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Abstract
An increase in circulating adrenal catecholamine levels constitutes one of the mechanisms whereby organisms cope with stress. Accordingly, stimulus-secretion coupling within the stressed adrenal medullary tissue undergoes persistent remodeling. In particular, cholinergic synaptic neurotransmission between splanchnic nerve terminals and chromaffin cells is upregulated in stressed rats. Since synaptic transmission is mainly supported by activation of postsynaptic neuronal acetylcholine nicotinic receptors (nAChRs), we focused our study on the role of α9-containing nAChRs, which have been recently described in chromaffin cells. Taking advantage of their specific blockade by the α-conotoxin RgIA (α-RgIA), we unveil novel functional roles for these receptors in the stimulus-secretion coupling of the medulla. First, we show that, in rat acute adrenal slices, α9-containing nAChRs co-distribute with synaptophysin and significantly contribute to excitatory post-synaptic currents. Second, these receptors are involved in the tonic inhibitory control exerted by cholinergic activity on gap junctional coupling between chromaffin cells, as evidenced by an increased Lucifer yellow diffusion within the medulla in α-RgIA-treated slices. Third, we unexpectedly found that α9-containing nAChRs dominantly (>70%) contribute to acetylcholine-induced current in cold stressed rats, whilst α3 nAChRs are the main contributing channels in unstressed animals. Consistently, expression levels of α9 nAChR transcript and protein are overexpressed in cold stressed rats. As a functional relevance, we propose that upregulation of α9-containing nAChR channels and ensuing dominant contribution in cholinergic signaling may be one of the mechanisms whereby adrenal medullary tissue appropriately adapts to increased splanchnic nerve electrical discharges occurring in stressful situations.
Introduction

Catecholamines are one of the primary mediators for many of the physiological consequences of the organism’s response to stressors. Once delivered into the blood circulation in response to stress, these mediators exert multiple actions enabling an organism to cope with a changing environment and to respond efficiently to a threat for its survival. Since chromaffin cells are the main source of circulating epinephrine, it is of interest to investigate how they ensure increased secretion upon stressful situations. Catecholamine secretion is regulated by both synaptic release of acetylcholine from splanchnic nerve terminals synapsing onto chromaffin cells (Douglas, 1968; Wakade, 1981) and local gap junction-mediated communication between chromaffin cells (Martin et al., 2001; Colomer et al., 2009). It is noteworthy that the cholinergic synaptic activity exerts a tonic inhibitory control on gap junctional coupling (Martin et al., 2003). Additionally, the two commands are upregulated in stressed rats (Colomer et al., 2008a). Whilst the mechanisms underlying upregulation of junctional coupling have been investigated (Colomer et al., 2008b), nothing is known regarding the increased neurotransmission.

At rat splanchnic nerve-chromaffin cell synapses, postsynaptic nicotinic receptors (nAChRs) dominantly contribute to acetylcholine-mediated excitatory responses and ensuing secretion (Douglas and Rubin, 1961; Wakade and Wakade, 1983). Whilst most nAChRs contain α and β subunits, some contain only α subunits, as exemplified by α7, α9 and α9/α10 nAChRs. Multiple α and β subunits have been identified in rat chromaffin cells (Di Angelantonio et al., 2003; Sala et al., 2008). Although the α3 subunit constitutes a major component of nAChRs activated in response to synaptically released acetylcholine (Criado et al., 1992; Nooney and Feltz, 1995; Barbara and Takeda, 1996), α7-built receptors are also involved in acetylcholine-mediated responses (López et al., 1998; Martin et al., 2003, 2005). The expression of α9 and α10 subunits in rat chromaffin cells (L. A. Olivos-Oré, L. Solís, C.
Montiel and A. R. Artalejo, personal communication) extends the tissular distribution of α9/α10 nAChRs to a neuroendocrine tissue and raises the question of their role. α9/α10 nAChRs display an unusual pharmacological profile as they can be activated by both nicotinic and muscarinic agonists (Verbitsky et al., 2000) and are highly permeable to Ca\(^{2+}\) (Katz et al., 2000). This prompted us to hypothesize that they may play unexpected roles in stimulus-secretion coupling in the adrenal medulla. In particular, whether they contribute to synaptic neurotransmission and whether they are modulated upon increased synaptic activity remains unknown.

To address the functional role of α9-containing nAChRs in the adrenomedullary tissue, we took advantage of its potent and selective blockade by the α-conotoxin RgIA (Ellison et al., 2006; Vincler et al., 2006; Olivera et al., 2008; Pérez et al., 2009). Our results obtained in rat acute adrenal slices show that α9-containing nAChRs are involved in the excitatory neurotransmission at the splanchnic nerve-chromaffin cell synapse and in the tonic inhibitory control exerted by cholinergic activity on gap junctional coupling. In addition, α9 subunit expression level is upregulated in cold stressed rats, making α9-containing nAChR a major determinant in acetylcholine-induced current.

**Material and methods**

All procedures in this study conformed to the animal welfare guidelines of the European Community and were approved by the French Ministry of Agriculture (authorization no. 34.247).

**Adrenal slice preparation**

Acute slices were prepared from 8- to 12-week-old Wistar male rats (Janvier, Le Genest-St-Isle, France) as reported previously (Martin et al., 2001). After removal, the glands were kept in ice-cold saline for 2 min. A gland was next glued onto an agarose cube and transferred to
the stage of a vibratome (DTK-1000, D.S.K, Dosaka EM CO. LTD, Kyoto, Japan). Slices of 200 μm thickness were cut with a razor blade and transferred to a storage chamber maintained at 37°C, containing Ringer’s saline (in mM): 125 NaCl, 2.5 KCl, 2 CaCl$_2$, 1 MgCl$_2$, 1.25 NaH$_2$PO$_4$, 26 NaHCO$_3$, 12 glucose and buffered to pH 7.4. The saline was continuously bubbled with carbogen (95% O$_2$/5% CO$_2$).

