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Abstract

Hepatitis C virus (HCV) is a major cause of liver cirrhosis and hepatocellular carcinoma. Preventive modalities are absent and the current antiviral treatment is limited by resistance, toxicity and high costs. Viral entry is required for initiation, spread, and maintenance of infection, and thus is a promising target for antiviral therapy. HCV entry is a highly orchestrated process involving viral and host cell factors. These include the viral envelope glycoproteins E1 and E2, CD81, scavenger receptor BI and tight junction proteins claudin-1 and occludin. Recent studies in preclinical models and HCV-infected patients have demonstrated that the virus has developed multiple strategies to escape host immune responses during viral entry. These include evasion from neutralizing antibodies and viral spread by cell-cell transmission. These challenges have to be taken into account for the design of efficient antiviral strategies. Thus, a detailed understanding of the mechanisms of viral entry and escape is a prerequisite to define viral and cellular targets and develop novel preventive and therapeutic antivirals. This review summarizes the current knowledge about the molecular mechanisms of HCV entry into hepatocytes, highlights novel targets and reviews the current preclinical and clinical development of compounds targeting entry. Proof-of-concept studies suggest that HCV entry inhibitors are a novel and promising class of antivirals widening the preventive and therapeutic arsenal against HCV infection.
Hepatitis C virus (HCV) is a major cause of chronic hepatitis worldwide. The current therapy against HCV infection, consisting of an association of pegylated interferon alpha (PEG-IFN) and ribavirin, is limited by resistance, adverse effects and high costs. Although the clinical development of novel antivirals targeting HCV protein processing has been shown to improve sustained virological response, toxicity of the individual compounds and development of viral resistance remain major challenges [1-3]. To date, a vaccine is not available. The absence of preventive strategies is a major limitation for patients undergoing liver transplantation (LT) for HCV-related end-stage liver disease. Re-infection of the graft is universal and characterized by accelerated progression of liver disease [4]. Moreover, treatment of recurrent HCV infection after LT is challenging due to enhanced adverse effects and limited efficacy of IFN-based therapies in LT recipients [4, 5]. Recurrent HCV liver disease in the graft with poor outcome has become an increasing problem facing hepatologists and transplant surgeons. Thus, novel antiviral preventive and therapeutic strategies are urgently needed.

Viral entry is the first step of virus-host cell interactions leading to productive infection and thus represents an interesting target for antiviral therapy. HCV entry is believed to be a highly orchestrated process involving several viral and host cell factors, thereby offering multiple novel targets for antiviral therapy. However, multiple strategies evolved by the virus in order to escape the host immune system, such as escape from neutralizing antibodies and direct cell-cell transmission, have to be taken into account for the design of efficient novel antiviral strategies. Understanding the mechanisms of viral entry and escape are thus a prerequisite to define viral and cellular targets that will give broad protection against HCV infection.

HCV is an enveloped single-strand RNA virus that mainly targets hepatocytes. Due to the difficulty to grow HCV in vitro and the species specificity of this virus, surrogate model systems have been developed to study HCV entry into hepatocytes: recombinant envelope glycoproteins [6], HCV-like particles (HCV-LP) [7], HCV pseudoparticles (HCVpp) [8, 9] and recombinant infectious HCV (HCVcc) [10-12] have been used to study interactions of the
viral envelope with human hepatoma cells or primary human hepatocytes. Moreover, the use of transgenic immunodeficient mice with hepatocyte-lethal phenotype (Alb-uPA/SCID [13] and Fah/Rag2/IL2rγ mice [14]) that can be successfully transplanted with primary human hepatocytes allowed to establish a small animal model to study certain aspects of HCV infection in vivo [15, 16].

Using the above described model systems, tremendous progress has been made over the past years in deciphering the mechanisms of HCV-host interactions leading to viral entry. The understanding of these mechanisms has allowed researchers to identify novel targets for antivirals and several compounds are reaching early clinical development. The aim of this review is to summarize the current knowledge about the complex mechanisms of HCV entry into host cells, highlight antiviral targets and review the current development of HCV entry inhibitors that represent a novel important class of antivirals. Developing efficient HCV entry inhibitors may hold promise to improve sustained virological response in chronic HCV-infected patients and prevent HCV re-infection during LT.

