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TRAIL: structure and functions

Structure

Tumor Necrosis factor (TNF)-related apoptosis-inducing ligand (TRAIL/Apo2L/TNFSF10) is a member of the TNF superfamily identified independently by 2 teams which both reported a sequence homology with the extracellular domain of CD95 ligand (28%) (Fas-L) and of TNF (23%) [1, 2]. Located on chromosome 3, the gene that encodes the 20 kDa TRAIL protein is composed of five exons and four introns. Unlike other members of the TNF superfamily whose expression is often inducible and detected transiently in activated cells, TRAIL mRNA was identified constitutively in most human tissues with an expression significantly greater in the spleen and prostate [2]. TRAIL, like other members of the TNF superfamily is expressed as a type II transmembrane protein composed of 281 amino acids (aa) in the human form. Cleavage of its C-terminus part corresponding to the extracellular domain, releases a soluble form of the protein [3]. The crystal structure of the monomeric form of TRAIL also presents a strong homology with the TNF and CD40 Ligand structures (Figure 1A) [4]. TRAIL binds to its receptors as a homotrimer form, this trimeric form being biologically much more active than the monomeric one (Figure 1B) [2].

Functions

The ability of TRAIL to induce apoptosis of transformed cells has been widely demonstrated but its physiological role is not well defined. Mice deficient for the receptor or the murine form of TRAIL (mTRAIL) develop normally, suggesting a lack of role in this signaling pathway in embryonic development [5, 6]. TRAIL is specifically expressed on the surface of natural killer cells (NK), cytotoxic T lymphocytes, macrophages and dendritic cells. The transcription of its mRNA can be induced by interferon type I [7]. The selective expression of TRAIL on the surface of effector cells of the immune system suggests a role of TRAIL in the formation of the immune repertoire and in the regulation of the immune response. Studies in humans and in animal models suggest that TRAIL plays a role in regulating the immune response in order to avoid...
excessive reactions against infectious agents such as cytomegalovirus or Listeria [5, 8] and self-antigens involved in autoimmune diseases such as arthritis, diabetes and multiple sclerosis [9-11]. Other studies suggest that TRAIL would have a role as tumor suppressor involved in immune surveillance against the development of primary tumors or metastases. Thus, in mice, inhibiting the activity of TRAIL by gene knockout, by blocking antibodies or by inhibiting the mouse form of one of its death receptors has confirmed the role of tumor suppression in spontaneous or induced models of metastases from cutaneous lymphoma or carcinoma [12-14]. Moreover, a recent study of 368 human breast tumor samples showed a correlation between a subregulation of TRAIL in these tumors and the presence of brain metastases [15]. If the role of TRAIL in inhibiting metastasis development has been well demonstrated, its role in the suppression of primary tumors is still debated. Thus, TRAIL-deficient mice do not develop more spontaneous tumors than wild type mice and the development of skin tumors in

Figure 1. structure of TRAIL. A: Surface representation of TRAIL (cyan) bounded to its cognate receptor DR5 (tube representation, light orange), TNF-alpha (blue), and CD40L (green). TNF-alpha and CD40L were superposed using the conserved anti-parallel beta-sheets scaffold to present the same orientation as DR5 (this scaffold is represented in ribbon representation). The variations observed in the surface rendered highlight the differences in loops shapes and size between ligands. Crystallographic coordinates for TRAIL, TNF-alpha and CD40L were taken from 1DU3, 1TNF and 1ALY respectively [136-138]. The superposition was made using Discovery Studio 2.5.5 (Accelrys Inc., San Diego, USA) and the final rendering using POV-Ray (http://www.povray.org) and The GIMP (http://www.gimp.org). B: Ribbon structure of the TRAIL homotrimer (blue, yellow, pink).
TRAIL receptor and bone tumors

TRAIL receptors

TRAIL is able to interact with five receptors (Figure 2): 2 transmembrane death receptors which can transduce a death signal (DR4 and DR5) and 3 decoy receptors DcR1, DcR2 and the soluble osteoprotegerin (OPG) which cannot.

