



HAL
open science

Psychological adjustment and autonomic disturbances in inflammatory bowel diseases and irritable bowel syndrome.

Sonia Pellissier, Cécile Dantzer, Frédéric Canini, Nicolas Mathieu, Bruno Bonaz

► To cite this version:

Sonia Pellissier, Cécile Dantzer, Frédéric Canini, Nicolas Mathieu, Bruno Bonaz. Psychological adjustment and autonomic disturbances in inflammatory bowel diseases and irritable bowel syndrome.: Psychological and autonomic dysfunctions in IBD and IBS. Psychoneuroendocrinology, Elsevier, 2010, 35 (5), pp.653-62. 10.1016/j.psyneuen.2009.10.004 . inserm-00528271

HAL Id: inserm-00528271

<https://www.hal.inserm.fr/inserm-00528271>

Submitted on 10 Feb 2012

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Psychological adjustment and autonomic disturbances in inflammatory bowel diseases and irritable bowel syndrome

Sonia Pellissier^a, Cécile Dantzer^b, Frédéric Canini^{a,c}, Nicolas Mathieu⁴, and Bruno
Bonaz^{a,d*}

^a Stress et Interactions Neuro-Digestives (SIND), Grenoble Institut des Neurosciences
(GIN), Centre de Recherche INSERM 836 UJF-CEA-CHU, Grenoble, F-38043,
France

^b Université de Savoie, Laboratoire Inter-Universitaire de Psychologie : Personnalité,
Cognition, Changement social (LIP/PC2S), Chambéry, BP 1104, F-73011, France

^c Département des Facteurs Humains, Pôle de Neurophysiologie du Stress, Centre de
Recherches du Service de Santé des Armées Emile Pardé, 24 Avenue des Maquis du
Grésivaudan, F-38702 La Tronche Cedex, France

^d Clinique Universitaire d'Hépatogastroentérologie, CHU de Grenoble, F-38043,
France

Running title: Psychological and autonomic dysfunctions in IBD and IBS

* Corresponding author at: Clinique Universitaire d'Hépatogastroentérologie et
Stress et Interactions Neuro-Digestives (SIND), Grenoble Institut des Neurosciences (GIN),
Centre de Recherche INSERM 836 UJF-CEA-CHU, Grenoble, F-38043, France. Tel.: +33 4
76765597; fax: + 33 4 76765297.

E-mail address: BBonaz@chu-grenoble.fr (B.Bonaz)

Summary Psychological factors and the autonomic nervous system (ANS) are implicated in the pathogenesis of inflammatory bowel diseases (IBD) and irritable bowel syndrome (IBS). This study aimed to assess, *firstly* the way IBS and IBD patients cope with their pathology according to their affective adjustment and *secondly* the possible links between these affective adjustments and ANS reactivity. Patients with Crohn's disease (CD; n=26), ulcerative colitis (UC; n=22), or IBS (n=27) were recruited and compared to 21 healthy subjects based on psychological variables (trait- and state anxiety, depressive symptomatology, negative mood, perceived stress, coping, health locus of control) and sympatho-vagal balance through heart-rate variability monitored at rest. A principal component analysis, performed on all affective variables, isolated a leading factor labelled as "affective adjustment". In each disease, patients were distributed into positive and negative affective adjustment. In all the diseases, a positive affect was associated with problem-focused coping, and a negative affect with emotion-focused coping and external health locus of control. Results show that the sympatho-vagal balance varied according to the disease. In CD presenting positive affectivity, an adapted high sympathetic activity was observed. In UC, a parasympathetic blunt was observed in the presence of negative affectivity and an equilibrated sympatho-vagal balance in the presence of positive affectivity. In contrast, in IBS, an important dysautonomia (with high sympathetic and low parasympathetic tone) was constantly observed whatever the affective adjustment. In conclusion, this study suggests that the equilibrium of the ANS is differentially adapted according to the disease. This equilibrium is conjugated with positive affective and cognitive adjustment in IBD (CD and UC) but not in IBS.

KEYWORDS: Inflammatory bowel diseases (IBD); Irritable bowel syndrome (IBS); Coping; Emotion; Heart rate variability (HRV); Autonomic nervous system (ANS)

1. Introduction

Inflammatory bowel diseases (IBD) are primarily comprised of 2 disorders: ulcerative colitis (UC) and Crohn's disease (CD). IBD are characterized by a chronic course in which phases of remission of variable length are interrupted by acute episodes. Irritable Bowel Syndrome (IBS) is a highly prevalent functional gastrointestinal disorder mainly characterized by abdominal pain and discomfort in association with altered bowel habits in the absence of any structural abnormalities (Schepper et al., 2008; Thompson et al., 2000; Thompson et al., 1999). Despite differences in the aetiologies of IBD and IBS (Baumgart and Sandborn, 2007; Von Stein et al., 2008) stress represents a common risk factor in their pathogenesis. Patients often report stressful life events at the onset and/or during the time course of their disease (Collins, 2001; Li et al., 2004; Mawdsley and Rampton, 2005; Mönnikes et al., 2001; Reber et al., 2006; Tang et al., 2008). The burden of such chronic diseases adds undercurrents of psychological strain to the weight of the pathology. Most of the psychological disturbances reported in IBD and IBS are therefore principally related to the illness' time course (Jones et al., 2006). It results in high perceived stress levels (Rogala et al., 2008; Tang et al., 2008), impaired quality of life (Coffin et al., 2004) and affective co-morbidities such as worries, anxiety, negative mood or depression (Miehsler et al., 2008; Mittermaier et al., 2004; Olden, 2008; Sugaya and Nomura, 2008). This forces the patients to develop coping strategies such as *i) problem-focused coping* (i.e., where the individual faces the problem, seeks a solution, tries to resolve it), *ii) emotion-focused coping* (i.e., where the individual changes by denying that there is a problem to be able to cope with) and *iii) search for social support* (i.e., where the individual asks his family or health professionals for help, or counselling). These coping strategies may in turn influence the path of their disease: CD patients with low avoidance coping strategies were the least likely to relapse (Bitton et al., 2008). The individual coping style may therefore determine the outcome, which combines psychological (e.g., anxiety,

depression), social (e.g., absenteeism, social withdrawal) and biological (e.g., evolution of the disease) dimensions. This is commonly observed in multiple chronic diseases (such as arthritis or heart disease) in which problem-focused coping or search for social support is usually more beneficial than emotion-focused coping (Martz and Livneh, 2007; Thompson and Gustafson, 1996). This point of view agrees with the Lazarus & Folkman's transactional model of stress in which stress is considered as the result of an "imbalance between demands and resources" occurring when "pressure exceeds one's perceived ability to cope" (Lazarus and Folkman, 1984). It could be speculation then, that the extent of positive affects influence coping in favour of beneficial ways (i.e. problem-focused and social search coping).