**Hepatitis C virus evades host immune responses to enter the hepatocyte**

Viral entry is the first step of HCV infection that requires interaction of the HCV envelope glycoproteins E1 and E2 and the host cell membrane. E1 and E2 are type I transmembrane proteins with a N-terminal ectodomain and a short C-terminal transmembrane domain (TMD). Functional virion-associated E1-E2 envelope glycoproteins mediating viral entry form large covalent complexes are stabilized by disulfide bridges [17]. The TMD plays a major role in the biogenesis of the E1E2 complexes and membrane fusion process [18]. The N-terminal ectodomains of E1 and E2 are heavily glycosylated. The glycans play a major role in E1E2 folding as well as HCV entry [19] and are of crucial importance for the evasion from the host immune responses by masking immunogenic envelope epitopes [20]. Moreover, HCV exists in heterogenous forms in human serum and may be associated with VLDL, LDL and HDL [21-24] also shielding the virus from neutralizing antibodies targeting the HCV envelope glycoproteins.
Both E1 and E2 contain putative fusion domains [25, 26]. While the role of E1 in HCV entry is not completely understood, several E2 domains play pivotal roles in viral entry, i.e. putative domain binding to two HCV entry factors, CD81 and scavenger receptor class B type I (SR-BI), and escape from host immune responses. Hypervariable regions (HVR) have been identified in E2. The first 27 amino acids of E2 called hypervariable region 1 (HVR1), are most divergent among HCV isolates. HVR1 plays an important role in viral fitness, likely due to an involvement in SR-BI-mediated entry [27], assembly and release of virus particles [28] as well as HCV membrane fusion process [28]. HVR1 is a target for neutralizing antibodies. However, due to its high variability, antibodies targeting HVR1 exhibit poor cross-neutralization potency across different HCV isolates [29]. Broadly neutralizing antibodies are directed against conserved conformational epitopes within E2 [30, 31] and mostly inhibit E2-CD81 interaction [32]. The region located immediately downstream of HVR1 contains a potent and highly conserved epitope. This epitope defined by the mouse monoclonal antibody (mAb) AP33 and a rat mAb 3/11, is involved in E2-CD81 [33] and E2-heparan sulfate interaction [34]. Importantly, mutated variants that escape from AP33 neutralization show very low infectivity [35]. Recently, new conformational and conserved epitopes were identified in the N-terminal part of E2. Antibodies targeting these epitopes neutralize genetically diverse HCV isolates and protect against heterologous HCV quasispecies challenge in the human liver-chimeric Alb-uPA/SCID mouse model [31]. Since these epitopes are thought to be involved in HCV entry, viral mutation could induce escape from broadly neutralizing antibodies but at a substantial cost in viral fitness [35]. The conserved nature of these epitopes makes them of interest for vaccine and immunotherapeutic development.

In vivo, humoral responses are thought to play an important role in controlling HCV infection. Indeed, spontaneous resolvers tend to have early induction of neutralizing antibody responses, whereas chronically evolving subjects have delayed initiation of neutralizing antibody responses [36-38]. Furthermore, the generation of cross-reactive humoral responses is associated with protection against HCV reinfection [39]. These data suggest that protective immunity following HCV infection is possible and highlights the plausibility of
preventive antiviral strategies including a vaccine [39]. However, the accelerate evolution [40] and diversity of HCV as well as the variety of strategies the virus evolved to escape antibody-mediated neutralization (reviewed in [41]) are a major challenge. Indeed, due to its very high replication rate and the highly error prone viral polymerase, HCV circulates as a pool of genetically distinct but closely related variants known as viral quasispecies. The capacity of HCV to mutate continuously allows a high plasticity, an ability of the virus to adapt to variable environmental conditions and escape the host’s immune responses leading to HCV persistence [42, 43]. Noteworthy, a recent longitudinal analysis of six HCV-infected patients undergoing LT suggests that efficient entry and escape from host neutralizing antibodies represent important mechanisms for selection of HCV during LT [43]. As strains selected during LT could be neutralized by broadly neutralizing antibodies, the major challenge for developing efficient antiviral strategies targeting the HCV envelope glycoproteins will be to identify epitopes largely conserved among genotypes and selected isolates.

**Hepatitis C virus uses multiple host factors to enter its target cell**

HCV attachment and entry into host cells is a complex and multistep process. Using various model systems, several cell surface molecules have been identified interacting with HCV. These include CD81 [44], the low-density lipoprotein (LDL) receptor [21], highly sulfated heparan sulfate (HS) [45], SR-BI [46], DC-SIGN (dendritic cell-specific intercellular adhesion molecule 3 grabbing non integrin)/L-SIGN (DC-SIGNr, liver and lymph node specific) [47, 48], claudin-1 (CLDN1) [49] and occludin (OCLN) [50-52].

*In vivo*, HCV enters the liver through the sinusoidal blood. Capture of circulating HCV particles by liver sinusoidal cells may thus facilitate viral infection of neighbouring hepatocytes which are not in direct contact with circulating blood. This process may be mediated by DC-SIGN, expressed in Kupffer cells that localize close to liver sinusoidal endothelial cells (LSEC) and hepatocytes [53], and L-SIGN that is highly expressed in LSEC. DC-SIGN and L-SIGN have been shown to bind envelope glycoprotein E2 with high affinity [47, 54]. On hepatocytes, HS glycosaminoglycans represent first attachment sites [34, 45,
that may help to concentrate the virus on the target cell surface and allow further interactions with other host factors triggering viral entry.