DR4 and DR5 death receptors

DR4 and DR5 receptors are type I transmembrane proteins containing in their cytoplasmic domain a death domain (DD) capable of binding to other death domains. It was long believed that death receptors are present at the membrane in their monomeric form and trimerized only when interacting with their trimeric ligand. Now, several data indicate that these receptors are oligomeric already before the fixation of their ligand [22, 23]. The DR4 and DR5 expression may be regulated by p53 [24]. Indeed, the DR5 gene promoter has a responsive element to p53 and is overexpressed in response to γ-irradiation through p53 [25, 26]. Post-translational regulation of DR4 and DR5 death receptors such as glycosylation and palmitoylation were also shown to be important regulators of TRAIL induced signaling. Thus, there is a correlation between the expression of the enzyme initiating the GALNT14 glycosylation and sensitivity to TRAIL in pancreatic carcinoma cells, lung cancer and malignant melanoma [27]. However, these O-glycosylations do not appear to alter the expression of DR4 and DR5 to the membrane or their affinity for TRAIL. They seem, however, to affect the receptor oligomerization in response to TRAIL binding, this oligomerization being necessary for the formation of the Death-Inducing Signaling Complex (DISC) and further caspase 8 activation (Figure 3). Palmitoylation is necessary to localize DR4 in lipid rafts allowing DR4 to trimerize in the absence of ligand, but the effect of its inhibition on the tumor cell sensitivity to TRAIL has not yet been demonstrated [28]. The ligand binding to its receptors often induces endocytosis of the ligand-receptor complex and the role of this internalization has recently been studied in the case of TRAIL signaling pathway. After binding TRAIL, DR4 and DR5 are both internalized by a mechanism dependent on dynamin [29, 30]. The biological role of this endocytosis is currently poorly understood and its inhibition does not appear to influence the TRAIL induced apoptosis [29, 30].

DcR1 and DcR2 decoy receptors

The expression of these receptors has been shown to prevent the induction of apoptosis in different human tumor cell lines derived from lymphoma, renal, breast and prostate carcinoma [31-33] but their involvement in TRAIL
resistance remains controversial [34]. About their modes of action, DcR1 is a receptor with a glycophsphatidylinositol (GPI) anchor but with no intracellular domains able to sequester TRAIL in lipid rafts. DcR2 has a truncated death domain and interacts with DR5 to form a heterotrimetric complex in the DISC to inhibit caspase-8 activation [35], this interaction might even be upstream of the interaction with TRAIL [19].

Osteoprotegerin (OPG)

OPG was first described as a secreted member of the TNF receptor family (TNFRSF11B) that regulates bone resorption [36]. Unlike other members of the TNF receptor family OPG does not possess a transmembrane domain. Then a first report has provided evidence that OPG is a receptor for TRAIL, binding the ligand with an affinity of 3.0 nM and inhibiting TRAIL-mediated apoptosis of sensitive Jurkat cells [20].

Besides these 5 cognate receptors, transcriptional modifications have been identified such as alternative splicing in the TRAIL-R2/DR5 and TRAIL-R4 transcripts [37, 38]. First, two isoforms of DR5/TRAIL-R2, i.e. TRICK2A and TRICK2B which differ in the presence of a 23 aa extension between the transmembrane region and the cysteine-rich domain has been described by Screaton and coll [37]. Then Krieg and coll. identified a novel alternative splice variant of DcR2/TRAIL-R4/TRUNDD, designated TRAIL-R4-β [38]. The lack of exon 3 of the DcR2/TRAIL-R4 gene results in truncation of the first complete cysteine rich domain 1 which seems to be essential for TRAIL-binding.
TRAIL proapoptotic signaling pathways

The binding of TRAIL to its death receptors DR4 and DR5 induces a conformational change of their death domains which, along with their oligomerization, is the functional activation of the receptor. This activation will induce the recruitment of Fas-Associated protein with Death Domain (FADD) on the death receptors by interaction of their respective Death Effector Domains (DED) [39]. The multiprotein complex resulting from these associations is called DISC and induces self-activation of initiator caspases [40]. In response to their activation, caspases-8 and 10 will induce the proteolytic cleavage of the effector caspase-3 which, itself, will cleave other caspases and other regulators and structural proteins [41]. This will result in a sprouting of the plasma membrane, nucleus condensation and cleavage of the chromatin leading to cell apoptosis known as the extrinsic pathway (death receptor pathway) [42]. A bridge between extrinsic and intrinsic (mitochondrial) apoptosis pathways exists through the protein-BH3 interacting domain death agonist (Bid), a member of the B cell-CELL/Lymphoma 2 (Bcl-2) family, a substrate for caspase 8. Depending on the cell type, the cleavage of Bid (p22) into tBid (p15) will be linked or not to the primary mechanism of apoptosis induction in response to TRAIL [43]. The presence or absence of X-linked inhibitor of apoptosis protein (XIAP) and the negative regulation of caspase 3 activation might also be involved in this categorization of cells. The cleaved form of the Bid protein tBid, initiates the intrinsic pathway of apoptosis by binding to Bcl-2-Associated X (BAX) and Bcl-2 homologous Antagonist Killer (BAK). This will result in their oligomerization and translocation to the outer membrane of mitochondria that initiates the permeabilization of this membrane [44]. Anti-apoptotic proteins such as cytochrome c and Second mitochondria-derived activator of caspase/Direct Inhibitor of Apoptosis-Binding protein with Low pi (Smac/DIABLO), normally located in the membrane inter-space of the mitochondria membrane will be released in the cytosol [45]. Cytochrome c associates with ATP and Apoptotic Peptidase Activating Factor-I (APAF-1) to form a structure called the apoptosome, which will induce caspase-9 activation. In turn, caspase-9 will activate caspase-3, -6 and -7 leading to cell apoptosis called the intrinsic pathway [46].