The interrelations between digestive diseases and psychological disturbances reflect the special link between the brain and the gut in what is called the "brain-gut axis". The autonomic nervous system (ANS) is the neural interface relaying bottom-up and top-down informations. Visceral sensations are carried through vagal afferents. These informations directly modulate efferent premotor regions of the ANS (Craig, 2002; Mayer et al., 2006). More importantly, these efferent regions can also be negatively or positively modulated by a set of upper brain regions like amygdala, hippocampus and prefrontal cortex (Benarroch, 1993; Loewy and Spyer, 1990; Saper, 2002). These regions which can modulate gut function, are also involved in the regulation of emotional (e.g., mood, anxiety, negative affects, pain) and cognitive behaviours (e.g., decision making, planning, search for informations), and therefore in the development of social behaviour, coping strategy and well-being (Gillanders et al., 2008; Seminowicz et al., 2004). Accordingly, a growing body of evidence suggests the existence of autonomic dysfunctions in patients with IBD and IBS (Ollsson et al., 2007; Spaziani et al., 2008; Spetalen et al., 2008; Taylor and Keely, 2007; Van Orshoven et al., 2006), whatever the severity of symptoms and even during remission in IBD or asymptomatic phase in IBS (Ganguli et al., 2007; Sharma et al., 2008). Despite these findings, little is

known about the type of autonomic dysfunctions according to the way patients are psychologically adjusted with negative or positive affects. We speculate that the positive or negative affects observed in IBD and IBS patients are associated to specific coping strategies (more problem-centred in the positive affects cases or more emotion-centred in the negative affects cases) and to an adapted ANS activity (equilibrated autonomic balance in the positive affects cases and an imbalance in the negative affects cases). Since gut diseases are heterogeneous, we have studied these relations in three groups of patients (IBS, IBD with CD or IBD with UC) in comparison to healthy controls. In order to understand the links between emotional affects, coping strategies and ANS adaptations, each group of patients was subdivided into two subgroups according to their emotional adjustment. Differences in coping style and ANS balance were analyzed in these two subgroups.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Subjects (Table 1, subject demographics)

Ninety-six subjects were prospectively recruited between January 1st, 2006 and December 31st, 2007. All patients were recruited in our Gastroenterology Department while age- and sex-matched healthy subjects were included from the Grenoble Inserm Clinical Investigation Centre. Subjects were distributed as: *i) Healthy volunteers* (controls, n=21), *ii) IBS patients* (n=27), *iii) IBD patients* (UC, n=22; CD, n=26). The study was conducted according to the Declaration of Helsinki and in accordance with the guidelines of Good Clinical Practice. The protocol was approved by the ethical committee of the Grenoble Faculty of Medicine and Hospital (France) and written informed consent was obtained from each participant.

2.2. Criteria for inclusion

2.2.1. IBD patients

CD patients were selected according to their phenotype as defined by the Vienna classification (Gasche, 1998). CD patients with isolated ano-perineal or upper digestive tract lesions were not eligible. CD activity was evaluated by the Harvey–Bradshaw index (HBI, (Harvey and Bradshaw, 1980) and patients with an HBI>4 on inclusion were considered under clinical relapse. UC patients with lesions limited to the rectum were not eligible. UC activity was evaluated by the ulcerative colitis activity index (UCAI; Lichtiger et al., 1994) and patients with a UCAI \geq 10 were considered to have a clinical relapse.

Concomitant treatments (e.g., date of start, duration, stable dose, mean dose) were recorded. Patients were included only if they had a stable dose of *i) 5-aminosalicylates* for at least 2 weeks, *ii) corticosteroids* for at least 2 weeks, *iii) immunosuppressives* (e.g.,

azathioprine/mercaptopurine, methotrexate) for at least 12 weeks, and *iv*) *biological therapy* (e.g., infliximab, adalimumab) for at least 8 weeks.

2.2.2. IBS patients

Patients were selected according to Rome II criteria (Thompson et al., 1999): at least 12 weeks, not necessarily consecutive, in the preceding 12 months of abdominal discomfort or pain that has two out of three features: 1) Relieved with defecation; and/or 2) Onset associated with a change in frequency of stool; and/or 3) Onset associated with a change in form (appearance) of stool. Patients who had previously undergone abdominal surgery, except appendectomy and/or cholecystectomy, were excluded from the study. The severity of disease was estimated through the completed standardized bowel disease questionnaire (Coffin et al., 2004) and a visual analogic scale (VAS) measuring the intensity of perceived pain the day of HRV assessment. The lack of organicity for patient's symptoms was assumed through: *i*) a negative physical examination; *ii*) a normal colonoscopy performed within the last five years with normal biopsies (i.e., absence of microscopic colitis); *iii*) normal limited laboratory evaluations with a lack of inflammation (i.e., erythrocyte sedimentation rate, C-reactive protein), anaemia and infection (complete blood cell count) and endocrine or metabolic disturbances (i.e., thyroid stimulating hormone, chemical analysis).

2.3. Criteria for exclusion

Patients were excluded from the study if: *(i)* they did not fulfil the regulatory conditions; *(ii)* they had past or present medical conditions complicated by autonomic dysfunction (e.g., peripheral neuropathy, diabetes, vagotomy, dysthyroidism, amyloidosis, asthma, heart failure, renal insufficiency, alcoholism), psychiatric disorders (e.g., panic disorder, uncontrolled depression), *(iii)* they were under medication susceptible to modify the ANS (e.g., anticholinergics, antiarrhythmics, clonidine, beta blocking agents, tricyclic antidepressants,

metronidazole). Smokers were asked to abstain from smoking on the morning of their testing session.

2.4. Experimental design

All patients underwent an interview concerning their history (disease duration, extent, extra-intestinal manifestations, course, current and past therapies, past medical history, medications) and a physical examination in order to determine their inclusion in the study according to the defined criteria described above.

After enrolment, the patients came one at a time in the Department of Gastroenterology. Upon their arrival, patients were led into a quiet examining room and asked to sit down in a comfortable armchair. After a 30-min period of relaxation, patients underwent a 10-min ECG assessment during which the technician carefully observed the optimal condition to ensure that recording was free of body movements, conversations, and any subjective discomfort. After ECG recording, patients responded to psychological questionnaires in a separate quiet examining room for 30 to 45 min. All experimental sessions were performed between 8 AM and 12 AM.

