

**Mobility impairment is associated with urge but not stress urinary incontinence in community-dwelling older women: results from the Ossébo study.**

Xavier Fritel, Laurine Lachal, Bernard Cassou, Arnaud Fauconnier, Patrica Dargent-Molina

► **To cite this version:**

Xavier Fritel, Laurine Lachal, Bernard Cassou, Arnaud Fauconnier, Patrica Dargent-Molina. Mobility impairment is associated with urge but not stress urinary incontinence in community-dwelling older women: results from the Ossébo study.. *BJOG: An International Journal of Obstetrics and Gynaecology*, Wiley, 2013, 120 (12), pp.1566-72. <10.1111/1471-0528.12316>. <inserm-00846026>

**HAL Id: inserm-00846026**

**<http://www.hal.inserm.fr/inserm-00846026>**

Submitted on 18 Jul 2013

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

1 Title

2 Mobility impairment is associated with urge but not stress urinary incontinence in community-  
3 dwelling older women: results from the 'Ossebo' study.

4 Authors

5 Xavier FRITEL, 1,2, MD, PhD, Professor

6 Laurine LACHAL, 1, MSc

7 Bernard CASSOU, 3, MD, Professor

8 Arnaud FAUCONNIER, 1,4, MD, PhD, Professor

9 Patricia DARGENT-MOLINA, 1, PhD

10 Institution

11 1 INSERM, UMR S953, UMPC Paris-6 University, Epidemiological research unit on  
12 perinatal health and women's and children's health, Paris, France.

13 2 Poitiers University Hospital, INSERM CIC802, Poitiers, France

14 3 University Laboratory, Environment-Health-Aging EA 25-06, UVSQ University, Sainte-  
15 Périne Hospital AP-HP, Paris, France.

16 4 Poissy-Saint-Germain Hospital, UVSQ University, Poissy, France

17 Correspondence

18 Prof. Xavier FRITEL, Service de Gynécologie-Obstétrique et Médecine de la Reproduction, CHU de  
19 Poitiers, 2 rue de la Milétrie, F-86000 Poitiers, France. xavier.fritel@univ-poitiers.fr

20 Short title: Mobility impairment and urinary incontinence in older women

21 Word count: abstract 244; text 3296.

22

23 Mobility impairment is associated with urge but not stress urinary incontinence in community-  
24 dwelling older women: results from the 'Ossebo' study.

25 Xavier FRITEL, Laurine LACHAL, Bernard CASSOU, Arnaud FAUCONNIER, Patricia DARGENT-MOLINA

26 Abstract

27 Objective: to assess the association between functional limitations related to mobility and urinary  
28 incontinence (UI) in elderly women.

29 Design: observational cross-sectional study.

30 Setting: 9 "balance" workshops in France.

31 Population: 1942 community-dwelling women aged 75-85 years, who were invited, based on voter  
32 registration lists, to a "balance assessment".

33 Methods: Mobility and balance test results were compared between incontinent and continent  
34 women according to the severity and type of incontinence.

35 Main Outcome Measures: Data on UI were collected using a self-administered questionnaire (ICIQ-  
36 SF). Motor-related physical abilities were assessed using standardised balance and functional gait  
37 tests.

38 Results: 42% of women had involuntary urine leakage, with daily leaks in 57% of cases; 24% had  
39 stress UI, 31% urge UI, and 37% mixed UI. Results of each functional test were lower in case of UI and  
40 the limitation was more pronounced when the incontinence was severe. Multivariate logistic  
41 regression analyses showed that balance and gait impairments were significantly and independently  
42 associated with urge UI - walking speed, lower vs. higher quartile, OR= 2.2 (95% CI 1.4-3.5); walking  
43 balance, unable vs. able to do 4 tandem steps, OR= 1.6 (1.2-2.2) - but not with stress UI.

44 Conclusion: In this large population of older women living at home, there was a strong association  
45 between limitation of motor and balance skills and UI, which was proportional to the severity of  
46 incontinence and related specifically to urge incontinence. These results open new perspectives for  
47 prevention and treatment of urge incontinence in elderly women.