**Stress paradigm**

In some experiments, rats were subjected to a cold stress paradigm (Colomer et al., 2008a, 2008b). Upon entrance to the colony, rats were allowed to acclimatise to the animal facility for a minimum of 7 days before use. They were housed initially three per cage and then singly housed during the conduct of the experiments. Rats were randomly assigned to a cold-exposed or control group. Cold stressed rats were kept in clear plastic cages with bedding and continuously exposed to an ambient temperature of 4°C for 5 days in a temperature-controlled cold chamber. Control rats were housed in similar conditions but at 22°C. For each series of experiments, and to avoid an additional stress due to isolation, we made sure that at least two rats underwent the same protocol (control or cold exposure) at the same time. The rooms were maintained on a 12-hour light/dark cycle (light on from 8 a.m. to 8 p.m.). Food and water were available *ad libitum*.

**Electrophysiology**

All experiments were performed in the whole-cell configuration of the patch-clamp technique (Hamill et al., 1981). Patch pipettes were pulled to a resistance of 5-8 MΩ from borosilicate glass and filled with the following internal solution (in mM): 140 potassium-glucocinate, 2 MgCl$_2$, 1.1 EGTA, 5 Hepes, that was titrated to pH 7.2 with KOH. Adrenal slices were transferred to a recording chamber attached to the stage of an upright microscope (Axioskop FS, Zeiss, Le Pecq, France) and continuously superfused with Ringer’s saline at 34°C. Spontaneous EPSCs (sEPSCs) were recorded in chromaffin cells voltage-clamped at -80 mV.
using an EPC-9 patch-clamp amplifier (HEKA Electronik, Lambrecht/Pfalz, Germany) and were filtered at 1 kHz. Signals were acquired and analyzed using Pulse and PulseFit softwares (version 8.50, HEKA Electronik). In some cells, a quantal analysis of sEPSCs was performed. Note that sEPSCs are independent of presynaptic action potentials at the splanchnic nerve-chromaffin cell synapse (Barbara and Takeda, 1996; Kajiwara et al., 1997). sEPSCs were analysed by using Clampfit 9.0 (Axon Instruments, Inc., Foster city, CA). Only single events were selected for construction of amplitude histograms. These histograms were then inspected for the presence of peaks and a corresponding number of Gaussians was then fitted by nonlinear regression using Igor Pro 5.04.8 (Wavemetrics Inc., Lake Oswego, OR). Quantal size was estimated from the mean value of the first Gaussian curve fitted to the amplitude distribution histogram.

**Dye transfer assay**

The fluorescent dye LY (Lucifer yellow-CH, lithium salt, 0.5 mM in the above-mentioned internal solution) was introduced into chromaffin cells using patch pipettes. Cells were viewed with a 63x 0.9 NA achroplan water immersion objective (Zeiss). Dye transfer between gap junction-coupled cells was visualized with a real-time confocal laser scanning microscope equipped with an Ar/Kr laser (Odyssey XL with InterVision 1.5.1 software, Noran Instruments Inc., Middleton, WI). LY diffusion was imaged using the 488 nm-centered wavelength of the laser beam. The largest detection slit (100 μm) of the confocal microscope was used, giving images with a 3.1 μm axial resolution. The extent of LY diffusion was estimated by counting the number of neighboring cells that received dye in 30 min. The probability of LY diffusion was expressed as a ratio corresponding to the number of injected cells that show dye transfer to adjacent cells over the total number of injected cells.

**Immunostaining**
To process for immunolabeling, the adrenal glands from control and cold stressed rats were removed and fixed by immersion in 4% PFA in 0.1 M phosphate buffer (4 hours at RT). They were then cut using a vibratome (Leica VT1000S, Leica Microsystems, Rueil-Malmaison, France) into 50 μm-thick sections. Sections were incubated 48h at 4°C with a goat polyclonal antibody raised against α9 nAChR (1:250, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Inc, Heidelberg, Germany) and a mouse monoclonal antibody against the presynaptic protein synaptophysin (1:1000, clone SVP-38, Sigma). Sections were then incubated (3 hours at RT) with appropriate secondary antibodies conjugated to Alexa 488 (1:2000, Molecular Probes, Eugene, OR) or Cy3 (1:2000, Jackson ImmunoResearch Laboratories, Inc., West Grove, PA). Primary and secondary antibodies were diluted in PBS containing 2% BSA and 0.1% Triton X100. Stained sections were imaged with a Biorad MRC 1024 confocal laser scanning microscope equipped with a krypton-argon mixed gas laser emitting at 488 and 568 nm. The specificity of the commercial antibodies has been assessed by absorption tests. Negative controls were carried out by omitting primary antibodies. Quantitative analysis of co-localization between synaptophysin and α9 nAChR was performed using Image J software, as previously described (Chauvet et al., 2003). Briefly, a fixed threshold for the fluorescent intensity was set to remove background and include only labeled puncta. The thresholded images were then converted to binary images, pseudocolored and the lookup table was inverted so that binary images showed a green labeling for α9 nAChRs and a red labeling for synaptophysin-positive presynaptic terminals. After superimposition of binary images, synaptophysin puncta co-distributed with α9 nAChRs were counted and results were expressed as a percentage of co-localization of synaptophysin staining over α9 nAChR staining.

