



**HAL**  
open science

## Generation and characterization of a humanized PPAR $\delta$ mouse model.

Barbara Gross, Nathalie Hennuyer, Emmanuel Bouchaert, Corinne Rommens,  
Didier Grillot, Hafid Mezdour, Bart Staels

► **To cite this version:**

Barbara Gross, Nathalie Hennuyer, Emmanuel Bouchaert, Corinne Rommens, Didier Grillot, et al..  
Generation and characterization of a humanized PPAR $\delta$  mouse model.. British Journal of Pharmacology, 2011, 164 (1), pp.192-208. 10.1111/j.1476-5381.2011.01359.x . inserm-00590854

**HAL Id: inserm-00590854**

**<https://inserm.hal.science/inserm-00590854>**

Submitted on 22 Sep 2011

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# Generation and characterization of a humanized PPAR $\delta$ mouse model

B. Gross<sup>1,2,3,4</sup>, N. Hennuyer<sup>1,2,3,4</sup>, E. Bouchaert<sup>1,2,3,4</sup>, C. Rommens<sup>1,2,3,4</sup>, D. Grillot<sup>5</sup>, H. Mezdour<sup>4</sup> and B. Staels<sup>1,2,3,4</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Université Lille Nord de France, F-59000, Lille, France

<sup>2</sup> Inserm, U1011, F-59000, Lille, France

<sup>3</sup> UDSL, F-59000, Lille, France

<sup>4</sup> Institut Pasteur de Lille, F-59019, Lille, France

<sup>5</sup> Lipid Metabolism DPU, GlaxoSmithKline R&D, F-91951, Les Ulis, France

**Correspondence :** Prof. B. Staels, Inserm U1011, Institut Pasteur de Lille, 1 rue du Prof Calmette, BP 245, 59019 Lille, France.

E-mail : [bart.staels@pasteur-lille.fr](mailto:bart.staels@pasteur-lille.fr)

## **Abstract**

**Background and purpose:** Humanized mice for the nuclear receptor Peroxisome Proliferator-Activated Receptor  $\delta$  (PPAR $\delta$ ), termed PPAR $\delta$  knock-in (PPAR $\delta$  KI) mice, were generated for the investigation of functional differences between mouse and human PPAR $\delta$  and as tools for early drug efficacy assessment.

**Experimental approach:** Human PPAR $\delta$  function in lipid metabolism was assessed at baseline, after fasting, or when challenged with the GW0742 compound in mice fed a chow diet or high fat diet (HFD).

**Key results:** Analysis of PPAR $\delta$  expression levels revealed a hypomorph expression of human PPAR $\delta$  in liver, macrophages, small intestine and heart, but not in soleus and quadriceps muscles, white adipose tissue and skin. PPAR $\delta$  KI mice displayed a small decrease of HDL-cholesterol whereas other lipid parameters were unaltered. Plasma metabolic parameters were similar in WT and PPAR $\delta$  KI mice when fed chow or HFD, and following physiological (fasting) and pharmacological (GW0742 compound) activation of PPAR $\delta$ . Gene expression profiling in liver, soleus muscle and macrophages showed similar gene patterns regulated by mouse and human PPAR $\delta$ . The anti-inflammatory potential of human PPAR $\delta$  was also similar to mouse PPAR $\delta$  in liver and isolated macrophages.

**Conclusions and implications:** These data indicate that human PPAR $\delta$  can compensate for mouse PPAR $\delta$  in the regulation of lipid metabolism and inflammation. Overall, this novel PPAR $\delta$  KI mouse model shows full responsiveness to pharmacological challenge and represents a useful tool for the pre-clinical assessment of PPAR $\delta$  activators with species-specific activity.

**Keys words:** Peroxisome Proliferator-Activated Receptor, lipid metabolism, gene regulation, humanized mouse

**Abbreviations:** PPAR, Peroxisome Proliferator-Activated Receptor; KI, knock-in; ES, embryonic stem; HDL-C, high density lipoprotein-cholesterol; LDL-C, low density lipoprotein-cholesterol; TG, triglyceride; ABCA1, ATP-binding cassette type A1

## Introduction

Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors (PPARs) are ligand-activated transcription factors belonging to the nuclear receptor superfamily. Three different PPAR genes ( $\alpha$ ,  $\delta$  or  $\beta$ , and  $\gamma$ ) have been identified, each displaying distinct patterns of tissue distribution and natural and pharmacological ligands, attesting the fact that they perform different functions in different cell types (Gross *et al.*, 2007). Of the three isotypes, PPAR $\delta$  (also called PPAR $\beta$  or PPAR $\beta/\delta$ ) is the most widely distributed. High expression levels were reported in tissues such as adipose tissue, small intestine, liver, skeletal muscle, heart and macrophages (Escher *et al.*, 2001; Girroir *et al.*, 2008; Higashiyama *et al.*, 2007). In contrast to the PPAR $\alpha$  and  $\gamma$  isotypes, understanding of the physiological role of the PPAR $\delta$  subtype in humans is lagging behind due to the absence of clinically available PPAR $\delta$ -selective ligands. The recent identification of PPAR $\delta$ -selective ligands, concomitant with the development of genetically modified mouse models, have revealed roles for PPAR $\delta$  in lipid and glucose metabolism, energy expenditure and inflammation (Barish *et al.*, 2006; Gross *et al.*, 2005). As a consequence, PPAR $\delta$ -selective ligands may be useful for the treatment of dyslipidemia, obesity and insulin resistance. In humans, the benefit of PPAR $\delta$  activation on lipid metabolism, *via* the up-regulation of fatty acid oxydation, was confirmed in early clinical trials (phase I and II) with the GW501516 compound (Ris erus *et al.*, 2008; Sprecher *et al.*, 2006), a potent activator of PPAR $\delta$  (Sznaidman *et al.*, 2003).

The mouse is the most widely used model for physiological and preclinical pharmacological studies. However, some pathways are regulated in a species-specific manner. Species-specific differences in xenobiotic response, for instance, are due to intrinsic differences between the human and mouse constitutive androstane receptor (CAR) (Huang *et al.*, 2004) and pregnane X receptor (PXR) (Xie *et al.*, 2000). Species differences between

human and rodent PPAR $\alpha$  activity are also well documented (Gonzalez *et al.*, 2008). Sequence differences between the two species are often minor, but sufficient to allow ligand selectivity (Keller *et al.*, 1997) and modulation of gene regulation specificity. Indeed in rodent liver, activation of PPAR $\alpha$  induces peroxisome proliferation, hepatomegaly and hepatocarcinogenesis, effects which are not observed in human liver (Lefebvre *et al.*, 2006). A study examining the molecular mechanism of these species differences using a humanized mouse model showed that structural differences between human and mouse PPAR $\alpha$  are responsible for the differential susceptibility to the development of hepatocarcinomas (Morimura *et al.*, 2006).

Human and mouse PPAR $\delta$  proteins share 92% homology in their amino acid sequence, with a few non-conservative modifications in the N-terminal region and the ligand-binding domain (LBD). The pharmacological relevance of these changes has not yet been established. However, differences in the EC<sub>50</sub> between the two species have been reported for some PPAR $\delta$  ligands such as for bezafibrate and L-165041 (Ram, 2003). Differences located in the N-terminal region could also account for species different gene regulation as recent studies indicated that gene specificity is in part driven by the N-terminal region of PPAR $\gamma$  and PPAR $\delta$  (Bugge *et al.*, 2009; Hummasti *et al.*, 2006).