CD81 is a ubiquitously expressed 25 kDa tetraspanin, containing a small extracellular and a large extracellular loop (LEL). CD81 has been the first molecule described to interact with a soluble truncated form of HCV E2 and to be a critical host cell factor for viral entry [11, 56, 57]. The LEL seems to play an important role in this process as soluble recombinants forms of CD81 LEL have been shown to inhibit HCVpp and HCVcc infection [58]. Several amino acid residues critical for E2-CD81 binding have been identified throughout the CD81 LEL and HCV E2 [33, 44, 59-61]. In recent years, studies using HCVpp and HCVcc have provided additional valuable information about E2-CD81 interactions and highlighted the importance of E2 residues at positions 415, 420, 527, 529, 530, 535 [62, 63] for virus particle-CD81 interaction.

Human SR-BI or CLA-1 (CD36 and LIMPII Analogous-1) is a 82 kDa glycoprotein with a large extracellular loop highly expressed in the liver and steroidogenic tissues [64]. SR-BI binds a variety of lipoproteins (HDL, LDL, oxLDL) and is involved in bidirectional cholesterol transport at the cell membrane. The SR-BI extracellular loop has been demonstrated to interact with E2 HVR1 [46]. Recent evidence suggests that amino acids 70 to 87 and the single residue E210 of SR-BI are required for E2 recognition [65]. SR-BI may play a dual role during the HCV entry process, during both binding and postbinding steps [65, 66]. Physiological SR-BI ligands have been shown to modulate HCV infection: HDL is able to enhance HCVpp and HCVcc infection [67, 68] whereas oxidized LDL inhibits HCVpp and HCVcc infection [69]. Interestingly, high concentrations of HDL and LDL inhibited HCV replication in human hepatocytes infected with serum-derived HCV [70]. Moreover, using serum-derived HCV, it has been suggested that the virus-associated lipoproteins rather than the E2 protein interact with SR-BI on transfected CHO cells [71]. A recent mapping study reported that HCV and HDL binding to SR-BI as well as the lipid transfer properties of SR-BI are required for SR-BI function as HCV entry factor [72]. This study also suggests that the C-terminal cytoplasmic tail of SR-BI modulates the basal HCV entry process, but seems not to
influence HDL-mediated infection-enhancement whereas the extracellular domain is required for E2 binding and lipid transfer function [72]. Taken together, these results suggest the existence of a complex interplay between lipoproteins, SR-BI and HCV envelope glycoproteins for HCV entry that needs to be taken into account for the development of antivirals targeting SR-BI.

CLDN1, a 23 kDa four transmembrane protein, has been identified as a critical HCV hepatocyte entry factor by expression cloning [49]. Interestingly, CLDN6 and CLDN9 are also able to mediate HCV entry in hepatoma cells [73, 74]. CLDNs are critical components of tight junctions (TJ) regulating paracellular permeability and polarity. CLDN1 is expressed in all epithelial tissues but predominantly in the liver [75]. Noteworthy, CLDN1 may localize to TJ of hepatocytes but also to basolateral surfaces of these cells [76]. Recent studies suggest that non-junctional CLDN1 may be involved in HCV entry [49, 77] probably during a post-binding step [49, 78]. So far, no direct HCV-CLDN1 interaction has been demonstrated [49, 78]. Mapping studies suggest that the first extracellular loop (ECL1), and more particularly residues in the highly conserved claudin motif W(30)-GLW(51)-C(54)-C(64), are critical for HCV entry [49, 77]. CLDN1 associates to CD81 in a variety of cell types and the formation of CLDN1-CD81 complexes is essential for HCV infection [79, 80]. Mutations at residues 32 and 48 in CLDN1 ECL1 ablate the association with CD81 and the viral receptor activity [80].

OCLN has been identified as another host cell factor critical for HCV entry, probably at a late post-binding event [50, 51, 81]. OCLN is a 65 kDa four transmembrane protein expressed in TJ of polarized cells. To date, there is no evidence of a direct interaction with HCV. It is worth noting that OCLN has been reported to be one of the two HCV host entry factors responsible for the species specificity of HCV: expression of human OCLN and human CD81 may confer HCV permissivity to mouse cell lines [50]. The species-specific determinants of this protein have been mapped to the second extracellular loop [50]. Interestingly, OCLN expression on hepatocytes as well as HCV entry are increased upon glucocorticoid treatment [82] while OCLN expression is downregulated upon HCV infection to
prevent superinfection [51]. Further studies are needed to decipher the interplay between HCV, OCLN and the other known host factors.