48 Key-words: ageing, urinary incontinence, balance, mobility, impairment

49 Introduction

50 Urinary incontinence (UI) is a common pathology in older women and is estimated to affect more  
51 than a third of women aged 70 or more.<sup>1,2</sup> Female UI may have an impact on the quality of life close  
52 to other chronic pathologies reputed to involve greater morbidity, such as high blood pressure or  
53 diabetes.<sup>3</sup> The relative proportion of urge UI increases with age, with the mixed forms associating  
54 urge and stress UI found most frequently.<sup>4</sup> The factors that might explain the increase in urge  
55 incontinence with advancing age are not yet well understood. Epidemiological studies have shown a  
56 link between UI and limitation in functional abilities in elderly women.<sup>5-7</sup> Possible explanations  
57 suggested for this association mainly concern urge UI: women who have impaired mobility probably  
58 take longer to get to the toilet, which can increase the risk of leakage when the urge to urinate is  
59 intense. Conversely, women who experience the urge to urinate frequently may tend to limit their  
60 physical activities in order not to find themselves too far from a toilet, which in itself could result in a  
61 faster decline in functional motor skills related with age.<sup>8</sup> Another possible explanation could be the  
62 presence of a common cause, connected for example with the decline in cognitive functions with  
63 age, which could impair both motor skills and the ability to maintain bladder control.

64 Earlier studies on the subject<sup>7,9,10</sup> used overall physical capacity indicators obtained using a  
65 questionnaire (such as the Instrumental Activities of Daily Living score) and did not measure physical  
66 abilities related with mobility specifically and directly. Only one study examined the relationship  
67 between physical abilities and UI depending on the type of UI.<sup>9</sup> Measuring physical abilities and  
68 clarifying the association according to the type of UI would make it easier, however, to gain greater  
69 insight into the nature of the relationship between UI and physical limitations, and would perhaps  
70 open up new perspectives in the field of UI prevention and management in elderly women.

71 In this study we analysed the relationship between physical limitations specifically connected with  
72 mobility and the various types of UI frequently found in elderly women. The population studied was

73 drawn from the recruitment phase of the Ossébo study, which is a controlled randomised study of  
74 the prevention of serious falls in elderly women based on a programme of physical exercise.

75

76 Population and methods

77 For this investigation we used the data contained in the initial pre-selection report for the Ossébo  
78 trial, covering 1,942 women examined in the 9 first study centres opened between January 2008 and  
79 June 2009 in several large French cities (Paris, Boulogne-Billancourt, Lille, Reims, Montpellier and  
80 Amiens). Electoral rolls were used by each centre to invite all women between the ages of 75 to 85  
81 living close to the places where the "balance" workshops were to be held, to come for a "balance  
82 assessment". We mailed 19,360 invitations, but 1,965 women did not receive the invitation because  
83 they had moved away. The participation rate was 11% (1942/17761). Almost all participants lived at  
84 home, only a few (n = 12) lived in an institution.

85 This balance assessment consisted of a range of functional balance and motor skills tests carried out  
86 by a specially trained investigator, plus an individual interview and a self-assessment questionnaire.  
87 The self-assessment questionnaire filled out by the women on the day the tests were carried out  
88 included the SF36 quality of life Short Form and the International Consultation on Incontinence  
89 Questionnaire - Short Form (ICIQ-SF).<sup>11</sup> The first two questions in the ICIQ-SF concern the frequency  
90 and quantity of urine leaks over the past 4 weeks. These questions are similar to those of the Sandvik  
91 score which is often used in epidemiological surveys addressing urinary incontinence.<sup>4,12</sup> The third  
92 question assesses how much these leaks interfere with everyday life. The ICIQ-SF score is calculated  
93 from the answers to these 3 questions; it ranges between 0 and 21 and is correlated with the pad  
94 test.<sup>13</sup> For this study we considered that a woman was continent if she had a zero score, and  
95 incontinent if she had any positive score. The UI Severity was classified as slight, moderate, severe,  
96 and very severe according to the classification suggested by Klovning.<sup>14</sup> The ICIQ-SF includes a series  
97 of questions concerning the circumstances when urine leakage occurs. We drew the distinction  
98 between stress UI (urine "leaks when you cough or sneeze" or "leaks when you are physically  
99 active/exercising"), urge UI (urine "leaks before you can get to the toilet") and mixed UI (presence of  
100 both).

101 A set of simple functional tests, fully standardised and validated for the prediction of falls and  
102 fractures, was applied to assess the women's mobility and balance.<sup>15-19</sup> The mobility tests included: a  
103 timed six-metre walk test ; the "timed up and go" test which measures the time taken to get up from  
104 a chair, walk 3 metres, turn around and return to sit down; and a test measuring the time taken to  
105 get up from a chair and sit down again 5 times without using the arms. Tests more specifically  
106 concerning balance consisted of the following: a balance test in the semi-tandem position, which  
107 measures the woman's ability to remain standing in the semi-tandem position (with the heel of the  
108 front foot against the big toe of the rear foot) for at least 10 seconds; the tandem walk test, which  
109 consists of assessing the woman's ability to take 4 paces with the two feet in line, placing the heel of  
110 the front foot against the toes of the rear foot; and the unipedal stance test which measures the time  
111 spent standing on one foot without loss of balance (maximum 30 seconds).