Physiological differences upon PPAR $\delta$  activation have been reported between species, and notably in the regulation of lipid metabolism. PPAR $\delta$  ligands increase up to 50% high density lipoprotein-cholesterol (HDL-C) levels in obese and non-obese mice (Briand *et al.*, 2009; van der Veen *et al.*, 2005; Leibowitz *et al.*, 2000). In insulin-resistant obese rhesus monkeys, a more relevant model for the study of human pathologies, PPAR $\delta$  activation not only increased HDL-C levels, but also decreased low density lipoprotein-cholesterol (LDL-C) and triglyceride (TG) levels; and normalized insulin concentrations (Oliver *et al.*, 2001). Such effects on LDL-C and TG levels were not observed in agonist-treated mice (Briand *et al.*,

2009; van der Veen *et al.*, 2005; Leibowitz *et al.*, 2000). The mechanism by which PPAR $\delta$  activation raises HDL-C levels is still unclear, but is believed to occur via induction of ApoA1-dependent cholesterol efflux following activation of the cholesterol transporter ATP-binding cassette type A1 (ABCA1) in peripheral tissues and human macrophages (Oliver *et al.*, 2001). However, whether this pathway is also induced by PPAR $\delta$  ligands in mouse macrophages remains controversial (Lee *et al.*, 2003; Li *et al.*, 2004; van der Veen *et al.*, 2005), suggesting the existence of species differences between human and mouse PPAR $\delta$ .

The development of humanized mouse models provide useful tools to explore the functional and regulatory differences between human and mouse orthologous genes. Moreover, humanized mouse models are also valuable tools for pre-clinical pharmacological evaluation of ligands. In this study, we report the development of a new mouse model humanized for PPAR $\delta$ , named the PPAR $\delta$  knock-in (PPAR $\delta$  KI) mouse. Moreover, we characterise the model and the role of human PPAR $\delta$  in lipid metabolism *in vivo* using these mice.

## Material and methods

### *PPAR $\delta$ gene targeting*

Genomic clones encompassing the 5' region to exon 3 and 3' region to exon 8 of the mouse *PPAR $\delta$*  gene were obtained by screening a Sv/129 genomic mouse library, generated and kindly provided by A. Bègue (Institut de Biology de Lille, France). The targeting vector was constructed using PCR amplification introducing new cloning sites in the human cDNA. A *NcoI* site was generated upstream of the start codon by modifying one base before the ATG. The human *PPAR $\delta$*  cDNA was inserted between the newly generated *NcoI* site and the *BglII* site located a few base pairs upstream of the stop codon at the end of the exon 8. This results in the replacement of the coding region only. A neomycin cassette flanked by two loxP sites was introduced into the intron sequence upstream of the human cDNA. The targeting vector contained 1.7 kb of homologous sequence 5' of the neomycin cassette and 6.3 kb of homologous sequence 3' of the human *PPAR $\delta$*  cDNA (Figure 1A). A herpes simplex virus thymidine kinase gene was inserted at the 3' end of the construct for negative selection against random insertion of the targeting vector.

Targeting of the constructs to obtain heterozygous ES cells was performed using standard procedures (Lee *et al.*, 1995). After positive and negative selection, homologous recombination of the ES clones was verified by Southern blot analysis using 5' and 3' probes (Figure 1B). One of the three positive ES clones microinjected into C57BL/6J blastocysts generated chimeric mice with ~ 60% agouti coat color.

Chimeric mice with germ line transmission were obtained by breeding with C57BL/6J mice. The transmission of the modified allele was monitored by Southern blot analysis with the 5'probe and *BamHI* digestion in the first generation of the progenies. Genotypes of

subsequent generations were determined by PCR. Mice were backcrossed ten generations in order to obtain a C57BL/6J-stabilized genetic background.

### ***RNA extraction and quantitative PCR analysis***

Tissue RNA isolation and quantitative PCR analysis were performed as previously described (Lalloyer *et al.*, 2009). Results are expressed normalized to 36B4 or cyclophilin.

### ***Analysis of human and mouse PPAR $\delta$ transcripts***

In order to verify the replacement of mouse PPAR $\delta$  by the human orthologous cDNA, a RT-PCR analysis was performed with a set of primers with the forward primer specific to each species (mouse forward: 5'AGAAAGAGGAAGTGGCAGA3', human forward: 5'AGAAAGAGGAAGTGGCCAT3') and the reverse primer located in a region homologous between the two species (common reverse: 5'GAGAAGGCCTTCAGGTCG3'). The species-specific forward primers displayed mismatches in their 3' region. In order to reduce cross reaction of the species-specific primers, the annealing temperature was set at 66°C. PCR amplification products were separated on an agarose gel stained with ethidium bromide and visualized under UV light.

### ***Animal experiments***

Homozygous PPAR $\delta$  KI and WT littermates used for fasting and high fat diet (HFD) studies were of mixed background Sv129/C57BL/6J. Studies with chow diet were performed using 10 generation back-crossed homozygous PPAR $\delta$  KI C57BL/6J mice. C57BL/6J mice used for backcrossing and as controls for GW0742 treatment were from a commercial source (Iffa Credo, France). Mice were group housed and given access to chow diet and water *ad*

*libitum*. All experiments were performed with approval of the Pasteur Institute of Lille review board.

WT control and PPAR $\delta$  KI mice (7-15 weeks of age) were matched according to weight, glycemia and cholesterol levels. Mice were fed either a standard chow diet or a HFD containing 35.5% (w/w) fat manufactured by Safe (Augy, France) as described by Luo *et al.* (Luo *et al.*, 1998). Mice were treated with the GW0742 compound (Sznajdman *et al.*, 2003) (in 0.5% hydroxypropyl methyl cellulose, 1% Tween 80, pH3.2) at the dose of 10mg/kg or vehicle by oral gavage twice a day for 14 days. The day of sacrifice, mice were fasted for 6 hours. For the fasting experiment, food was withdrawn for 24 hours. Blood was collected by retro-orbital venipuncture under isoflurane anesthesia. Mice were euthanized by cervical dislocation and tissues were harvested, flash frozen and stored at  $-80^{\circ}\text{C}$  until required.

### ***Plasma metabolite analysis***

Plasma lipid concentrations were determined in mice fasted for 6 or 24 hours. Glycemia was determined using Glucotrend (Roche). Retro-orbital blood samples were drawn in EDTA-coated tubes at sacrifice. Plasma was separated by low speed centrifugation and kept at  $4^{\circ}\text{C}$  or frozen. Plasma free fatty acids (FFAs),  $\beta$ -hydroxybutyrate, lactate were determined using kits from Wako, Randox Laboratories and Trinity biotech, respectively.

Cholesterol and TG concentrations were determined by enzymatic assays using commercially available reagents (Biomerieux, Lyon France for total cholesterol RTU and triglycerides PAP 1000). Lipids of individual plasma samples were separated by Fast Protein Liquid Chromatography (FPLC) by gel filtration onto a Sepharose 6 10/300 GL column (GE Healthcare) with on-line cholesterol and TG determination. This system allows separation of the 3 major lipoprotein classes –VLDL; LDL; and HDL.

### ***Macrophage isolation***

Peritoneal macrophages were isolated from wild-type and PPAR $\delta$  KI mice three days after a thioglycolate challenge. Macrophages were cultured in RPMI 1640 medium and 10% FBS for 24 hours followed by a 12 hour starvation period in medium with 0.2% FBS prior treatment. Macrophages were treated during 24 hours with vehicle or GW0742 at 100 nM. The inflammatory response was studied in macrophages treated with LPS (Sigma) at 100 ng/ml for 24 hours in presence of vehicle or GW0742 at 100 nM.

### ***Statistical analysis***

Differences between two groups were compared with the unpaired two-tailed Student's t test. Multiple comparison was performed with the 1-way ANOVA test. Significant differences were subjected to posthoc analysis using the Tukey's test. A p value of 0.05 or less was considered statistically significant. Calculations were performed using Graphpad Prism software.