**Western blotting**
After decapsulation of the adrenal gland, medullary tissue was separated from the cortex. To remove remaining cortical cells, samples were incubated for 10 min at 0-4°C in Ringer’s saline containing 1 mg/ml collagenase type I. Membrane proteins were then isolated using lysis buffer (10 mM Tris-HCl, pH 7.4, 5 mM EDTA, 1 mM sodium orthovanadate and 10 mM NaF as phosphatase inhibitors, 10 mM β–glycerophosphate and 1% Triton X-100), supplemented with Mini complete protease inhibitors (Roche Applied Science, Laval, Quebec). Proteins were centrifuged once for 5 min at 5,000 rpm and supernatants were collected. Proteins were centrifuged for 30 min at 13,200 rpm and concentrations were determinated using the Dc Protein Assay Kit (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, CA). Protein samples (40 µg) were heated for 5 min at 95°C and separated on a 10% polyacrylamide gel in parallel with molecular weight markers. Electrophoresed proteins were transferred onto a nitrocellulose membrane (80 V, 75 min) using a semi-dry blotting system (Bio-rad, Hercules, CA). Blots were then blocked with 10% Blocking Reagent (BM Chemoluminescence Blotting Substrate kit, Roche Applied Science) in TBS (pH 7.4, 0.1% Tween 20) for 1 h at room temperature with gentle agitation. Blots were then incubated with the same α9 nAChR polyclonal antibody as that used for immunofluorescence in TBS-Tween 0.1% containing 10% Blocking Reagent at 4°C overnight. Following washout, blots were incubated with a peroxidase conjugated-secondary antibody for 1 h at room temperature. This was followed by 1 min incubation in BM Chemoluminescence Blotting substrate. Labeled blots were then exposed to Fujifilm Medical X-Ray Film to visualize antibody binding. To ensure equal loading of protein samples, blots were stripped of the α9 nAChR antibody and reprobed with an actin-specific monoclonal antibody (actin, pan Ab-5, clone ACTN05, 1:1000, Interchim, Montluçon, France). Intensities of α9 nAChR bands were normalized to those of actin and quantified using ImageJ software.

**Quantification of mRNA expression levels by real-time PCR**
Total RNA was extracted from macrodissected adrenal medulla and treated with DNase I using the RNeasy Micro extraction kit (Qiagen, Courtaboeuf, France). RNA (1 μg) was first reverse transcribed using Superscript III reverse transcriptase (Invitrogen) and 250 ng of random hexamer (Amersham Biosciences Europe, Orsay, France) in a final volume of 20 μl. Real-time PCR analyses of α3nAChR, α7nAChR, α9nAChR and housekeeping genes were performed using SYBR Green PCR master mix (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA) with 1:10 of the reverse-transcription reaction, and were carried out on an ABI 7500 Sequence Detector (Applied Biosystems). Primer sequences for rat α3nAChR, rat α7nAChR, rat α9nAChR and housekeeping genes are given in Table 1. The concentration of the primers used was 300 nM for α3nAChR, α7nAChR, α9nAChR and housekeeping genes. After an initial denaturation step for 10 min at 95°C, the thermal cycling conditions were 40 cycles at 95°C for 15 s and 60°C for 1 min. Each sample value was determined from triplicate measurements. The selection of appropriate housekeeping genes was performed with geNorm (Vandesompele et al., 2002). Expression of α3, α7 and α9 nAChR transcripts was normalized to the geometric mean of the expression levels of three housekeeping genes, Hprt (hypoxanthine-guanine phosphoribosyltransferase), GAPDH (glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate dehydrogenase) and Gus (Glucuronidase), according to the formula $C_{x/\text{geometric mean}}(R1, R2, R3) = 2^{(C_t(C_x)-\text{arithmetic mean}[C_t(R1),C_t(R2),C_t(R3)])}$, where $C_t$ is the threshold cycle, and R1, R2, R3 are the three reference genes.

**Solutions and chemicals**

The α3-built nicotinic receptor blocker hexamethonium (hexamethonium chloride), Lucifer yellow (LY), collagenase type I, acetylcholine chloride and the gap junction blocker carbenoxolone were purchased from Sigma. Primers for qPCR were purchased from MWG-Biotech AG (Ebersberg, Germany). The α-RgIA conotoxin was synthesized by previously described methods (Cartier et al., 1996). To investigate the blocking effect of hexamethonium
and α-RgIA on acetylcholine (ACh)-evoked inward current, the pharmacological blockers were continuously pressure-ejected (during 4 min before ACh stimulation) from an extracellular micropipette (tip diameter 2-5 μm) that was positioned in the vicinity of the recorded chromaffin cell. Cells were briefly stimulated (1 s) by the cholinergic agonist ACh that was also pressure-applied. To examine the effect of α-RgIA on sEPSCs, the toxin was pressure-applied for 4 min at the vicinity of the voltage-clamped cell before recording of synaptic currents.

Statistics
Numerical data are expressed as the mean ± SEM. Differences between groups were assessed by using the non-parametric Mann-Whitney U test. Unpaired or paired Student’s t-test was used to compare means when appropriate. Percentages were compared using a contingency table and the chi-square test. Differences with P<0.05 were considered significant.

Results
In the absence of specific cholinergic agonists acting at α9 subunit-built nAChR channels, the functional role of these nicotinic receptors in the rat adrenal medulla was assessed by using a recently discovered toxin isolated from the Western Atlantic species Conus regius, α-conotoxin RgIA (α-RgIA). α-RgIA specifically and potently antagonizes α9- and α9/α10-built nAChRs (Ellison et al., 2006, 2008). In all experiments, we used the toxin at a concentration that is effective to block rat α9-containing nAChR channels and without any effect on the closely related α7-built nAChRs (Ellison et al., 2006; Vincler et al., 2006).

