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We would like to thank Dr Nirenberg for her thoughtful comments on our prospective study reporting the occurrence of a delayed withdrawal syndrome in patients with Parkinson’s disease treated with bilateral subthalamic stimulation. This syndrome, which includes depression, apathy and anxiety, is the consequence of a marked decrease in dopaminergic treatment rendered possible by a major improvement in motor symptoms (Thobois et al., 2010). This study identified preoperative non-motor fluctuations as predictors of post-operative apathy and demonstrated that non-motor psychic symptoms of Parkinson’s disease can be explained by mesolimbic dopaminergic denervation. In order to examine and discuss the points raised by Dr Nirenberg (2010), several aspects of our study need to be highlighted and clarified. The fact that Parkinson’s disease surgery that preferentially targets the sensorimotor rather than the limbic subthalamic nucleus deep brain stimulation produces better motor than non-motor effects provides an almost experimental model with which to study non-motor psychic symptoms of Parkinson’s disease. This study was designed to obtain a better understanding of post-operative non-motor withdrawal symptoms, their time course and mechanisms. In accordance with our study protocol, dopaminergic treatment was reduced drastically, with complete arrest of dopamine agonists at time of surgery and marked reduction of levodopa depending on patients’ motor state in the two weeks following surgery, when stimulation parameters were increased. Reduction of dopaminergic treatment after surgery is a normal procedure, which is necessary for the adjustment of subthalamic stimulation parameters and the establishment of satisfactory control of motor fluctuations and dyskinesias (Krack et al., 2002; Thobois et al., 2003). It is important to note that the management of medication in our study differs from routine practice, where medication is adapted more individually and where low-dosage dopamine agonists are often maintained in order to prevent the delayed appearance of post-operative apathy (Krack et al., 2002). Thus, our experimental protocol should not be mistaken for a recommendation for post-operative drug management and we fully agree with Dr Nirenberg that abrupt discontinuation of dopaminergic treatment should be avoided and patients should be monitored for symptoms of withdrawal as dopaminergic treatment is tapered off. As a precaution we evaluated our patients monthly for early detection of apathy and depression; this approach has proven to be safe. While delayed depression was detected in 25% of the patients during the follow-up, it had disappeared in all patients at the 1 year follow-up evaluation, mainly in response to the reintroduction of a dopamine agonist. Apathy was more frequent than reported previously. This can be explained by both management of drugs in this study and systematic screening using a specific apathy scale. Impulse control disorders were also systematically evaluated before and after surgery. This prospective study showed an almost complete disappearance of preoperative impulse control disorders and may help to restore law and order in a hitherto confusing body of literature in which retrospective
Maricle Rabinak and Nirenberg (2010) have drawn attention to an acute Parkinsonism leads to post-synaptic mesolimbic sensitization with ‘wanting’ and was related to heightened psychomotor activation. Levodopa in these individuals correlated with self-reported drug sensitized ventral striatal dopamine neurotransmission produced by addiction and impulse control disorders (Evans et al., 2008). Epidemiological studies have shown that impulse control disorders are more frequent in patients treated with dopamine agonists in association with levodopa compared with patients on levodopa monotherapy (Voon et al., 2006). This does not mean that impulse control disorders do not occur with levodopa as a monotherapy. This is nothing new, and the levodopa-induced beneficial and deleterious behavioural effects have been described since the first studies dealing with long-term treatment of the drug (Barbeau, 1969; Yahr et al., 1969; Sacks, 1982). Impulse control disorders have become the focus of interest in recent years, and publications on this topic have grown considerably. An increase in the frequency of impulse control disorders may be partly explained by prevailing treatment recommendation of the last decade (Olanow et al., 2001), resulting in the use of high-dose dopamine agonist treatment in young patients based on studies showing that dopamine agonists induce less dyskinesia (Montastrauc et al., 1994). Endogenous dopamine, treatment with its precursor levodopa or with its agonist apomorphine, all have a similar profile, stimulating both D1 and D2 receptors, and are more effective on motor symptoms. All other commercially available non-ergot dopamine agonists for use in humans have preferential affinity to D2 and D3 receptors. Preferential binding to the mesolimbic D3 receptor (Murray et al., 1994) is generally put forward as the main explanation of the greater non-motor benefits and side effects of dopamine agonists compared with levodopa (Weintraub, 2008). Presynaptic sensitization of the dopaminergic system in the ventral striatum has been shown during a levodopa test in patients with dopamine addiction and impulse control disorders (Evans et al., 2006). The sensitized ventral striatal dopamine neurotransmission produced by levodopa in these individuals correlated with self-reported drug ‘wanting’ and was related to heightened psychomotor activation. Furthermore, pulsatile stimulation of the D1 receptor family in Parkinsonism leads to post-synaptic mesolimbic sensitization with an up-regulation of D3 receptor expression (Guillin et al., 2001), arguing for the important role of levodopa or subcutaneous apomorphine injections in the pathophysiology of impulse control disorders, especially in association with selective D3 receptor stimulation using dopamine agonists.