TRAIL induced non-apoptotic signaling pathways

Many studies have shown that TRAIL is not only involved in the induction of apoptosis through its death receptors but is also able to induce survival signals and cell proliferation. The nuclear factor kappa B (NF-kB) would be activated via DR4, DR5 and DcR2 receptors by the formation of a secondary signaling complex generated after the DISC formation [47, 48] (Figure 4). This complex involves FADD, activated caspase-8, the Receptor Interacting Protein 1 (RIP1), TNF-Receptor Associated Protein (TRAF-2), TNF Receptor-Associated Death Domain (TRADD) and Inhibitor of kappa B kinase (IKKγ/NEMO) [49] (Figure 4). Thus, after recruitment, RIP will interact with IKKγ leading to the recruitment of IKKα and β, which will phosphorylate the Inhibitor of kappa B (IkB) inducing its proteolytic degradation and the further activation of NF-kB. This activation of NF-kB is able to induce cell survival through overexpression of various anti-apoptotic proteins such as c-FLIP, BCL-extra large (BCL-Xl), Myeloid cell leukemia sequence 1 (MCL1) and ciAPs [50, 51]. In addition, this complex is able to induce survival signals involving phosphoinositide 3-kinase 3-kinase (PI3K)-Akt and mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK: Jun-terminal kinase (JNK), extracellular signal-regulated kinase 2 (Erk2) and p38) [52, 53]. It seems that in resistant cells, the main signal induced by TRAIL is the one involving NF-kB. A study of a resistant form of cholangiocarcinoma to apoptosis has shown that the activation of NF-kB may promote invasion and metastasis [54]. Similarly, it has been shown in a preclinical model of adenocarcinoma resistant to apoptosis induced in Severe Combined ImmunoDeficiency (SCID) mice that a treatment with TRAIL increases the formation of metastases [50].

Using TRAIL in cancer therapy

Preclinical studies

Over the past 10 years, TRAIL has become the most promising members of the TNF superfamily to be used in cancer therapy. This interest arises from the ability of TRAIL to induce apoptosis specifically in cell lines derived from solid and hematological tumors in combination
with various chemotherapy agents or with radiation in vitro but also in vivo without significant effects on normal cells [1, 2, 55-61]. The results of the specific antitumor activity of TRAIL have suggested that it might represent a better candidate for clinical use than CD95L or TNF, which have deleterious side effects in vivo. However, the use of TRAIL as a therapeutic agent in clinical trials has been delayed due to in vitro studies showing apoptosis induction in hepatocyte cultures treated with a recombinant form of TRAIL (rhTRAIL) [62-64]. However, all the recombinant forms of TRAIL used in these studies had an exogenous polyhistidine tag or flag and it was subsequently shown that these tagged forms have an aberrant tertiary structure inducing an over-aggregation of receptors, responsible for side effects observed in hepatocytes [63]. It has been shown since that a non-tagged recombinant form of TRAIL specifically induces apoptosis of tumor cells without side effects on hepatocytes [65]. Agonist antibodies to TRAIL death receptors have also been tested in several experimental models. For example, the ability of 2 human monoclonal antibodies (mAbs) directed against DR4 and DR5 have been evaluated to kill human myeloma cells by the cleavage of Myeloid cell leukemia (Mcl)-1L, a major molecule for myeloma survival [66].

**Clinical trials (Table 1)**

Clinical trials were conducted to evaluate the antitumor potential of TRAIL for solid and hematologic tumors. A phase 1 clinical trial was conducted on 51 adult patients including 36 with colorectal, ovarian, lung and melanoma cancer to study the pharmacokinetics and safety of rhTRAIL [67]. During this test, TRAIL showed no toxicity and no antibody directed against rhTRAIL was detected. Preclinical studies having shown a potentiation of TRAIL effect in combination with chemotherapeutic agents [68, 69], a phase 1b clinical trial was conducted with a combination of rituximab (anti-CD20) with rhTRAIL on patients with non Hodgkin's low grade lymphoma [67]. Of 7 patients, 2 had a complete response, 1 a partial response and 2 a stabilization of the disease. This study showed that a combination of rituximab with rhTRAIL had no side effects and showed a positive activity in these patients. Another clinical trial was conducted in patients with advanced lung cancer with a combination of rhTRAIL and pacli-
TRAIL receptor and bone tumors