112 To start with, we compared the mobility and balance test results between incontinent and continent  
113 women according to the severity and type of incontinence (urge, stress and mixed UI). We classed  
114 the women in 5 groups according to their ICIQ score, with continent women (score = 0) in the first  
115 group and the incontinent women in 4 other groups according to the score distribution quartiles. For  
116 comparison of the test results for incontinent women relative to the continent women's results we  
117 used t-tests and the chi-square test (analysis by type of UI), and linear trend tests and chi-square  
118 trend tests (analysis according to the severity of UI).

119 We then used a logistic regression model to investigate the association between mobility and  
120 balance abilities and the different types of UI, after adjusting for age, BMI and the test centre. Each  
121 type of UI was modelled separately and the group of continent women (ICIQ score = 0) was used as  
122 the comparison group each time. We did not determine whether or not differences between women  
123 with stress, urge, or mixed UI for mobility and balances tests were significant. For this part of our  
124 study we took into account a general mobility test - the timed 6-metre walk, and a balance test - the  
125 tandem walk. These two tests were chosen on the one hand because they were both very strongly  
126 related with the degree of urinary incontinence in the previous phases of our analysis, and on the

127 other hand because it was shown that they were independent risk factors for falls and fractures in a  
128 cohort of French women of the same age as the Ossébo cohort, recruited using the same methods.<sup>16</sup>  
129 The SAS version 9.1 package was used for all these analyses.

130



131 Results

132 The 1,942 women tested for functional balance and motor skills were on average 79.3 ( $\pm$  2.9) years  
133 old, 159 (8,5%) only had no diploma, most of them, 1258 (65.4%), lived alone, their mean BMI was  
134 25.9 ( $\pm$  4.4) kg/m<sup>2</sup>, and more than one out of three (39.7%) had fallen during the previous 12 months.  
135 It was possible to calculate the ICIQ incontinence score for 1,922 (99.0%) women, with 815 (42.0%) of  
136 them reporting urinary incontinence (score  $\geq$  1). Among these women classed as incontinent, the  
137 mean ICIQ score was 7.6 ( $\pm$ 4.1), UI severity was considered as slight for 305 women (ICIQ score  
138 between 1 and 5), moderate for 397 (6-12), severe for 97 (13-18), and very severe for 16 (19-21). Just  
139 over half the women (57%) reported leaks of urine every day. In the majority of cases (78%) a small  
140 amount of urine was lost. The average score for the degree to which this leakage interfered with  
141 everyday life was 3.0 ( $\pm$ 2.6) using a scale ranging from 0 to 10. In this group of incontinent women,  
142 194 (23.8%) suffered from stress incontinence, 251 (30.8%) from urge incontinence, 302 (37.1%)  
143 from mixed incontinence and 45 (5.5%) from urinary incontinence under other circumstances (data  
144 about UI type was missing for 23 women).

145 The proportion of incontinent women was a little higher in women aged 80 and over than in younger  
146 women (43.8% versus 41.3%), but the difference was not significant. The mean ICIQ-SF score for the  
147 incontinent women was higher (8.0 versus 7.2) in the older women. There was a negative correlation  
148 between the severity of UI (ICIQ-SF score) and the functional motor skills score (Pearson's coefficient  
149 -0.22;  $p < 0.0001$ ), and that for vitality (-0.09;  $< 0.0001$ ) calculated from the SF36-SF questionnaire.

150 Bivariate analysis revealed a significant deterioration in all the standard mobility and balance tests  
151 according to the severity of UI (Table 1). Analysis by type of UI showed that the test results were all  
152 lower for women suffering from urge UI or mixed UI when they were compared with continent  
153 women (Table 2). For women with stress UI, the mobility test results were also lower but the  
154 differences compared with continent women were less marked. There was no difference in the  
155 balance test results between women with stress UI and continent women.

156 Multivariate analysis taking a mobility test (6-metre walk) and a balance test (tandem walk) into  
157 account showed simultaneously that the two tests remained significantly and independently  
158 associated with urge UI, that the walk test remained significantly associated with mixed UI, but that  
159 there was no longer any significant association with stress UI (Table 3).

160

161 Discussion

162 Main findings

163 In this large study in women aged 79 on average there was a gradient between the degree of  
164 deterioration in mobility or balance performance documented by standard physical tests, and the  
165 existence or severity of urinary incontinence. The deterioration in performance of physical tests was  
166 mainly associated with urge UI, but not with stress UI.

