Post-transcriptional controls - adding a new layer of regulation to clock gene expression.

Marie Cibois, Carole Gautier-Courteille, Vincent Legagneux, Luc Paillard

► To cite this version:

Marie Cibois, Carole Gautier-Courteille, Vincent Legagneux, Luc Paillard. Post-transcriptional controls - adding a new layer of regulation to clock gene expression.. Trends in Cell Biology, 2010, 20 (9), pp.533-41. 10.1016/j.tcb.2010.06.004 . inserm-00511205

HAL Id: inserm-00511205

<https://www.hal.inserm.fr/inserm-00511205>

Submitted on 24 Aug 2010

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

**Posttranscriptional controls - adding a new layer of control to clock gene
expression**

Marie Cibois^{1,2,3}, Carole Gautier-Courteille^{1,2}, Vincent Legagneux^{1,2}, Luc Paillard^{1,2}

1. Université de Rennes 1, Université Européenne de Bretagne, Institut Fédératif de
Recherche 140, Rennes, France

2. CNRS UMR6061 Institut de Génétique et Développement de Rennes, France

3. Present address Institut de biologie du développement de Marseille, UMR6216, CNRS-
Université de la Méditerranée, Case 907, 13288 Marseille Luminy Cedex 09 France.

Corresponding author Paillard, L (luc.paillard@univ-rennes1.fr)

Manuscript length: 3387 words

19 **Living organisms undergo biochemical, physiological and behavioural cycles with**
20 **periods ranging from seconds to years. The cycles with intermediate periods rely on**
21 **endogenous clocks that consist of oscillating gene expression. Our goal is to illustrate the**
22 **modalities and specific functions of posttranscriptional controls of gene expression**
23 **(exerted on pre-mRNAs and mRNAs) in biological clocks through two examples: the**
24 **circadian clock and the vertebrate somitic segmentation clock, an embryonic clock with**
25 **a period far below a day. We conclude that both uniformly and cyclically exerted**
26 **posttranscriptional controls underpin the set-up of clock functions.**

28 **Rhythmic gene expression in oscillators**

29 Living organisms are submitted to periodic oscillations of biochemical, physiological
30 and behavioural parameters that are named biological rhythms. For a given process, the
31 periods of the cycles range from less than one second to several years (Box 1). The
32 biorhythms are subdivided into circadian (period approximately equal to 24 hours), ultradian
33 and infradian (respectively shorter and longer periods, See Glossary) [1].

34 The present review will focus on essentially two rhythms, the ultradian rhythm that
35 underpins vertebrate somitic segmentation and the circadian rhythm. During vertebrate
36 embryo elongation, somites (presumptive muscles and bones) periodically bud off the non-
37 segmented, posterior mesoderm (presomitic mesoderm). This results in a repetitive
38 organization all along the antero-posterior axis, which is referred to as somitic segmentation.
39 The periodic emergence of somites relies on an autonomous ‘clock’ within the non-segmented
40 mesoderm that oscillates with a period ranging from 30 minutes in zebrafish to 2 hours in
41 mice [2].

42 In circadian rhythms, there also exists an internal clock that is able to free-run with a
43 period of approximately 24 hours. This clock exists in multicellular organisms, but also in
44 yeasts [3]. This autonomous clock is temporally ‘entrained’ by light–dark or temperature
45 cycles [4-6]. In mammals, it is located in the suprachiasmatic nucleus (SCN), a group of

hypothalamic neurons. Neuronal connections between the retina and the SCN explain the entrainment by light-dark cycles, which is evidenced among others by the resetting of the clock when light-dark cycles are shifted by some hours (in experimental conditions or following long-distance travels in humans) [4,5].

The mammalian circadian clock relies on eight proteins that are cyclically expressed in the SCN (Figure 1A): Clock [7], Bmal1 (Mop3) [8], Per1, Per2 and Per3 [9], Cry1 and Cry2 [10], and Rev-Erb α [11]. The Clock-Bmal1 complex controls the expression of several genes at the transcription level, among which *Period* (*Per1* to *Per3*), *Cryptochrome* (*Cry1* and *Cry2*), and *Rev-Erb α* , through its association with E-box elements. The Per-Cry protein complexes interact with and inhibit Clock-Bmal1, and Rev-Erb α inhibits the transcription of *Bmal1*. These two transcriptional feedback loops are responsible for the oscillations of Clock-Bmal1 activity that themselves account for the circadian expression of the clock outputs (Figure 1A) [4,5]. Several additional factors that modulate the mammalian circadian clock were recently identified by RNAi or proteomic approaches [12,13]. The circadian and the segmentation (Box 2) clocks both are set-up by transcriptional negative-feedback loops [2,14-18].

In addition to transcriptional loops, the control of the degradation of the proteins encoded by the clock genes determines their amounts in both clocks [17,19-21]. Several posttranslational modifications determine the activity and the stability of clock proteins [19]. Together, they represent a second layer of gene regulation in clock functions. A third layer of gene regulation must now be considered when investigating biological rhythms (Figure 1B). This layer, collectively referred to as posttranscriptional controls, encompasses all the regulations that are exerted at the RNA level (Box 3). They are mediated by ribonucleoproteic particles that include RNA-binding proteins (RNA-BPs) and non-coding RNAs, especially microRNAs (miRNAs) [22-24]. Their contributions in essential clock functions are an emerging and important field of study.

73 **Circadian rhythms as a paradigm for dynamic posttranscriptional controls**

74 The first evidence for posttranscriptional controls in circadian rhythms came from
 75 pioneering work in the fruitfly *Drosophila* [25]. Since, oscillating mRNA stability during the
 76 circadian cycle was also demonstrated in the mammalian core pacemaker (Figure 2). The
 77 stabilities of *Per2* and *Cry1* mRNAs vary during the cycle in mice, and, together with
 78 oscillating transcription, this results in rhythmic expression [26,27]. Woo and colleagues
 79 found that the RNA-BPs Ptbp1 and Hnrpd are able to bind to the 3' untranslated regions of
 80 *Per2* and *Cry1* mRNAs, respectively, and cause their rapid degradation [26,27]. Furthermore,
 81 the levels of cytoplasmic Ptbp1 and Hnrpd oscillate during the circadian clock and are
 82 correlated with target mRNA decay rates. In synchronized cultured cells, the oscillations of
 83 *Per2* and *Cry1* mRNAs were affected when the levels of Ptbp1 and Hrpdp were reduced by
 84 RNAi. Together, these results suggest that oscillating amounts of cytoplasmic RNA-BPs may
 85 be responsible for the oscillating stability of target mRNAs that in turn determines their
 86 oscillating expression [26,27].

