during the 4- to 5-year-old period and the current behavior. The ADI–R algorithm is based on the 4- to 5-year-old period of life. We also reported the ratings of the subset of ADI–R items included in the ADI–R algorithm for the current period to see the evolution between these two periods of life (Table 3). In addition, the ADI–R and the ADOS were coded independently, but the ratings reported in Table 3 for the current period took into consideration the actual direct observation of the patient during the ADOS administration when a competency was observed. This approach, combining multiple sources based on the clinician’s judgment and the administration of the ADI–R completed by the ADOS, is recommended [27] and improves the confidence one can have in the current period assessment.

Cognitive functioning was assessed by a psychologist using the age-appropriate Wechsler Adult Intelligence Scale III (WAIS-III) [28] and the Kaufman Assessment Battery for Children (K-ABC) [29], given the interest of the K-ABC in nonverbal individuals, especially in language-disordered children.

### Molecular analyses: single-nucleotide polymorphism array and SLC6A4 genotyping

DNA was isolated from peripheral blood lymphocytes by following standard procedures. We excluded atypical rearrangement by single-nucleotide polymorphism (SNP) array genotyping. For patient 1, a whole-genome SNP array was performed using Illumina HumanCytoSNP-12 v1.0 DNA Analysis BeadChip Kit (Illumina, San Diego, CA, USA) and analyzed using Illumina GenomeStudio software (Genotyping 1.1.9, Genome Viewer 1.1.11) with copy number variation (CNV Partition 2.3.4) according to the manufacturer’s recommendations. The reference used was genome build 36.1. For patient 2, a whole-genome SNP array was performed using Illumina HumanCNV370-Quad v3.0 software and analyzed using IlluminaBeadStudio.
Table 3: Assessment of the autistic behavioral domains and subdomains based on the Autism Diagnostic Interview–Revised algorithm

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessment</th>
<th>Patient 1 Ages 4 to 5 years</th>
<th>Patient 1 Age 17 years</th>
<th>Patient 2 Ages 4 to 5 years</th>
<th>Patient 2 Age 19 years</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total social interaction</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B1: Failure to use nonverbal behaviors to regulate social interaction</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B2: Failure to develop peer relationships</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B3: Lack of shared enjoyment</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B4: Lack of socioemotional reciprocity</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total nonverbal communication</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C1: Delayed spoken language and failure to compensate through gesture</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4: Lack of varied spontaneous make-believe or social imitative play</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total repetitive behaviors and stereotyped patterns</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D1: Encompassing preoccupation or circumscribed pattern of interest</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D2: Compulsive adherence to nonfunctional routines or rituals</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D3: Stereotyped and repetitive motor mannerisms</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D4: Preoccupations with part of objects or nonfunctional elements</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The scoring has been transformed in median values as previously described [45] (0: autistic behavior is not present, 1: mild impairment, 2: moderate impairment, and 3: severe impairment). *Patient 1 showed unusual repetitive sensory interests, such as fascination for spinning objects and water in the shower, sniffing, people, actively seeking “wind sensations” (manual or electric fan) and interest in musical objects with hyperresponsivity to auditory stimuli. Patient 2 showed unusual repetitive sensory interests, such as fascination with spinning objects and water in the bath, sniffing people and interest in musical objects with hyperacusis to loud sounds. (He covered his ears at screams, babies crying and sounds of an airplane). *Patient 1 showed finger mannerisms and head-rocking, and patient 2 showed hand-flapping and toe-walking.

The reference used was genome build 36, according to the manufacturer’s recommended protocol. Slightly different whole-genome SNP array procedures were used due to the laboratory’s change to the new protocol.

In addition, genotyping with respect to the promoter polymorphism (serotonin transporter–linked polymorphic region, 5-HTTLPR) of the serotonin transporter gene locus SLC6A4 (solute carrier family 6 (neurotransmitter transporter, serotonin), member 4) was performed using the polymerase chain reaction (PCR) primers 5′-ATGCCAGCACCTAACCCCTAATGT-3′ (position −1,400 to −1,377) and 5′-GGACCGCAAGGTGCGCGG-3′ (position −1,001 to −982). These primers gave two products after PCR amplification, a short variant (s) of 375 bp and a long variant (l) of 419 bp. Analysis followed standard protocol.