2.5. Autonomic assessment: Power spectral analysis of Heart Rate Variability

ANS activity was explored using heart rate variability (HRV) as a reliable and non invasive method to assess sympatho-vagal balance (Lombardi et al., 1996). Initially described by Akselrod (Akselrod et al., 1981) to explore cardiovascular control, this tool is now commonly used in gastrointestinal physiology to assess autonomic imbalances related to digestive autonomic regulation (Chen et al., 2004; Chen et al., 2006; Jarrett et al., 2008; Ng et al., 2007; Petelenz et al., 2004). ECG signal was acquired through electrodes placed on each wrist. HRV

analysis was performed with specific software (Heart Rhythm Scanner, Biocom Technologies, USA). Firstly, QRS complexes were automatically classified. Ectopic or abnormal QRS complexes and noise were automatically removed. The signal was then carefully checked and remaining abnormalities were manually removed. Then, a standard spectral analysis was applied on inter-beat intervals by means of Fast Fourier Transformation (FFT). The following parameters were calculated: (i) Total Power (TP, from 0 to 0.4 Hz, msec²) was considered as the net effect of all physiological mechanisms contributing to HRV; (ii) High Frequency power spectrum (HF, from 0.15 to 0.4 Hz, msec²) reflected both parasympathetic and fluctuations caused by respiratory sinus arrhythmia; (iii) Low Frequency power spectrum (LF, from 0.04 to 0.15 Hz, msec²) reflected both sympathetic and parasympathetic tone; (iv) Very Low Frequency power spectrum (VLF, from 0.0033 to 0.004 Hz, msec²) might represent various negative emotions or worries in short time recording (Yeragani et al., 1998). These variables were then expressed in normalized units: normalized VLF (VLFn) was calculated as the ratio of absolute values VLF/TP-(LF+HF) and the same was carried out for normalized HF [HFn, HF/(TP-VLF)] and normalized LF [LFn, LF/(TP-VLF)]. This calculation minimized the effect of changes in Very Low Frequency power or LF and HF power and emphasized the changes in sympathetic or parasympathetic regulation. (v) Lastly, LF/HF ratio was calculated as a global marker of the balance between sympathetic and parasympathetic tones.

2.6. Psychological assessments

Anxious symptomatology was assessed using the trait version of the State-Trait Anxiety Inventory (STAI; Spielberger et al., 1983), validated in French by Bruchon-Schweitzer and Paulhan (Bruchon-Schweitzer and Paulhan, 1993). It differentiates the more general and long-standing quality of “trait anxiety” from the temporary condition of “state anxiety”. It consists of two scales with 20 items each, with a score varying from 20 to 80, a high score indicating

high anxiety. In the present sample, the internal consistency was high in both scales (state-anxiety scale: $\alpha=0.93$; trait-anxiety scale: $\alpha=0.90$).

Depressive symptomatology was assessed by the Center for Epidemiologic Studies-Depression Scale (CES-D; (Fuhrer and Rouillon, 1989; Radloff, 1977)). This brief scale (20 items) assesses symptoms or behaviours often associated with depression. The total score varies from 0 to 60, a high score signifying a high level of depressive symptomatology. An alpha coefficient for internal consistency of 0.85 has been reported in general population samples and 0.90 in psychiatric samples (Nunnally, 1978). In the present sample, the alpha coefficient was 0.92.

Perceived stress was evaluated by the Perceived Stress Scale (PSS; (Cohen, 1983)), which corresponds to the transactional definition of stress proposed by Lazarus et Folkman where a situation is perceived as stressful if it is perceived as threatening, unpredictable and uncontrollable (Lazarus and Folkman, 1984). This 14-items PSS version presents the best psychometric qualities. Each item is coded for the preceding one-month period from 1 « never » to 5 « often ». A global stress intensity score is calculated from 14 to 70, a high score signifying high stress intensity. In the present sample, the alpha coefficient was 0.80.

Negative mood was assessed with the negative mood subscale of the Positive And Negative Affect Scale (PANAS; (Watson et al., 1988a)). The response of clinical or normal subjects on pleasant and unpleasant emotional content followed a 2-factor structure with pleasant terms (e.g., being enthusiastic, active) called “positive affect” and unpleasant terms (e.g., sadness, distress, hostility, worry) called “negative affect”. The latter, often considered as a general indicator of psychological distress, is composed of depression, anxiety and neurosis. The balance between both factors is evaluated through 20 emotional states (10 positive and 10 negative). For each state, the patients indicate how they felt during the last month on a 5-point Lickert scale (from « not at all » to « very often »). The score for negative affect vary from 10

to 50, a high score meaning a high negative affect. In the present sample, the alpha coefficient was 0.82 for the negative affect scale.

Coping strategies were measured by the Ways of Coping Checklist Revised (WCC-R; (Vitaliano et al., 1985), validated in French by Cousson et al. (Cousson et al., 1996). The questionnaire is composed of three subscales: (i) problem-focused coping with a total score varying from 10 to 40 (ii) emotion-focused coping (total score from 9 to 36) and (iii) searching social support (total score from 8 to 32). For each subscale, a high score indicates a tendency to use the coping strategy. In our sample, the internal consistency for each subscale was 0.79, 0.45, and 0.85, respectively.

Health locus of control was evaluated with the Multidimensional Health Locus of Control Scale (MHLCS; (Wallston et al., 1978). It provides assessments of internal self-perceived (one scale) and external perceived locus of control (2 scales, “powerful others” indicates the responsibility for the individual’s health on healthcare or professionals; and “Chance” indicates that health is under the control of fate or luck). Respondents were asked to answer on a 6-point Lickert scale from « strong disagreement » to « strong agreement ». The total score for each scale varies from 4 to 24. A high score on the internal scale indicates personal health responsibility and high scores on both external scales indicate no personal health responsibility. In our sample, the internal consistency was 0.45 for internal subscale, 0.67 for “powerful others”, and 0.40 for “chance” subscales.

2.7. Statistical analysis

A Principal Components Analysis was first performed to detect a relationship between affective variables (i.e., CES-D, PANAS negative mood, STAI, PSS) and to reduce them into a single robust dimensional axis. The results of an exploratory factor analysis support such as a single-factor solution explaining 73.9% of the variance. For instance, subjects with low

affective tonus exhibited high scores in STAI, CES-D, PANAS negative mood, and PSS. All variables had a negative weight on this factor meaning that subjects with low scores on this new variable presented a positive affect and subjects with high scores had a negative affect. Factor scores were then used to categorize subjects in negative or positive affect using K-means clustering method based on observations. Two clusters of subjects (Table 2) were therefore identified: subjects with positive affect (CD⁺, UC⁺ and IBS⁺ patients) and subjects with negative affect (CD⁻, UC⁻ and IBS⁻ patients).

A complementary analysis was carried out to determine whether the actual activity of the disease, as assessed through disease activity index, in IBD patients or the level of perceived pain in IBS patients, modified acutely both psychological and physiological states, in order to verify if the level of disease activity constituted a bias. No interactions between disease activity variables and variables of interest were observed for UC and IBS patients. In contrast, an interaction between disease activity index and affective adjustment was found for HRV variables for CD patients. Patients with active CD were therefore discarded from the following steps of statistical analysis (n=5 patients). Moreover, concerning IBS patients, half of them reported diarrhoea but they were equally distributed in both negative and positive affective adjustment groups ($\text{Chi}^2 = 1,05$; $\text{dl}=1$; $p=0.30$).

The last step of the statistical analysis was carried out using a non-parametric test due to low group sizes. For each disease group, Kruskal-Wallis one-way analysis of variance by ranks was used to compare HRV, WCC-R and MHLCS variables among healthy subjects, patients with positive and negative affective adjustment. Then, two by two comparisons were performed between healthy subjects, patients with negative and positive affective adjustment using Man-Whitney U tests. Lastly, comparisons were then made in-between pathologies (CD, UC and IBS), verifying the differences within patients presenting a solely positive affect or a solely negative affect.