Apathy, anxiety and depression are also frequent symptoms in the non-surgical Parkinson’s disease population, and these symptoms do respond to levodopa (Barbeau, 1969; Yahr et al., 1969; Maricle et al., 1995) and dopamine agonists (Barone et al., 2002). Rabinak and Nirenberg (2010) have drawn attention to an acute withdrawal state syndrome following abrupt reduction of dopamine agonists in patients who have developed an addiction to their dopaminergic treatment. This withdrawal syndrome manifests with anxiety, panic attacks, agoraphobia, depression, dysphoria, diaphoresis, fatigue, pain, orthostatic hypotension and drug craving (Rabinak and Nirenberg, 2010). While akinetic crisis is a well-known complication of acute levodopa withdrawal, generally accompanied by depression and vegetative signs as in neuroleptic malignant syndrome (Friedman et al., 1985), selective dopamine agonist withdrawal provides another model for a more selective study of the non-motor autonomic, sensory, affective and psychic symptoms well known in non-motor off-periods in Parkinson’s disease (Raudino, 2001; Witjas et al., 2002; Evans et al., 2010). The retrospective cohort study of dopamine agonist withdrawal in a non-surgical population (Rabinak and Nirenberg, 2010), describing the development of acute dopamine agonist withdrawal state in 5 out of 26 patients following dopamine agonist reduction, is complementary to our prospective study on dopamine agonist withdrawal in 63 patients with Parkinson’s disease treated by subthalamic nucleus deep brain stimulation in which half of the patients developed delayed apathy and half of the latter group also developed depression (Thobois et al., 2010). There are notable differences in study design, patient population and management, which may largely explain apparent differences in the clinical syndromes described and in the course of appearance. Most importantly, subthalamic nucleus deep brain stimulation has positive psychotropic effects very similar to those of levodopa and therefore largely compensates for drug withdrawal (Funkiewiez et al., 2003). The longer delay in occurrence of apathy and depression in our study thus reflects the unmasking of long-term effects in dopaminergic treatment (Fahn et al., 2004), acute withdrawal syndromes having been managed with subthalamic nucleus deep brain stimulation and levodopa. We observed no cases of new appearance of hypotension or diaphoresis. The absence of diaphoresis, which is part of the spectrum of non-motor fluctuations (Raudino, 2001; Witjas et al., 2002), may be explained by the fact that chronic subthalamic nucleus deep brain stimulation improves the non-motor off symptoms (Witjas et al., 2005; Ardouin et al., 2006), including drenching sweats (Krack et al., 2002). In our study, discontinuation of dopamine agonists proved impossible in 4 patients due to ensuing acute and severe restless legs syndrome. Others from our total cohort of 63 patients experienced acute mild withdrawal symptoms during the first two post-operative weeks, but these were managed by increasing stimulation intensity and/or re-increasing levodopa. Pain, fatigue, dysphoria and anxiety were frequently observed symptoms that developed on a parallel time course with those of apathy.