Determination of blood serotonin and urinary 6-sulfatoxymelatonin

Levels of platelet serotonin were determined in whole blood by high-performance liquid chromatography according to a previously described method with a day-to-day coefficient of variation of 5.9% [30]. Secretion of melatonin, a pineal gland hormone produced from serotonin, was assessed by measuring the overnight urinary output of the predominant melatonin metabolite, 6-sulfatoxymelatonin (6-SM). It is well-established that urinary excretion of 6-SM gives an accurate reflection of pineal melatonin secretion [31]. Nocturnal urine was collected at home by caregivers during a 12-h period (from 8 PM to 8 AM). Collected urine samples were stored in a refrigerator until delivered to the laboratory within 24 h of collection. The volume of the urine collection was measured, and a portion was frozen until analyzed for 6-SM.

Blinded analysis of urine 6-SM levels was performed by radioimmunoassay using an assay kit from Stockgrand Ltd (Guildford, UK). The urine samples were diluted prior to assay (1:250). The intra-assay coefficient of variation was 6% for a 0.030 μg/ml control sample value. Excretion of 6-SM was expressed as micrograms excreted per hour over the collection period. The urinary 6-SM concentration (μg/ml) was multiplied by the collection volume in milliliters and divided by the 12 hours of collection.

Results

Characterization of the deletion by single-nucleotide polymorphism array

The molecular characterization by fluorescence in situ hybridization indicated that the elastin gene was deleted in both patients. The deletion was further characterized by high-density SNP array. The results are presented in Table 4. Both patients had the common short deletion, with recombination occurring between proximal and medial clusters. For patient 1, the deletion spanned 1.41 Mb. For patient 2, the deletion spanned 1.67 Mb. No other additional copy number variation was detected, and no isodisomic segment was identified using a 1-Mb window.
The diagnosis of autism based on the experienced clinician’s judgment was confirmed by the ADI–R and ADOS ratings. Indeed, the two patients fulfilled DSM-IV-TR and ICD-10 diagnostic criteria for autistic disorder based on the ADI–R (4- to 5-year-old period) and ADOS (current period) algorithms [26] (see Table 4 for patient information). As shown in Table 3, both patients displayed severe impairment in communication, including a total absence of expressive speech in social interaction (with a positive evolution after the age of 6 years, based on the ADI–R parental interview), and stereotyped behaviors or interests, such as fascination with spinning objects. Autistic behavior was reported for both patients in the first year of life by their parents, and both patients were diagnosed before the age of 3 years with autistic disorder according to Kanner’s criteria [32] and based on a professional’s judgment.

Both patients showed severe intellectual disability. Their verbal IQ scores showed a floor effect (that is, the lowest scores on the norms) related to the total absence of verbal language. Their performance and full-scale IQ scores also showed a floor effect on the WAIS-III scale (verbal IQ = 46, performance IQ = 45, full-scale IQ = 40). On the K-ABC scale, patient 1 had a raw score of 5 on the Triangle subtest (corresponding to the performance of a 4.5-year-old child) but failed the other nonverbal subtests. Patient 2 was able to complete all the nonverbal subtests and obtained a raw score of 10 on the Triangle subtest. On the basis of his performance on the nonverbal scale, patient 2’s performance corresponded to that of a 5-year-old child.

### Table 4 Characterization of the Williams-Beuren syndrome critical region deletion by single-nucleotide polymorphism array

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Patient 1</th>
<th>Patient 2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Size of the deletion (Mb)</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>1.57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Centromeric breakpoint (SNP nt position)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>First hemizygous probe locus</td>
<td>72360917</td>
<td>72229683</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homology block in centromeric LCR</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effect</td>
<td>Intronic interruption of the ( \text{FKBP6} ) gene</td>
<td>Intronic interruption of the transcription factor III, pseudogene 1 (( \text{GTF2IP1} ))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telomeric breakpoint (SNP nt position)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Last hemizygous probe locus</td>
<td>73772847</td>
<td>73900557</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Homology block in medial LCR</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Effect</td>
<td>Intronic interruption of the ( \text{GTF2I} ) gene</td>
<td>Intronic interruption of the ( \text{GTF2IRD2} ) gene</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*LCR low copy repeat, nt nucleotide, SNP single-nucleotide polymorphism.