3. Results

3.1. Subject demographics

Ninety-six Caucasians subjects were prospectively included. Patient and healthy subject demographics are detailed in Table 1. The number of patients under clinical relapse was as followed: (i) 5/26 CD patients; (ii) 6/22 UC patients and (iii) 6/27 IBS patients.

3.2. Coping, health locus of control and HRV analysis according to positive and negative affective adjustment (Table 3)

3.2.1. CD patients

Differences among the groups were observed for problem-centred coping [$H(2, N=47)=7.119240$; $p=0.028$] and emotion-centred coping [$H(2, N=47)=9.854478$, $p=0.007$]. The CD^+ patients presented higher problem-centred coping ($p=0.02$) and lower emotion-centred coping ($p=0.01$) than the CD^- patients. Moreover, the groups' scoring varied on the "powerful others" external control scale [$H(2, N=47)=11.13849$; $p=0.003$] with both CD^- ($p=0.008$) and CD^+ ($p=0.04$) sub-groups having higher external scores than the healthy subjects. No differences among the groups were observed for seeking social support, internal control and "chance" external control.

The CD patients differed from the healthy subjects in LFn [$H(2, N=47)=6.429656$; $p=0.040$] and HFfn [$H(2, N=47)=8.504370$; $p=0.014$] with CD^+ patients having lower HFfn ($p=0.01$) and higher LFn ($p=0.003$) levels than healthy ones. In contrast, there was no significant difference in LF/HF ratio, VLFn and HR among groups. No significant differences were found in any HRV variables between the CD^+ and CD^- patients.

3.2.2. UC patients

Differences among the groups were observed for the following coping variables: problem coping [$H(2, N=42)=7.349775$; $p=0.025$]; emotion coping [$H(2, N=42)=6.289190$; $p=0.043$] with UC⁻ patients exhibiting lower problem coping scores than UC⁺ patients ($p=0.02$) and higher emotion coping scores than healthy subjects ($p=0.04$). The three groups also expressed differences in the health locus of control on the internal scores [$H(2, N=42)=5.902678$; $p=0.05$] and « powerful others » external scale [$H(2, N=42)=8.654523$; $p=0.013$] with UC⁻ patients having lower scores on the internal scale ($p=0.04$) and higher scores on the “powerful others” external scale ($p=0.03$) than the healthy subjects.

Differences among the groups were observed for HF_n [$H(2, N=43)=5.654144$; $p=0.05$] with UC⁻ exhibiting lower HF_n level ($p=0.05$) than the healthy subjects. Although differences among the groups existed for VLF_n [$H(2, N=43)=6.422795$; $p=0.040$], two by two comparisons were not statistically different. There was no difference in LF/HF ratio, LF_n and HR among the 3 experimental groups.

3.2.3. IBS patients

Differences were observed among the groups for the three coping variables: problem-centred [$H(2, N=48)=13.21082$; $p=0.001$]; emotion-centred: [$H(2, N=48)=10.48181$; $p=0.005$] and searching social support [$H(2, N=48)=13.25554$; $p=0.001$]. IBS⁻ patients had lower problem coping scores than the healthy subjects ($p=0.01$) and IBS⁺ patients ($p=0.003$). They also exhibited higher emotion coping than the healthy subjects ($p=0.003$) and lower seeking social support coping compared to IBS⁺ patients ($p=0.001$). Interestingly, IBS⁺ patients used significantly more social support searching strategies than the healthy subjects ($p=0.01$). A tendency was also observed for group heterogeneity for the internal scale [$H(2, N=46)=5.455534$; $p=0.065$] and “chance” external scale [$H(2, N=46)=5.878844$; $p=0.052$].

A difference among the groups was observed for LFn score [H (2, N=48)=15.02659; $p<0.001$], HFn [H(2, N=48)=19.32970; $p<0.001$] and LF/HF ratio [H(2, N=48)=14.70271; $p<0.001$], with IBS⁻ patients having the highest LFn ($p=0.001$), the lowest HFn ($p=0.0002$) scores and obviously the highest LF/HF ratio ($p=0.001$) as compared to the healthy subjects. No difference was observed among the groups for VLFn and HR.

3.3. In-between pathology comparisons

When comparing only patients with positive affects, differences among pathologies were observed for social support searching [H (2, N= 36) =11.38878; $p =0.003$] with CD⁺ ($p=0.003$) and UC⁺ ($p=0.04$) patients having lower values than IBS⁺ patients. Differences among groups were also noticed on LH/HF ratio [H(2, N=37)=7.375762; $p=0.025$] and VLFn [H (2, N= 37)=7.307977; $p=0.025$]. Two by two comparisons showed that CD⁺ patients differed from UC⁺ patients on VLFn ($p=0.02$), while UC⁺ patients had lower LF/HF ratio than IBS⁺ patients ($p=0.002$). No differences were observed for other variables.

When comparing all patients with negative affects, differences among pathologies were observed on “powerful others” external control [H(2, N=36)=7.463072; $p=0.024$] with CD⁻ patients having a slightly higher score than IBS⁻ patients ($p=0.06$). Differences among pathologies were also noticed for LFn [H(2, N= 38)=7.280014; $p=0.026$], HFn [H(2, N=38)=5.801725; $p=0.055$] and LF/HF ratio [H (2, N=38)=6.303644; $p=0.042$]. Two by two comparisons showed that CD⁻ patients got lower LFn ($p=0.02$), higher HFn ($p=0.04$) and lower LF/HF ratio ($p=0.03$) than IBS⁻ patients. No differences were observed for other variables.

4. Discussion

The present study reveals that positive affective adjustment in patients with IBD (UC or CD) is associated to problem-focused coping strategies and adapted protective ANS activity. In IBS, the positive affective adjustment is also related to problem-focused coping strategies but ANS activity is not coupled to the psychological adjustment.

4.1. Crohn's disease patients

The CD patients with positive affective adjustment (i.e., low scores of: anxiety, depressive symptomatology, negative mood and perceived stress) developed positive coping strategies since CD⁺ have a higher score in problem-focused coping and a lower score in emotion-focused coping than CD⁻ patients. Concomitantly, CD⁺ exhibited a higher level of sympathetic activity and a lower level of parasympathetic activity than healthy subjects. Such an autonomic imbalance is not surprising since recent studies have revealed that the sympathetic nervous system may exert protective anti-inflammatory effects in CD (Stasi and Orlandelli, 2008; Straub et al., 2008). Moreover, nicotine, a parasympathetic agonist, is known to be deleterious in CD patients (Cosnes, 2004) as well as in an experimental model of CD (Galeazzi et al., 1999). Therefore our results indicate a coupling between positive coping strategy and an enhanced sympathetic activity in CD⁺ patients. Our results suggest that the interaction between perceived stress and avoidance coping recently reported in CD (Bitton et al., 2008) could be wider and would also involve a protective sympathetic adaptation of the sympatho-vagal balance. However, it should be outlined that ANS adaptation in positive coping represents a stress functioning with a higher biological cost explaining why CD patients generally report more anxiety and depression than UC patients (Nordin et al., 2002).