Table 1. Clinical trials using recombinant human TRAIL (rhTRAIL) or agonist antibodies (Mapatumumab, Lexatumumab and Apomab) of death receptors DR4 or DR5. CRC: colorectal cancer; O: ovarian; L: lung; M: myeloma; NHL: non-Hodgkin lymphoma; NSCLC: nonsmall cell lung cancer.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agent</th>
<th>Clinical trial</th>
<th>Tumor type</th>
<th>ref</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>rhTRAIL</td>
<td>Phase 1</td>
<td>CRC, O, L, M</td>
<td>[66]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Phase 1b</td>
<td>NHL</td>
<td>[66]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Phase 2</td>
<td>NSCLC</td>
<td>[66]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mapatumumab (DR4)</td>
<td>Phase 1, 2</td>
<td>various</td>
<td>[69,75]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lexatumumab (DR5)</td>
<td>Phase 1</td>
<td>Solid tumors</td>
<td>[76,77]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apomab (DR5)</td>
<td>Phase 1</td>
<td>Solid tumors</td>
<td>[78]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

taxel, carboplatin and bevacizumab (anti-vascular endothelial growth factor A). Results of this trial confirmed the safety of the combination of rhTRAIL with chemotherapy agents as well as a promising anti-tumor efficacy. The results of this clinical trial show that of 18 patients, 10 experienced a confirmed response (9 partial and 1 complete) with a response rate of 56%. Given these promising results, a phase 2 clinical trial is currently underway on the same pathology.

Because rhTRAIL hepatotoxicity, monoclonal antibodies agonists of its death receptors have been developed and used in clinical trials (Table 1). Several phase 1 and 2 clinical trials are being or have been conducted with the antibody agonist of DR4, the mapatumumab on advanced solid tumors or non-Hodgkin lymphoma (recurrent or resistant) with or without chemotherapy agents such as paclitaxel plus carboplatin or gemcitabine plus cisplatin [70-76]. The mapatumumab is well tolerated showing a half-life of about 18 days and patients have shown clinical benefits with partial responses or stable disease. However, elevated liver markers were measured in some patients, probably due to the administration of mapatumumab.

Clinical phase 1 trials were also conducted with DR5 agonist antibody, the lexatumumab on patients with advanced solid tumors in combination or not with a cocktail of chemotherapeutic agents consisting of gemcitabine, premetrexeb, doxorubicin and FOLFIRI (leuvovorin, fluorouracil and irinotecan) [77, 78]. This antibody is well tolerated and has a half-life of approximately 16 days. Again some patients had stable disease and partial responses. The apomab [79], another DR5 agonist antibody is currently tested in a Phase 1 clinical trial in advanced solid tumors resistant to treatment. Half-life was found to be 15-20 days and it is also well tolerated. No objective response was observed but 2 patients appeared to have clinical benefits.

Primary malignant bone tumors

Osteosarcoma is the most frequent malignant tumor of the bone in the pediatric age group, with an incidence of 8.7 per million in children and adolescents under the age of 20 years [80]. Its incidence peaks during the second decade of life coinciding with the adolescent growth spurt. It is more commonly seen in the metaphyseal region of long bones: the distal femur, proximal tibia and proximal humerus being the most common sites and corresponding to areas of active growth in the body (Figure 5A). Overall survival rates in nonmetastatic osteosarcoma were a dismal 10% prior to the 1970s when surgery was the only treatment option. Since the 1980s, the use of multidrug chemotherapy and refined surgical techniques has dramatically increased to 65% the overall survival rates in nonmetastatic osteosarcoma [81]. The outlook for metastatic osteosarcoma continues to be grim with reported survival rates of 20% [82].

Ewing’s sarcomas are the second most frequent malignant bone tumors arising predominantly in
the bones of children and young adults, the median age at diagnosis being 14 years with most patients presenting between the ages of 5 and 20 years [83]. Tumors may arise in bone or soft tissue, and symptoms generally include localized pain and a visible or palpable mass (Figure 5B). The femur is the most common long bone involved, and bones of the pelvis are the next most commonly involved site. Extraosseous Ewing’s sarcomas comprise 15% of cases and may arise anywhere else in the body, including skin and visceral organs. Patients with Ewing’s sarcoma who present with localized disease have a better prognosis than those with metastatic disease. The most common sites of metastasis are the lungs, bone and bone marrow. The Ewing’s Sarcoma family of tumors (ESFT) comprises morphologically heterogeneous tumors that are characterized by nonrandom chromosomal translocations involving the EWS gene and one of the several members of the ETS family of transcription factor genes [84]. The mainstay of therapy for Ewing’s sarcoma includes a combination of systemic chemotherapy and local control with surgery and/or radiation therapy [85].