87 Rhythmic translation is another strategy to achieve cyclic expression of clock genes in
 88 the SCN, as demonstrated for *Per1* mRNA (Figure 2). The RNA-BP Rbm4 is cyclically
 89 expressed in-phase with *Per1*. It is able to bind to *Per1* mRNA and to stimulate its translation.
 90 Hence, translational stimulation by Rbm4 synergizes with transcriptional controls to amplify
 91 the level of *Per1* oscillations [28]. Interestingly, only Rbm4 protein, but not *Rbm4* mRNA, is
 92 cyclically expressed, indicating that Rbm4 expression is itself controlled at a translational or
 93 posttranslational (protein degradation) level [28]. It is not known whether Rbm4 is required
 94 for circadian rhythms in whole mammalian organisms, but manipulating its level in cultured
 95 mammalian cells or in *Drosophila* affects circadian oscillations [28,29].

96 In addition to RNA-BPs, microRNAs (miRNAs) also control several mRNAs within
 97 the circadian pacemaker (Figure 2). miRNAs affect both mRNA stability and translation [22].
 98 In animals, the interactions between miRNAs and target mRNAs are mediated by limited

sequence conservation. A miRNA can have several mRNA targets that are difficult to identify, although considering preferential evolutionary conservation improved the capacity to predict miRNA-mRNA interactions in silico [30]. Cheng and colleagues [31] showed that the miRNAs miR-219 and miR-132 have a circadian expression in the SCN, and they identified several potential mRNA targets. *Per2* protein is overexpressed upon treatment with an antisense (antagomir) oligonucleotide against miR-132, which is consistent with miR-132 downregulating the translation of *Per2* mRNA. Furthermore, circadian period length and light-dependent clock resetting are altered in the absence of miR-219 and miR-132 respectively [31].

The SCN emits circadian signals to other regions of the brain, including the pineal gland. This gland synthesizes melatonin during the night and this circulating hormone relays the circadian rhythm to the peripheral organs. Arylalkylamine N-acetyltransferase (*Aanat*) is cyclically expressed in the pineal gland and is the rate-limiting enzyme in melatonin synthesis. Its expression is controlled at several levels, including mRNA stability and translation (Figure 2). The 3' untranslated region of *Aanat* mRNA contains a destabilizing element, and three rhythmically expressed RNA-BPs (*Hnrnpr*, *Hnrnpl*, *Syncrip*) are able to bind to this element and may play a role in the rhythmic degradation of *Aanat* mRNA [32]. In addition, *Aanat* mRNA is translated through an IRES (internal ribosome entry site), and *Syncrip* is able to bind to that IRES and stimulate *Aanat* mRNA translation. The oscillations of *Syncrip* protein during circadian cycles result in in-phase oscillations of *Aanat* mRNA translation, and manipulating the level of *Syncrip* impacts melatonin production in pinealocytes [33]. It is probable that the oscillations of *Hnrnpr*, *Hnrnpl* and *Syncrip* are themselves controlled by circadian cues sent by the SCN, but how this is achieved is unknown (Figure 2).

In addition to brain, most mammalian organs contain autonomous clocks that are entrained by cues emitted by the master clock [34], and posttranscriptional controls might operate in these peripheral clocks too. A comprehensive microarray experiment revealed

ultradian rhythmic expression of several genes in mouse liver [35]. This might indicate some ultradian clock, but an alternative cause could be mRNA degradation. If genes are transcribed following circadian rhythms and the corresponding mRNAs are degraded following a circadian, out-of-phase, rhythm, the mRNA levels might oscillate with a period of 12 hours [35].

A function for oscillating mRNA stability in circadian rhythm has also been described in plants. A microarray screening in *Arabidopsis thaliana* identified two mRNAs whose stabilities oscillate with a period of 24 hours. Disruption of the pathway responsible for the rapid degradation of these mRNAs in the afternoon alters the oscillations of these mRNAs in correlation with an altered circadian rhythm at the whole-plant level, indicating a link between circadian rhythms in plants and specific mRNA decay [36].

Clues for the importance of posttranscriptional controls in biological rhythms

How widespread are posttranscriptional controls of gene expression in biological rhythms? A rough estimate is provided by identifying factors that control gene expression at the posttranscriptional level and that display a rhythmic circadian expression. This is the case for several miRNAs in the plant *Arabidopsis thaliana* [37], fly heads [38] and mouse retinas [39].

Several examples of oscillating RNA-BPs have also been reported, in addition to the factors described in the previous section. In the green alga *Chlamydomonas reinhardtii*, the capacity of the RNA-binding complex CHLAMY1 to bind to target mRNAs follows a circadian rhythm [40]. CHLAMY1 comprises two subunits that both are RNA-BPs. Experimentally manipulating the level of either of these two subunits strongly interferes with the circadian rhythm, suggesting that these two proteins are at the heart of the circadian clock in this species [41]. The *Chlamydomonas* clock is entrained by temperature cycles, and both subunits of CHLAMY1 are involved in temperature integration [42]. In rats, the RNA-BP Mbnl2 (Muscleblind 2) that is involved in alternative splicing of pre-mRNA has an oscillatory

expression in the pineal gland [43]. Finally, Nocturnin, a poly(A) ribonuclease (that causes mRNA decay and translational repression by removing the poly(A) tails, see Box 3), is cyclically expressed in the retina [44]. Surprisingly, mice in which the *Nocturnin* gene has been inactivated display normal circadian rhythms and expression of clock genes (but altered lipid metabolism or uptake) [45]. Hence, factors that control mRNA fate and display a rhythmic expression pattern can be divided into two groups: those that directly influence the clock, and those, like Nocturnin, that represent its readouts.

An additional clue to estimate the extent of translational controls in biological rhythms is to compare the levels of cycling proteins with their corresponding mRNAs. Systematic comparison of the transcriptome and the proteome of mouse liver showed that only half of the genes that exhibit rhythmic protein expression also exhibit rhythmic mRNA expression [46]. Interestingly, circadian variations in protein isoforms were also reported by these authors, which are consistent with circadian modifications of alternative splicing [46]. The strong discrepancies between transcriptome and proteome data suggest prevalent translational and/or posttranslational (protein degradation) controls of cyclic gene expression in the circadian clock.

One step forward: how are cyclic posttranscriptional controls generated?

As seen above, cyclical posttranscriptional controls are exerted on several mRNAs and in several physiological systems. In some already discussed cases, the factors involved in RNA regulations are uniformly expressed, but their activity or subcellular localisations oscillate [26,27,41]. The mechanisms underlying these oscillations are unknown.