As HCV circulates in the blood in association with LDL and very low-density lipoproteins (VLDL), the LDL receptor has also been proposed as an attachment and/or entry factor for HCV [21, 83]. As HCVpp are not associated with lipoproteins, studies investigating the role of LDLR in HCVpp entry did not show a major role for LDLR [8]. Moreover, no direct interaction between envelope glycoprotein E2 and LDL or LDLR was demonstrated [83]. However, the LDLR has been shown to mediate internalization of serum-derived HCV into CD81-deficient HepG2 cells by binding virus-LDL particles [21]. This will have to be taken into account for the development of antiviral therapies targeting HCV host factors.

HCV entry is a multistep process

In vivo, HCV most likely first interacts with the basolateral surfaces of hepatocytes. HS glycosaminoglycans represent first attachment sites [34, 45, 55] before the virus interacts with several entry factors, SR-BI [27, 46, 66, 68, 84], CD81 [44, 55], CLDN1 [49, 78] and OCLN [50-52] (Figure 1). It is worth noting that all these entry factors are required for productive HCV infection. This suggests that HCV entry may be mediated through the formation of a tightly orchestrated HCV-entry factor complex at the plasma membrane [66, 78]. First evidence for such co-entry factor complexes has been provided by fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) studies demonstrating the role of CLDN1-CD81 complexes in HCV infection [79, 80]. The fact that only members of the CLDN family supporting HCV entry, i.e. CLDN1, CLDN6 and CLDN9, were able to form complexes with CD81 suggests that CLDN-CD81 complex formation is essential for HCV entry [78, 80]. To date, our knowledge about the molecular mechanisms of potential other co-factor association(s) is still rudimental. First studies showed that the majority of CLDN1 proteins at the plasma membrane interact with OCLN but did not show any relationship between CLDN1-OCLN association and HCV infection [80]. In addition, it has been demonstrated that cell contacts modulate SR-BI and CLDN1 expression levels and favours HCV internalization
through facilitation of entry factor complexes [85]. Further studies are thus necessary to assess which set of host factors are present with HCV in these complexes. To date, the sequence of events leading from HCV-interaction with host factors on the plasma membrane to internalization, viral fusion and replication still remains elusive. Studies using HCVpp and HCVcc have demonstrated that HCV entry into both hepatoma cells and primary human hepatocytes depends on clathrin-mediated endocytosis [86-90], the most commonly route of endocytosis for viruses that require internalization. Moreover, actin and clathrin-actin associations have also been shown to be involved in efficient HCV endocytosis [90]. The question whether all or part of the plasma membrane expressed HCV host factors internalize together with HCV still remains unanswered. A recent study suggests that during internalization, HCV associates with CD81 and CLDN1 [90]. Moreover, PKA has been suggested to play a role during this process as inhibition of PKA lead to reorganization of CLDN1 from the plasma membrane to intracellular vesicular location(s) and disrupted CD81-CLDN1 co-receptor association [91]. Interestingly, in line with the fact that polarization restricts HCV entry [92] and that HCV co-entry factors are co-expressed on basolateral sites of hepatocytes but not at TJ [76], imaging studies suggest that HCV internalization does not preferentially take place at sites of cell-cell contacts [90].

Clathrin-mediated endocytosis transports incoming viruses together with their receptors into early and late endosomes [93]. HCVpp have been suggested to be delivered to early but not late endosomes [87]. This is in line with recent imaging data showing colocalization between HCV and Rab5a, an early endosome marker [90]. The acidic pH in endosomes provides an essential cue that triggers penetration and uncoating. Penetration of enveloped virus occurs by membrane fusion catalyzed by fusion peptides embedded in the viral envelope glycoproteins [94]. To date, the mechanisms of HCV fusion have not been completely elucidated but it has been suggested that similar fusion mechanisms as described for other flaviviridae may apply to HCV [95-98]. This hypothesis is supported by the observation that HCVpp entry [8, 9, 99] and HCVcc infection [86, 100] are pH-dependent, suggesting that a pH-dependent membrane fusion process may be required for delivery of
the HCV genome into the host cell cytosol. It is worth noting that although HCV entry requires an acidification step, extracellular HCV is resistant to low pH treatment [87, 100]. As HCV fusion kinetics are delayed as compared to other viruses, it has been suggested that after internalization, HCVpp entry necessitates additional, low-pH-dependent interactions, modifications, or trafficking [87]. However, neither HCVpp nor HCVcc require cleavage by endosomal proteases for fusion [87, 100]. Several in vitro fusion assays have been set up in the last years [25, 26, 49, 99]. Liposome/HCVpp fusion assays suggest that HCVpp-induced fusion was low pH and temperature dependent and facilitated by cholesterol [99]. Interestingly, patient-derived anti-HCV antibodies were able to inhibit liposome/HCVpp fusion [101] highlighting the importance of HCV envelope glycoproteins in this process. These data have been recently confirmed in a novel liposome/HCVcc fusion assay showing that HCVcc fusion was dependent on pH, lipid composition of both viral and target membranes and HCV E2 [102]. However, in this kind of assay no host cell factor is necessary to allow fusion to occur. To study the role of both viral and host factors in HCV fusion, cell-cell fusion assays have been used where HCV envelope glycoproteins are expressed on one cell type and host entry factors on another cell type [25]. Cell-cell fusion assays are also pH-dependent and most interestingly, these assays highlighted the importance of CD81 and CLDN1 in this process [25, 49]. To date, it still remains unclear whether these host factors directly participate in the HCV fusion process or whether they play a role in an earlier entry steps required to enable efficient subsequent fusion. Taken together, these data suggest that HCV internalization and fusion offer multiple targets for the development of HCV entry inhibitors.