Chondrosarcomas are the second most common primary bone sarcoma in adults after osteosarcoma. Conventional chondrosarcomas are characterized by the synthesis of pure hyaline cartilage by malignant cells [86]. Unlike osteosarcoma, chondrosarcoma is extremely unusual in the first two decades of life and tend to affect axial skeleton.

Altogether, the primary bone cancers, Ewing’s sarcoma, osteosarcoma and chondrosarcoma account for 4/5 primary bone sarcomas [87]. These malignancies require not only adequate local control measures (i.e. surgery or radiotherapy) but also systemic therapy to reduce the high probability of lung and/or bone metastases (Figure 6). The improvement in survival observed in the mid 1980’s has been attributed to
However, since then no substantial further improvement of survival is observed [88, 89]. Despite survival about 65-75% in non metastatic Ewing’s Sarcoma and Osteosarcoma, there remains much room for improvement in therapy. This would include regimens with fewer short- and long-term side effects and better results for difficult locations and patients with recurrent disease.

Because Ewing’s sarcoma and osteosarcoma tend to occur during periods of rapid bone growth, i.e. adolescents and young adults, and because of pleiotropic effects of insulin-like Growth Factor (IGF)-1R signaling on cancer cell proliferation and survival pathways, these targeted agents have been of great interest in Ewing’s sarcoma and osteosarcoma [90]. The status of other investigational agents for OS includes liposomal muramyltripeptide phosphatidylethanolamine (L-MTP-PE), aerosol therapies including granulocyte-macrophage colony stimulating factor (GM-CSF), cisplatin and gemcitabine, and bone-specific approaches. Indeed, recent favorable experience in a benign bone tumor (giant cell tumor of bone) with the anti-RANKL (receptor activator of NF-kB Ligand) antibody denosumab® shows that agents that modify bone physiology may provide potential benefit for patients with bone tumors [91].

Therefore, considering the lack of improvement in overall survival rates for both Ewing’s sarcoma and osteosarcoma since three decades and the potential therapeutic impact of TRAIL in several cancers, the use of this agent or the agonist antibodies to its death receptors may provide promising therapeutic value in such bone tumors.

**TRAIL and bone tumors**

Many experimental studies of the application of TRAIL to osteosarcoma cells have been reported [92, 93]. However, treatment of osteosarcoma cells with TRAIL did not lead to satisfactory results, since sensitivity of osteosarcoma cells to TRAIL-induced apoptosis is lower than that of other types of tumor cells. Indeed, cell sensitivity to TRAIL is different in various cells and the lack of sensitivity in osteosarcoma cells remain unclear [94]. Therefore, additional agents to sensitize osteosarcoma cells to TRAIL-induced apoptosis have been proposed but the problem of side-effects still remains [95, 96].

In contrast, TRAIL can induce caspase-dependent apoptosis in the majority of Ewing’s sarcoma cell lines studied thus showing the importance of this therapeutic approach [97-99]. Ewing’s sarcoma cell lines have been identified as highly sensitive to TRAIL in vitro, especially in cells that express TRAIL receptors [97-100]. In patient biopsies, a study showed that 80% of Ewing’s tumor samples express DR4 and that all of them express DR5 [100]. Another study performed with 32 samples of Ewing’s sarcoma has shown that 72% express both DR4 and DR5 receptors, 25% one of them, and 3% of these samples express none of the two death receptors [98]. These results suggest a high probability of sensitivity of Ewing’s sarcoma to TRAIL-induced apoptosis and justify the use of such treatment by activating this apoptosis pathway.

In vivo, few groups studied the therapeutic effect of TRAIL and DR5 agonist in xenograft models of Ewing’s sarcoma [101, 102]. The results were very encouraging in ES models induced by sensitive cell lines, showing a 87% inhibition of primary bone tumor incidence and growth, and the prevention of tumor-induced osteolysis, leading to a significant 2-fold increase in animal survival 40 days after tumor induction. Furthermore, TRAIL inhibited tumor nodule dissemination in lungs and increased survival in an osteosarcoma model [101].

Currently, no clinical trial using TRAIL or DR4/DR5 agonist antibodies is underway in primary bone tumors. However, the major limitation of clinical use of TRAIL or DR4/DR5 agonists is the appearance of resistance phenomena. However, some cell lines resistant to conventional chemotherapy agents such as adriamycin or etoposide, are sensitive to TRAIL, demonstrating the interest of TRAIL for an alternative treatment for these patients whose survival rate at 5 years is very low. These chemotherapy agents were even able to sensitize tumor cells to TRAIL-induced apoptosis in lung carcinoma cell lines [103].