4.2. Ulcerative colitis patients

The UC⁺ sub-group of patients also showed more focused-problem coping strategies than the UC⁻ subgroup. This was coupled to an equilibrated autonomic balance although their VLFn was lower than that observed in the healthy subjects. Such a pattern might reflect a long-term parasympathetic vulnerability as VLF, principally influenced by the renin-angiotensin-aldosterone system, this depends primarily on the presence of parasympathetic outflow. Indeed, the blockade of adrenergic receptors does not change VLF spectrum, whereas the blockade of nicotinic receptors abolishes it (Taylor et al., 1998). Conversely, the UC⁻ patients showed a higher emotion-focused coping score. Their parasympathetic tonus was acutely blunted as suggested by the diminished HF_n power spectral band compared to the healthy subjects. So, here again, the ANS activity is coupled to the way patients cope with their disease. However, three points should be highlighted: (i) The normal sympathetic activity in UC⁺ patients suggests that the ANS develops a reduced stress adaptation, with only a parasympathetic withdrawal, associated to positive coping and affective adjustment. Consequently, the biological cost for UC⁺ seems lower than that observed in CD⁺. This is supported by the fact that CD patients with increased disease activity report a greater impaired health-related quality of life and emotional distress than UC patients (Larsson et al., 2008). (ii) The lower ANS stress reaction in UC⁺ compared to UC⁻ may reveal a higher parasympathetic tone bearing favourable activity. This is supported by the beneficial effect of nicotine in UC (Cosnes, 2004) and by data obtained from an animal model of UC (Ghia et al., 2007). This agrees with theories on the physiological protective role of vagal tone (Porges, 1995) and on the vagus cholinergic anti-inflammatory pathway (Pavlov and Tracey, 2005).

4.3. Irritable bowel syndrome patients

As observed in IBD, IBS patients exhibited a coping behaviour in accordance with their emotional adjustment as IBS⁺ patients presented higher problem-focused coping and social support searching scores than IBS⁻. This agrees with the fact that IBS women based their coping more on social resources and less on personal resources (Fouché et al., 2006). Concomitantly, we observed profound disturbances in the sympatho-vagal balance in all IBS patients as compared to the healthy subjects. This is in accordance with other studies reporting a persistent sympathetic dominance in IBS patients at rest (Orr et al., 2000) and under stress (Tanaka et al., 2008) together with a persistent parasympathetic hyporeactivity (Van Orshoven et al., 2006) and a severe vagal dysfunction (Spaziani et al., 2008). The fact that we did not find any statistical differences in autonomic balance between IBS⁻ and IBS⁺ patients could be due to a lack of statistical power linked to the small sample size. But this seems unlikely since we have found significant differences in IBD subgroups with similar sample sizes. Alternatively, the relative uncoupling of vagal tone with affective and coping behaviour merits discussion. IBS has been suggested to be mainly characterized by visceral hypersensitivity (Truong et al., 2008) and abnormal loci of brain activation to pain (Mayer et al., 2006; Mulak and Bonaz, 2004; Truong et al., 2008). The altered gut perception may induce false autonomic reflex responses to gut stimuli independently to the pathological status of the gut. Accordingly, in our study, diarrhoea-predominant IBS patients were equally dispatched in the IBS⁺ and IBS⁻ subgroups and we did not find a correlation between affective adjustment and bowel habits as it was shown in other experimental conditions (Spetalen et al., 2008).

4.4. Methodological considerations

Comparisons among the diseases shed light on two main methodological points: (i) HRV analysis should not be isolated from the pathological mechanism (i.e., the pathology specificity) and the stress reaction, including psychobiological adjustment. In our sample, HRV parameters in CD and UC patients must be interpreted considering the negative or positive affects. Taken alone, HRV parameters may not provide a reliable conclusion about the nature of the adaptation of the autonomic balance. This aspect may explain contradictory results that could be found in the literature. Indeed, some studies found autonomic alterations only in UC and not in CD (Coruzzi et al., 2007; Ganguli et al., 2007), or in both (Mouzas et al., 2002) depending or not on the disease activity, quality of life or anxiety. Our results demonstrate further, that a set of negative affects (anxiety trait and state, negative mood, depressive symptomatology and perceived stress) with coping strategies influence the sympathetic/parasympathetic balance according to the specificities of each pathology. (ii) The behavioural adjustment observed in a given pathology is part of a more global adjustment corresponding to the equilibrium between the aggressor (i.e., the disease even if modulated by the environmental strain) and body changes due to coping. In our study, CD⁻ had the highest score of “powerful others” external health locus of control while CD⁺ patients had the lowest score for searching social support. This result is in accordance with the fact that CD patients exhibit a higher external locus of control than patients with IBS or chronic idiopathic constipation (Hobbis et al., 2003). As a counterpart, this may reflect that CD is perceived by the individual as uncontrollable. The perception of control over the illness obviously depends on the pathology and its severity. In our groups, IBS patients exhibited the opposite pattern than those of CD patients, a result that agrees with another study showing an interaction between emotional distress and coping strategy in IBS patients (Rutter and Rutter, 2002). Studies taking into account disease controllability according to the type of intestinal

pathology are rare, although this concept is one of the most important in the transactional model of stress (Lazarus and Folkman, 1984). Even if IBD and IBS present different aetiology and pathophysiology, they share some common psychological features due to their chronicity, revealing that IBD and IBS are biopsychosocial models as currently stated for IBS (Camilleri, 2001; Mulak and Bonaz, 2004) and more recently proposed for IBD (Bitton et al., 2008; Pigeon-Reesor and Ottawa, 2008).

To conclude, this study suggests that the equilibrium of the ANS is differentially adapted according to the disease. This equilibrium is coupled with affective and cognitive positive adjustment in IBD but not in IBS. This raises the question of individual stress vulnerability in IBS or IBD patients. For a better understanding of the physiological, emotional and cognitive mechanisms of individual stress vulnerability, experimental and controlled-design studies are now required in this field.