An alternative route of entry and spread by cell-cell transmission

The above described entry mechanisms have been unravelling using cell-free HCV, i.e. the virus infects surrounding cells after the formation of viral particles that are released from infected cells and enter naïve cells by a host factor-dependent mechanism. In addition, viruses may also use direct cell-cell transfer to infect neighbouring cells [93] thereby escaping potential interaction with neutralizing antibodies in the extracellular milieu.
Direct cell-cell transfer or neutralizing antibody-resistant transmission has been described for HCV [103]. CLDN1, CD81 and probably SR-BI are involved in this process [85, 103]. Interestingly, CD81-independent routes of cell-cell transport have also been described [103, 104]. Direct cell-cell transfer has an important impact for the development of antivirals as this process allows viral spreading by escaping extracellular neutralizing antibodies as well as defined antibodies interfering with host cell entry factors. It will be challenging to develop novel anti-HCV therapeutics interfering with this process.

Viral entry offers promising targets for antiviral therapy

In contrast to the current standard of care therapy for HCV infection, new therapeutic approaches aim at the development of more specific compounds targeting the virus and/or host cell factors. This represents the concept of specifically targeted antiviral therapy for HCV (STAT-C). This concept consists in developing more efficient and better tolerated combination therapies that need shorter treatment periods. To date, several small molecule compounds targeting the HCV non-structural proteins including protease, polymerase and NS5A have been developed and are at various stages of clinical development [1-3, 105]. First clinical trial data are promising but toxicity of the individual compounds and emergence of resistance against these drugs limit their use in monotherapy. This suggests that additional drugs, ideally targeting different steps of the viral life cycle, are needed for efficient anti-HCV therapy.

HCV entry into target cells is a promising target for preventive and therapeutic antiviral strategies since it is essential for initiation, spread and maintenance of infection. Interfering with HCV entry holds promise for drug design and offers several targets: (i) blocking virus-target cell interaction during attachment and binding, (ii) interfering with post-binding events, and (iii) interfering with viral fusion (Figure 1). Various modalities may be developed as HCV entry inhibitors: these include neutralizing antibodies targeting the viral envelope and inhibitory/blocking antibodies targeting host cell surface factors as well as small molecule compounds or siRNAs against host cell factors or viral proteins [106, 107].
Several non-HCV specific molecules interfering with HCV envelope glycoproteins and abrogating viral attachment have been described. As HCV envelope proteins are highly glycosylated, molecules interfering with glycoproteins may possess antiviral activity against HCV. As shown for HIV, targeting the glycans may represent a new therapeutic concept for controlling HCV infection [108]. Carbohydrate-binding agents that interact with the viral-envelope glycans may compromise the efficient entry of the virus into its susceptible target cells and induce a progressive creation of deletions in the envelope glycan shield, thereby triggering the immune system to act against previously hidden immunogenic epitopes of the viral envelope [108]. The lectin cyanovirin-N (CV-N) interacts with high-mannose oligosaccharides on viral envelope glycoproteins and has been demonstrated to have antiviral activity against several enveloped viruses [109-111]. It has been shown that oligomannose glycans within the HCV envelope glycoproteins interact with CV-N resulting in HCV antiviral activity by blocking HCV entry into target cell [112]. As most of the HCV glycosylation sites are highly conserved, drugs that target glycans on HCV glycoproteins may not lead so rapidly to viral escape/resistance as it is the case for HIV [113]. Other carbohydrate-binding agents that have been shown to prevent HIV infectivity [108] might also be efficient against other viruses that require a glycosylated envelope for entry into target cells. Interfering with interaction of viral envelope proteins and glycosaminoglycans on the cell surface is another way to abrogate viral attachment. HS glycosaminoglycans mediate HCV and dengue virus binding to host cells and heparin, a structural analogue of HS, has been demonstrated to inhibit dengue virus infection as well as HCV E2, HCVpp, HCV-LP and HCVcc binding to hepatoma cells [34, 45, 55, 114]. HS-like molecules and semisynthetic derivatives are already explored as an antiviral approach against dengue virus infection [115]. Such molecules may also have antiviral activity against HCV.