## Results

### Gene replacement of the mouse *PPAR $\delta$* gene with the human *PPAR $\delta$* cDNA

The targeting strategy used for the development of the humanized *PPAR $\delta$*  mouse is illustrated in figure 1A. In brief, the mouse *PPAR $\delta$*  coding region, spanning from the start codon in exon 3 to the stop codon in exon 8, was replaced with the cDNA of the human orthologous gene through homologous recombination in embryonic stem (ES) cells. Homologous recombination between the endogenous mouse *PPAR $\delta$*  locus and the targeting construct results in a chimeric gene in which all the mouse protein coding sequences have been replaced with sequences coding for human *PPAR $\delta$* . This chimeric gene, called targeted locus, retains all the mouse regulatory elements of the promoter region as well as the 5' and 3'UTR.

Recombinant ES clones were positively and negatively selected against neomycin and thymidine kinase activity, respectively. Successful integration and replacement with the human *PPAR $\delta$*  cDNA was confirmed by Southern blot analysis with 5' and 3' probes (Figure 1B). The targeted locus was transmitted to the F1 generation from chimera mice that were obtained from one of the targeted cell lines (Figure 1C). Humanized homozygote mice (*PPAR $\delta$*  KI) were born at predicted Mendelian frequencies, appeared grossly normal and produced normal progeny. No weight and size differences were observed during the lifespan of the *PPAR $\delta$*  KI mice.

### Analysis of human *PPAR $\delta$* expression level

Successful replacement and expression of human *PPAR $\delta$*  was monitored in liver by RT-PCR using species-selective primers (Figure 1D). Human *PPAR $\delta$*  mRNAs are detected in heterozygous and homozygous *PPAR $\delta$*  KI mice, whereas mouse *PPAR $\delta$*  mRNA is absent in

homozygous PPAR $\delta$  KI mice. Northern blot analysis, using a common probe located downstream of the stop codon in the 3'UTR, indicated that a full length mRNA was processed from the chimeric human PPAR $\delta$  gene (supplementary Figure S1). mRNA expression levels of human PPAR $\delta$  were determined by quantitative PCR in several tissues in which PPAR $\delta$  is metabolically active. Using primers located in the common 5'UTR, and when compared to mouse PPAR $\delta$  mRNA levels, human PPAR $\delta$  transcript levels were found to be lower in a number of tissues such as liver, macrophages, small intestine, and heart (Figure 2). In contrast hPPAR $\delta$  expression was similar in white adipose tissue (WAT), skin and soleus (rich in oxidative fiber types and expressing high levels of PPAR $\delta$ ) and quadriceps (composed of mixed oxidative and glycolytic fiber types and expressing lower PPAR $\delta$  levels) muscles. Levels of PPAR $\alpha$  and PPAR $\gamma$  transcripts were also analysed in order to detect compensatory mechanisms triggered by the replacement of mouse PPAR $\delta$  and down-regulation of hPPAR $\delta$  expression, as previously shown in PPAR $\alpha$  null mice (Muoio *et al.*, 2002). PPAR $\alpha$  mRNA levels were similar between WT and PPAR $\delta$  KI mice, except for a statistically non-significant decrease in macrophages (Figure 2). PPAR $\gamma$  mRNA levels in PPAR $\delta$  KI mice were similar in skeletal muscles, WAT and macrophages but were lower in liver, small intestine and heart and strongly elevated in skin (Figure 2).

### **Analysis of plasma lipids in chow diet fed mice**

Serum lipid concentrations and distributions were analysed in adult, female and male, mice at the age of 10 weeks. In males, serum cholesterol levels were significantly lower (13 %) in PPAR $\delta$  KI mice compared to wild-type (WT) controls (table 1 and supplementary Figure S2A). Analysis of the lipid distribution profiles indicated that the reduction of cholesterol occurred in the HDL fraction. Similarly, in females, total cholesterol and HDL-C were 18% lower in PPAR $\delta$  KI mice when compared to WT mice (table 1), although this did

not reach statistical significance. Analysis of TG levels did not show significant differences between WT and PPAR $\delta$  KI mice in both female and male mice (table 1 and supplementary Figure. S2B). Glycemia of mice was similar between male WT and PPAR $\delta$  KI mice (203 ng/dl  $\pm$ 35 vs 212 mg/dl  $\pm$  26, respectively), whereas in female mice glycemia was significantly lower (17%) in PPAR $\delta$  KI vs WT mice (178 mg/dl  $\pm$  37 vs 215 mg/dl  $\pm$  32, respectively, p=0.007).

### **hPPAR $\delta$ is not altering metabolic parameters during fasting**

Since PPAR $\delta$  acts as a fatty acid sensor and is activated during adaptive responses to fasting or exercise (Sanderson *et al.*, 2009), the response of hPPAR $\delta$  KI mice to 24 hours fasting was tested next.

As expected, an increase of free fatty acids (FFA) and  $\beta$ -hydroxybutyrate and a decrease of lactate, TG and blood glucose was observed in WT mice after a 24 hour fasting period (Figure 3A). Cholesterol levels did not change upon fasting in WT mice. PPAR $\delta$  KI mice displayed a similar response as WT mice. Similarly, at the transcriptional level, mRNA levels the ketogenic enzyme, 3-hydroxy-3-methylglutaryl-CoA synthase 2 (*HMGCS2*), a PPAR target gene, and aldehyde dehydrogenase 3 family, member A2 (*ALDH3A2*), a specific PPAR $\alpha$  target gene thus reflecting PPAR $\alpha$  activity (Sanderson *et al.*, 2009), were induced by fasting in both WT and PPAR $\delta$  KI mice (Figure 3B). Hepatic mRNA levels of fasting-regulated PPAR $\delta$  target genes such as lipin 2 (*LPIN2*) and ST3  $\beta$ -galactoside  $\alpha$ -2,3-sialyltransferase 5 (*ST3GAL5*) (Sanderson *et al.*, 2009) were regulated in a similar manner in WT and PPAR $\delta$  KI mice during fasting (Figure 3B). These results indicate that the response of PPAR $\delta$ -selective genes, as well as PPAR $\alpha$ -selective genes, is similar between PPAR $\delta$  KI and WT mice upon fasting.

## Effect of GW0742 treatment on plasma lipids and lipid gene regulation

The effect of hPPAR $\delta$  activation on lipid and glucose metabolism was assessed in male WT and PPAR $\delta$  KI mice fed a standard chow-diet and treated with the PPAR $\delta$ -selective activator GW0742 (Sznajdman *et al.*, 2003) for 14 days at a dose of 20 mg/kg/day. Treatment with GW0742 did not alter body weight of both WT and PPAR $\delta$  KI mice but increased liver weight by 26% and 40% in WT and PPAR $\delta$  KI mice, respectively (table 2), likely due to the induction of peroxisome proliferation (van der Veen *et al.*, 2005). GW0742 treatment did not alter blood glucose concentration in either genotype (table 2).

GW0742 treatment significantly increased total cholesterol in WT (24%) and PPAR $\delta$  KI mice (33 %) (table 3). FPLC profile analysis of plasma lipids indicated that this raise was essentially caused by an increased cholesterol content in HDL and LDL particles (table 3 and supplementary Figure S3A). In WT mice the HDL-C and LDL-C raise was 19% and 64%, whereas in PPAR $\delta$  KI mice the increase was 31% and 40%, respectively. The variations in response between WT and PPAR $\delta$  KI mice were not statistically different.

