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To the Editor:
I read with great interest the recent study by Goyal et colleagues\(^1\) who examined the association between attained educational level (AEL) and incident atherothrombotic events in Low- and Middle- Income Countries (LMICs) comparatively to High-Income Countries (HICs); in men and women. The results revealed a clear inverse association between higher education and incidence of cardiovascular events in men from HICs, a modest inverse association in women from HICs, a modest positive association in men from LMICs, and no association in women from LMICs. The authors should be applauded for conducting this research that compares different regions of the world with regard to educational gradient in cardiovascular events.

However, I believe that the authors may have over interpreted their findings. The inference that “In contrast to HICs, higher AEL may not be protective against cardiovascular events in LMICs, particularly in women” is not supported by the data. For instance, there is absolutely no evidence that suggests an educational gradient (in either direction) in cardiovascular events in women from LMICs, even in the model adjusted only for age (hazard ratios in all education strata in table 3 were around 1).

Similarly, the statement that “these data suggest that promoting higher AEL among women in LMICs may be insufficient to protect them from cardiovascular disease, and interventions should be developed to ensure that women of all groups have adequate access to preventive measures and health care” is also not supported by the data. I was very surprised by this recommendation since it has been shown that educated individuals make better use of health-related information than those who are less educated, even in LMICs\(^2\).

There are at least two reasons that call for better interpretation of the findings by Goyal et colleagues. First, the LMICs entity includes countries that are at different stage of urbanisation and industrialisation. Furthermore, recent evidence suggests that the health gradient between the well educated and less educated changes as a function of the economic development and the increase in the overall level of income of a country\(^3\). Thus,
it is not impossible that grouping all these countries together might have obscured the real association between AEL in LMICs and cardiovascular events in this study.

Second, recent evidence suggests that cardiovascular risk factors and events in LMICs are not more common in affluent and educated individuals. The INTERHEART study 4, one of the references used by the authors shows that low education is consistently associated with increased risk for acute myocardial infarction globally. What is more, a recent review 5 on the association between socioeconomic status (SES), of which education is a marker, and obesity in adult populations in developing countries has observed that the burden of obesity in developing countries tends to shift towards the groups with lower SES as the country’s economic development increases.

To conclude, although cautious interpretation of the findings is preferable, this work remains an important contribution to an under-researched topic.
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