167 Strengths

168 The main strength of our study lies in the fact that we used standardised quantitative functional tests  
169 that specifically measure walking and balance skills, and also in the fact that we investigated the  
170 relationship between physical motor skills and each type of UI separately. Gait and balance  
171 impairment as well as UI (and cognitive impairment or depression) are the most serious health  
172 problems affecting the quality of life of older people aged 75 years and over and living at home.  
173 Unfortunately, up until now, these health problems have received less attention than medical  
174 conditions such as high blood pressure, hypercholesterolemia, diabetes mellitus or cancers.<sup>20</sup>

175 Weaknesses

176 Due to the way of recruitment, the study subjects were able to reach the workshop places and were  
177 urban. Comparing to 1999 census data, they were more likely to live alone, had a higher level of  
178 education, and belonged to a higher socio-professional category than the general population of the  
179 same age.<sup>21</sup> This leads us to suppose that cognitive functions were unimpaired but unfortunately  
180 cognitive status was not assessed in our sample. Our results cannot be taken therefore to apply to  
181 the general population of the same age, in particular to women living in institutions. Nevertheless, it  
182 can be considered that the women in our study would be similar to the types of women likely to take  
183 part in prevention programmes based on physical activities. This response rate, which may seem  
184 rather low, is nevertheless typically observed in studies that use large population-based listings (such  
185 as electoral rolls) as a basis for the recruitment of elderly subjects.<sup>16</sup> It is likely that the women

186 invited who did not participate had more severe mobility impairment than those who participated.  
187 Severe UI may also have prevented some women from participating. Hence the range of mobility  
188 impairment and of incontinence severity is probably smaller in our study population than in the  
189 original target population. We do not believe that selection bias could have artificially created the  
190 observed positive association between mobility impairment and UI. Our finding of a dose-response  
191 type of relationship between the severity of UI and the level of physical impairment argues for the  
192 existence of a true relationship.<sup>22</sup> However since our analysis was cross-sectional, it is not possible to  
193 establish the sequence of the relationship observed.

194 We have no information concerning the women's past urological, gynaecological and obstetrical  
195 histories. This being said, the association between vaginal birth and UI is limited to stress UI and  
196 gradually drops in importance with ageing.<sup>23,24</sup> It is not very likely that these would be confounding  
197 factors affecting the association between urge UI and the problems with gait and balance that we  
198 have observed. Whether or not medication to control UI was used has not been taken into account in  
199 this investigation because the information was not available. Another limitation of this study is that  
200 the distinction between stress and urge UI is based on a list of "standard" circumstances concerning  
201 leaks, which may not be totally appropriate to the physical activity of elderly people. However, there  
202 is no validated questionnaire at present that is able to distinguish between the various forms of UI in  
203 elderly women, and it is difficult to predict to what extent a more precise classification would make a  
204 difference in the results. It should be noted that the degree of UI reported is based on self-  
205 assessment, meaning that it may be underestimated in the event of any cognitive impairment.

## 206 Interpretation

207 Even if our sample was not representative of the general population, the prevalence and type of UI  
208 we found are in agreement with other similar studies.<sup>1</sup> In a study of Norwegian women, the  
209 prevalence of UI was 34% between 75 and 84 years old (745/2,170 women), with mixed UI in 44%

210 (75-79 years) to 40% (80-84 years) of cases.<sup>4</sup> In another study carried out in 4 other European  
211 countries, including France, the prevalence of UI in 578 women aged between 75 and 84 was 46%.<sup>2</sup>

212 The difference we found during balance and gait tests may appear small. Between slightly (first  
213 quartile) and severely incontinent women (last quartile), there is only a one-second difference in  
214 mean time on the walking test (Table 1). Such small differences are common in numerous  
215 randomized controlled trials designed to prevent falls in older people living at home.<sup>25,26</sup> As Robinson  
216 points out, even a minor difference may be particularly critical in those close to losing their abilities.<sup>26</sup>

217 Based on results from the EPIDOS study,<sup>16</sup> a one-second increase in time taken to perform the 6-  
218 meter walking test increases the risk of hip fracture by 14%. The EPIDOS study also showed that  
219 women who are unable to participate in the walking balance test have a 20% higher risk of hip  
220 fracture. In our study population, there is a 15% difference in women who are unable to participate  
221 in this test when comparing slightly and severely incontinent women.