Gene expression levels of genes involved in HDL metabolism were assessed in liver, a major tissue involved in lipid and lipoprotein metabolism. mRNA expression levels of the scavenger receptor B1 (*SR-B1*), a HDL receptor, and the ATP-binding cassette type A1 (*ABCA1*) transporter protein, which regulates ApoA1-dependent cholesterol efflux and HDL formation, were not modified in treated mice from both genotypes (Figure 4A and data not shown). The genes of the two major HDL apolipoproteins, *APOA1* and *APOA2*, were not regulated either (data not shown). Amongst the genes involved in HDL remodelling, *PLTP*, which catalyses the transfer of phospholipids from VLDL to HDL and between HDL particles, was up-regulated upon GW0742 treatment in both WT and PPAR $\delta$  KI mice (Figure 4A).

Analysis of genes involved in LDL metabolism such as the LDL-receptor, *LDL-R*, did not reveal a regulation by GW0742 in WT and PPAR $\delta$  KI mice (data not shown). By contrast, mRNA of *APOB*, the major apolipoprotein of LDL, was slightly down-regulated in PPAR $\delta$  KI-treated mice only (Figure 4A). The physiological significance of this differential regulation is not known.

GW0742 treatment did not alter total TG levels in either WT or PPAR $\delta$  KI mice. Interestingly, FPLC separation of the lipoprotein fractions indicated a decrease of 50% and 36% in TG content of LDL particles in WT- and PPAR $\delta$  KI-treated mice, respectively. This decrease was not detected in the total pool as the TG content of LDL particles only represents a minor percentage of total TG (table 3 and supplementary Figure S3B). The decreased TG levels in LDL particles upon GW0742 treatment in WT and PPAR $\delta$  KI mice is associated with a 4-fold increase of mRNA levels of lipoprotein lipase (*LPL*) and a 30% decrease of the *LPL* inhibitors, apolipoprotein C3 (*APOC3*) and Angiopoietin-like 3 (*ANGPTL3*) in liver (Figure 4A). In addition, mRNA levels of pyruvate dehydrogenase kinase 4 (*PDK4*), an inhibitor of the pyruvate dehydrogenase complex, was strongly up-regulated in WT and PPAR $\delta$  KI mice (Figure 4B). The regulation of these genes was not significantly different between WT and PPAR $\delta$  KI mice.

In addition, the regulation of genes involved in intra-hepatic lipid metabolism including fatty acid  $\beta$ -oxidation, storage and transport, was analysed. GW0742 treatment induced in both genotypes the mRNA levels of acyl-CoA oxidase (*ACO*), carnitine palmitoyltransferase 1b (*CPT1b*), liver-fatty acid binding protein (*L-FABP*), liver-fatty acid transport protein (*L-FATP*) and *CD36* (Figure 4B). Interestingly, the regulation of *ACO*, *L-FATP* and *CD36*, but not *CPT1b* or *L-FABP*, was slightly, albeit significantly lower in PPAR $\delta$  KI-treated versus WT-treated mice.

## **Gene expression of *ABCA1*, *UCP2* and *ANGPTL4* is up-regulated by mouse and human PPAR $\delta$ in skeletal muscle**

PPAR $\delta$  is highly expressed in oxidative type I muscle fibers (Muioio *et al.*, 2002; Wang *et al.*, 2004) and plays a predominant role in fatty acid oxidation in skeletal muscle by regulating mitochondrial gene expression of enzymes of fatty acid oxidation (Ehrenborg *et al.*, 2009).

mRNA levels of fatty acid oxidation and lipid handling genes were thus analysed in the soleus muscle, mainly constituted of oxidative type I muscle fibers (Wang *et al.*, 2004; Dressel *et al.*, 2003). Surprisingly, mRNA levels of *CPT1b*, *PDK4*, uncoupling protein 3 (*UCP3*), *L-FATP*, glucose transporter type 4 (*GLUT4*) and PPAR $\gamma$  coactivator 1 $\alpha$  (*PGC1 $\alpha$* ), which have been described previously as PPAR $\delta$  regulated genes in muscle (Dressel *et al.*, 2003; Sprecher *et al.*, 2006; Tanaka *et al.*, 2003), were not changed upon GW0742 treatment in either WT or PPAR $\delta$  KI mice (Figure 5). By contrast, the *ABCA1*, uncoupling protein 2 (*UCP2*), and angiopoietin-like 4 (*ANGPTL4*) transcripts were up-regulated to the same extent in WT- and PPAR $\delta$  KI-treated mice (Figure 5).

## Effect of GW0742 on metabolic parameters and inflammation in high fat diet-fed mice

Since PPAR $\delta$  activation has been shown to prevent diet-induced obesity, the response of hPPAR $\delta$  KI mice to high fat diet (HFD) feeding was assessed. Mice were fed 7 weeks with a HFD prior to 14 days treatment with the GW0742 compound. During the 7 weeks of HFD feeding, weight gain, and plasma metabolic parameters (TG, cholesterol, glucose and insulin) were similar in both genotypes (supplementary Figure S4A, and data not shown).

14 days treatment with GW0742 did not modify weight or blood glucose and plasma insulin levels in both WT and PPAR $\delta$  KI mice (supplementary Figure S4B). GW0742 treatment increased liver weight size similarly in WT and PPAR $\delta$  KI mice (supplementary Figure S4B). GW0742 treatment increased total and HDL-cholesterol levels to a similar extent in WT and PPAR $\delta$  KI mice (Figure 6A). Plasma TG did not change upon GW0742 treatment in both WT and PPAR $\delta$  KI mice (Figure 6A). mRNA levels of genes involved in lipid and lipoprotein metabolism, including *ACO*, and *CD36* were similarly regulated upon GW0742 treatment in both WT and PPAR $\delta$  KI mice, whereas mRNA of *PLTP* up-regulation was slightly weaker in PPAR $\delta$  KI treated mice compared to WT treated mice (Figure 6B).

HFD feeding causes liver steatosis and inflammation. Expression of acute response genes in hepatocytes such as *Fibrinogen- $\alpha$*  and *Fibrinogen- $\beta$*  was down-regulated in a similar manner in both WT and PPAR $\delta$  KI mice following GW0742 treatment (Figure 6C). Consistent with a role for PPAR $\delta$  in innate immune system cells, such as the resident hepatic macrophages, Kupffer cells, transcription of tumor necrosis factor (*TNF*) and IL1 receptor antagonist a (*IL1Ra*) were down-regulated and up-regulated, respectively, upon GW0742 treatment to a similar extent in WT and PPAR $\delta$  KI mice. mRNA levels of specific markers of alternative macrophage activation, such as dectin-1 (*CLEC7A*) and arginase 1 (*ARG1*), were similarly down-regulated by GW0742 in WT and PPAR $\delta$  KI mice (Figure 6C). These results

are in contradiction with those of Odegaard *et al.* showing a strong induction of *CLEC7A* and *ARG1* by GW0742 (Odegaard *et al.*, 2008) in Sv129/SvJ mice. This discrepancy could be caused by different mouse genetic background used for the studies.

### **Response of hPPAR $\delta$ to GW0742 in macrophages**

PPAR $\delta$  represents the major PPAR isotype in human and mouse macrophages (Lee *et al.*, 2003; Vosper *et al.*, 2001); and acts as a modulator of the inflammatory response (Welch *et al.*, 2003; Lee *et al.*, 2003) and as a VLDL sensor (Chawla *et al.*, 2003; Lee *et al.*, 2006).

At first, regulation of genes involved in the inflammatory response by hPPAR $\delta$  was assessed in LPS-stimulated peritoneal macrophages treated with GW0742 at 100 nM. LPS stimulation of cells is accompanied by a strong raise of mRNA levels of *iNOS* and *MCPI*, though this raise is weaker and stronger, respectively, in macrophages of PPAR $\delta$  KI when compared to macrophages of WT macrophages (Figure 7A), probably as a consequence of reduced hPPAR $\delta$  mRNA levels (Figure 2). However, GW0742 stimulation resulted in a similar decrease of LPS-induced mRNA levels of *iNOS* and *MCPI* between macrophages of WT and PPAR $\delta$  KI mice (Figure 7A).