**Combination TRAIL-chemotherapy**

Chemotherapeutic agents such as doxorubicin (Dox) administered in combination with TRAIL...
have a synergistic effect in some cancers such as colorectal cancer even in a cell line rendered resistant to TRAIL [104]. TRAIL activates primarily the extrinsic pathway of apoptosis and Dox the intrinsic pathway which may explain a synergistic effect of these two molecules [105]. Furthermore, Dox can increase the pro-apoptotic potential of TRAIL in a preclinical model of prostate cancer by inhibiting the expression of c-FLIP, the key inhibitor of the extrinsic apoptosis pathway [106]. Concerning Ewing's sarcoma, TRAIL may also have a synergistic effect in vitro with bortezomib, an inhibitor of the proteasome used in multiple myeloma and lymphoma [107]. Concerning bone tumors, a study investigated the efficacy of TRAIL as a single agent and in combination with clinically relevant chemotherapeutic drugs in fresh isolates of primary malignant cells obtained from biopsy material [93]. The data demonstrated that chemotherapeutic agents were moderately effective alone and TRAIL alone had little or no effect in cells in culture. However, the combination of both produced a significant increase in tumor cell death, with doxorubicin and TRAIL providing the most effective combination [93]. These results also demonstrate the benefit of using TRAIL in cancer therapy in combination with chemotherapy agents, which can result in a synergistic effect or counteract the resistance of tumor cells to TRAIL, a major problem for its clinical use.

**Limits of using TRAIL in therapy: resistance mechanisms to TRAIL**

Despite the great interest of TRAIL for its ability to specifically induce apoptosis of tumor cells, many malignancies are resistant. Many resistance mechanisms developed by cancer cells have already been described in the signaling pathway of TRAIL (Figure 7) and understanding these mechanisms is necessary to consider a
therapeutic use of TRAIL or its death receptor agonists. Thus, if TRAIL is to be used as part of the therapeutic arsenal for Ewing’s sarcoma and osteosarcoma, there is a need to identify means of sensitizing these tumors to the effects of TRAIL to address local and metastatic disease.

The first control point is located at the membrane with TRAIL receptors, the interaction with death receptors being required for signal transduction leading to apoptosis of tumor cells. Thus, a lack of DR4 at the membrane was correlated with the resistance phenomena in ovarian cancer and a decreased location at the membrane due to a lack of transport has been shown to amplify the resistance in colon cancer [108, 109]. However, the activating receptors are not the only ones to play a role in these resistance phenomena. Thus, some tumors such as meningioma and medulloblastoma have a high rate of membrane DR4 and DR5 but are resistant to TRAIL [110].

Concerning Ewing’s sarcoma, sensitization of death receptor-induced apoptosis and abrogation of TRAIL resistance in vitro lead to increased tumor cell sensitivity to TRAIL and the concomitant inhibition of Ewing’s sarcoma growth [111]. According to previous studies, resistance to TRAIL in Ewing’s sarcoma may not be due to the expression of activating or decoy receptors, the rate of DR4 and DR5 being relatively constant between sensitive and resistant cell strains, and the decoy receptors hardly detectable in these lines (sensitive or resistant). For example, TRAIL as a single agent induces apoptosis in 7 out of 9 Ewing’s sarcoma cell lines [100]. No difference in the surface TRAIL-receptors was observed between sensitive and resistant cell lines. Pre-incubation with interferon-gamma (IFN-γ) rendered the 2 resistant cell lines sensitive by inducing caspase-8 activation [100]. Other studies from the same group confirmed the relevance of using IFN-γ to enhance caspase-8 activation, thereby sensitizing resistant cell lines to TRAIL [99, 112]. The use of fenretinide in Ewing’s sarcomas was shown to upregulate cell surface expression of TRAIL death receptors in an ASK1- and p38-dependent manner [113]. The correlation between death receptor expression and TRAIL sensitivity is controversial depending on the studies. For example, a study from Mitiades and coll describes that 9 of 10 Ewing’s sarcoma family tumors underwent apoptosis with TRAIL through activation of caspase-10, caspase-8 (FLICE), caspase-3 and caspase-9 [98]. These 9 cell lines express both DR4 and DR5 while the resistant line expressed only DR4. The resistance of the cell line was overcome by restoration of DR5 levels by transfection. In addition, levels of DcR1, DcR2 or levels of FLICE-inhibitory protein (FLIP) did not correlate with TRAIL resistance. However, in our recent study a correlation between DR4 expression and TRAIL sensitivity was observed in 7 human Ewing’s sarcoma cell lines [101]. These discrepancies may rely to the cell lines studied, their culture conditions or TRAIL concentrations used.