α9-containing nAChRs are involved in synaptic neurotransmission between splanchnic nerve terminals and chromaffin cells
To determine whether α9-containing nAChRs contribute to cholinergic synaptic transmission at the splanchnic nerve-chromaffin cell junction, spontaneous excitatory post-synaptic currents (sEPSCs) were recorded in whole-cell voltage-clamped chromaffin cells, in the absence or in the presence of the α-RgIA conotoxin (Figure 1A). The effect of α-RgIA on synaptic events was examined after a 4-min continuous application of the toxin. α-RgIA (200 nM) led to a decreased synaptic activity (right panel) when compared with control condition (left panel). This result strongly suggests that α9-containing nAChRs are activated in response to in situ synaptically released ACh. To determine whether α9 nAChRs have a synaptic distribution, their co-localization with the 38kDa presynaptic vesicle protein synaptophysin (Wiedenmann and Franke, 1985) was assessed using double immunostaining. As illustrated in figure 1B, labeling of α9 nAChRs matches closely that of synaptophysin, supporting the hypothesis of a synaptic/perisynaptic localization. Altogether, these results consistently fit with an activation of α9-containing nAChR channels upon ACh release in the synaptic cleft and represent the first documentation of a functional role of α9-containing nAChRs in the adrenal medullary tissue. This finding also extends available data on the expression of nAChR subtypes at the cholinergic synapse between splanchnic nerve endings and chromaffin cells and their activation in response to synaptically release ACh.

As seen in figure 1, both sEPSC frequency and amplitude were affected by the toxin α-RgIA. A more detailed analysis is presented in figures 2, 3 and 4. In the presence of α-RgIA, sEPSC frequency was significantly decreased (0.36 ± 0.17 Hz, n = 17 in control conditions versus 0.04 ± 0.03 Hz, n = 17 in the presence of α-RgIA, P<0.05, Figure 2A). On average, α-RgIA reduced sEPSC frequency by 62 ± 8% (n = 17). α-RgIA-induced decrease in sEPSC frequency was reversible after 5 min washout (in 9 out of the 9 cells tested for reversibility, Figure 2B, P<0.05). Not only sEPSC frequency was affected by α-RgIA, but also sEPSC amplitude although to a lesser extent (Figure 3). In the presence of α-RgIA, sEPSC amplitude
was significantly decreased (147 ± 29 pA, n = 17 in control conditions versus 100 ± 26 pA, n = 17 in the presence of α-RgIA, P<0.05, Figure 3A). On average, α-RgIA reduced sEPSC amplitude by about 36% (n = 17). As illustrated by the plot of sEPSC amplitude variance (Figure 3B), α-RgIA reduced the amplitude variance value, supporting the finding that the toxin acts by homogeneizing sEPSC amplitudes. Accordingly, the distribution of cumulative probability plotted from sEPSC amplitude recorded in one representative cell exhibited a bimodal distribution including both low and high amplitude (over 400 pA) events in control condition, and a decreased proportion of these high amplitude events in the presence of α-RgIA (Figure 3C). To go further, we next performed a quantal analysis of sEPSCs (Figure 4).

In control saline, the mean quantal size of sEPSCs was 20 ± 2.4 pA (n = 5). This value does not differ from those previously reported (Barbara and Takeda, 1996; Kajiwara et al., 1997). As shown in figure 4Ab, α-RgIA diminished the relative contribution of larger events to amplitude histograms, leading to a switch from a bimodal to an unimodal amplitude distribution. This effect is consistent with a decrease of release probability at splanchnic nerve terminals. Additionally, in α-RgIA-containing saline, the quantal size was reduced (Figure 4A), as evidenced by a leftward shift of the first Gaussian peak. This is consistent with an action on postsynaptic α9-containing nAChRs receptors. This effect was observed in 4 out of 5 recorded cells (Figure 4B, mean decrease of 34 ± 6.7%; n = 4). We noticed that the effect of α-RgIA on sEPSC quantal size and sEPSC frequency differed from one cell to another. In the majority of cells, α-RgIA reduced both the quantal size and the frequency, whereas in others it reduced either one or the other. This suggests that the toxin may affect independently the frequency and the quantal size, implying separate modulatory effects of presynaptic and postsynaptic α9-containing nAChRs.
α9-containing nAChRs tonically inhibit gap junction-mediated cell-to-cell communication

As previously reported (Martin et al., 2003), nAChRs exert a tonic inhibitory control on gap junctional communication between chromaffin cells. To investigate the ability of α9-containing nAChRs to modulate gap junctional coupling, we imaged Lucifer yellow diffusion by real-time confocal microscopy during 30 min with an image acquisition every 5 min (Figure 5). A 30 min bath-application of α-RgIA significantly increased the probability of LY diffusion from the patched cells to 1-2 adjacent cells ($P<0.05$ for time $\geq$ 15 min). By contrast, in control slices, the number of fluorescent cells did not change during the recording time and was preferentially restricted to the patched cells, as recently reported (Colomer et al., 2008b). As expected for a gap junction-mediated effect, α-RgIA did not affect LY diffusion when applied after 15 min incubation in the presence of the uncoupling agent carbenoxolone (Ishimatsu and Williams, 1996). Altogether, these results show that α9-containing nAChRs are involved in the tonic inhibitory control exerted by cholinergic signaling on gap junction-mediated cell-cell communication in the adrenal medulla, as previously reported for α3 and α7-built nAChRs (Martin et al., 2003).

Altogether, our findings showing the contribution of α9-containing nAChRs to synaptic transmission and to regulation of gap junctional communication strengthen the hypothesis that these nAChRs may be functionally relevant for stimulus-secretion coupling in the adrenal medulla.

Upregulation of α9 nAChR channel protein expression level in cold stressed rats

Our finding showing the involvement of α9-containing nAChRs in synaptic transmission between splanchnic nerve endings and chromaffin cells prompted us to investigate the expression level of these receptors in a condition associated with an increased synaptic
transmission. Besides its well-described effects on expression of genes and proteins involved in the catecholamine biosynthesis (Baruchin et al., 1990; Kvetnansky and Sabban, 1993; Sabban and Kvetnansky, 2001), cold stress also triggers long lasting anatomical and functional remodeling of intercellular communication in the rat adrenal medulla. In particular, synaptic activity is upregulated (Colomer et al., 2008a). Do α9-containing nAChRs play a role in cold stress-induced increased synaptic activity? Because nAChR function can be regulated at the transcriptional, translational and post-translational level (Laufer and Changeux, 1989; Fornasari et al., 1998; Albuquerque et al., 2009), we first examined the expression level of both α9 nAChR protein and transcript in cold exposed rats.