Dr Nirenberg draws a distinction between withdrawal states after arrest of dopamine agonists and a ‘non-specific’ dopamine withdrawal state related to decrease in levodopa (Nirenberg, 2010). Despite the apparent differences mentioned above, we consider this distinction to be less categorical, as there appear to be more similarities than dissimilarities. The delayed occurrence of apathy after surgery, for instance, is not specific to withdrawal of dopamine agonists. We have observed severe isolated apathy in patients on levodopa monotherapy with preoperative dopamine addiction and impulse control disorders (Funkiewiez et al., 2004).
Dissociation between mood and motor effects has also been reported on L-dopa therapy (Maricle et al., 1995). In our experience, hypodopaminergic behaviour responds not only to dopamine agonists, but also to levodopa or amphetamines. It is important, however, to be aware of the fact that while equivalent doses of dopamine agonists and levodopa express equivalence of motor effectiveness, they can by no means be considered as equivalents regarding their respective psychotrophic effects. Positive mood effects are induced not only with dopamine agonists (Barone et al., 2010; Thobois et al., 2010), but also with levodopa (Barbeau, 1969; Yahr et al., 1969; Maricle et al., 1995). The difference is that beneficial mood effects of dopamine agonists can be obtained with doses that induce less dyskinesia than levodopa, which is more effective on the motor symptoms (Montastruc et al., 1994). Thus, the underlying mesolimbic denervation seems to be the main explanation of a non-motor withdrawal syndrome that can be related to reduction of dopamine agonists and/or levodopa.

The outcome of surgery critically depends not only on patient selection and surgical skills, but also on post-operative management of stimulation parameters and dopaminergic medication. So far, the literature on post-operative behaviour is full of contradictions, and this has discredited a surgical technique with the potential to alleviate both motor and non-motor fluctuations considerably, with a subsequent improvement in patients’ quality of life. As long as the mechanisms of changes in post-operative behaviour are not understood, their management will remain suboptimal. Prospective studies addressing the management of post-operative dopaminergic treatment are needed and are under way. Dr Nirenberg expresses her concerns about the safety of our approach to the treatment of post-operative apathy using dopamine agonists (Nirenberg, 2010). We strongly disagree that in the context of isolated post-operative apathy, dopamine agonists should be avoided. In this context, dopamine agonists are highly effective in the treatment of apathy (Czernecki et al., 2008). Post-operative depression can also respond to treatment by dopamine agonists (Funkiewiez et al., 2004; Thobois et al., 2010), even when the depression has proven resistant to antidepressants (Funkiewiez et al., 2004). Provided behaviour is monitored, dopamine agonists are relatively safe, as the behavioural side effects are dose dependent. On the other hand, untreated isolated apathy can evolve into full-blown hypodopaminergic syndrome with apathy, depression and panic attacks. Failure to introduce a dopamine agonist would expose the patient to the risk of depression and suicide. Post-operative suicide is a frequent complication, and impulse control disorder is known as a preoperative risk factor (Voon et al., 2008). Our study argues in favour of dopamine withdrawal as another major contributing factor to post-operative suicide (Thobois et al., 2010). Increasing doses of levodopa could also be beneficial but would expose patients with disabling preoperative levodopa-induced dyskinesias, as is the case in our study group of relatively young sufferers of Parkinson’s disease, to the risk for further debilitating dyskinesias.

Adapting dopaminergic treatment in a patient with dopamine dysregulation syndrome (Lawrence et al., 2003) is like walking a tightrope. The neurologist is used to evaluating dyskinesia and akinesia, and strategies for addressing motor complications are well known. However, non-motor complications of dopaminergic treatment have been neglected in the past. Better management requires knowledge of the clinical syndromes of hyper- and hypodopaminergic behaviours and non-motor fluctuations, development of evaluation tools and understanding of underlying mechanisms. The neurologist who strives to gain mastery of dopaminergic treatment needs to fine-tune the dosage of levodopa and dopamine agonists on an individual basis, depending on the presence of motor and non-motor signs, respectively.
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