Human-mouse dissimilarities have been observed in the regulation of the transcription of some genes involved in lipid homeostasis in macrophages in response to PPAR $\delta$  ligands, such as *ABCA1*, liver X receptor  $\alpha$  (*LXR*  $\alpha$ ) and the lipid transporter A-FABP (Lee *et al.*, 2003; Li *et al.*, 2004; Vosper *et al.*, 2001, Oliver *et al.*, 2001). To determine whether the PPAR $\delta$  protein sequence is involved in these species-specific regulations, mRNA levels of these genes were analysed in peritoneal macrophages treated with GW0742 (100 nM). No significant regulation of mRNA levels was detected for *ABCA1*, *LXR* $\alpha$  and *A-FABP* upon GW0742-treatment in macrophages of WT and PPAR $\delta$  KI treated mice (Figure7B). By contrast, mRNA levels of *CPT1a*, *CD36* and adipophilin (*ADRP*) were up-regulated to a

similar extent in WT- and PPAR $\delta$  KI-treated mice (Figure 7B), demonstrating the efficacy of the GW0742 treatment. Interestingly, the expression level of *CPT1a* was significantly lower in untreated macrophages of PPAR $\delta$  KI compared to WT mice. Furthermore, induction of *CPT1a* and *ADRP* mRNA was significantly lower in PPAR $\delta$  KI-versus WT-treated mice, this might be due to the lower expression level of hPPAR $\delta$  in macrophages (Figure 2).

## Discussion

Species differences in the response to PPAR $\delta$  activation between mouse and humans can be attributed to factors such as differences in protein sequence between the species, different expression levels of PPAR $\delta$  in the tissues, relative binding affinities for the heterodimerization partner RXR, differences in the PPREs in the promoters of its target genes, differences in expression levels of nuclear receptor coactivators. The development of humanized mice for PPAR $\delta$  allows to establish the contribution of PPAR $\delta$  protein sequence variations to the differential regulation observed between mouse and humans. This model is also useful to study the *in vivo* role of human PPAR $\delta$  signalling pathways.

In our PPAR $\delta$  KI mouse model, human PPAR $\delta$  expression is under control of the native PPAR $\delta$  mouse promoter. RT-PCR analysis with species-specific primers demonstrated the successful replacement of mouse PPAR $\delta$  by its human orthologue. Furthermore, Northern blot analysis revealed that a full length mRNA was generated from the chimeric human PPAR $\delta$  gene. Quantification of transcript levels indicated that human PPAR $\delta$  mRNA levels are lower in some tissues, such as liver, small intestine, heart and macrophages, whereas its expression is similar in white adipose tissue, soleus and quadriceps muscles and skin. The presence of the neomycin expression cassette inserted in intron sequences has been reported to interfere with mRNA splicing, leading to a decreased expression level of the targeted gene (Nagy, 2000). This hypomorphic phenotype could be reversed upon removal of the neomycin expression cassette (Raffaï *et al.*, 2002). In our PPAR $\delta$  KI model, the neomycin expression cassette introduced in the targeting vector is flanked by two LoxP sites allowing excision by the Cre recombinase. Breeding of PPAR $\delta$  KI mice with MeuCre transgenic mice, which express the Cre recombinase ubiquitously at an early stage of embryo development (Leneuve *et al.*, 2003), indicated that excision of the neomycin expression cassette did not restore nor

modify mRNA expression levels of the chimeric human PPAR $\delta$  gene (data not shown). Therefore, the replacement of 6 exons and 5 introns by the cDNA sequence of human PPAR $\delta$  likely eliminates regulatory sequences located in the removed introns. The existence of such regulatory elements were unknown at the time of the generation of the mouse model.

Replacement of mouse PPAR $\delta$  by its human orthologue clearly leads to a functional protein, since PPAR $\delta$ -deficiency generated embryonic lethality at the homozygous stage, which was not encountered in the PPAR $\delta$  KI mouse model (Barak *et al.*, 2002; Peters *et al.*, 2000). The physiological effect of the replacement was first assessed in 10 week-old male and female mice. In male mice, expression of hPPAR $\delta$  triggered a decrease of total cholesterol, mainly caused by the reduction of HDL-C. A reduction of LDL-C was also observed but this did not reach statistical significance. These effects could be due to the decreased level of PPAR $\delta$  in some tissues. This down-regulation is associated, in liver and small intestine, with a reduction of PPAR $\gamma$  mRNA levels, and in macrophages with a decrease of PPAR $\alpha$  mRNA levels, albeit the latter was not statistically significant. PPAR $\gamma$  was described to play a role in the modulation of the inflammatory response and fibrosis in liver (Kallwitz *et al.*, 2008) and small intestine (Wahli, 2008). It is unlikely that these compensatory changes in expression of PPAR $\gamma$  and PPAR $\alpha$  explain this phenotype, although this cannot be formally excluded. Furthermore, the replacement and the decrease of PPAR $\delta$  mRNA levels did not impact on TG metabolism as plasma TG did not change, supporting the conclusion that human PPAR $\delta$  can fully replace mouse PPAR $\delta$  activity in this pathway. Analysis of mRNA levels of genes involved in HDL and LDL homeostasis in liver did not identify differentially regulated genes which would explain the decrease in HDL-C and LDL-C in the PPAR $\delta$  KI mouse model.

Fasting is a physiological situation resulting in the activation of PPAR $\delta$  by endogenous fatty acids released from adipose tissue (Sanderson *et al.*, 2009). Analysis of the metabolic response to fasting in PPAR $\delta$  null mice indicated a role for PPAR $\delta$  in the

regulation of hepatic glucose and lipid metabolism, although the effects (reduced plasma cholesterol and increased glucose) are less marked compared to PPAR $\alpha$  null mice (Sanderson *et al.*, 2010). PPAR $\delta$  KI mice displayed a similar fasting response as WT mice. This was confirmed by similar changes in liver *LPIN2* and *ST3GAL5* mRNA levels in WT and PPAR $\delta$  KI mice, whose regulation is reported to be PPAR $\delta$ -dependent (Sanderson *et al.*, 2009; Sanderson *et al.*, 2010).

The response of human PPAR $\delta$  to activation was also assessed using a pharmacological approach with the GW0742 compound, a PPAR $\delta$ -selective activator (Sznajdman *et al.*, 2003). The GW0742 compound is equipotent on human and mouse PPAR $\delta$  as evaluated in cell-based transfection assay ( $EC_{50} \sim 30$  nM for human PPAR $\delta$  and  $EC_{50} \sim 50$  nM for mouse PPAR $\delta$ ), with more than 1000-fold selectivity over mouse PPAR $\alpha$  and mouse PPAR $\gamma$  (Graham *et al.*, 2005). Consistent with the role of PPAR $\delta$  activation in improving the blood lipid profile in humans and in different animal models (Roberts *et al.*, 2009; Risérus *et al.*, 2008; Sprecher *et al.*, 2006; van der Veen *et al.*, 2005, Wallace *et al.*, 2005; Oliver *et al.*, 2001; Leibowitz *et al.* 2000), GW6742 treatment induced HDL-C to a similar extent in both strains of mice. GW0742 treatment had also a minor effect on TG levels with a decrease of TG content in LDL particles in both WT and PPAR $\delta$  KI mice. By contrast, GW0742 treatment induced LDL-C, in line with recent results of Briand *et al.* (Briand *et al.*, 2009) obtained with GW0742 in mice with a similar genetic background as in our study. Overall, activation of human PPAR $\delta$  triggered similar biological effects as mouse PPAR $\delta$  in chow diet and HFD fed mice. Moreover, activation of hPPAR $\delta$  resulted in a similar anti-inflammatory response in liver and macrophages of WT and PPAR $\delta$  KI mice.