The factors controlling mRNA fate may also themselves be cyclically expressed, owing to a cyclical transcriptional regulation, as demonstrated for miR-219 (see Figure 2) [31], but also owing to posttranscriptional negative-feedback loops. In *Neurospora crassa*, FRQ and FRH proteins form the FFC complex, which is able to recruit the RNA exosome (a multi-subunit complex involved in mRNA degradation [47]) to *frq* mRNA, and to thereby

cause its degradation. Together with the capacity of FFC to repress the transcription of *frq* gene, this posttranscriptional negative-feedback loop achieves circadian oscillations in *N. crassa* [48]. In *Arabidopsis thaliana*, *AtGRP7* and *AtGRP8* are two RNA-BPs with a circadian expression. *AtGRP7* overexpression ablates circadian expression of *Atgrp7* and *Atgrp8* mRNAs [49]. Both proteins are able to bind to their own pre-mRNAs and direct their splicing pathways towards mRNA isoforms that contain a premature termination codon. These isoforms are rapidly degraded by the non-sense-mediated mRNA decay (NMD) pathway (see Box 3). Consequently, *AtGRP7* and *AtGRP8* negatively auto-regulate and cross-regulate their synthesis [50,51]. This mechanism very probably ensures a cyclical stability of the mRNAs encoding *AtGRP7* and *AtGRP8*, which contributes to their circadian oscillations.

In mammals, the RNA-BPs Rbm4 and Syncip display oscillating expressions [28,33]. It is tempting to speculate that these oscillations result from negative auto-regulations similar to plant *AtGRP7* and *AtGRP8* or *N. crassa* FRQ. Indeed, several mammalian RNA-BPs negatively regulate their own synthesis. PTBP1 and PTBP2 regulate the splicing of their own respective pre-mRNAs and promote the skipping of an exon that results in an NMD sensitive transcript [52,53]. They also cross-regulate each other through this splicing event [54,55]. Similarly, the RNA-BP Celf2 negatively autoregulates its synthesis by inhibiting the splicing of its own pre-mRNA [56]. Whether these negative auto-regulations of RNA-BPs generate oscillations, and how these putative posttranscriptional negative-feedback loops are interconnected with the master transcriptional loop, have not been tested in mammals.

Posttranscriptional controls do not need to be cyclically exerted to play a role in biological rhythms.

Transcriptional negative-feedback loops result in successive activations and repressions of gene promoters. When transcription is shut off, mRNAs decay following exponential kinetics. If the decay of a given mRNA is sufficiently rapid (short half-life) relative to the period of transcriptional oscillations, then almost complete removal of the

mRNA will occur before transcription resumes. This situation produces oscillations of mRNA of maximum amplitude. However, if the transcription resumes before the mRNA is completely degraded, then the amplitudes of the mRNA oscillations are reduced or the oscillations are damped and, at the extreme of very stable mRNAs, completely disappear. Therefore, rapid mRNA degradation is required to convert switches between active and inactive transcription into oscillatory amounts of the corresponding mRNAs. One could predict therefore that rapid and uniform mRNA decay is instrumental in the generation of short-period (ultradian) biorhythms, and this prediction has at least been partially confirmed in the case of vertebrate somitic segmentation clock.

The period of the somitic segmentation clock is comprised between 30 minutes and 2 hours [2]. Within one period, the amounts of several tens of mRNAs oscillate [57]. It takes no more than a few minutes to have a cyclic mRNA completely degraded, indicating very short half-lives. The data demonstrating the occurrence of posttranscriptional controls in somitic segmentation are summarized in Table 1.

The expression pattern of *Lunatic Fringe* (*Lfng*, a modulator of Notch signalling, one of the pathways required for segmentation) has been described in mice. In situ hybridizations were made with both an exonic probe to reveal the mRNA and an intronic probe to reveal sites of active transcription. The staining patterns with these two probes were very similar, demonstrating that *Lfng* mRNA is degraded virtually as rapidly as the *Lfng* introns [58]. Since splicing occurs co-transcriptionally, and excised introns are very rapidly degraded, these data demonstrate the remarkable instability of *Lfng* mRNA.

Reporter genes also showed that mRNA degradation is required to achieve the dynamic expression pattern of the clock genes. In Zebrafish, a GFP reporter controlled by the *Her1* promoter (an oscillating component of the core clock) accumulates in the presomitic mesoderm owing to its high stability, suggesting *a contrario* the rapid decay of the endogenous mRNA [59]. In *Xenopus* transgenic embryos, a characteristic striped expression pattern of *Hairy2a* and *Bowline*, two genes downstream of the clock, is recapitulated by

reporter mRNAs only if they contain a destabilizing element in their 3' untranslated regions (3'UTR) [60,61]. Taking as evidence for rapid mRNA degradation the capacity of a 3'UTR to confer upon a reporter GFP gene a striped pattern of expression, several chick or mouse clock mRNAs can be considered as unstable (Table 1 [60]). More recently, an approach combining *in ovo* electroporation and an inducible promoter showed that chick *Lfng* mRNA is destabilized by means of its 3'UTR [62].

What happens to segmentation if the rapid degradation of the cyclic mRNAs is impaired? Computational models of the zebrafish segmentation clock predict that the oscillations of the core clock genes are sustained only if the corresponding mRNA and proteins are unstable [18,63], but this was not experimentally tested at the mRNA level. In Zebrafish, the '*tortuga*' mutant shows an altered pattern of expression of *Her1* with impaired oscillations that is consistent with mRNA stabilisation [64]. The corresponding wild-type gene product may therefore be responsible for the rapid decay of *Her1* mRNA. This gene has not been identified. In *Xenopus*, the RNA-BPs Celf1 and Fxr1p regulate the stability and/or the translation of bound mRNAs, and knock-down of these proteins causes segmentation defects [65,66]. This suggests that these proteins have to bind and control a subset of mRNAs for correct segmentation to occur. The mRNA encoding Su(H), that is involved in Notch signalling in the segmentation clock, was identified as a target of Celf1. Specifically, a functional interaction between Celf1 and *Su(H)* mRNA is required for both the degradation of this mRNA and somitic segmentation [67]. Together, these data show that uniform mRNA regulation plays a key role in oscillations of the segmentation clock.

Continuous posttranscriptional controls were also described in the circadian clock. The expression of the microRNAs miR-192 and mi-R194 in cultured mammalian cells [68], miR-122 in mouse liver [69] or *bantam* in fly heads [70] apparently does not follow a circadian cycle (although miR-122 is cyclically transcribed but remains at approximately constant levels due to a long half-life [69]). All these miRNAs continuously downregulate identified target mRNAs encoding proteins involved in the circadian clock, and manipulating their

levels modifies the period and/or amplitude of circadian oscillations [68-70]. Other examples are given by *Per1* and *Per3* mRNAs that are uniformly unstable in NIH3T3 cells and transgenic mice, respectively [71,72]. The circadian oscillations of *Per3* mRNA are strongly modified when its mRNA degradation element is deleted [71]. Hence, constant posttranscriptional repression may be required in some instances to achieve optimal circadian oscillations in addition to cyclical posttranscriptional controls of gene expression.