**HTT allele genotyping**

Genotyping with respect to the polymorphism of the promoter of the serotonin transporter gene (\( 5-HTTLPR \), locus \( \text{SLC6A4} \)) showed that both patients bore the ss genotype.

**Behavioral and cognitive phenotypes**

The presence of autistic behavioral and neurochemical phenotypes in WBS patients could be due to the co-occurrence in these individuals of the WBSCR deletion with other genetic and/or environmental risk factors for autism, or it could reflect an alternate expression of WBS. Only 13 patients have previously been reported in four countries other than France (six in the United States, four in Sweden, two in Germany and one in Turkey) to have the common WBSCR deletion while also meeting...
criteria for autistic disorder [34-39], making the first explanation plausible. However, we reported recently on nine French individuals with autistic disorder associated with WBS, suggesting that comorbid autism and WBS is more frequent than expected [40]. Furthermore, some studies [38,41] have reported social communication deficits in individuals with common WBSCR deletions, suggesting that impairment in social communication might be associated with WBS more frequently than expected and tending to favor the alternate expression explanation. Thus, verbal language in individuals with WBS appears not to be socially adapted and relevant to social communication. Our findings, in line with these studies, suggest that abnormal social communication in WBS patients forms a continuum ranging from classic excessive talkativeness and overfriendliness to absence of verbal language and poor social relationships.

Taking into account that both patients had common WBSCR deletions, such a large variation in the behavioral expression of the WBSCR deletion is not easily explained. One explanation could rely on the intrinsic variability in phenotypic expression in the theoretical context of a polygenic, multifactorial model. A second possible model is a trans-acting effect, that is, a mutation or polymorphism in one of the genes present in the retained WBSCR. In this regard, the genes of the GTF2 family of transcription factors are good candidates for modifiers of the WBS phenotype. Thus, several authors have suggested that GTF2I hemizygosity could be a major cause of intellectual disability in patients with WBS [7,42]. It is noteworthy that this gene has been related to language [4]. Alteration in the expression of genes flanking the breakpoints by positional effect, mediated by a cis-acting mechanism, is an attractive third hypothesis [43]. Differences in the precise breakpoints could modify expression of nonhemizygous flanking genes. Genes flanking the deletion could have their expression altered by the rearrangement and participate in the phenotype even if they do not vary in copy number [44]. However, molecular characterization of the deletion in our two patients excludes the possibility of an atypical genomic rearrangement.

The phenotypic variability observed in patients with deletion of the WBSCR could also result from interaction with other genetic, environmental or stochastic factors. One possible modifying or epistatic locus is the 5-HTTLPR allele of the serotonin transporter (5-HTT) gene. Both patients were homozygous for the s allele. Although 5-HTTLPR alleles have not been consistently associated with autism per se, several studies have reported that the s allele is associated with the most severe autistic impairment in communication and social interaction [45-47]. Thus, allelic transmission in autism probands was dependent upon the severity of impairments in the social and communication domains, with greater s allele transmission in severely impaired individuals. This relationship between s alleles and the severity of autistic impairment was also seen when ratings of social and communication behaviors were compared across ss, ls and ll genotypes [45]. These findings are consistent with the suggestion that the ss genotype generally has deleterious effects on human and nonhuman behavior [48-50].

The observation that infants homozygous for the s allele have lower orientation and alertness scores [51] may also be relevant to an influence of the HTT gene on the phenotypic spectrum of WBS. In addition, the reported association of the HTT promoter polymorphism with anxiety-related traits in the general population [52] further stimulates interest in HTT as a possible candidate gene to modify the WBS behavioral phenotype. Given that the frequency of the s allele in the general population (in samples of predominately northern European ancestry) is as high as 43% [52,53] (approximately 18% homozygosity), one would expect to find a substantial proportion of WBS patients with the ss genotype. The probability that any two WBS patients would both have the ss genotype is approximately 0.18 × 0.18, or 0.032. The co-occurrence of WBS and autistic disorder might be underestimated, given the nondetection of autistic social communication impairments in individuals with the typical WBSCR deletion [38,41]. This raises issues related to fundamental difficulties in making the diagnosis of autistic disorder, especially when associated with genetic disorders and intellectual disability [54,55].