The membrane content of α9 nAChRs was measured by western blot. As illustrated in figure 6A, α9 nAChRs protein level was upregulated in stressed rats. The level of α9 nAChR expression being normalized to that of actin, the quantitative analysis showed a significant upregulation (1.8-fold increase) of α9-built nAChRs in cold stressed rats as compared to unstressed animals (mean ratio α9 nAChR/actin of 0.45 ± 0.04, n = 6 for control rats versus 0.82 ± 0.05, n = 6 for cold exposed rats, P<0.05).

As a first step towards the characterization of the mechanisms involved in increased α9 nAChR expression in cold exposed rats, we examined by real-time PCR whether the expression level of its transcript was modified. Total RNA was extracted from macrodissected adrenal medulla from 13 control and 12 cold exposed rats. Expression of nAChR subtype mRNA was normalized to the geometric mean of the expression levels of Hprt, GAPDH and Gus mRNA. α9 nAChR mRNA relative expression level was 5.2-fold higher in stressed rats (23.6 ± 7.9 versus 4.5 ± 1.2 in stressed and control rats, respectively, P<0.05, Figure 6Ba). Interestingly, no change was observed for α3- and α7-built nAChR transcripts (relative expression 249 ± 48 versus 186 ± 51 for stressed and control rats, respectively, P>0.05 for α3
nAChRs and 159 ± 18 versus 118 ± 16 for stressed and control rats, respectively, $P > 0.05$ for $\alpha 7$ nAChRs, Figure 6Bb).

**Major contribution of $\alpha 9$-containing nAChRs in mediating acetylcholine-evoked inward currents in cold stressed rats**

What could be the functional consequence of the upregulation of $\alpha 9$ nAChR subunit in cold stressed rats? We addressed this issue by investigating the effects of $\alpha$-RgIA on acetylcholine-evoked inward current in acute slices from unstressed and cold stressed rats (Figure 7). Chromaffin cells were voltage-clamped at -40 mV and briefly stimulated by pressure-applied acetylcholine (ACh, 100 µM, 1 s). The recorded cell was then bathed during 4 min in saline, or $\alpha$-RgIA, or hexamethonium, or a mixed solution containing the two drugs. The cell was then re-stimulated with ACh. Density of ACh-triggered inward currents was compared between the different perfusing conditions. The reversibility of the observed effect was examined 5 min later upon a third ACh application. In the absence of a nicotinic receptor blocker, ACh-induced currents did not rundown, either in unstressed (left panel, upper trace) or in cold stressed (right panel, upper trace) rats. In addition, the current density did not significantly differ between control and stressed animals (30.0 ± 1.6 pA/pF, n = 52 versus 33.4 ± 1.0 pA/pF, n = 57 for control and stressed rats, respectively, $P > 0.05$, data not shown).

As expected for nicotinic receptor blockers, both $\alpha$-RgIA (200 nM) and hexamethonium (200 µM) reduced ACh-induced inward current (Figures 7A for raw data and Figure 7B for pooled data). However, their blocking effect significantly differed between control and stressed rats, pointing to a differential contribution of $\alpha 9$-containing nAChRs and other nAChRs to ACh-mediated currents. $\alpha$-RgIA (200 nM) reduced ACh currents in stressed rats by 75.5% (n = 26) while reducing them by only 39.3% (n = 22) in unstressed rats ($P < 0.05$, Figure 7C). Conversely, hexamethonium (200 µM) mainly reduced ACh-evoked currents in control rats...
(76.2% reduction, n = 11) but decreased them by only 44.6% (n = 13, \( P<0.05 \), Figure 7C) in cold stress rats. When applied together, \( \alpha \)-RgIA and hexamethonium had comparable effects on cholinergic currents (90.8%, n = 6 \textit{versus} 90.5%, n = 12, in unstressed and stressed rats, respectively, \( P>0.05 \), Figure 7C). Note that the blocking effect was not complete, indicating that other nAChRs are likely involved in the current generation. Altogether, these results show a major contribution of \( \alpha \)-9-containing nAChR channels in ACh-evoked current in cold stressed rats.

To go further, we next compared the kinetics of \( \alpha \)-RgIA- and hexamethonium-induced blocking effect in both control and cold stressed rats. ACh-evoked current density was calculated 1, 4 and 5 min after nicotinic receptor antagonist application (Figure 8). In control rats, the blocking effect of \( \alpha \)-RgIA was complete after 1 min, while it took 4 min in cold stressed rats. Regarding hexamethonium, its blocking effect on ACh-evoked current was complete within 4 min in control animals and was still under process after 5 min in stressed rats. Assuming that receptor antagonists sequentially reach their extrasynaptic and synaptic targets, blocking kinetics may be used as indicator of synaptic and extrasynaptic nAChRs localization. Our results are in favor of a preferential synaptic localization of \( \alpha \)-9-containing nAChRs \textit{versus} \( \alpha \)-3-built nAChRs in cold stressed rats. Conversely, in control rats, \( \alpha \)-9-containing nAChRs would be preferentially extrasynaptic and \( \alpha \)-3 nAChRs would be the dominant nAChR channels expressed at the splanchnic nerve-chromaffin cell synapse. To test the hypothesis that \( \alpha \)-9-containing nAChRs preferentially localize close to the synaptic sites in stressed rats, a dual immunodetection of synaptophysin and \( \alpha \)-9-containing nAChRs was carried out in stressed and unstressed rats. The percentage of co-distribution of synaptophysin over \( \alpha \)-9-containing nAChRs was assessed quantitatively by using confocal microscopy, as previously described (Chauvet et al., 2003). As illustrated in figure 9, the co-distribution of synaptophysin with \( \alpha \)-9-containing nAChRs was significantly higher in cold stressed rats, as
compared with controls (40.5 ± 4.1%, n = 12 versus 23.9 ± 3.9, n = 12, respectively, \( P<0.05 \)). Altogether, these results suggest a recruitment of \( \alpha 9 \)-containing nAChRs at synaptic sites in cold stressed rats.