Concluding remarks and future directions

The comparison of the segmentation and circadian clocks paves the way for future researches (Box 4). Both mRNA degradation and translation, mediated by RNA-BPs and miRNAs, have recognized functions in the circadian clock. In several instances, translational efficiency and mRNA degradation oscillate in the circadian clock, and these oscillations fully contribute to the clock. By contrast, the only known mode of posttranscriptional control in the segmentation clock is constant mRNA degradation. In fact, we might simply lack data concerning the different modes of posttranscriptional controls in the segmentation clock. Using the circadian clock as a paradigm for posttranscriptional controls in clocks, we recommend that the various modes of oscillating posttranscriptional controls should be carefully investigated in the segmentation clock. Furthermore, most but not all known modes of posttranscriptional controls were described in the circadian clock. Specifically, we know nothing about the subcellular localization and the putative localized translation of the mRNAs encoding factors of the clock. It might be of interest to investigate these points in the regulation of mammalian circadian clock considering their recognized importance in neurons [73].

Another question is whether there exist human diseases caused by posttranscriptional defects in clocks. Congenital vertebral malformations are often of genetic origin. Some of them were associated with mutations affecting genes of the segmentation clock, but the aetiology of most of them is unknown [74]. Factors involved in posttranscriptional regulations

in somitic segmentation, most of which were not identified, will be potential candidates for causing these syndromes. Also several human troubles arise from defects in the circadian clock, such as sleep disorders. Interestingly, fragile X patients suffer from sleep disorders [75]. This syndrome is a consequence of impaired expression of the RNA-BP FMR1, and *Fmr1* KO mice display an altered circadian rhythm [76]. Fragile X syndrome provides therefore a link between posttranscriptional controls, human pathology and the circadian clock, and it can be anticipated that this will not remain an isolated example.

A last issue is the extent of posttranscriptional controls in clocks. Several inactivations of gene encoding RNA-BPs were reported in mice. Some of them may be at the origin of circadian troubles that remained unnoticed up to now, and this would merit careful reinvestigation. For the RNA-BPs whose inactivations lead to clock troubles, the arising question will be the identity of the mRNAs that are normally associated with that protein and are deregulated upon its inactivation (and whose deregulation is responsible of the observed troubles). Recent technological breakthroughs allow some optimism concerning our capacity to ask that question. "CLIP" (Cross-linking and immunoprecipitation) allows the co-immunoprecipitation of RNA-BPs and associated RNAs [77]. Combined with next-generation sequencing, it permits the genome-wide identification of the RNAs bound by a protein ('CLIPseq') [78-80]. Maps of the interactions between miRNAs and mRNAs were drawn from Argonaute CLIPseq [81,82]. Together, these recent technologies will provide us with a genome-wide characterization of the network of posttranscriptional controls in virtually any cell type, including those subject to clock oscillations, and will allow us fully appreciating the extent of posttranscriptional controls in clocks.

Acknowledgments

We thank S. Hardy and H.B. Osborne for critical evaluation of the manuscript. V.L. is a staff member of the INSERM (Institut National de la Santé et de la Recherche Médicale).

314 Work in the authors' laboratory is supported by a grant from the Agence Nationale de la
315 Recherche (ANR-07-JCJC-0097-01).

316

317 **References**

- 318 1 Schibler, U. and Naef, F. (2005) Cellular oscillators: rhythmic gene expression and
319 metabolism. *Curr Opin Cell Biol* 17 (2), 223-229
- 320 2 Pourquie, O. (2003) The segmentation clock: converting embryonic time into spatial
321 pattern. *Science* 301 (5631), 328-330
- 322 3 Eelderink-Chen, Z. *et al.* (2010) A circadian clock in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. *Proc*
323 *Natl Acad Sci U S A* 107 (5), 2043-2047
- 324 4 Takahashi, J.S. *et al.* (2008) The genetics of mammalian circadian order and disorder:
325 implications for physiology and disease. *Nat Rev Genet* 9 (10), 764-775
- 326 5 Gery, S. and Koeffler, H.P. (2010) Circadian rhythms and cancer. *Cell Cycle* 9 (6)
- 327 6 Rensing, L. and Ruoff, P. (2002) Temperature effect on entrainment, phase shifting,
328 and amplitude of circadian clocks and its molecular bases. *Chronobiol Int* 19 (5), 807-
329 864
- 330 7 Vitaterna, M.H. *et al.* (1994) Mutagenesis and mapping of a mouse gene, Clock,
331 essential for circadian behavior. *Science* 264 (5159), 719-725
- 332 8 Bunger, M.K. *et al.* (2000) Mop3 is an essential component of the master circadian
333 pacemaker in mammals. *Cell* 103 (7), 1009-1017
- 334 9 Zheng, B. *et al.* (2001) Nonredundant roles of the mPer1 and mPer2 genes in the
335 mammalian circadian clock. *Cell* 105 (5), 683-694
- 336 10 Kume, K. *et al.* (1999) mCRY1 and mCRY2 are essential components of the negative
337 limb of the circadian clock feedback loop. *Cell* 98 (2), 193-205
- 338 11 Preitner, N. *et al.* (2002) The orphan nuclear receptor REV-ERB α controls
339 circadian transcription within the positive limb of the mammalian circadian oscillator.
340 *Cell* 110 (2), 251-260
- 341 12 Zhang, E.E. *et al.* (2009) A genome-wide RNAi screen for modifiers of the circadian
342 clock in human cells. *Cell* 139 (1), 199-210
- 343 13 Robles, M.S. *et al.* (2010) Identification of RACK1 and protein kinase Calpha as
344 integral components of the mammalian circadian clock. *Science* 327 (5964), 463-466
- 345 14 Hardin, P.E. *et al.* (1990) Feedback of the *Drosophila* period gene product on
346 circadian cycling of its messenger RNA levels. *Nature* 343 (6258), 536-540
- 347 15 Dunlap, J.C. and Loros, J.J. (2006) How fungi keep time: circadian system in
348 *Neurospora* and other fungi. *Curr Opin Microbiol* 9 (6), 579-587
- 349 16 Hardin, P.E. (2005) The circadian timekeeping system of *Drosophila*. *Curr Biol* 15
350 (17), R714-722
- 351 17 Ko, C.H. and Takahashi, J.S. (2006) Molecular components of the mammalian
352 circadian clock. *Hum Mol Genet* 15 Spec No 2, R271-277
- 353 18 Giudicelli, F. *et al.* (2007) Setting the tempo in development: an investigation of the
354 zebrafish somite clock mechanism. *PLoS Biol* 5 (6), e150
- 355 19 Mehra, A. *et al.* (2009) Post-translational modifications in circadian rhythms. *Trends*
356 *Biochem Sci* 34 (10), 483-490
- 357 20 Lee, H. *et al.* (2009) Essential roles of CKIdelta and CKIepsilon in the mammalian
358 circadian clock. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 106 (50), 21359-21364
- 359 21 Hirata, H. *et al.* (2004) Instability of Hes7 protein is crucial for the somite
360 segmentation clock. *Nat Genet* 36 (7), 750-754