222 Our results confirm the postulate that women with impaired mobility probably take longer to get to  
223 the toilet, which can increase the risk of leakage when the urge to urinate is strong.<sup>7</sup> Brown showed  
224 that urge UI (but not stress UI) was associated with an increase in the risk of falls and fractures.<sup>27</sup> This  
225 relationship can probably be explained by the fact that women who suffer from urge UI have (to rush  
226 to the toilet to avoid leaks. Even in continent women, gait velocity is reduced in case of a strong  
227 desire to void.<sup>28</sup> Many women limit their activities after a fall due to the fear of falling or because of  
228 injuries related with the fall, which will gradually lead to loss of physical condition which in turn will  
229 eventually result in deterioration of balance and the ability to walk.<sup>29,30</sup> There is also another possible  
230 explanation for the association observed: that a common cause exists for the onset of urge UI and  
231 the gait and balance problems. Functional imaging studies have suggested that abnormalities in the  
232 frontal cerebral cortex may be associated with bladder control problems in older adults.<sup>31,32</sup> Recent  
233 clinical and epidemiological studies suggest that deterioration in certain cognitive functions  
234 implicated in problems with gait and the risk of falling may also be involved in the onset of UI,<sup>33-35</sup> but

235 the relationship between the causes is still not sure and the analyses carried out did not always take  
236 the type of UI into account. The study by Huang et al. of a cohort of over 6,000 women over the age  
237 of 65 and living at home suggests that deterioration in cognitive functions may not be associated  
238 with an increased risk of UI, but would more likely mean that it is more difficult to adapt everyday life  
239 to the constraints caused by UI.<sup>33</sup> The various possibilities considered above are not mutually  
240 exclusive and it is likely that they each contribute to any explanation of the association observed.<sup>7,36</sup>

241 Balance tests were not different for women with stress-UI and no-UI (Table 2) and the difference  
242 observed for the 6-metre walk test during bivariate analysis disappeared during multivariate analysis  
243 taking into account age, BMI and study centre (Table 3) while there was still a difference between  
244 women with urge-UI and women with no-UI.

245 Our results are important because they provide new prospects for assessment and management of  
246 urge UI, which is particularly frequent in elderly women. Indeed, they are all the more important  
247 given that the usual treatments are less effective or counter-indicated in elderly women because of  
248 their side effects (e.g. anti-cholinergic drugs which may adversely affect cognitive functions).<sup>37</sup> Our  
249 results provide hope that improvements in walking ability and balance could help to improve urge UI  
250 symptoms (by reducing the number of leaks, even though the urgent need to pass urine remains just  
251 as frequent as before). A longitudinal study showed a link between reduced physical activity and the  
252 incidence of overactive bladder.<sup>38</sup> Another observational study showed that improvement in  
253 activities of daily living was associated with a remission of urge symptoms.<sup>9</sup> Recent randomised  
254 controlled trials have shown that it is possible to reduce the risk of falls in the elderly by appropriate  
255 programmes of physical exercise.<sup>25</sup> Another randomized trial showed that improving mobility and  
256 toileting skills reduces incontinence.<sup>39</sup> Physical activity is regarded as one of the most important  
257 factors influencing the functional ability of the older people. Our study showed an association  
258 between functional mobility and UI suggesting that the two entities should not be considered  
259 separately.<sup>30</sup> Patients should be encouraged to remain physically active in order to prevent falls and  
260 UI. But the nature and intensity of suitable physical activities are being debated.<sup>40</sup> The Ossébo

261 randomised controlled trial which comprises 2 groups, one with intervention (balance improvement  
262 sessions) and a "control" group with no intervention, should provide some answers since it is  
263 planned to use the ICIQ-SF questionnaire again at the end of the observation period. The results of  
264 this trial will provide a means of checking not only if there are fewer leaks due to urge UI in the group  
265 with intervention than in the control group, but also that the intervention does not increase stress  
266 UI.

267

268 Conclusion

269 Our results show that a strong relationship exists between motor functional problems and UI, this  
270 relationship is proportional to the severity of the UI, and concerns urge UI but not stress UI. These  
271 results open up new perspectives for the prevention and treatment of urge UI or mixed UI in elderly  
272 women.

273



274 Acknowledgements

275 We would like to thank the people in charge of the investigation centres who helped us make this  
276 study: Dr J. Arvieu (Boulogne-Billancourt), Prof. F. Blanchard (Reims), Dr S. Debray-Meignan (Paris),  
277 Dr P. Dejardin (Paris), Dr M. Dramé (Reims), Prof. P. Fardellone (Amiens), Prof C. Jeandel  
278 (Montpellier), Dr S. Liabeuf (Reims), Prof. F. Puisieux (Lille), and Dr Y. Wolmark (Paris), and also the  
279 study coordinators: S. Emprin, G. Gonzalez and M. Borsato.

280 Disclosure of Interests

281 We have no conflicts of interest to report.

282 Contribution to Authorship

283 PDM and BC led the Ossébo study. XF and AF had suggested focusing on urinary incontinence in this  
284 population. LL performed the analysis conducted as part of her Master dissertation, directed by  
285 Patricia PDM and XF. XF wrote the manuscript that has been revised and approved by each author.