Neutralization of the viral particle may be achieved by targeting the HCV envelope or host derived factors associated with the mature viral particle. The molecular mechanisms of viral assembly and the exact composition of released HCV particles still remain elusive but recent studies suggest that HCV and VLDL assembly are closely linked [116, 117].
Noteworthy, apolipoprotein E (apoE) is required for HCV assembly [118, 119] and is also part of infectious HCV particles [118]. Interestingly, anti-apoE antibodies are able to inhibit HCV entry [21, 118] suggesting that HCV may be neutralized using compounds directed against the lipoprotein moiety of the viral particle (Table 1).

Viral attachment and entry is a major target of adaptive host cell defenses and anti-HCV antibodies represent unique tools to interfere with the HCV entry process. Virus-specific neutralizing antibodies are defined by their antiviral activity enabling them to block viral entry and control viral spread. Neutralizing antibodies may interfere with different steps of the viral entry process such as attachment, post-binding steps and fusion [120]. Two studies of large-scale accidental HCV infections demonstrated that rapid induction of neutralizing antibodies in the early phase of infection correlates with viral clearance or control of infection [36, 38]. These studies suggest that neutralizing antibodies represent an interesting approach for the development of novel preventive and therapeutic antiviral strategies (Table 1). In line with this concept, it has been shown that immunoglobulins prepared from unscreened donors or from selected patients with chronic HCV infection have prevented HCV infection in recipients when administered before exposure to the virus [6, 121]. Moreover, administration of polyclonal immunoglobulins from a chronically infected patient conveyed sterilizing immunity toward a homologous strain in human liver-chimeric Alb-uPA/SCID mouse model [122]. Human mAbs provide an attractive alternative to polyclonal immune globulin for immunotherapy, since mAbs can be more readily standardized. The recent production of human mAbs efficiently cross-neutralizing HCV may represent an important step for the development of immunopreventive strategies against HCV infection [31, 123-125] as such antibodies have been demonstrated to protect against HCV quasispecies challenges in vivo in the human liver-chimeric Alb-uPA/SCID mouse model [31] (Table 1). However, due to the high variability of HCV, it will be a major challenge to develop efficient cross-neutralizing antibodies able to target conserved epitopes across all genotypes to avoid escape. Examples for neutralizing antibodies in preclinical or clinical development are provided in Table 1.
Targeting the host entry factors, which are indispensable for the propagation of the virus, represents an additional approach for the development of antivirals because they may impose a higher genetic barrier for resistance. HCV interaction with host entry factors offers multiple targets for the development of specific entry inhibitors (Table 1).

CD81 is one of these potential targets. Imidazole based compounds mimicking an alpha helix in the LEL of CD81 compete for HCV E2-CD81 binding. These drugs bind E2 in a reversible manner and block E2-CD81 interaction while having no effect on CD81 expression nor on CD81 interaction with physiological partner molecules [126]. Interestingly, anti-CD81 antibodies inhibiting HCV infection in vitro have also been demonstrated to prevent HCV infection in the human liver-chimeric Alb-uPA/SCID mouse model [127]. This study suggests that targeting CD81 may be an efficient strategy to prevent HCV infection in vivo and demonstrates the proof-of-concept that anti-receptor antibodies prevent HCV infection in a clinically relevant animal model.

SR-BI binds a wide variety of molecules and is thus another interesting target for anti-HCV drugs. SR-BI binds and internalizes serum amyloid A (SAA), an acute phase protein produced in the liver [128, 129]. SAA inhibits HCV entry by interacting with the virus thereby reducing its infectivity [130]. Anti-SR-BI antibodies blocking interaction with HCV are another interesting strategy to prevent HCV entry. Anti-SR-BI antibodies have been demonstrated to inhibit HCVcc infection in vitro [66, 67, 131]. Finally, small molecule inhibitors of SR-BI have recently been developed. ITX5061 is a compound that inhibits entry of HCVpp from all major genotypes and HCVcc infection without affecting viral replication [132]. Kinetic studies suggest that this small molecule inhibitor targets HCV entry during an early post-binding stage [132]. The safety of this compound has been evaluated in patients for another clinical indication [132] allowing future clinical trials in HCV infected patients.