361 22 Filipowicz, W. *et al.* (2008) Mechanisms of post-transcriptional regulation by
362 microRNAs: are the answers in sight? *Nat Rev Genet* 9 (2), 102-114

363 23 Glisovic, T. *et al.* (2008) RNA-binding proteins and post-transcriptional gene
364 regulation. *FEBS Lett* 582 (14), 1977-1986

365 24 Keene, J.D. (2010) Minireview: global regulation and dynamics of ribonucleic Acid.
366 *Endocrinology* 151 (4), 1391-1397

367 25 So, W.V. and Rosbash, M. (1997) Post-transcriptional regulation contributes to
368 *Drosophila* clock gene mRNA cycling. *Embo J* 16 (23), 7146-7155

369 26 Woo, K.C. *et al.* (2009) Mouse period 2 mRNA circadian oscillation is modulated by
370 PTB-mediated rhythmic mRNA degradation. *Nucleic Acids Res* 37 (1), 26-37

371 27 Woo, K.C. *et al.* (2010) Circadian amplitude of cryptochrome 1 is modulated by
372 mRNA stability regulation via cytoplasmic hnRNP D oscillation. *Mol Cell Biol* 30 (1),
373 197-205

374 28 Kojima, S. *et al.* (2007) LARK activates posttranscriptional expression of an essential
375 mammalian clock protein, PERIOD1. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 104 (6), 1859-1864

376 29 Huang, Y. *et al.* (2009) Altered LARK expression perturbs development and
377 physiology of the *Drosophila* PDF clock neurons. *Mol Cell Neurosci* 41 (2), 196-205

378 30 Bartel, D.P. (2009) MicroRNAs: target recognition and regulatory functions. *Cell* 136
379 (2), 215-233

380 31 Cheng, H.Y. *et al.* (2007) microRNA modulation of circadian-clock period and
381 entrainment. *Neuron* 54 (5), 813-829

382 32 Kim, T.D. *et al.* (2005) Rhythmic serotonin N-acetyltransferase mRNA degradation is
383 essential for the maintenance of its circadian oscillation. *Mol Cell Biol* 25 (8), 3232-
384 3246

385 33 Kim, T.D. *et al.* (2007) Rhythmic control of AANAT translation by hnRNP Q in
386 circadian melatonin production. *Genes Dev* 21 (7), 797-810

387 34 Kornmann, B. *et al.* (2007) System-driven and oscillator-dependent circadian
388 transcription in mice with a conditionally active liver clock. *PLoS Biol* 5 (2), e34

389 35 Hughes, M.E. *et al.* (2009) Harmonics of circadian gene transcription in mammals.
390 *PLoS Genet* 5 (4), e1000442

391 36 Lidder, P. *et al.* (2005) Circadian control of messenger RNA stability. Association
392 with a sequence-specific messenger RNA decay pathway. *Plant Physiol* 138 (4), 2374-
393 2385

394 37 Sire, C. *et al.* (2009) Diurnal oscillation in the accumulation of Arabidopsis
395 microRNAs, miR167, miR168, miR171 and miR398. *FEBS Lett* 583 (6), 1039-1044

396 38 Yang, M. *et al.* (2008) Circadian regulation of a limited set of conserved microRNAs
397 in *Drosophila*. *BMC Genomics* 9, 83

398 39 Xu, S. *et al.* (2007) MicroRNA (miRNA) transcriptome of mouse retina and
399 identification of a sensory organ-specific miRNA cluster. *J Biol Chem* 282 (34),
400 25053-25066

401 40 Mittag, M. *et al.* (1994) Circadian expression of the luciferin-binding protein
402 correlates with the binding of a protein to the 3' untranslated region of its mRNA. *Proc*
403 *Natl Acad Sci U S A* 91 (12), 5257-5261

404 41 Iliev, D. *et al.* (2006) A heteromeric RNA-binding protein is involved in maintaining
405 acrophase and period of the circadian clock. *Plant Physiol* 142 (2), 797-806

406 42 Voytsekh, O. *et al.* (2008) Both subunits of the circadian RNA-binding protein
407 CHLAMY1 can integrate temperature information. *Plant Physiol* 147 (4), 2179-2193

408 43 Kim, J.S. *et al.* (2009) Muscleblind-like 2: circadian expression in the mammalian
409 pineal gland is controlled by an adrenergic-cAMP mechanism. *J Neurochem* 110 (2),
410 756-764

411 44 Baggs, J. and Green, C. (2003) Nocturnin, a Deadenylation in *Xenopus laevis* Retina. A
412 Mechanism for Posttranscriptional Control of Circadian-Related mRNA. *Curr Biol* 13
413 (3), 189-198