286 Details of ethics approval

287 The study protocol was approved by the Ile-de-France IV Committee for the Protection of Persons  
288 (CPP) in June 2007 (ref 2007/29). It is registered with the CNIL (French Data Authority) under the  
289 number 907198. The study is registered with the French Authority for the Safety of Health Products  
290 (AFSSAPS) and on ClinicalTrials.gov under the number NCT00545350. Each woman received written  
291 information about the study. According to French law, written consent was not required for this  
292 observational study.

293 Funding

294 The Ossébo study is supported by the French National Research Agency (ANR), the Hospital Program  
295 of Clinical Research (PHRC, French Ministry of Health), the National Institute of Health Prevention  
296 and Education (INPES), and the Council of the Ile-de-France region (citizens and institutions

297 partnership for research and innovation, PICRI). The interventions are implemented in partnership  
298 with the association S.I.EL. Bleu (Sport, Initiatives and Leisure).

299

- 1 Brown JS, Seeley DG, Fong J, Black DM, Ensrud KE, Grady D. Urinary incontinence in older women: who is at risk? *Obstet Gynecol* 1996;87:715-21.
- 2 Hunskaar S, Lose G, Sykes D, Voss S. The prevalence of urinary incontinence in women in four European countries. *BJU Int* 2004;93:324-30.
- 3 Ko Y, Lin SJ, Salmon JW, Bron MS. The Impact of Urinary Incontinence on Quality of Life of the Elderly. *Am J Manage Care* 2005;11:S103-11.
- 4 Hannestad YS, Rortveit G, Sandvik H, Hunskaar S. A community-based epidemiological survey of female urinary incontinence: The Norwegian EPINCONT Study. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2000;53:1150-7.
- 5 Nygaard I, Turvey C, Burns TL, Crischilles E, Wallace R. Urinary incontinence and depression in middle-aged United States women. *Obstet Gynecol* 2003;101:149-56.
- 6 Palmer M, Baumgarten M, Langenberg P et al. Risk factors for hospital-acquired incontinence in elderly female hip fracture patients. *J Gerontol* 2002;57:M672-7.
- 7 Jenkins KR, Fultz NH. Functional impairment as a risk factor for urinary incontinence among older american. *NeurourolUrodyn* 2005;24:51-5.
- 8 Brown WJ, Miller YD. Too wet to exercise? Leaking urine as a barrier to physical activity in women. *J Sci Med Sport* 2001;4:373-8.
- 9 Nygaard IE, Lemke JH. Urinary incontinence in rural older women: prevalence, incidence and remission. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 1996;44:1049-54.
- 10 Sims J, Browning C, Lundgren-Lindquist B, Kendig H. Urinary incontinence in a community sample of older adults: prevalence and impact on quality of life. *Disabil Rehabil* 2011;33:1389-98.
- 11 Avery K, Donovan J, Peters TJ, Shaw C, Gotoh M, Abrams P. ICIQ: a brief and robust measure for evaluating the symptoms and impact of urinary incontinence. *NeurourolUrodyn* 2004;23:322-30.
- 12 Saadoun K, Ringa V, Fritel X, Varnoux N, Zins M, Bréart G. Negative impact of urinary incontinence on quality of life, a cross-sectional study among women aged 49-61 years enrolled in the GAZEL cohort. *Neurourol Urodyn* 2006;25:696-702.
- 13 Karantanis E, Fynes M, Moore KH, Stanton SL. Comparison of the ICIQ-SF and 24-hour pad test with other measures for evaluating the severity of urodynamic stress incontinence. *Int Urogynecol J PelvicFloorDysfunct* 2004;15:111-6.
- 14 Klovning A, Avery K, Sandvik H, Hunskaar S. Comparison of two questionnaires for assessing the severity of urinary incontinence: the ICIQ-UI SF versus the incontinence severity index. *Neurourol Urodyn* 2009;28:411-5.
- 15 Cummings SR, Nevitt MC, Browner WS, Stone K, Fox KM, Ensrud KE, Cauley J, Black D, Vogt TM. Risk factors for hip fracture in white women. Study of Osteoporotic Fractures Research Group. *N Engl J Med* 1995 23;332:767-73.
- 16 Dargent-Molina P, Favier F, Grandjean H, Baudoin C, Schott AM, Hausherr E, Meunier PJ, Bréart G. Fall-related factors and risk of hip fracture: the EPIDOS prospective study. *Lancet* 1996;348:145-9.
- 17 Mathias S, Nayak US, Isaacs B. Balance in elderly patients: the "get-up and go" test. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil* 1986;67:387-9.
- 18 Scott V, Votona K, Scanlan A, Close J. Multifactorial and functional mobility assessment tools for fall risk among older adults in community, home support, long term-care and acute care settings. *Age Ageing* 2007;36:130-9.
- 19 Guralnik JM, Simonsick EM, Ferrucci L, Glynn RJ, Berkman LF, Blazer DG, Scherr PA, Wallace RB. A short physical performance battery assessing lower extremity function: association with self-reported disability and prediction of mortality and nursing home admission. *J Gerontol* 1994;49:M85-94.