Several other therapeutic combinations targeting different steps of the TRAIL induced proapoptotic pathway have been proposed to sensitize resistant cells to TRAIL. Concerning osteosarcoma, a recent study determines the effects of bisphosphonates (BPs) which are very effective drugs in the treatment of bone diseases, on TRAIL-resistant MG-63 human osteosarcoma cells [114]. These cells showed no response to TRAIL alone; however, pretreatment with BPs significantly increased TRAIL-mediated apoptosis and cellular activation of caspase-3, by inducing DR5 mRNA expression and protein. Moreover, it has been previously demonstrated that MG-63 osteosarcoma cells are heterozygous for a dominant-negative mutation in the death domain of DR4 which can confer TRAIL resistance [115]. Another study from Takeda and coll. demonstrated that LKB1, a serine/threonine kinase expressed in bone and soft tissue sarcoma cells, associates with Death Associated Protein 3 (DAP3), which plays a critical role in TRAIL-mediated apoptosis through activation of pro-caspase 8 [116]. These authors showed that co-expression of LKB1 with DAP3 strongly induced apoptosis in osteosarcoma cells.

More data are available from studies performed in Ewing’s Sarcoma Family of Tumors (ESFT). Several studies revealed that TRAIL resistance can be also overcome by proteasome inhibitors [97, 107]. In a first study, the cytotoxic responsiveness of 40 cell lines derived from representatives of the ESFT including 26 Ewing’s sarcoma cell lines was investigated [97]. Cell death was induced by TRAIL incubation in more than 60% of these cell lines. Inhibitors of macromolecule synthesis (actinomycin D, cycloheximide)
augmented susceptibility to TRAIL in TRAIL-responsive cell lines, while these agents did not render TRAIL-resistant cell lines susceptible to TRAIL. However, the proteasome inhibitor MG132 sensitized these cell lines to TRAIL. Another more recent study confirm these results showing that bortezomib, a novel proteasome inhibitor, exhibited synergistic activity against two Ewing’s sarcoma cell lines when combined with TRAIL [107]. Another therapeutic combination to overcome TRAIL resistance was demonstrated by Wang and coll. who have shown that Platelet-Derived Growth Factor (PDGF)R-β blockade by using Gleevec combined with TRAIL resulted in antihuman Ewing’s sarcoma activity in vitro and in vivo, suggesting the possibility that combining these treatments will improve anti-Ewing’s sarcoma therapy [117]. The effects of histone deacetylase inhibitors (HDI) have been also studied alone or in combination with TRAIL in EFST [118]. The authors showed that HDIs cooperated with TRAIL in inducing cell death in Ewing’s Sarcoma cell lines, but HDI-induced cytotoxicity does not depend on the death receptor pathway.

**OPG and TRAIL interplay in tumor cell biology**

OPG, a soluble member of the TNF receptor superfamily not only plays a role in bone metabolism as an inhibitor of osteoclastogenesis by its interaction to the cytokine RANKL, but also in tumor cell survival as a ligand for TRAIL.

OPG transgenic mice were born with high bone mass associated with a marked decrease in the number and activity of osteoclasts [36]. OPG comprises 401 aa of which 21 form a signal peptide that is cleaved generating mature form of 380 aa. At the N terminus, there are four domains which have cysteine-rich TNF receptor homologous motifs and are necessary and sufficient for binding to its major target RANKL, therefore inhibiting osteoclastic differentiation and activity both in vitro and in vivo [119]. OPG, by efficiently binding RANKL and TRAIL, prevents their association with their transmembrane receptors and therefore counteracts both the RANKL-mediated osteoclastogenesis as well as the pro-apoptotic activity of TRAIL [120]. Therefore, OPG may be involved in survival of a number of tumor cell types via this mechanism [121-123]. This could be of particular importance regarding the ability of tumor cells to evade cell death, since host immune cells present in the tumor microenvironment produce TRAIL [7, 124, 125]. As such, release of OPG by tumor cells is a potential mechanism of resistance by these cells to TRAIL-induced apoptosis [121]. The biological importance of OPG-TRAIL interactions is underscored by recent findings that at physiological conditions, OPG can bind TRAIL with an affinity similar to that of RANKL [126]. In terms of tumor cell survival, in vitro expression studies have demonstrated both breast and prostate cancer cell lines to produce sufficient OPG to protect them against TRAIL-induced apoptosis [121, 127]. Taking this into account, additional studies have been performed using bone marrow stromal cells (BMSCs) derived from either breast or prostate cancer patients. In both cancer types, BMSCs were found to produce sufficient levels of OPG to protect tumor cells from TRAIL-induced apoptosis. This suggests that bone-derived OPG may be involved in promoting survival of these tumor cell types within the bone microenvironment [122, 128]. Similarly, both BMSCs and MG-63 osteosarcoma cells have been demonstrated to produce OPG that can inhibit apoptosis of human myeloma cells [123]. The observation that OPG can inhibit TRAIL-induced apoptosis of multiple myeloma cells suggests there is a fine balance involved between the beneficial effects of OPG in cancer-induced bone disease and potential detrimental effects of inhibiting TRAIL-mediated tumor cell apoptosis. Taking this into account, an OPG peptidomimetic OP3-4 has been developed [129]. Treatment of murine blood mononuclear cells with OP3-4 inhibited osteoclast formation by these cells and, unlike OPG, did not inhibit TRAIL-induced apoptosis of multiple myeloma cells [129]. In addition, it has been shown that multiple myeloma cells inhibit OPG release by stromal cells, thereby promoting osteoclast activation and lytic bone disease (by enhancing RANKL availability) while at the same time exposing themselves to higher levels of ambient TRAIL [130]. Thus, as a recurring theme, the relative levels of pro- versus anti-apoptotic molecules that act in a cell autonomous manner or in the milieu of the bone marrow microenvironment determine the outcome of potentially lethal signals.