**Discussion**

This study documents for the first time functional roles of \( \alpha 9 \)-containing nAChR channels in the rat adrenal medulla. We report that i) these receptors are activated upon synaptic transmission between splanchnic nerve endings and chromaffin cells and ii) they tonically inhibit gap junctional coupling between chromaffin cells. Additionally, our findings provide evidences that \( \alpha 9 \) nAChR subunit is upregulated in cold stressed rats, consistent with a dominant contribution of \( \alpha 9 \)-containing nAChRs to ACh-evoked currents.

**Activation of \( \alpha 9 \)-containing nAChRs in response to synaptic release of ACh**

Both electrophysiological data showing reduced sEPSC amplitude and frequency in the presence of \( \alpha \)-RgIA and the distribution of \( \alpha 9 \) nAChRs close to the synaptic marker synaptophysin suggest that \( \alpha 9 \) nAChR channels contribute to cholinergic synaptic transmission. Since \( \alpha 10 \) subunit conferes functionality to \( \alpha 9 \) nAChRs (Sgard et al., 2002; Vetter et al., 2007), it is likely that the functional nAChRs are composed of both \( \alpha 9 \) and \( \alpha 10 \) subunits. Taking into consideration i) the apparent affinity of \( \alpha 9 \) and \( \alpha 9/\alpha 10 \) nAChRs for ACh (\( E_{C50} \) of \( \sim 27 \) \( \mu \)M and \( \sim 30 \) \( \mu \)M, respectively, Sgard et al., 2002) and ii) the concentration of ACh in the synaptic cleft (up to 1 mM during quantal response, Matthews-Bellinger and Salpeter, 1978), activation of \( \alpha 9 \)-containing nAChRs in response to synaptically released ACh is highly plausible. In this context, our report extends previous contributions showing the involvement of \( \alpha 9 \)-containing nAChRs receptors in neuronal synaptic transmission. Indeed, they mediate cholinergic synaptic transmission between olivocochlear fibers and
cochlear outer hair cells (Elgoyhen et al., 1994; Elgoyhen et al., 2001; Vetter et al., 2007) and are involved in synaptogenesis (Vetter et al., 1999).

Regarding cholinergic neurotransmission at rat splanchnic nerve-chromaffin cell synapses, we provide evidence that, in addition to α3 and α7 nAChRs (Barbara and Takeda, 1996; Martin et al., 2003), nAChRs built by assembly of α9 and/or α9/α10 subunits are activated during synaptic release of ACh. Additionally, our data describing an α-RgIA-induced decrease of both sEPSC frequency and amplitude fit with the presence of synapses containing pure α9-containing nAChRs and synapses containing a mixture of α9-containing and other nAChRs (such as α3 and/or α7). The respective contribution of α9 and/or α9/α10, α3 and α7 nAChR channels to sEPSCs still remains to be quantified. Based on the blocking effects of α-RgIA and hexamethonium, α3 nAChRs appear to preferentially mediate sEPSCs in control rats, as reported (Barbara and Takeda, 1996) whilst α9-containing nAChRs predominantly mediate sEPSCs in cold stressed rats. The contribution of α7 nAChRs would need further investigation, keeping in mind that α7 and α10 subunits can be spatially associated (Lips et al., 2006). The involvement of α7 nAChRs in adrenal synaptic transmission has been evidenced by the blocking effect of α-bungarotoxin (α-Bgt) on sEPSCs (Martin et al., 2003). However, α-Bgt antagonizes not only α7 nAChRs (IC$_{50}$ 1.6 nM, Lopez et al., 1998) but also α9 and α9/α10 nAChRs (IC$_{50}$ 2.1 nM and 14 nM, respectively, Sgard et al., 2002). Although other data support the presence of α7 nAChRs in adrenal chromaffin cells (Rust et al., 1994; Criado et al., 1997; Mousavi et al., 2001), their contribution to synaptic transmission merits re-examination, by using for example the highly selective α7 nAChR antagonist α-conotoxin ArlB[V11L,V16D] (Whiteaker et al., 2007).

**Functional relevance of α9-containing nAChRs in the stimulus-secretion coupling**
Since i) $\alpha_9$ nAChRs are highly permeable to $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ (Katz et al., 2000; Jagger et al., 2000; Fucile et al., 2006) and ii) an increase in cytosolic $\text{Ca}^{2+}$, $([\text{Ca}^{2+}]_i)$, is a prerequisite for chromaffin cell exocytosis (Cheek, 1991), it is likely that $\alpha_9$ nAChR activation by ACh would trigger instructive signaling cascades contributing to catecholamine release from chromaffin cells. Consistent with their high $\text{Ca}^{2+}$ permeability (Jagger et al., 2000), $\alpha_9$-containing nAChRs have been reported to interact with the small conductance $\text{Ca}^{2+}$-dependent K$^+$ (SK) channels (Nie et al., 2004). So, SK channel-mediated currents can be gated by $\alpha_9$ nAChRs (Kong et al., 2006) and SK channels are required for expression of functional $\alpha_9/\alpha_{10}$ nAChRs (Kong et al., 2008). Since activation of SK channels by ACh negatively regulates cell excitability (Nenov et al., 1996; Nakajima et al., 2007), it is tempting to speculate that SK channels shorten the depolarizing action of synaptically released ACh, thereby preventing the formation of a depolarizing plateau in case of high frequency synaptic activity at the splanchnic nerve-chromaffin cell junction. On the other hand, one could propose that $\alpha_9$-containing nAChRs modulate SK channel trafficking and remove them from synapses, as described for beta adrenoceptors (Faber et al., 2008). Thus, cholinergic neurotransmission at the splanchnic synapses would initially be more robust. The discrimination between these two hypotheses would need further studies.