References

- Akselrod, S., Gordon, D., Ubel, F.A., Shannon, D.C., Barger, A.C., Cohen, R.J., 1981. Power spectrum analysis of heart rate fluctuation: a quantitative probe of beat-to-beat cardiovascular control. *Science* 213, 220-222.
- Baumgart, D.C., Sandborn, W.J., 2007. Inflammatory bowel disease: clinical aspects and established and evolving therapies. *The Lancet* 369, 1641-1657.
- Benarroch, E.E., 1993. The central autonomic network: functional organization, dysfunction and perspective. *Mayo Clinic Proceedings* 68, 988-1001.
- Bitton, A., Dobkin, P.L., Edwardes, M.D., Sewitch, M.J., Meddings, J.B., Rawal, S., Cohen, S., Vermeire, S., Dufresne, L., Franchimont, D., Wild, G.E., 2008. Predicting relapse in Crohn's disease: a biopsychosocial model. *Gut* 57, 1386-1392.
- Bruchon-Schweitzer, M., Paulhan, I., 1993. Manuel de l'inventaire d'anxiété état-trait forme Y (STAI-Y). Adapté par Bruchon-Schweitzer et Paulhan. Paris.
- Camilleri, M., 2001. Management of the irritable bowel syndrome. *Gastroenterology* 120, 652-668.
- Chen, C.L., Lin, H.H., Orr, W.C., Yang, C.C.H., Kuo, T.B.J., 2004. Transfer function analysis of heart rate variability in response to water intake: correlation with gastric myoelectrical activity. *J. Appl. Physiol.* 96, 2226-2230.
- Chen, C.L., Orr, W.C., Yang, C.C.H., Kuo, T.B.J., 2006. Cardiac autonomic regulation differentiates reflux disease with and without erosive esophagitis. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 41, 1001-1006.
- Coffin, B., Bouhassira, D., Sabaté, J.M., Barbe, L., Jian, R., 2004. Alteration of the spinal modulation of nociceptive processing in patients with irritable bowel syndrome. *Gut* 53, 1465-1470.

- Cohen, M.E., 1983. Mental health administration (factors which indicate success on the job). *Journal of Mental Health Administration* 10, 10-12.
- Collins, S.M., 2001. Stress and the gastrointestinal tract IV: modulation of intestinal inflammation by stress: basic mechanisms and clinical relevance. *American Journal of Physiology and Gastrointestinal and Liver Physiology* 280, G315-G1044.
- Coruzzi, P., Castiglioni, P., Parati, G., Brambilla, V., Brambilla, L., Gualerzi, M., Cademartiri, F., Franzè, A., De Angelis, G., Di Rienzo, M., Di Mario, F., 2007. Autonomic cardiovascular regulation in quiescent ulcerative colitis and Crohn's disease. *European Journal of Clinical Investigation* 37, 964-970.
- Cosnes, J., 2004. Tobacco and IBD: relevance in the understanding of disease mechanisms and clinical practice. *Best Practice and Research Clinical Gastroenterology* 18, 481-496.
- Cousson, F., Bruchon-Schweitzer, M., Quintard, B., Nuissier, J., Rascle, N., 1996. Analyse multidimensionnelle d'une échelle de coping: validation française de la WCC (Ways of Coping Checklist). *Psychologie Française* 41, 155-164.
- Craig, A.D.B., 2002. How do you feel? Interoception: The sense of the psychological condition of the body. *Nature Review of Neuroscience* 3, 655-666.
- Fouché, P., Gouws, C., Cloete, P., Naidoo, S., 2006. Biopsychosocial coping and adjustment of adult female irritable syndrome patients. *South African Journal of Psychology* 36, 780-794.
- Fuhrer, R., Rouillon, F., 1989. Fuhrer, R, Rouillon F. La version française de l'échelle CES-D (Center for Epidemiologic Studies-Depression Scale). Description et traduction de l'échelle d'autoévaluation *Psychiatry and Psychobiology* 4, 163-166.
- Galeazzi, F., Blennerhassett, P.A., Qiu, B., O'Byrne, P.M., Collins, S.M., 1999. Cigarette Smoke Aggravates Experimental Colitis in Rats. *Gastroenterology* 117, 877-883.

- Ganguli, S.C., Kamath, M.V., Redmond, K., Chen, Y., Irvine, E.J., Collins, S.M., Tougas, G., 2007. A comparison of autonomic function in patients with inflammatory bowel disease and in healthy healthy controls. *Neurogastroenterology and Motility* 19, 961-967.
- Ghia, J.E., Blennerhassett, P., Collins, S.M., 2007. Vagus nerve integrity and experimental colitis. *The American Journal of Physiology Gastrointestinal Liver Physiology* 293, G560-567.
- Gillanders, S., Wild, M., Deighan, C., Gillanders, D., 2008. Emotion regulation, affect, psychosocial functioning, and well-being in hemodialysis patients. *American Journal of Kidney Disease* 51, 651-662.
- Harvey, R.F., Bradshaw, J.M., 1980. A simple index of Crohn's disease activity. *Lancet* 1, 514.
- Hobbis, C.A., Turpin, G., Read, N.W., 2003. Abnormal illness behaviour and locus of control in patients with functional bowel disorders. *British Journal of Health Psychology* 8, 393-408.
- Jarrett, M.E., Burr, R.L., Cain, K.C., Rothermel, J.D., Landis, C.A., Heitkemper, M.M., 2008. Autonomic nervous system function during sleep among women with irritable bowel syndrome. *Dig Dis Sci* 53, 694-703.
- Jones, M.P., Wessinger, S., Crowell, M.D., 2006. Coping strategies and interpersonal support in patients with irritable bowel syndrome and inflammatory bowel disease. *Clinical Gastroenterology and Hepatology* 4, 474-481.
- Larsson, K., Löf, L., Rönnblom, A., Nordin, K., 2008. Quality of life for patients with exacerbation in inflammatory bowel disease and how they cope with disease activity. *Journal of Psychosomatic Research* 64, 139-148.
- Lazarus, R.S., Folkman, S., 1984. *Stress, Appraisal and Coping*. Springer, New York:.

- Li, J., Nørgard, B., Precht, D.H., Olsen, J., 2004. Psychological stress and inflammatory bowel disease: a follow-up study in parents who lost a child in Denmark. *American Journal of Gastroenterology* 99, 1129-1133.
- Loewy, A., Spyer, K., 1990. Central regulation of autonomic functions. Oxford University Press, New York.
- Lombardi, F., Malliani, A., Pagani, M., Cerutti, S., 1996. Heart rate variability and its sympatho-vagal modulation. *Cardiovascular Research* 32, 208-216.
- Martz, E., Livneh, H., 2007. Coping with Chronic Illness and Disability. Theoretical, Empirical and Clinical Aspects. Springer, New York.
- Mawdsley, J.E., Rampton, D.S., 2005. Psychological stress in IBD: new insights into pathogenic and therapeutic implications. *Gut* 54, 1481-1491.
- Mayer, E.A., Naliboff, B.D., Craig, A.D.B., 2006. Neuroimaging of the brain-gut axis: from basic understanding to treatment of functional GI disorders. *Gastroenterology* 131, 1925-1942.
- Miehsler, W., Weichselberger, M., Offerlbauer-Ernst, A., Dejaco, C., Reinisch, W., Vogelsang, H., Machold, K., Stamm, T., Gangl, A., Moser, G., 2008. Which patients with IBD need psychological interventions? A controlled study. *Inflammatory Bowel Disease* 14, 1273-1280.
- Mittermaier, C., Dejaco, C., Waldhoer, T., Oefflerbauer-Ernst, A., Miehsler, W., Beier, M., Tillinger, W., Gangl, A., Moser, G., 2004. Impact of depressive mood on relapse in patients with inflammatory bowel disease: A prospective 18-month follow-up study. *Psychosomatic Medicine* 66, 79-84.
- Mönnikes, H., Tebbe, J.J., Hildebrandt, M., Arck, P., Osmanoglou, E., Rose, M., Klapp, B., Wiedenmann, B., Heymann-Mönnikes, I., 2001. Role of stress in functional