Consistent with the biological effects, analysis of transcription levels of a number of genes involved in lipoprotein and lipid metabolism in liver, soleus muscle and macrophages showed similar patterns of regulation in both mouse models. Interestingly, despite a lower

level of expression of PPAR $\delta$  in the liver and macrophages, few differences in the amplitude of gene regulation could be detected suggesting that 50% of transcript levels of PPAR $\delta$  is sufficient to maintain an optimal response by the PPAR $\delta$  activator. Although, *in vivo* studies showed a plasma concentration of GW0742 of 1 $\mu$ M at a dose of 20 mg/kg/day in mice (van der Veen *et al.*, 2005), we can not exclude that higher concentrations of GW0742 are achieved in tissues such as the liver, which could result in the activation of other PPARs. However, it is noteworthy that transcript levels of several classical PPAR $\alpha$ -regulated genes, such as *APOA1*, *APOA2* and *CPT1a*, were not modified in livers of GW0742-treated mice, rendering this possibility unlikely, although a partial activation of PPAR $\alpha$ , with regulation of only a subset of PPAR $\alpha$  target genes, by GW0742 cannot be formally excluded.

The PPAR $\delta$  KI mouse model was also used to investigate the human-mouse dissimilarities in PPAR $\delta$  regulation of genes involved in cholesterol and lipid trafficking in macrophages such as *ABCA1*, *LXR $\alpha$* , and *A-FABP*. In contrast to PPAR $\delta$ -induced regulation in human macrophages (Lee *et al.*, 2003; Li *et al.*, 2004; Vosper *et al.*, 2001), mRNA levels of *ABCA1*, *LXR $\alpha$*  and *A-FABP* were not regulated upon GW0742 treatment in macrophages from WT and PPAR $\delta$  KI mice. Despite decreased hPPAR $\delta$  transcript levels, hPPAR $\delta$  activation resulted in regulation of *ACO*, *CPT1a*, *MCPI* and *iNOS*. Similar functional response to hPPAR $\delta$  activation was also observed *in vivo* in Kupffer cells. Therefore the lack of responsiveness to GW0742 for *ABCA1*, *LXR $\alpha$*  and *A-FABP* in PPAR $\delta$  KI, as in WT, macrophages is not caused by differences in PPAR $\delta$  protein sequence between mouse and human. Distinct methodologies used for the isolation of human and mouse macrophages, differentiated blood monocytes for human macrophages *versus* peritoneal or bone marrow derived macrophages for mouse, could explain the differential regulation of these genes between mouse and human macrophages.

In conclusion, our humanized mouse model for PPAR $\delta$  shows that human PPAR $\delta$  is able to replace mouse PPAR $\delta$  function. Using the PPAR $\delta$ -specific activator, GW0742, we have shown that mouse and human PPAR $\delta$  have similar functions in lipid and lipoprotein metabolism as a consequence of the regulation of a similar gene repertoire. Therefore, this study underscores the use of this PPAR $\delta$  KI mouse model for the study of the function of human PPAR $\delta$  and as a tool for pre-clinical assessment of novel PPAR $\delta$  activators with human spectrum of action.

## **Acknowledgements**

We are grateful to E. Teissier (Inserm, U1011) for technical help and V. Beneton (GlaxoSmithKline, Les Ulis, France) for providing the GW0742 compound.

This work was supported by grants from Leducq Foundation and Conseil Régional Nord-Pas de Calais.

## **Conflict of interest**

D. Grillot is employed by GlaxoSmithKline, F-91951, Les Ulis, France

B. Gross, N. Hennuyer, E. Bouchaert, C. Rommens, H. Mezdour and B. Staels state no conflict of interest.

## References

- Barak Y, Liao D, He W, Ong ES, Nelson MC, Olefsky JM *et al.* (2002). Effects of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor  $\delta$  on placentation, adiposity, and colorectal cancer. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 99: 303-308.
- Barish GD, Narkar VA, Evans RM (2006). PPAR $\delta$ : a dagger in the heart of the metabolic syndrome. *J Clin Invest* 116: 590-597.
- Briand F, Naik SU, Fuki I, Millar JS, Macphee C, Walker M *et al.* (2009). Both the Peroxisome Proliferator-Activated Receptor (PPAR) delta agonist, GW0742, and ezetimibe promote reverse cholesterol transport in mice by reducing intestinal re-absorption of HDL-derived cholesterol. *Clin Transl Sci* 2: 127-133.
- Bugge A, Grøntved L, Aagaard MM, Borup R, Mandrup S (2009). The PPARgamma2 A/B-domain plays a gene-specific role in transactivation and cofactor recruitment. *Mol. Endocrinol* 23: 794-808.
- Chawla A, Lee CH, Barak Y, He W, Rosenfeld J, Liao D *et al.* (2003). PPAR $\delta$  is a very low-density lipoprotein sensor in macrophages. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 100: 1268-1273.
- Dressel U, Allen TL, Pippal JB, Rohde PR, Lau P, Muscat GE (2003). The peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor  $\beta/\delta$  agonist, GW501516, regulates the expression of genes involved in lipid catabolism and energy uncoupling in skeletal muscle cells. *Mol Endocrinol* 17: 2477-2493.
- Ehrenborg E, Krook A (2009). Regulation of skeletal muscle physiology and metabolism by peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor  $\delta$ . *Pharmacol Rev* 61: 373-393.

- Escher P, Braissant O, Basu-Modak S, Michalik L, Wahli W, Desvergne B (2001). Rat PPARs: quantitative analysis in adult rat tissues and regulation in fasting and refeeding. *Endocrinology* 142: 4195-4202.
- Girroir EE, Hollingshead HE, He P, Zhu B, Perdew GH, Peters JM (2008). Quantitative expression patterns of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor- $\beta/\delta$  (PPAR $\beta/\delta$ ) protein in mice. *Biochem Biophys Res Commun* 371: 456-461.
- Gonzalez FJ, Shah YM (2008). PPAR $\alpha$ : mechanism of species differences and hepatocarcinogenesis of peroxisome proliferators. *Toxicology* 246 : 2-8.
- Graham TL, Mookherjee C, Suckling KE, Palmer CN, Patel L (2005). The PPAR $\delta$  agonist GW0742X reduces atherosclerosis in LDLR $^{-/-}$  mice. *Atherosclerosis* 181: 29-37.
- Gross B, Staels B (2007). PPAR agonists: multimodal drugs for the treatment of type-2 diabetes. *Best Pract Res Clin Endocrinol Metab* 21: 687-710.
- Gross BS, Fruchart JC, Staels B (2005). Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor  $\beta/\delta$ : a novel target for the reduction of atherosclerosis. *Drug Discov Today* 2: 237-243.
- Higashiyama H, Billin AN, Okamoto Y, Kinoshita M, Asano S (2007). Expression profiling of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor- $\delta$  (PPAR- $\delta$ ) in mouse tissues using tissue microarray. *Histochem Cell Biol* 127: 485-494.
- Huang W, Zhang J, Wei P, Schrader WT, Moore DD (2004). Meclizine is an agonist ligand for mouse constitutive androstane receptor (CAR) and an inverse agonist for human CAR. *Mol Endocrinol* 18: 2402-2408.
- Hummasti S, Tontonoz P (2006). The peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor N-terminal domain controls isotype-selective gene expression and adipogenesis. *Mol Endocrinol* 20: 1261-1275.