In addition, the search for behaviors related to autism spectrum disorders in patients with WBS may have important clinical implications, considering that some children with WBS could benefit from therapies offered to children with autistic disorder. Similarly, the frequency of WBS among children with autistic disorder might be underestimated, considering that, based on our experience in France, practitioners working with children with autistic disorder do not ask routinely for a clinical genetic examination to search for genetic disorders associated with autism, including WBS. This situation highlights the need to conduct systematically a clinical genetic examination to search for underlying genetic disorders in all individuals with an autistic behavioral phenotype.

The presence of frank hyperserotoninemia and lower melatonin production in patients 1 and 2 is intriguing. Previous investigators who studied measurements of platelet serotonin in two WBS patients with hyperserotoninemia also reported autistic behavior, including severe deficit in verbal communication with typical autistic language impairments, such as echolalia or repetitive and noncommunicative vocalizations [34]. An absence of such behavior in WBS patients with normal serotonin levels has been reported.
The hypothesis of a possible role of hyperserotonemia in autistic behavior associated with WBS is strengthened by the well-replicated high frequency of hyperserotonemia in children with autistic disorder (25% to 50% according to many studies, whereas the frequency of hyperserotonemia in the general population is about 2.3%) [21]. It is noteworthy that hyperserotonemia is a characteristic neurochemical phenotype associated with autism, but is not specific of this disorder (hyperserotonemia has been observed, for example, in non-autistic individuals with intellectual disability since the Schain and Freedman first study in 1961 [57]). Hyperserotonemia might be related less to the nosographic category of autism than to a dimensional impairment, notably in the verbal communication dimension. Thus, several studies have found significant negative associations between hyperserotonemia and verbal expressive abilities in individuals with autistic disorder [58,59]. It can be speculated that hyperserotonemia and/or genetic variation contributing to hyperserotonemia might influence the behavioral expression of the WBS phenotype, especially in the verbal communication domain, with a possible total absence of expressive language persisting even during adulthood. In this regard, the role of the GTF2IRD1 gene might be of interest considering that mice with disruption of GTF2IRD1, used as a behavioral animal model of WBS, showed enhanced prefrontal 5-HT1A-mediated inhibitory responses following bath applications of 5-HT [60]. This finding might be relevant to the disinhibition observed in individuals with WBS and their hypersensitivity to serotonergic medicines such as the selective serotonin reuptake inhibitors (SSRIs) [61]. Given blood–brain barrier considerations, it seems doubtful that peripheral platelet hyperserotonemia could directly affect neurodevelopment. However, given the critical early role of serotonin in embryonic and neuronal development and the presence of apparent serotonergic abnormality (hyperserotonemia) in autistic behavior associated with WBS, a role for serotonin is worth considering. At the least, it can be suggested that platelet serotonin levels might offer a potential predictor of an autistic behavioral phenotype in WBS. It might also be of interest that epigenetic mechanisms involving gene X environment interactions and effects on the serotonergic system [62] have been found in several genetic syndromes associated with autism spectrum disorders [63]. Further studies on gene X environment interactions, including epigenetic mechanisms, are necessary to better understand the association between common WBSCR deletions and typical autistic behavioral and neurochemical phenotypes.

Conclusions
In two individuals, we found the classical WBSCR deletion to be associated with severe autism, including a total absence of expressive language, which usually is not reported for individuals with common WBS. Future research is required to ascertain the mechanisms underlying this association between WBS and severe autistic disorder and to better understand the influence of genetic background and specific epistatic effects in WBS. The possible role of serotonin-related genes and serotonergic abnormality in the expression of social and communication behaviors in WBS may be of particular interest. Further studies are necessary to document the possible association of hyperserotonemia and/or HTT alleles as modifiers of the WBS neurocognitive profile in larger cohorts of individuals with WBS, with or without autistic disorder. From this perspective, WBS provides a challenging but relatively well-defined and potential fruitful model to develop integrated genetic, cognitive, behavioral and neurochemical approaches to study genotype–phenotype correlations as well as possible gene–environment interactions and genetic background effects.
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