- gastrointestinal disorders. Evidence for stress-induced alterations in gastrointestinal motility and sensitivity. *Digestive Disease* 19, 201-211.
- Mouzas, I.A., Pallis, A.G., Kochiadakis, G.E., Marketou, M., Chlouverakis, G.I., Mellissas, J., Vardas, P.E., Kouroumalis, E.A., 2002. Autonomic imbalance during the day in patients with inflammatory bowel disease in remission. Evidence from spectral analysis of heart rate variability over 24 hours. *Digestive and Liver Disease* 34, 775-780.
- Mulak, A., Bonaz, B., 2004. Irritable bowel syndrome: a model of the brain-gut interactions. *Medical science monitor* 10, 55-62.
- Ng, C., Malcolm, A., Hansen, R., Kellow, J., 2007. Feeding and colonic distention provoke autonomic responses in irritable bowel syndrome. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 42, 441-446.
- Nordin, K., Pahlman, L., Larsson, K., Sundberg-Hjelm, M., Löf, L., 2002. Health-related quality of life and psychological distress in a population-based sample of Swedish patients with inflammatory bowel disease. *Scand J Gastroenterol* 37, 450-457.
- Nunnally, J.C., 1978. *Psychometric theory*. New York.
- Olden, K.W., 2008. Psychological factors in functional gastrointestinal disorders: an evolving phenomenon. *Neurogastroenterology and Motility* 20, 114-120.
- Ollsson, B., Sundkvist, G., Lindgren, S., 2007. Subclinical sympathetic neuropathy appears early in the course of Crohn's disease. *BMC Gastroenterology* 7, 1-6.
- Orr, W.C., Elsenbruch, S., Harnish, M.J., 2000. Autonomic regulation of cardiac function during sleep in patients with irritable bowel syndrome. *American Journal of Gastroenterology* 95, 2865-2871.
- Pavlov, V.A., Tracey, K.J., 2005. The cholinergic anti-inflammatory pathway. *Brain Behavior and Immunity* 19, 493-499.

- Petelenz, M., Gonciarz, M., Macfarlane, P., Rudner, R., Kawecki, P., Musialik, J., Jalowiecki, P., Gonciarz, Z., 2004. Sympathovagal balance fluctuates during colonoscopy. *Endoscopy* 36, 508-514.
- Pigeon-Reesor, H., Ottawa, U., 2008. A comparison of Irritable Bowel Syndrome and Crohn's disease: mechanisms underlying symptom processing and sickness impact. *Dissertation Abstracts International: Section B: The Sciences and Engineering* 68, 4880.
- Porges, S.W., 1995. Cardiac vagal tone: a physiological index of stress. *Neuroscience and Biobehavioral Review* 19, 225-233.
- Radloff, L.S., 1977. The CES-D scale: A self-report depression scale for research in the general population. *Applied Psychological Measurement* 3, 385-401.
- Reber, S.O., Obermeier, F., Straub, R.H., Falk, W., Neumann, I.D., 2006. Chronic intermittent psychosocial stress (social defeat/overcrowding) in mice increases the severity of an acute DSS-induced colitis and impairs regeneration. *Endocrinology* 147, 4968-4976.
- Rogala, L., Miller, N., Graff, L.A., Rawsthorne, P., Clara, I., Walker, J.R., Lix, L., Ediger, J.P., McPhail, C., Bernstein, C.N., 2008. Population-based controlled study of social support, self-perceived stress, activity and work issues, and access to health care in inflammatory bowel disease. *Inflammatory Bowel Disease* 14, 526-535.
- Rutter, C.L., Rutter, D.R., 2002. Illness representation, coping and outcome in irritable bowel syndrome (IBS). *British Journal of Health Psychology* 7, 377-391.
- Saper, C.B., 2002. The central autonomic nervous system: conscious visceral perception and autonomic pattern generation. *Annual Review of neuroscience* 25, 433-469.
- Schepper, H.U., De Man, J.G., Moreels, T.G., Pelckmans, P.A., De Winter, B.Y., 2008. Review article: gastrointestinal sensory and motor disturbances in inflammatory bowel disease-clinical relevance and pathophysiological mechanisms. *Alimentary Pharmacology and Therapeutics* 27, 621-637.

- Seminowicz, D.A., Mikulis, D.J., Davis, K.D., 2004. Cognitive modulation of pain-related brain responses depends on behavioral strategy. *Pain* 112, 48-58.
- Sharma, P., Makharia, G.K., Ahuja, V., Dwivedi, S.N., Deepak, K.K., 2009. Autonomic dysfunctions in patients with inflammatory bowel disease in clinical remission. *Dig Dis Sci* 54, 853-861.
- Spaziani, R., Bayati, A., Redmond, K., Bajaj, H., Bienenstock, J., Collins, S.M., Kamath, M.V., 2008. Vagal dysfunction in irritable bowel syndrome assessed by rectal distension and baroreceptor sensitivity. *Neurogastroenterol Motil* 20, 336-342.
- Spetalen, S., Sandvik, L., Blomhoff, S., M.B., J., 2008. Autonomic function at rest and in response to emotional and rectal stimuli in women with irritable bowel syndrome. *Dig Dis Sci* 53, 1652-1659.
- Stasi, C., Orlandelli, E., 2008. Role of the brain-gut axis in the pathophysiology of Crohn's disease. *Digestive Disease* 26, 156-166.
- Straub, R.H., Grum, F., Strauch, U., Capellino, S., Bataille, F., Bleich, A., Falk, W., Schölmerich, J., Obermeier, F., 2008. Anti-inflammatory role of sympathetic nerves in chronic intestinal inflammation. *Gut* 57, 911-921.
- Sugaya, N., Nomura, S., 2008. relationship between cognitive appraisals of symptoms and negative mood for subtypes of irritable bowel syndrome. *BioPsychoSocial Medecine* 2, 1-6.
- Tanaka, T., Manabe, N., Hata, J., Kusunoki, H., Ishii, M., Sato, M., Kamada, T., Shiotani, A., Haruma, K., 2008. Characterization of autonomic dysfunction in patients with irritable bowel syndrome using fingertip blood flow. *Neurogastroenterology and Motility* 20, 498-504.