- Keller H, Devchand PR, Perroud M, Wahli W (1997). PPAR $\alpha$  structure-function relationship derived from species-specific differences in responsiveness to hypolipidemic agents. *Biol Chem* 378: 651-655.
- Lalloyer F, Pedersen TA, Gross B, Lestavel S, Yous S, Vallez E *et al.* (2009). Rexinoid bexarotene modulates triglyceride but not cholesterol metabolism via gene-specific permissivity of the RXR/LXR heterodimer in the liver. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 29: 1488-1495.
- Lee CH, Chawla A, Urbiztondo N, Liao D, Boisvert WA, Evans RM *et al.* (2003). Transcriptional repression of atherogenic inflammation: modulation by PPAR $\delta$ . *Science* 302: 453-457.
- Lee CH, Kang K, Mehl IR, Nofsinger R, Alaynick WA, Chong L *et al.* (2006). Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor  $\delta$  promotes very low-density lipoprotein-derived fatty acid catabolism in the macrophage. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 103: 2434-2439.
- Lee SS, Pineau T, Drago J, Lee EJ, Owens JW, Kroetz DL *et al.* (1995). Targeted disruption of the  $\alpha$  isoform of the peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gene on mice results in abolishment of the pleiotropic effects of peroxisome proliferators. *Mol Cell Biol* 15: 3012-3022.
- Lefebvre P, Chinetti G, Fruchart JC, Staesl B (2006). Sorting out the roles of PPAR $\alpha$  in energy metabolism and vascular homeostasis. *J Clin Invest* 116: 571-580
- Leibowitz MD, Fiévet C, Hennuyer N, Peinado-Onsurbe J, Duez H, Bergera J *et al.* (2000). Activation of PPAR $\delta$  alter lipid metabolism in db/db mice. *FEBS lett* 473: 333-336.
- Leneuve P, Colnot S, Hamard G, Francis F, Niwa-Kawakita M, Giovannini M, *et al.* (2003). Cre-mediated germline mosaicism: a new transgenic mouse for the selective removal of residual markers from tri-lox conditional alleles. *Nucleic Acid Res* 31:e21

- Li AC, Binder CJ, Gutierrez A, Brown KK, Plotkin CR, Pattison JW *et al.* (2004). Differential inhibition of macrophage foam-cell formation and atherosclerosis in mice by PPAR $\alpha$ ,  $\beta/\delta$ , and  $\gamma$ . *J Clin Invest* 114: 1564-1576.
- Luo J, Quan J, Tsai J, Hobensack CK, Sullivan C, Hector R *et al.* (1998). Nongenetic mouse models of non-insulin-dependent diabetes mellitus. *Metabolism* 47: 663-668.
- Kallwitz ER, McLachlan A, Cotler SJ (2008). Role of peroxisome proliferators-activated receptors in the pathogenesis and treatment of nonalcoholic fatty liver disease. *World J Gastroenterol* 14: 22-28.
- Morimura K, Cheung C, Ward JM, Reddy JK, Gonzalez FJ (2006). Differential susceptibility of mice humanized for peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor  $\alpha$  to Wy-14,643-induced liver tumorigenesis. *Carcinogenesis* 27: 1074-1080.
- Muoio DM, MacLean PS, Lang DB, Li S, Houmard JA, Way JM, *et al.* (2002). Fatty acid homeostasis and induction of lipid regulatory genes in skeletal muscles of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor (PPAR)  $\alpha$  knock-out mice. *J Chem Biol* 277: 26089-26097.
- Nagy A (2000). Cre recombinase: the universal reagent for genome tailoring. *Genesis* 26:99-109.
- Odegaard JI, Ricardo-Gonzalez RR, Eagle AR, Vats D, Morel CR, Goforth MH *et al.* (2008). Alternative M2 activation of Kupffer cells by PPAR $\delta$  ameliorates obesity-induced insulin resistance. *Cell Metabolism* 7:496-507.
- Oliver WR Jr, Shenk JL, Snaith MR, Russell CS, Plunket KD, Bobkin NL *et al.* (2001). A selective peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor  $\delta$  agonist promotes reverse cholesterol transport. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 98: 5306-5311.

- Peters JM, Lee SS, Li W, Ward JM, Gavrilova O, Everett C *et al.* (2000). Growth, adipose, brain, and skin alteration resulting from targeted disruption of the mouse peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor  $\beta(\delta)$ . *Mol Cell Biol* 20: 5119-5128.
- Raffaï RL, Weisgraber KH (2002). Hypomorphic apolipoprotein E mice: a new model of conditional gene repair to examine apolipoprotein E-mediated metabolism. *J Biol Chem* 277: 11064-11068.
- Ram VJ (2003). Therapeutic role of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptors in obesity, diabetes and inflammation. *Prog Drug Res* 60: 96-132.
- Risérus U, Sprecher D, Johnson T, Olson E, Hirschberg S, Liu A *et al.* (2008). Activation of PPAR $\delta$  promotes reversal of multiple metabolic abnormalities, reduces oxidative stress and increases fatty acid oxidation in moderately obese man. *Diabetes* 57: 332-339.
- Roberts LD, Hassall DG, Winegar DA, Haselden JN, Nicholls AW, Griffin JL (2009). Increased hepatic oxidative metabolism distinguishes the action of Peroxisome Proliferator-Activated Receptor  $\delta$  from Peroxisome Proliferator-Activated Receptor  $\gamma$  in the *ob/ob* mouse. *Genome Med* 1: 115.
- Sanderson LM, Degenhardt T, Koppen A, Kalkhoven E, Desvergne B, Müller M *et al.* (2009). Peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor  $\beta/\delta$  but not PPAR $\alpha$  serves as a plasma free fatty acid sensor in liver. *Mol Cell Biol* 29: 6257-6267
- Sanderson LM, Boekschoten MV, Desvergne B, Müller M, Kersten S (2010). Transcriptional profiling reveals divergent roles of PPAR $\alpha$  and PPAR $\beta/\delta$  in regulation of gene expression in mouse liver. *Physiol Genomics* 41: 42-52.
- Sprecher DL, Massien C, Pearce G, Billin AN, Perlstein I, Willson TM *et al.* (2006). Triglyceride: High-density lipoprotein cholesterol effects in healthy subjects

- administered a peroxisome proliferator activated receptor  $\delta$  agonist. *Arterioscler Thromb Vasc Biol* 27: 359-365.
- Sznapidman ML, Haffner CD, Maloney PR, Fivush A, Chao E, Goreham D, *et al.* (2003). Novel selective small molecule agonists for peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor  $\delta$  (PPAR $\delta$ )-synthesis and biological activity. *Bioorg Med Chem Lett* 13:1517-1521.
- Tanaka T, Yamamoto J, Iwasaki S, Asaba H, Hamura H, Ikeda Y *et al.* (2003). Activation of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor  $\delta$  induces fatty acid  $\beta$ -oxidation in skeletal muscle and attenuates metabolic syndrome. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 100: 15924-15929
- van der Veen JN, Kruit JK, Havinga R, Baller JF, Chimini G, Lestavel S *et al.* (2005). Reduced cholesterol absorption upon PPAR $\delta$  activation coincides with decreased intestinal expression of NPC1L1. *J Lipid Res* 46: 526-534.
- Vosper H, Patel L, Graham TL, Khoudoli GA, Hill A, Macphee CH *et al.* (2001). The peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor  $\delta$  promotes lipid accumulation in human macrophages. *J Biol Chem* 276: 44258-44265.
- Wahli W (2008). A gut feeling of the PXR, PPAR and NF- $\kappa$ B connection. *J Intern Med* 263: 613-619.
- Wallace JM, Schwarz M, Coward P, Houze J, Sawyer JK, Kelley KL *et al.* (2005). Effects of peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor  $\alpha/\delta$  agonists on HDL-cholesterol in vervet monkeys. *J Lip Res* 46:1009-1016.
- Wang YX, Zhang CL, Yu RT, Cho HK, Nelson MC, Bayuga-Ocampo CR *et al.* (2004). Regulation of muscle fiber type and running endurance by PPAR $\delta$ . *Plos Biol* 2: e294.
- Welch JS, Ricote M, Akiyama TE, Gonzalez FJ, Glass CK (2003) PPAR $\gamma$  and PPAR $\delta$  negatively regulate specific subsets of lipopolysaccharide and IFN- $\gamma$  target genes in macrophages. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 100: 6712-6717.