- 45 Green, C.B. *et al.* (2007) Loss of Nocturnin, a circadian deadenylase, confers resistance to hepatic steatosis and diet-induced obesity. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 104 (23), 9888-9893
- 46 Reddy, A.B. *et al.* (2006) Circadian orchestration of the hepatic proteome. *Curr Biol* 16 (11), 1107-1115
- 47 Houseley, J. *et al.* (2006) RNA-quality control by the exosome. *Nat Rev Mol Cell Biol* 7 (7), 529-539
- 48 Guo, J. *et al.* (2009) The exosome regulates circadian gene expression in a posttranscriptional negative feedback loop. *Cell* 138 (6), 1236-1246
- 49 Heintzen, C. *et al.* (1997) AtGRP7, a nuclear RNA-binding protein as a component of a circadian-regulated negative feedback loop in *Arabidopsis thaliana*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 94 (16), 8515-8520
- 50 Schoning, J.C. *et al.* (2007) Auto-regulation of the circadian slave oscillator component AtGRP7 and regulation of its targets is impaired by a single RNA recognition motif point mutation. *Plant J* 52 (6), 1119-1130
- 51 Schoning, J.C. *et al.* (2008) Reciprocal regulation of glycine-rich RNA-binding proteins via an interlocked feedback loop coupling alternative splicing to nonsense-mediated decay in *Arabidopsis*. *Nucleic Acids Res* 36 (22), 6977-6987
- 52 Wollerton, M.C. *et al.* (2004) Autoregulation of polypyrimidine tract binding protein by alternative splicing leading to nonsense-mediated decay. *Mol Cell* 13 (1), 91-100
- 53 Rahman, L. *et al.* (2002) Alternative splicing of brain-specific PTB defines a tissue-specific isoform pattern that predicts distinct functional roles. *Genomics* 80 (3), 245-249
- 54 Spellman, R. *et al.* (2007) Crossregulation and functional redundancy between the splicing regulator PTB and its paralogs nPTB and ROD1. *Mol Cell* 27 (3), 420-434
- 55 Boutz, P.L. *et al.* (2007) A post-transcriptional regulatory switch in polypyrimidine tract-binding proteins reprograms alternative splicing in developing neurons. *Genes Dev* 21 (13), 1636-1652
- 56 Dembowski, J.A. and Grabowski, P.J. (2009) The CUGBP2 splicing factor regulates an ensemble of branchpoints from perimeter binding sites with implications for autoregulation. *PLoS Genet* 5 (8), e1000595
- 57 Dequeant, M.L. *et al.* (2006) A complex oscillating network of signaling genes underlies the mouse segmentation clock. *Science* 314 (5805), 1595-1598
- 58 Morales, A. *et al.* (2002) Periodic Lunatic fringe expression is controlled during segmentation by a cyclic transcriptional enhancer responsive to notch signaling. *Dev Cell* 3, 63-74
- 59 Gajewski, M. *et al.* (2003) Anterior and posterior waves of cyclic her1 gene expression are differentially regulated in the presomitic mesoderm of zebrafish. *Development* 130 (18), 4269-4278
- 60 Davis, R. *et al.* (2001) Molecular targets of vertebrate segmentation: two mechanisms control segmental expression of *Xenopus* Hairy2 during somite formation. *Dev Cell* 1, 553-565
- 61 Hitachi, K. *et al.* (2008) Tbx6, Thylacine1, and E47 synergistically activate bowline expression in *Xenopus* somitogenesis. *Dev Biol* 313 (2), 816-828
- 62 Hilgers, V. *et al.* (2005) In vivo analysis of mRNA stability using the Tet-Off system in the chicken embryo. *Dev Biol* 284 (2), 292-300
- 63 Lewis, J. (2003) Autoinhibition with transcriptional delay: a simple mechanism for the zebrafish somitogenesis oscillator. *Curr Biol* 13 (16), 1398-1408
- 64 Dill, K.K. and Amacher, S.L. (2005) tortuga refines Notch pathway gene expression in the zebrafish presomitic mesoderm at the post-transcriptional level. *Dev Biol* 287 (2), 225-236

465 65 Gautier-Courteille, C. *et al.* (2004) EDEN-BP-dependent post-transcriptional
466 regulation of gene expression in *Xenopus* somitic segmentation. *Development* 131
467 (24), 6107-6117

468 66 Huot, M.E. *et al.* (2005) The RNA-binding protein fragile X-related 1 regulates somite
469 formation in *Xenopus laevis*. *Mol Biol Cell* 16 (9), 4350-4361

470 67 Cibois, M. *et al.* (2010) A strategy to analyze the phenotypic consequences of
471 inhibiting the association of an RNA-binding protein with a specific RNA. *Rna* 16 (1),
472 10-15

473 68 Nagel, R. *et al.* (2009) The miRNA-192/194 cluster regulates the Period gene family
474 and the circadian clock. *Febs J* 276 (19), 5447-5455

475 69 Gatfield, D. *et al.* (2009) Integration of microRNA miR-122 in hepatic circadian gene
476 expression. *Genes Dev* 23 (11), 1313-1326

477 70 Kadener, S. *et al.* (2009) A role for microRNAs in the *Drosophila* circadian clock.
478 *Genes Dev* 23 (18), 2179-2191

479 71 Kwak, E. *et al.* (2006) Essential role of 3'-untranslated region-mediated mRNA decay
480 in circadian oscillations of mouse Period3 mRNA. *J Biol Chem* 281 (28), 19100-
481 19106

482 72 Wilsbacher, L.D. *et al.* (2002) Photic and circadian expression of luciferase in
483 mPeriod1-luc transgenic mice *in vivo*. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 99 (1), 489-494

484 73 Wang, D.O. *et al.* (2010) Spatially restricting gene expression by local translation at
485 synapses. *Trends Neurosci* 33 (4), 173-182

486 74 Giampietro, P.F. *et al.* (2009) Progress in the understanding of the genetic etiology of
487 vertebral segmentation disorders in humans. *Ann N Y Acad Sci* 1151, 38-67

488 75 Gould, E.L. *et al.* (2000) Melatonin profiles and sleep characteristics in boys with
489 fragile X syndrome: a preliminary study. *Am J Med Genet* 95 (4), 307-315

490 76 Zhang, J. *et al.* (2008) Fragile X-related proteins regulate mammalian circadian
491 behavioral rhythms. *Am J Hum Genet* 83 (1), 43-52

492 77 Ule, J. *et al.* (2003) CLIP identifies Nova-regulated RNA networks in the brain.
493 *Science* 302 (5648), 1212-1215

494 78 Licatalosi, D.D. *et al.* (2008) HITS-CLIP yields genome-wide insights into brain
495 alternative RNA processing. *Nature* 456 (7221), 464-469

496 79 Sanford, J.R. *et al.* (2009) Splicing factor SFRS1 recognizes a functionally diverse
497 landscape of RNA transcripts. *Genome Res* 19 (3), 381-394

498 80 Yeo, G.W. *et al.* (2009) An RNA code for the FOX2 splicing regulator revealed by
499 mapping RNA-protein interactions in stem cells. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 16 (2), 130-137

500 81 Chi, S.W. *et al.* (2009) Argonaute HITS-CLIP decodes microRNA-mRNA interaction
501 maps. *Nature* 460 (7254), 479-486

502 82 Zisoulis, D.G. *et al.* (2010) Comprehensive discovery of endogenous Argonaute
503 binding sites in *Caenorhabditis elegans*. *Nat Struct Mol Biol* 17 (2), 173-179

504 83 Robertson, J.B. *et al.* (2008) Real-time luminescence monitoring of cell-cycle and
505 respiratory oscillations in yeast. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 105 (46), 17988-17993

506 84 Veldhuis, J.D. *et al.* (1986) Spectrum of the pulsatile characteristics of LH release in
507 normal men. *J Androl* 7 (2), 83-92

508 85 Knight, J.E. *et al.* (2000) mRNA stability and polysome loss in hibernating Arctic
509 ground squirrels (*Spermophilus parryi*). *Mol Cell Biol* 20 (17), 6374-6379.