CLDN1 is a promising antiviral target since it is essential for HCV entry and to date there is no evidence for CLDN1-independent HCV entry. Furthermore, CLDN1 has been suggested to play an important role in cell-cell transmission. In contrast to other HCV entry factors such as CD81 or SR-BI, CLDN1 is predominantly expressed in the liver. Recently,
anti-CLDN1 antibodies inhibiting HCV infection in vitro have been developed [78, 133]. Anti-
CLDN1 antibodies inhibit HCV infectivity by reducing HCV E2 association with the cell
surface and disrupting CLDN1-CD81 interactions [78]. Interestingly, monoclonal anti-CLDN1
antibodies efficiently block cell entry of highly infectious escape variants of HCV that are
resistant to host neutralizing antibodies [133]. These data suggest that anti-CLDN1
antibodies might be used to prevent HCV infection, such as after liver transplantation, and
might also restrain virus spread in chronically infected patients. [133].

Finally, OCLN may also be considered as a potential target for interfering with HCV
entry. To date, no anti-OCLN antibodies inhibiting HCV infection have been described.
Further characterization of the role of this host cell factor in the HCV entry process may lead
to designing compounds interfering with OCLN and inhibiting HCV entry.

In addition to cell surface expressed host factors, HCV internalization and fusion are
complex processes that also offer several targets for antivirals. Long phosphorothioate
oligonucleotides (PS-ON), are a promising new class of antiviral compounds. These
amphipathic DNA polymers display a sequence-independent antiviral activity against HIV by
blocking virus-cell fusion [134]. A recent study demonstrated that PS-ON inhibited HCV
internalization without affecting viral binding and replication [135]. Noteworthy, PS-ON block
de novo HCV infection in the human liver-chimeric Alb-uPA/SCID mouse model [135]
highlighting the promise of PS-ON as future clinical HCV entry inhibitors (Table 1). A peptide-
based HIV fusion inhibitor (Enfuvirtide) has already been approved for treatment of HIV
infected patients [136]. As HCV fusion requires acidification of the endosome, molecules able
to prevent acidification of endosomes, such as chloroquine, prevent HCV fusion in vitro [86,
100]. In the last years, other compounds interfering with HCV fusion have been described
(Table 1). Arbidol is a broad-spectrum antiviral that has already been evaluated in humans
indicating good safety and tolerability (for review see [137]). Arbidol inhibits HCV fusion in the
HCVpp-liposome assay and prevents HCVpp and HCVcc infection in vitro [137]. In addition,
this molecule also targets other steps of the viral life cycle such as replication [138].
Silymarin is another compound inhibiting HCVpp-liposome fusion as well as other steps of
the HCV life cycle, such as replication, protein expression and infectious virus production without affecting viral assembly [139, 140]. Interestingly, silymarin inhibited HCV infection *in vitro* irrespective of the entry route, i.e. cell-free and cell-cell transmission [140], highlighting the potential of such drugs for *in vivo* use.

**Conclusions and perspectives**

In recent years, substantial progress unravelling the molecular mechanisms of HCV entry has been made and revealed a multitude of novel targets for antivirals. Several compounds interfering with HCV entry have been demonstrated to efficiently inhibit HCV infection using *in vitro* assays or state of the art animal models and may thus be valuable for future anti-HCV therapy or prevention of HCV infection during LT. As for other chronic viral infections such as HIV, the future therapeutic and preventive approach for HCV infection will probably be based on the combination of several drugs [2, 3]. HCV entry inhibitors represent a promising class of novel antivirals since they are complementary to current approaches and target an essential step of the viral life cycle. Indeed, the first compounds have reached the early stage of clinical development. Moreover, recent data suggest that combination of antivirals targeting the virus and host factors such as CLDN1 act in an additive manner in suppressing HCV infection [133]. Thus, combining compounds targeting viral and host cell factors and complementary steps of the viral life cycle such as entry and replication is a promising approach for prevention of infection in LT and cure of chronic HCV infection.
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Figure legend

Figure 1. Hepatitis C virus entry into hepatocytes: molecular mechanisms and targets for antiviral therapies. A model of HCV life cycle with potential targets for virus neutralizing antibodies and other entry inhibitors is shown. HCV is believed to first interact with HS and LDLR on the basolateral membrane surface of hepatocytes to allow concentration of the virion. Subsequently, interaction with other host factors such as SR-BI, CD81, CLDN1 and OCLN ultimately leads to viral internalization via clathrin-mediated endocytosis. For CLDN1 both junctional and non-junctional forms have been described (for review see [141]). Fusion between viral and endosomal membranes is followed by release of the viral genome into the cytosol where translation and replication take place. HCV particles are then assembled and released from the host cell. An alternative route of viral entry is direct cell-cell transmission which is resistant to neutralizing antibodies. Entry inhibitors can potentially interfere with the viral life cycle at different steps, i.e. viral binding, post-binding and fusion. Ab: antibody; apo: apolipoprotein; BC: bile canaliculi; CLDN-1: claudin 1; HCV: hepatitis C virus; HS: heparan sulfate; JAM: junction-associated adhesion molecule; LDLR: low-density lipoprotein receptor; nAb: neutralizing antibody; OCLN: occludin; PS-ON: phosphorothioate oligonucleotides; SR-BI: scavenger receptor class B type I; ZO: zona occludens.
Table 1. Examples of compounds targeting viral entry. Targets within the HCV entry process are depicted, followed by examples of compounds targeting the respective entry step. Stage of development and references are indicated. CLDN: claudin; mAb: monoclonal antibody; pAb: polyclonal antibodies; SR-BI: scavenger receptor class B type I; PS-ON: phosphorothioate oligonucleotides.
Key points 1