Xie W, Barwick JL, Downes M, Blumberg B, Simon CM, Nelson MC *et al.* (2000).  
Humanized xenobiotic response in mice expressing nuclear receptor SXR. *Nature* 406:  
435-439.

## Legends

**Figure 1. Targeted replacement of the mouse *PPAR $\delta$*  gene by the human orthologous cDNA.** (A) Strategy for the development of the *PPAR $\delta$*  KI mouse model. From top to bottom: wild-type locus of the mouse *PPAR $\delta$*  gene with the coding sequence initiating in exon 3 and ending in exon 8, the targeting vector and the targeted locus.

Expected DNA restriction fragments and their size are represented by double-headed arrows under the respective genomic structures. Restriction sites are : B, *Bam*HI ; H, *Hpa*I ; Hd, *Hind*III. Black boxes indicate exons, grey boxes the cDNA, arrow heads loxP sites, open arrow the neomycin (Neo) expression cassette. (B) Genomic Southern blot analysis of 4 targeted embryonic stem cell clones (recombinant ES clones) as opposed to wild-type cells (+/+). Southern blots show integration of the targeting vector with appropriate genomic alterations at 5' and 3' termini to the homologous recombination sites. When one allele of the mouse *PPAR $\delta$*  gene is replaced by homologous recombination, *Bam*HI and *Hpa*I restriction fragments of 13.4 kb appeared when the gene was analyzed with the 5'probe and 3' probe, respectively. (C) Southern blot analysis of tail DNA from wild-type (+/+), heterozygous (+/KI) and homozygous (KI/KI) mutant mice carrying either the wild-type, one or both targeted alleles. Deduced genotypes are indicated on top. (D) RT-PCR with species-specific primers performed on liver samples from wild-type (+/+), heterozygous (+/KI) and homozygous (KI/KI) *PPAR $\delta$*  KI mice.

**Figure 2. Comparative expression analysis of the different *PPAR* isotypes in *PPAR $\delta$*  KI and WT mouse tissues.** Transcript levels of *PPAR $\delta$* , *PPAR $\alpha$*  and *PPAR $\gamma$*  were measured in liver, skeletal muscle (soleus & quadriceps), white adipose tissue (WAT), peritoneal macrophages, small intestine, heart and skin. Relative expression of *PPAR* transcripts in wild-type and *PPAR $\delta$*  KI mice was measured by quantitative PCR. Expression values are normalized to

36B4 and expression of PPAR $\delta$ , PPAR $\alpha$  and PPAR $\gamma$  in wild-type mice was set at 100 for each tissue. Values represent means  $\pm$  SD. Significant differences by Student's *t*-test. \*, P<0.05; \*\*, P<0.005\*\*\*, P<0.001 WT vs PPAR $\delta$  KI.

**Figure 3. Expression of hPPAR $\delta$  is not altering the metabolic response to fasting.** (A) Plasma metabolites were analyzed in fed (white bars), 24 hours fasted (black bars) wild-type and PPAR $\delta$  KI mice. (B) Expression of genes involved in carbohydrate, lipid and lipoprotein metabolism were measured by quantitative PCR in livers from fed (white bars) and fasted (black bars) wild-type and PPAR $\delta$  KI mice. Expression values are normalized to cyclophilin and expression of fed wild-type mice was set at 100. Values represent means  $\pm$  SD. Significant differences by 1-way ANOVA analysis. \*, P<0.05; \*\*, P<0.005\*\*\*, P<0.001 fed vs fasted.

**Figure 4. Effect of GW0742 treatment on gene regulation in livers of wild-type and PPAR $\delta$  KI mice.** Livers mRNA expression levels from vehicle- (white bars) and GW0742-treated (black bars) wild-type and PPAR $\delta$  KI mice were measured by quantitative PCR. Analyzed transcript were classified according to their metabolic function : (A) lipoprotein and TG metabolism, (B) fatty acid oxidation and transport. Expression values are normalized to cyclophilin and expression of vehicle-treated wild-type mice was set at 100. Values represent means  $\pm$  SD. Significant differences by 1-way ANOVA analysis. \*, P<0.05; \*\*, P<0.005\*\*\*, P<0.001 vehicle vs GW0742. #, P<0.05; ##, P<0.005 ###, P<0.001 WT vs PPAR $\delta$  KI

**Figure 5. Effect of GW0742 treatment on gene regulation in soleus muscle of wild-type and PPAR $\delta$  KI mice.** mRNA expression levels of vehicle- (white bars) and GW0742-treated (black bars) wild-type and PPAR $\delta$  KI mice were measured by quantitative PCR. Expression

values are normalized to 36B4 and expression of vehicle-treated wild-type mice was set at 100. Values represent means  $\pm$  SD. Significant differences by 1-way ANOVA analysis. \*,  $P<0.05$ ; \*\*,  $P<0.005$ \*\*\*,  $P<0.001$  vehicle vs GW0742.

**Figure 6. Similar response of *hPPAR $\delta$  KI* and wild-type mice upon high fat diet feeding.**

(A) Plasma lipids were analyzed in wild-type and PPAR $\delta$  KI mice fed high fat diet for 7 weeks followed by 14 days treatment with vehicle (white bars) or GW0742 at 20mg/kg/day (black bars). (B,C) Hepatic expression of genes involved in lipid metabolism and in the inflammatory response were analyzed in vehicle- (white bars) and GW0742-treated (black bars) wild-type and PPAR $\delta$  KI mice by quantitative PCR. Expression values are normalized to 36B4 and expression of vehicle-treated wild-type mice was set at 100. Values represent means  $\pm$  SD. Significant differences by 1-way ANOVA analysis. \*,  $P<0.05$ ; \*\*,  $P<0.005$ \*\*\*,  $P<0.001$  vehicle vs GW0742. #,  $P<0.05$ ; ##,  $P<0.005$  ###,  $P<0.001$  WT vs PPAR $\delta$  KI.

**Figure 7. Effect of GW0742 treatment on gene regulation in peritoneal macrophages of wild-type and *PPAR $\delta$  KI* mice.**

(A) Expression of genes involved in the inflammatory response were analyzed by quantitative PCR in peritoneal macrophages from wild-type and PPAR $\delta$  KI mice. Macrophages were treated with vehicle (grey bars) or LPS (100 ng/ml) for 24 hours in presence of vehicle (white bars) or GW0742 (100 nM, black bars). Expression values are normalized to cyclophilin and expression of LPS + vehicle-treated wild-type macrophages was set at 100. Values represent means  $\pm$  SD. Significant differences by 1-way ANOVA analysis.  $P<0.005$ §§§ vehicle vs LPS + vehicle,  $P<0.05$ ; \*\*,  $P<0.005$ \*\*\*,  $P<0.001$  LPS + vehicle vs LPS + GW0742. #,  $P<0.05$  WT vs PPAR $\delta$  KI. (B) Expression of genes involved in lipid homeostasis were analyzed by quantitative PCR in peritoneal macrophages from WT and PPAR $\delta$  KI mice treated with vehicle (white bars) or GW0742 (100 nM, black bars) for 24 hours. Expression values are normalized to cyclophilin and expression of vehicle-

treated wild-type mice was set at 100. Values represent means  $\pm$  SD. Significant differences by 1-way ANOVA analysis. \*, P<0.05; \*\*, P<0.005\*\*\*, P<0.001 vehicle vs GW0742. #, P<0.05; ##, P<0.005 ###, P<0.001 WT vs PPAR $\delta$  KI.