- 
- 20 Wenger NS, Roth CP, Shekelle P, Young RT et al. A practice-based intervention to improve primary care for falls, urinary incontinence, and dementia. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2009;57:547-55.
- 21 INSEE Références. Les personnes âgées [Older people]. Edition INSEE, Paris 2005. <http://www.insee.fr/fr/ppp/sommaire/PERSAG05.PDF>
- 22 Hill AB. The environment and disease: association or causation? *Proc R Soc Med* 1965;58:295-300.
- 23 Rortveit G, Hannestad YS, Daltveit AK, Hunskaar S. Age- and type-dependent effects of parity on urinary incontinence: The Norwegian EPINCONT study. *Obstet Gynecol* 2001;98:1004-10.
- 24 Fritel X, Ringa V, Quiboef E, Fauconnier A. Female urinary incontinence, from pregnancy to menopause, a review of epidemiologic and pathophysiologic findings. *Acta ObstetGynecol Scand* 2012;91:901-10.
- 25 Gillespie LD, Robertson MC, Gillespie WJ, Lamb SE, Gates S, Cumming RG, Rowe BH. Interventions for preventing falls in older people living in the community. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev* 2009;(2):CD007146.
- 26 Robertson MC, Campbell AJ, Gardner MM, Devlin N. Preventing injuries in older people by preventing falls: a meta-analysis of individual-level data. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2002;50:905-11.
- 27 Brown JS, Vittinghoff E, Wyman JF, Stone KL, Nevitt MC, Ensrud KE, Grady D. Urinary incontinence: does it increase risk for falls and fractures? Study of Osteoporotic Fractures Research Group. *J Am Geriatr Soc* 2000;48:721-5.
- 28 Booth J, Paul L, Rafferty D, MacInnes C. The relationship between urinary bladder control and gait in women. *Neurourol Urodyn* 2013;32:43-7.
- 29 Vellas BJ, Wayne SJ, Romero LJ, Baumgartner RN, Garry PJ. Fear of falling and restriction of mobility in elderly fallers. *Age Ageing* 1997;26:189-93.
- 30 Tinetti ME, Williams CS. Falls, injuries due to falls, and the risk of admission to a nursing home. *N Engl J Med* 1997;337:1279-84.
- 31 Griffiths DJ, McCracken PN, Harrison GM, Gormley EA, Moore K, Hooper R, McEwan AJ, Triscott J. Cerebral aetiology of urinary urge incontinence in elderly people. *Age Ageing* 1994;23:246-50.
- 32 Griffiths D, Derbyshire S, Stenger A, Resnick N. Brain control of normal and overactive bladder. *J Urol* 2005;174:1862-7.
- 33 Huang AJ, Brown JS, Thom DH, Fink HA, Yaffe K. Urinary incontinence in older community-dwelling women: the role of cognitive and physical function decline. *ObstetGynecol* 2007;109:909-16.
- 34 Dumoulin C, Lussier M, Corriveau H, Renaud M, Bherer L. Impaired executive function is associated with mixed urinary incontinence in older, community-dwelling women. *NeurourolUrodyn* 2010;6:847-9.
- 35 Rait G, Fletcher A, Smeeth L, Brayne C, Stirling S, Nunes M, Breeze E, Ng ES, Bulpitt CJ, Jones D, Tulloch AJ. Prevalence of cognitive impairment : results from the MRC trial of assessment and management of older people in the community. *Age Ageing* 2005;34:242-8.
- 36 Tinetti ME, Inouye SK, Gill TM, Doucette JT. Shared risk factors for falls, incontinence, and functional dependence. Unifying the approach to geriatric syndromes. *JAMA* 1995;273:1348-53.
- 37 Cancelli I, Gigli GL, Piani A, Zanchettin B, Janes F, Rinaldi A, Valente M. Drugs with anticholinergic properties as a risk factor for cognitive impairment in elderly people: a population-based study. *J Clin Psychopharmacol* 2008;28:654-9.
- 38 McGrother CW, Donaldson MKM, Thompson J, Wagg A, Tincello DG, Manktelow BN. Etiology of overactive bladder: a diet and lifestyle model for diabetes and obesity in older women. *Neurourol Urodyn* 2012;31:487-95.