- HCV entry into hepatocytes is a highly coordinated and multistep process requiring viral and host cell factors.
- The viral envelope glycoproteins E1 and E2 are essential for HCV entry.
- Lipoproteins have been shown to associate with the viral particle and interfere with viral entry.
- Host factors mediating viral attachment and binding to hepatocytes include highly sulfated heparan sulfate and the low-density lipoprotein receptor.
- CD81, scavenger receptor BI and the tight junction proteins caudin-1 and occludin act on a postbinding step and are essential for HCV entry.
- Host factors such as CD81 and CLDN1 form co-receptor complexes.
- HCV entry into hepatocytes depends on clathrin-mediated endocytosis.
- HCV appears to be delivered to early but not late endosomes where the acidic pH provides an essential cue that triggers penetration and uncoating.
- An alternative route of viral entry is direct cell-cell transmission which is resistant to neutralizing antibodies.
**Key points 2**

- New therapeutic approaches for HCV infection aim at the development of more specific compounds targeting the virus and/or host cell factors.
- HCV entry into target cells is a promising target for preventive and therapeutic antiviral strategies since it is essential for initiation, spread and maintenance of infection.
- The clinical impact of HCV entry for pathogenesis of HCV infection has been confirmed in clinical cohorts of acute and chronic HCV infection.
- Interfering with HCV entry offers several targets including attachment/binding, post-binding events and viral fusion.
- Entry inhibitors comprise neutralizing antibodies targeting the viral envelope, inhibitory/blocking antibodies targeting host cell surface factors as well as small molecules, peptides and siRNAs.
- HCV entry inhibitors are a promising class of novel antivirals since they are complementary to current approaches focusing on viral protein processing and replication.
- Combining compounds targeting viral and host cell factors and complementary steps of the viral life cycle will increase the genetic barrier to resistance.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Target</th>
<th>Examples of compounds</th>
<th>Stage of development</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>HCV E1E2</td>
<td>Neutralizing antibodies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Polyclonal HCV IgG (Civacir)</td>
<td>Phase II</td>
<td>[142]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- HCV-Ab\textsuperscript{XTL68}</td>
<td>Phase II</td>
<td>[143-145]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Human mAb AR3</td>
<td>Mouse model</td>
<td>[31]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- CBH and HC antibodies</td>
<td>Cell culture</td>
<td>[146]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- IGH antibodies</td>
<td>Cell culture</td>
<td>[34, 101, 125]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- AP33</td>
<td>Cell culture</td>
<td>[33, 147]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- 3/11</td>
<td>Cell culture</td>
<td>[59, 148]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- Fab e137</td>
<td>Cell culture</td>
<td>[123]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- mAbs 1:7 and A8</td>
<td>Cell culture</td>
<td>[124]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>- HCV1 and HCV95-2</td>
<td>Cell culture</td>
<td>[149]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Heparin and HS analogues</td>
<td>Cell culture</td>
<td>[34, 45, 55]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Lectins</td>
<td>Cell culture</td>
<td>[112]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HCV particle</td>
<td>Anti-apoE mAb</td>
<td>Cell culture</td>
<td>[118]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SR-BI</td>
<td>Anti-SR-BI pAb and mAb</td>
<td>Cell culture</td>
<td>[66, 67, 131]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ITX5061</td>
<td>Cell culture</td>
<td>[132]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Serum amyloid A</td>
<td>Cell culture</td>
<td>[130]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CD81</td>
<td>Anti-CD81 mAb</td>
<td>Cell culture</td>
<td>[59, 80, 148]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Mouse model</td>
<td>[122]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Imidazole based compounds</td>
<td>Cell culture</td>
<td>[126]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CLDN1</td>
<td>Anti-CLDN1 pAb and mAb</td>
<td>Cell culture</td>
<td>[78, 133]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internalization/</td>
<td>PS-ON</td>
<td>Mouse model</td>
<td>[135]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>fusion</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Arbidol</td>
<td>Cell culture</td>
<td>[137, 138]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Chloroquine</td>
<td>Cell culture</td>
<td>[86, 100]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Silymarin</td>
<td>Cell culture</td>
<td>[139, 140]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>