510 86 Hoppensteadt, F.C. and Keller, J.B. (1976) Synchronization of periodical cicada
511 emergences. *Science* 194 (4262), 335-337

512 87 Kawamura, A. *et al.* (2005) Zebrafish hairy/enhancer of split protein links FGF
513 signaling to cyclic gene expression in the periodic segmentation of somites. *Genes*
514 *Dev* 19 (10), 1156-1161

515 88 Kuersten, S. and Goodwin, E.B. (2003) The power of the 3' UTR: translational control
516 and development. *Nat Rev Genet* 4 (8), 626-637

517 89 Mauxion, F. *et al.* (2009) BTG/TOB factors impact deadenylases. *Trends Biochem Sci*
518 34 (12), 640-647
519 90 Garceau, N.Y. *et al.* (1997) Alternative initiation of translation and time-specific
520 phosphorylation yield multiple forms of the essential clock protein FREQUENCY.
521 *Cell* 89 (3), 469-476
522 91 Le Hir, H. and Seraphin, B. (2008) EJC's at the heart of translational control. *Cell* 133
523 (2), 213-216
524 92 Kamath, R. *et al.* (2003) Systematic functional analysis of the *Caenorhabditis elegans*
525 genome using RNAi. *Nature* 421, 231-237
526 93 Dietzl, G. *et al.* (2007) A genome-wide transgenic RNAi library for conditional gene
527 inactivation in *Drosophila*. *Nature* 448 (7150), 151-156

Glossary box

3' Untranslated Region (3'UTR): Region of the mRNA 3' to the translation stop codon.

Alternative splicing: Various ways to skip introns and splice exons. This mechanism generates a large diversity of mRNA molecules from a single gene. Alternative splicing includes mutually exclusive exons (where splicing leads to the inclusion of either of two exons), exon skipping, intron retention, alternative 5' or 3' splice sites (leading to the retention of all or only part of an exon) and alternative terminal exons.

Circadian rhythm: A cycle one day long (Latin *circa*, about, and *dies*, day). The period of a circadian rhythm is 24 h when the organism is grown under a light-dark cycle (12h light, 12h darkness), and about 24 h when the organism is released into free-running condition. Several parameters cycle in circadian rhythms, the most obvious one in mammals being sleep and wake.

Free-running rhythm: Circadian rhythm in the absence of external cues (like constant darkness and temperature).

Half-life. Time required in the absence of synthesis to achieve degradation of half the initial amount of a molecule (like an mRNA).

Infradian rhythm: A cycle of length above 24h.

Melatonin: Circulating hormone secreted by the pineal gland during the night in mammals. It relays the circadian rhythm imposed by the central nervous system to the peripheral organs.

miRNA (micro RNA): Short double-stranded RNA, encoded by the genome, that controls gene expression at several levels. In vertebrates, a prevalent feature of miRNAs is their capacity to specifically repress the translation of target mRNAs by (limited) sequence complementarity.

Period: Time interval between two reference points (two peaks for example). Inverse of frequency.

Presomitic mesoderm: Posterior, non-segmented mesoderm, in which the segmentation clock is active and from which segmented somites periodically bud off.

555 **Somites:** Transient embryonic repeated mesodermal structures. They are the origin of adult
556 skeletal muscles, bones and derm.

557 **Somitic segmentation:** Organisation of the somites as repeated units along the embryonic
558 antero-posterior axis.

559 **Suprachiasmatic nucleus (SCN):** A region of the hypothalamus. The master circadian clock
560 is located within the SCN.

561 **Ultradian rhythm:** A cycle of length shorter than 24h (e.g. the segmentation clock).

562 **Box 1. Some examples of biological rhythms**

563

564 Depending on the period, biorhythms are classified as ultradian (period $T < 24\text{h}$), infradian
565 ($T > 24\text{h}$) and circadian ($T \sim 24\text{h}$). Ultradian rhythms include heart beating ($T = \text{fractions of}$
566 seconds to seconds), sleep episodes ($T = \text{tens of minutes}$), respiratory oscillations in yeasts
567 ($T = 1\text{--}5\text{h}$ [83]), somitic segmentation in vertebrates ($T = 30$ minutes in Zebrafish, 2h in mice
568 [2]), or pulses of LH secretion by the pituitary gland ($T \sim 3\text{h}$ in men [84]). Infradian rhythms
569 include successions of torpor and arousal during the hibernation of small mammals
570 ($T = \text{several days}$ [85]), female menstrual cycles ($T = \text{several days to several months}$), annual
571 rhythms (flowering of most plants), and even pluri-annual rhythms such as the emergence of
572 Cicada [86].

Box 2. The vertebrate segmentation clock.

Please refer to the accompanying figure.

Title of the figure "The zebrafish core segmentation clock"

In zebrafish, the core segmentation clock consists of Her1 and Her7 proteins (see Figure). Homodimers or heterodimers of these proteins bind to their own promoters and repress their transcription. Taking into account transcriptional and translational delays, this results in oscillating levels of these proteins. Furthermore, Her1/7 duplexes repress the transcription of Delta-C, a transmembrane Notch ligand. When bound by its ligand, the Notch transmembrane receptor undergoes a limited proteolysis that releases the Notch intracellular domain (NICD) in the cytoplasm. NICD is then translocated to the nucleus. Together with Su(H) protein, the NICD stimulates the transcription of target genes including *Her1* and *Her7*. The stimulation of *Her1/7* transcription by Delta-C expressed in adjacent cells, and the ensuing repression of *Delta-C* gene by Her1/7 achieves coordinated oscillations in neighbouring cells [18,63]. Her13.2 reinforces the transcriptional inhibition mediated by Her1/7, and it is controlled by the FGF pathway. This links the Notch and FGF signalling pathways [87]. Several other genes are downstream of Her1/7 and are involved in somitic segmentation. In amniotes (chick, mouse), the segmentation clock is more complex. It requires oscillations of the Notch modulator Lunatic fringe, and of tens of mRNAs that encode proteins belonging to the FGF and Wnt signalling pathways in addition to Notch [57].

Box 3. Different levels of posttranscriptional controls of gene expression.

Please refer to the accompanying figure.

Title of the figure "pre-mRNA and mRNA fate in eukaryotic cells"

The posttranscriptional controls are exerted on RNA molecules and are indicated in red on the figure. Concomitantly with nuclear transcription, pre-mRNAs are matured to mRNAs. Pre-mRNA maturation refers to three events: 5' capping, 3' cleavage and polyadenylation, and intron excision coupled with exon splicing. Most pre-mRNAs can be cleaved and polyadenylated at several sites (alternative cleavage/polyadenylation) and/or undergo several splicing patterns (alternative splicing. In the figure, the second exon is either skipped or spliced). Due to alternative cleavage/polyadenylation and alternative splicing, a large variety of mRNAs can be obtained from a given pre-mRNA.