- Tang, L.Y., Nabalamba, A., Graff, L.A., Bernstein, C.N., 2008. A comparison of self-perceived health status in inflammatory bowel disease and irritable bowel syndrome patients from a Canadian national population survey. *Canadian Journal of Gastroenterology* 22, 475-483.
- Taylor, C.T., Keely, S.J., 2007. The autonomic nervous system and inflammatory bowel disease. *Autonomic Neuroscience* 133, 104-114.
- Taylor, J.A., Carr, D.L., Myers, C.W., Eckberg, D.L., 1998. Mechanisms underlying very-low-frequency RR-interval oscillations in humans. *Circulation* 98, 547-555.
- Thompson, R.J., Gustafson, K.E., 1996. Adaptation to chronic childhood illness. American Psychological Association, Washington DC.
- Thompson, W.G., Heaton, K.W., Smyth, G.T., Smyth, C., 2000. Irritable bowel syndrome in general practice: prevalence, characteristics, and referral. In, *Gut*. pp. 78-82.
- Thompson, W.G., Longstreth, G.F., Drossman, D.A., Heaton, K.W., Irvine, E.J., Müller-Lissner, S.A., 1999. Functional bowel disorders and functional abdominal pain. *Gut* 45, 43-47.
- Truong, T.T., Naliboff, B.D., Chang, L., 2008. Novel techniques to study visceral hypersensitivity in irritable bowel syndrome. *Current Gastroenterology Reports* 10, 369-378.
- Van Orshoven, N.P., Andriessse, G.I., Van Schelven, L.J., Smout, A.J., Akkermans, L.M., Oey, P.L., 2006. Subtle involvement of the parasympathetic nervous system in patients with irritable bowel syndrome. *Clinical Autonomic research* 16, 33-39.
- Vitaliano, P.P., Russo, J., Carr, J.E., Maiuro, R.D., Maiuro, R.D., Becker, J., 1985. The Ways of Coping Checklist: revision and psychometric properties. 1985; 20: 3-26. *Multivariate Behavioral Research* 20, 3-26.

- Von Stein, P., Lofberg, R., Kuznetsov, N.V., Gielen, A.W., Persson, J.O., Sundberg, R., Von Stein, O.D., 2008. Multigene analysis can discriminate between ulcerative colitis, Crohn's disease and irritable bowel syndrome. *Gastroenterology* 134, 1869-1881.
- Wallston, K.A., Wallston, B.S., DeVellis, R., 1978. Development of the Multidimensional Health Locus of Control (MHLC) Scales. *Health Education Monography* 6, 160-170.
- Watson, D., Clark, L.A., Tellegen, A., 1988a. Development of a brief measure of positive and negative affect : the PANAS scales. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology* 54, 1063-1070.

Table 2. Descriptive variables (mean±sem) of the positive and negative affective adjustments in healthy subjects and patients, corresponding to the principle factor of affective adjustment. This factor includes several affective variables representative of anxiety, negative mood, depressive symptomatology and perceived stress. Patients presenting a low score on this principle factor are considered as positively adjusted and those with a high score are negatively adjusted (see Mat&Met for more precisions)

	Healthy	CD		UC		IBS	
		CD ⁺	CD ⁻	UC ⁺	UC ⁻	IBS ⁺	IBS ⁻
	N=21	N=13	N=8	N=13	N=9	N=10	N=17
STAI-trait	42.95±1.41	35.85±1.60	55.08±2.07	38.92±2.03	53.22±1.43	40.33±1.46	56.58±1.58
STAI-state	33.76±2.21	30.50±1.39	48.41±3.44	31.30±1.71	52.77±3.30	32.40±2.06	47.94±2.86
CES-D	8.57±1.19	7.71±1.22	25.58±2.89	12.30±2.17	23.88±2.63	8.60±1.40	26.88±2.87
PANAS	20.80±1.81	19.42±1.50	29.83±1.41	19.61±1.06	30.44±2.62	21.90±1.10	30.35±1.92
PSS	34.95±1.54	30.78±1.62	47.75±1.67	35.53±1.17	44.22±2.77	31.60±1.92	43.76±1.35

Table 3. Physiological and psychological parameters in IBD and IBS patients (with positive and negative affects) and healthy subjects. Results are expressed as mean \pm standard deviation. ^a significant differences between patients (CD, UC, IBS) with positive or negative affect and healthy subjects; ^b significant differences between subgroups of patients (positive versus negative); ^c significant differences in-between pathologies with positive affect; ^d significant differences in-between pathologies with negative affect. Statistical differences are detailed in the text.

	Healthy	Crohn's Disease		Ulcerative Colitis		Irritable Bowel Syndrome	
		CD ⁺	CD ⁻	UC ⁺	UC ⁻	IBS ⁺	IBS ⁻
<u>WCC coping scores</u>							
Problem-centred	31.5 \pm 2.7	32.1 \pm 2.3 ^b	29.2 \pm 2.9	32.2 \pm 3.4 ^b	27.8 \pm 4.2	33.2 \pm 3.7 ^b	26.4 \pm 6.1 ^a
emotion-centred	20.5 \pm 3.6	20.2 \pm 3.9 ^b	24.9 \pm 3.9 ^a	20.5 \pm 5.3	24.4 \pm 1.4 ^a	22.1 \pm 3.1	24.7 \pm 3.7 ^a
social support ^c	22.7 \pm 3.2	21.7 \pm 3.5	21.3 \pm 5.2	23 \pm 3.98	21.1 \pm 4.4	27.5 \pm 3.2 ^{a b}	20.7 \pm 5
<u>Health Locus of control</u>							
Internal scores	16.5 \pm 2	15.4 \pm 1.8	15.8 \pm 1.8	16 \pm 2.5	14.5 \pm 1.6 ^a	16.7 \pm 2.5	15.3 \pm 2.6
Powerful others scores ^d	11.7 \pm 2.3	14.2 \pm 2.4 ^a	14.7 \pm 2.6 ^a	14 \pm 3.3	14.7 \pm 2.6 ^a	13.5 \pm 4.5	12.1 \pm 2.4
Chance scores	13.4 \pm 1.8	12.4 \pm 2.8	14.1 \pm 2.8	12 \pm 2.5	12.6 \pm 2.1	11.6 \pm 2.9	11.9 \pm 2.1
<u>Heart Rate Variability</u>							
HR	69.9 \pm 8.6	76.7 \pm 10.7	73.2 \pm 8.7	75.1 \pm 15.1	78 \pm 12.8	74.7 \pm 10	70 \pm 8.2
LFn ^d	48 \pm 10.9	59.2 \pm 12.4 ^a	52.1 \pm 10.8	47.6 \pm 18.1	59.08 \pm 12.6	64.6 \pm 17.2 ^a	65.2 \pm 14.1 ^a
HF ^d	48.1 \pm 10.9	34.8 \pm 13.3 ^a	40 \pm 12.5	44.2 \pm 14.7	34.2 \pm 14.1 ^a	28.7 \pm 18.8 ^a	28.4 \pm 10.4 ^a
LF/HF ^{c d}	1.2 \pm 0.5	2.2 \pm 1.8	1.4 \pm 0.6	1.2 \pm 0.8	2.2 \pm 1.4	3.8 \pm 3.2 ^a	2.8 \pm 1.8 ^a
VLFn ^c	0.9 \pm 0.05	0.9 \pm 0.06	0.9 \pm 0.07	0.8 \pm 0.08	0.8 \pm 0.2	0.8 \pm 0.1	0.8 \pm 0.2