The majority of in vivo models indicate that OPG can decrease bone lysis associated with cancer-induced bone disease, leading indirectly to a reduction in tumor growth due to space limitations and possibly inhibition of bone-derived
growth factor release. Concerning osteosarcoma, Lamoureux and coll. have previously demonstrated that OPG administered by gene transfer was effective in preventing the formation of osteolytic lesions associated with tumor development in a preclinical model of osteosarcoma, in reducing tumor incidence and the local tumor growth, leading to a 4-fold augmentation of mice survival 28 days postimplantation [131]. Because OPG has no direct activity on osteosarcoma cells in vitro (cell binding, cell proliferation, apoptosis, or cell cycle distribution), the authors suggest that OPG exerts indirect inhibitory effect on tumor progression through the inhibition of RANKL whose production is enhanced in bone tumor environment. In addition, no pro-tumoral effect through TRAIL inhibition could be observed in these conditions. However, employing a new assay system for the TRAIL-OPG complex, Mogi and Kondo recently demonstrated that this complex is constitutively present in the human osteosarcoma cell lines HOS and MG-63 [132].

The role of OPG in vivo seems therefore to be dependent upon the relative concentrations, timing and location of OPG, TRAIL and their ligands, particularly in the local bone marrow environment. The extent of crosstalk between tumor cells and the surrounding stroma will also influence the expression levels of the molecules involved in the OPG/RANKL system, both in the primary tumor and in bone metastases [133]. As OPG is abundantly released by cells from the tumor and normal microenvironment including MSC, fibroblasts and endothelial cells, a recent study investigated the effect of TRAIL on OPG release [134]. Unexpectedly, recombinant TRAIL decreased the spontaneous OPG release in all cell types examined through decreased of the phosphorylation levels of p38/MAPK, suggesting that this pathway is involved in the stabilization of OPG mRNA.

This interplay is even more complex when considering the study of Nicolin and Narducci [135]. The authors have shown that the breast cancer cell line MDA-MB-231 produces a sufficient amount of OPG to bind TRAIL, resulting in an upregulation of RANKL mRNA expression. The authors conclude that the presence of OPG as secreted by this cell line and acting as a paracrine factor could affect breast cancer RANKL production inducing an enhancement of osteolysis and the perpetuation of a vicious cycle.

Conclusion

Several years of intensive research have proved that TRAIL could play a major role in cancer therapy, as a single agent or combined with chemotherapy by overcoming the drug resistance in specific cancers. However, the story of TRAIL and its receptors is very complex with conflicting results, reflecting the interplay between several receptors, possible redundancy and differing expression and sensitivity between tissues. For example, TRAIL resistance remains a crucial limit for its clinical use, especially in osteosarcoma which are poorly sensitive to TRAIL. The better knowledge of TRAIL receptors and signaling pathways has allowed a better management of TRAIL resistance, by its combination with several drugs able to desensitize cancer cells to TRAIL. Concerning bone tumors, another level of regulation is added by the presence and production by bone cells and MSC of OPG which is able to interact with TRAIL. Therefore, complementary studies are needed to better understand the complex tumor cell-host cell interactions in the bone microenvironment and of autocrine and paracrine effects of the secreted (from tumor cells) and released (from bone matrix) factors which may facilitate the development of effective TRAIL strategies to inhibit disease progression.
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