The increased LY diffusion between chromaffin cells in the presence of $\alpha$-RgIA indicates that activation of $\alpha_9$-containing nAChRs negatively modulate gap junctional coupling, thus extending data showing that cholinergic synaptic inputs play a tonic inhibitory control on gap junction-mediated communication (Martin et al., 2003). Since coordination of cellular and intercellular responses is crucial in maintaining tissular syncytial function (Ramanan et al., 1998), we hypothesize that negative modulation of gap junctional coupling by synaptic transmission in basal condition acts as a shield to protect the organism against a
huge catecholamine release potentially harmful or even lethal. Conversely, upon a reduced synaptic transmission, it may act as a compensation lever to sustain catecholamine release by maintaining communication within the chromaffin cell network.

**Dominant expression and function of α9-containing nAChRs in cold stressed rats: an added value?**

The major contribution of α9-containing nAChRs to ACh-evoked currents in stressed rats parallels an upregulation of α9 nAChR transcript expression level, consistent with the fact that the α9 nAChR gene undergoes transcriptional regulation (Valor et al., 2003). The associated upregulation of α9 nAChR protein expression level is also in agreement with post-translational regulation and a possible mechanism would involve receptor trafficking, as observed for other nAChR subtypes (Gaimarri et al., 2007).

With regard to changes in adrenal nAChR expression levels in stressed rats, data are sparse and only a recent study reports a decrease in α7 nAChR messenger in response to long-term hypoxia (Ducsay et al., 2007). By contrast, as reported here in cold stressed rats, the expression level of transcripts encoding α3 and α7 nAChR subunits remained unchanged, indicating that the regulation of nAChR expression is stressor-specific. This specific regulation of nAChRs exhibiting different intrinsic properties (gating, Ca^{2+} permeability, ...) may allow chromaffin cells to appropriately respond to a variety of environmental changes.

The preponderant blockade of ACh-induced current by α-RgIA (>70%) and the preferential localization of α9-containing nAChRs at synaptic sites observed in cold exposed rats led us to propose that α9-containing nAChRs play a dominant role in the postsynaptic detection of cholinergic inputs in stressed animals. By contrast, in unstressed rats, α9-containing nAChRs contribute to a lesser extent to ACh-evoked current, the major contribution being that of α3 nAChRs, consistent with a dominant expression of α3/β4
nAChRs in chromaffin cells (Criado et al., 1992; Campos-Caro et al., 1997; Sala et al., 2008). We propose that under resting conditions, in which expression level of α9 subunit is low, the synaptic response of chromaffin cells would be dominated by α3 subunit-containing nAChRs (Barbara and Takeda, 1996; Martin et al., 2003, 2005) that exhibit low Ca\(^{2+}\) permeability (Fucile, 2004). Interestingly, transmural electrical stimulation of bisected adrenals intermittently failed to evoke action potentials when the stimulus frequency was >10Hz (Holman et al., 1994). Moreover, the few action potentials fired in this condition arose from a depolarized membrane potential and appeared somewhat inactivated, thus suggesting a depolarization block. These observations are consistent with that of Iijima and colleagues (1992), who reported that action potentials in rat chromaffin cells began to fail when the stimulus frequency was >20 Hz. This suggests that chromaffin cells are not adequately prepared to increase their electrical and their secretory responses when the firing rate of preganglionic neurons increases above 10 Hz, although such frequencies are commonly observed in animals under prolonged-asphyxia or even in resting conditions (Iggo and Vogt, 1960; Beacham and Pearl, 1964). The upregulation of α9 nAChR expression level reported here in cold exposed rats associated with the fact that these receptors exhibit a higher Ca\(^{2+}\) permeability than other nAChRs would provide a mechanism by which enhanced synaptic Ca\(^{2+}\) entry through this receptor subtype and the ensued activation of SK channels would shape excitatory synaptic potentials in a way that would enable chromaffin cells to repetitively and faithfully discharge action potentials to cope with stressful situations as manifested by a high frequency of splanchnic nerve firing.
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Figure legends

Figure 1: involvement of α9-containing nAChRs in excitatory synaptic neurotransmission between splanchnic nerve endings and chromaffin cells

A. Representative chart recordings of spontaneous excitatory synaptic events recorded in a chromaffin cell voltage-clamped at -80 mV, before (left panel) and 4 min after bath-applied α-RgIA (right panel). α-RgIA (200 nM) induces a decrease in both sEPSC frequency and amplitude. B. Double immunostaining for α9 nAChRs and the presynaptic vesicle protein synaptophysin. As illustrated in the merge picture, labelings co-distribute, indicative of a close localization between the two proteins.

Figure 2: Effect of α9-containing nAChR blockade on sEPSC frequency

A. Histogram illustrating the blocking effect of the toxin α-RgIA on the mean sEPSC frequency calculated in 17 cells. *, P<0.05 (paired t test), as compared with control frequency calculated before toxin application. B, Distribution of mean sEPSC frequency in the 9 cells in
which synaptic events were recorded before, during and after α-RgIA application. Note that the effect of the toxin is reversible.