- 
- 39 van Houten P, Achterberg W, Ribbe M. Urinary incontinence in disabled elderly women: a randomized clinical trial on the effect of training mobility and toileting skills to achieve independent toileting. *Gerontology* 2007;53:205-10.
- 40 Danforth KN, Diwan Shah A, Townsend MK, Lifford KL, Curhan GC, Resnick NM, Grodstein F. Physical activity and urinary incontinence among healthy older women. *Obstet Gynecol* 2007;109:721-7.

Table 1. Urinary incontinence severity and motor and balance performance.

Functional tests	Urinary Incontinence Severity {ICIQ-SF score}					p-value*	
	N	{0} n=1107	{1-4} n=215	{5-7} n=259	{8-11} n=164		{12+} n=177
Walking 6 metres (mean in seconds ± standard deviation)		6.4 ±1.8	6.7 ±1.7	7.0 ±2.4	7.5 ±2.7	7.7 ±2.6	<0.0001
Timed up and go (mean in seconds ± standard deviation)		10.6 ±2.8	11.1 ±3.3	11.7 ±4.5	12.3 ±4.4	12.6 ±4.4	<0.0001
Chair rising without using hands (mean in seconds ± standard deviation)		14.8 ±4.5	15.8 ±6.0	16.2 ±4.8	17.1 ±6.0	17.0 ±5.7	<0.0001
Standing balance: 10 seconds in semi-tandem (% success)		72.4%	69.3%	69.1%	63.4%	55.4%	<0.0001
Walking balance : 4 tandem steps in line (% success)		68.2%	63.3%	58.3%	55.5%	48.0%	<0.0001
Unipedal stance test (mean in seconds ± standard deviation)		10.6 ±9.2	9.8 ±8.6	9.5 ±9.1	8.6 ±8.8	6.9 ±7.7	<0.0001

\* linear trend tests for continuous variable and chi-square trend tests for nominal variables

**Table 2.** Urinary incontinence type and motor and balance performances. Stress urinary incontinence is defined by "leaks when you cough or sneeze" or "leaks when you are physically active/exercising", urge urinary incontinence by "leaks before you can get to the toilet" and mixed urinary incontinence by the presence of both. Bivariate analysis, each UI type was compared to no-UI.

Functional tests	N	No UI n=1107	Stress UI n=194	p-value	Urge UI n=251	p-value	Mixed UI n=302	p-value
Walking 6 metres (mean in seconds ± standard deviation)		6.4 ±1.8	6.8 ±2.3	0.021	7.3 ±2.6	<0.0001	7.2±2.3	<0.0001
Timed get up and go test (mean in seconds ± standard deviation)		10.6 ±2.8	11.3 ±3.4	0.0076	12.2 ±4.9	<0.0001	11.9±4.0	<0.0001
Chair rising 5 times without using hands (mean in seconds ± standard deviation)		14.9 ±4.4	15.9 ±4.7	0.0033	16.8 ±5.7	<0.0001	16.4±5.9	<0.0001
Standing balance: 10s in semi-tandem (% success)		72.4%	71.1%	0.73	65.0%	0.019	63.6%	0.003
Walking balance : 4 tandem steps in line(% success)		68.2%	64.4%	0.30	50.6%	<0.0001	58.3%	0.0012
Unipedal stance test (mean in seconds ± standard deviation)		10.6 ±9.2	9.6 ±8.7	0.17	9.1 ±8.9	0.018	8.2±8.4	<0.0001

t-tests for continuous variables and chi-square tests for nominal variables

**Table 3.** Urinary incontinence type and motor and balance performances. Stress urinary incontinence is defined by "leaks when you cough or sneeze" or "leaks when you are physically active/exercising", urge urinary incontinence by "leaks before you can get to the toilet" and mixed urinary incontinence by the presence of both. Logistic regression adjusted for age, BMI and study centre.

		Stress UI ORa [95% CI]	Urge UI ORa [95% CI]	Mixed UI ORa [95% CI]
Walking 6 metres (sec)	Quartile 1	1	1	1
	Quartile 2	1.08 [0.69-1.69]	1.26 [0.81-1.96]	1.53 [1.02-2.31]
	Quartile 3	1.30 [0.82-2.07]	1.84 [1.19-2.85]	1.78 [1.17-2.72]
	Quartile 4	1.38 [0.82-2.31]	2.17 [1.36-3.45]	2.61 [1.69-4.03]
Walking balance (able to take 4 tandem steps in line)	Yes	1	1	1
	No	1.04 [0.72-1.49]	1.58 [1.16-2.17]	1.10 [0.82-1.47]