After nucleo-cytoplasmic export, mRNA translation and decay are controlled, and the 3' poly(A) tail is a major site for these controls. Polyadenylated mRNAs are much more actively translated than deadenylated mRNAs. The initiation factor eIF4G, that recruits the small ribosomal subunit, is able to interact simultaneously with the 5' cap-binding protein eIF4E and the 3' Poly(A) binding protein. The connection between mRNA 5' (cap) and 3' (poly(A) tail) ends strongly stimulates translation [88]. In addition, polyadenylated mRNAs are much more stable than deadenylated mRNAs. For most mRNAs, deadenylation is the rate-limiting step of mRNA decay, and several factors that control mRNA stability do so by regulating the deadenylation rate. In higher eukaryotes, the major pathway for mRNA decay is poly(A) tail removal (deadenylation) followed by RNA exosome-mediated 3' to 5' exonucleolytic degradation. [89]. The 5'-most AUG codon is generally the translation initiation codon, but more distal initiation codons can also be used (alternative initiation of translation), resulting in alternative protein isoforms. This mechanism was described for instance for the mRNA that encodes FRQ, a component of the *N. Crassa* circadian clock [90].

620 Nuclear and cytoplasmic controls are tightly coupled. A complex (EJC, exon junction
621 complex) is assembled during splicing immediately upstream of exon junctions, and remains
622 associated with the mRNA during nucleocytoplasmic export. This hallmark of a nuclear event
623 then influences cytoplasmic mRNA translation and degradation [91]. For example, the EJC is
624 involved in the recognition and rapid degradation of mRNAs containing a premature stop
625 codon by the ‘nonsense-mediated mRNA decay’ (NMD) pathway [91]. In addition,
626 alternative splicing can lead to mature transcripts that contain alternative 3' untranslated
627 regions (3'UTR), that are instrumental in mRNA stability and translation [88]. Consequently,
628 alternative cleavage/polyadenylation or splicing impacts mRNA half-life or translation.

629 **Box 4. Future questions**

630 - Uniform mRNA instability is the only mode of posttranscriptional controls demonstrated in
631 the segmentation clock. Do oscillating mRNA stability and/or oscillating mRNA translation
632 also play a role?

633 - In the circadian clock, the described mechanisms relate to most posttranscriptional controls
634 found to be governing the expression of other non-clock-related gene programs, but mRNA
635 intracellular traffic and local translation were not reported. Since they are prevalent
636 mechanisms in neurons [73], one could ask if they have a function in the circadian clock.

637 - A posttranscriptional feedback loop was demonstrated in *N. crassa* circadian clock [48], and
638 the levels of some RNA-BPs oscillate in mammalian circadian clocks [28,33]. Are there
639 posttranscriptional feedback loops in vertebrate clocks that could account for the oscillations
640 of these RNA-BPs?

641 - Systematic gene inactivations were reported in lower metazoans [92,93], and several genes
642 were disrupted by homologous recombination in mice. Some of them encode RNA-BPs or
643 miRNAs. Which inactivations lead to clock troubles, demonstrating an involvement of the
644 corresponding gene products in clock setting or robustness?

645 - What are the posttranscriptional networks in clocks? For the RNA-BPs and the miRNAs that
646 are involved in clocks, what are the associated mRNAs?

647 - Are deregulations of posttranscriptional networks in clocks at the origin of human diseases?

Figure legends

Figure 1. The mammalian circadian clock and its three layers of control

(a) Master circadian pacemaker in the suprachiasmatic nucleus (SCN). The Clock–Bmal1 complex directly stimulates the transcription of *Per*, *Cry*, *Rev-Erb α* , and of output clock-controlled genes (CCGs) via binding to the E-box. Oscillatory activity of the Clock–Bmal1 complex is achieved by two negative feedback loops: the Per–Cry complex inhibits Clock–Bmal1, and *Bmal1* transcription is repressed by binding of Rev-Erb α to the RRE (ROR response element). **(b)** Relationships between transcriptional, posttranscriptional and posttranslational layers in the control of *Per* genes expression. Since Per proteins contribute to the control of the Clock–Bmal1 complex, fine-tuning their levels is required to obtain oscillations of clock genes. The levels of Per proteins are regulated at a transcriptional level (yellow layer) by the Clock–Bmal1 complex (see Figure 1a). They are regulated at a posttranslational level too (green layer), among others as Casein-kinase1- δ and - ϵ mediate Per phosphorylation that targets them to ubiquitin/proteasome degradation [19,20]. Recent results demonstrate that a third layer (posttranscriptional controls, red) should be added to complete the picture. The oscillating controls (transcription, mRNA translation and degradation) are in capital letters.

Figure 2. Posttranscriptional controls exerted on mRNAs encoding proteins involved in circadian rhythms

Arrows and blunt-end lines towards ribosomes (brown) indicate stimulation and inhibition, respectively, of mRNA translation. Arrows towards the exonucleolytic enzyme (yellow) indicate stimulation of mRNA decay. The sinusoidal symbols on the right of the factors involved in posttranscriptional controls indicate oscillating levels of these factors. **(a)** Components of the master circadian clock in the SCN. **(b)** Aanat, a pineal, rate-limiting enzyme in melatonin synthesis.

Gene	Function in the clock	Evidence for posttranscriptional controls	References
<i>Lnfg</i> in amniotes	Encodes modulator of Notch signalling	mRNA instability inferred from expression pattern in mice; 3'UTR of chick mRNA confers rapid degradation to a reporter mRNA	[58,62]
zebrafish <i>Her1</i>	Encodes component of the core clock	The expression pattern of a reporter mRNA controlled by <i>Her1</i> promoter is different from that of endogenous <i>Her1</i> due to increased mRNA stability. Expression pattern in the <i>Tortuga</i> mutant consistent with <i>Tortuga</i> gene product being responsible for <i>Her1</i> mRNA instability	[59,64]
<i>Xenopus Hairy 2a</i> , <i>Hairy 1</i> , <i>Esr5</i> , <i>Nrarp</i> , <i>Bowline</i> , Chick <i>Hairy 1</i> , Mouse <i>Hes1</i> , human <i>HES4</i>	Mouse <i>Hes1</i> and human <i>HES4</i> may be components of segmentation clock. The other genes encode factors downstream of the segmentation clock. Some of them are involved in setting the antero-posterior polarity of forming somites	In <i>Xenopus</i> , the 3'UTR of <i>Hairy 2a</i> confers instability on a reporter mRNA. The expression pattern of <i>Hairy 2a</i> or <i>Bowline</i> was recapitulated in transgenic embryos with the appropriate promoter and a 3'UTR of one of these genes, but not with a 3'UTR of a stable mRNA.	[60,61]
<i>Xenopus Su(H)</i> (homologue of mammalian <i>Rbpj</i>)	Binds to Notch intracellular domain to stimulate expression of Notch target genes	mRNA instability is conferred by association with the RNA-BP Celf1. A specific impairment of the interaction between Celf1 and <i>Su(H)</i> mRNA causes segmentation defects.	[65,67]

Table 1. Posttranscriptional controls of gene expression in the segmentation clock.

(a)

(b)

FIGURE 1

FIGURE 2

FIGURE OF BOX 2

FIGURE OF BOX 3