**Figure 3: Effect of α9-containing nAChR blockade on sEPSC amplitude**

A, Histogram illustrating the blocking effect of the toxin α-RgIA on the mean sEPSC amplitude. 4-10 min washout is sufficient for a complete recovery. *, $P<0.05$ (paired t test), as compared with control sEPSC amplitude calculated before toxin application. B, Illustration of the sEPSC amplitude variance distribution for each recorded cell. Lines represent the 4 cells in which the number of sEPSCs recorded before, during and after toxin application was large enough to calculate the amplitude variance (from 10-150 synaptic events). α-RgIA reduced the amplitude variance value. C, Distribution of the cumulative probability plotted from sEPSC amplitudes recorded in one representative cell. Note that the two-modal distribution observed under control condition disappeared in the presence of α-RgIA, indicating a preferential action of the toxin on high amplitude synaptic events.

**Figure 4: Effect of α9-containing nAChR blockade on sEPSC quantal size**

A, Representative histograms (bin size of 2 pA) of the distribution of sEPSC amplitudes in a chromaffin cell, recorded in control (Aa) and in α-RgIA-containing saline (Ab). Quantal size was estimated from the mean value of the first Gaussian fitted to the amplitude histogram. α-RgIA induced both a switch from a bimodal to a unimodal amplitude distribution and a decrease in sEPSC quantal size (arrows). B, Pooled data from the 5 cells in which the quantal analysis was performed. As symbolized by the dashed lines, toxin application decreased the mean quantal size by about 27% (*, $P<0.05$, paired test).
Figure 5: Increased Lucifer yellow diffusion after α9-containing nAChR blockade
A, Time-lapse recordings (one frame per 5 min for 30 min) of Lucifer Yellow (LY) diffusion in adrenal slices under different experimental conditions. 1* represents the LY-injected cell. Whereas the number of LY labeled cells does not change in the control slice (upper gallery), it gradually increases after 15-20 min in the α-RgIA-exposed slice (middle gallery). The increased LY diffusion occurs through a gap junctional pathway, as evidenced by its blockade in the α-RgIA+carbenoxolone-treated slice (lower gallery). B, Pooled data. Each histogram bar represents the pooled data obtained from 4 to 31 cells.

Figure 6: Upregulation of α9 nAChR protein and transcript expression levels in cold stressed rats
A, Representative immunoblots showing specific detection of α9 nAChR in the adrenal medulla of control and cold stressed rats (left panel). Actin was used as an internal loading control. Histogram summarizing the densitometric analysis of immunoblots (normalized by actin) from 6 control and 6 stressed rats (right panel). Quantitative analysis shows that α9 nAChR expression level was significantly increased (1.8-fold) in stressed rats. *, P<0.05 as compared with unstressed rats. B, Expression levels of α9-, α3- and α7 nAChR subunits were determined by quantitative PCR. Total RNA was extracted from macrodissected adrenal medulla from 13 control and 12 cold stressed rats. Expression of nAChR mRNA was normalized to the geometric mean of the expression levels of Hprt, GAPDH and Gus mRNA. A significant increase in relative expression level was observed for α9 nAChR (Ba) but not for α3 nAChR and α7 nAChR transcripts (Bb). *, P<0.05 as compared with unstressed rats.

Figure 7: Dominant contribution of α9-containing nAChRs in acetylcholine-evoked current in cold stressed rats
A, Individual chromaffin cells from control and cold stressed rats were voltage-clamped at -40 mV and stimulated by a 1 s-application of ACh (100 µM). A, Representative ACh-triggered current recorded in the absence of toxin and 4 min after α-RgIA (200 nM), hexamethonium (200 µM) or α-RgIA+hexamethonium applications. Note that the stronger blockade of ACh-evoked current is observed for hexamethonium in control rats and for α-RgIA in cold stressed rats. B, Histograms illustrating the blockade efficiency of α-RgIA, hexamethonium and α-RgIA+hexamethonium on ACh current density, in control and cold stressed rats. Note that in each condition, toxins effects are fully reversible. C, Histogram illustrating the percentage of inhibition of the ACh-evoked inward current by α-RgIA, hexamethonium and α-RgIA+hexamethonium in control and cold stressed rats.

**Figure 8: Time-course of the blocking effect of α-RgIA and hexamethonium on acetylcholine-evoked current in control and cold stressed rats**

ACh current density was calculated before, 1, 4 and 5 min after blocker application and after 1 and 5 min washout, in control (A) and cold stressed rats (B). ACh-evoked current did not rundown over the 10 min recording (insets). In control rats, the blocking effect of α-RgIA was complete after 1 min, whilst it required at least 4 min in cold stressed rats. Regarding hexamethonium, the blocking effect was complete within 4 min in control animals and was still under process after 5 min in stressed rats.

**Figure 9: Preferential co-distribution of α9-containing nAChRs with synaptophysin in cold stressed rats**

Synaptophysin and α9-containing nAChRs were detected in control (A) and cold exposed rats (B) by immunofluorescence. The pictures (pseudocolored in green and red for α9 nAChRs and synaptophysin, respectively) represent the thresholded images to which a binary
transformation was applied (see Material and Methods). Original pictures for each staining are shown in insets.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Primer Sequence</th>
<th>Forward Sequence (5'→3')</th>
<th>Reverse Sequence (5'→3')</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>rα3nAChR</td>
<td>TATAGAACTCCAACCACAC</td>
<td>CCGTAGAAGGTCTCGTC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rα7nAChR</td>
<td>AGCCATACCCAGATGTACCTAC</td>
<td>GCAGCAAGAATACCAGCAGAGC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rα9nAChR</td>
<td>AGCAAGACAGATTCAGAG</td>
<td>AAGCATACGCAACCTATCC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rGus</td>
<td>CACCACTGAGAGTAACAGGAAACAA</td>
<td>TCGTTGGCAATCCTCCAGTATCT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rHprt</td>
<td>TGACTATAATGACCTTCAGGGATT</td>
<td>TCGCTGATGACACAAACATGATT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>rGAPDH</td>
<td>ATGATTCTACCCACGGCAAG</td>
<td>CTGGAAGATGGTGATGGGTT</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

r, rat.
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