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Abstract

Reptin and Pontin are related ATPases associated in stoichiometric amounts in several complexes involved in chromatin remodeling, transcriptional regulation and telomerase activity. We found that Reptin was upregulated in hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) and that down-regulation of Reptin led to growth arrest. We show here that Pontin mRNA is also upregulated in human HCC 3.9 fold as compared to non-tumor liver (p = 0.0004). Pontin expression was a strong independent factor of poor prognosis in a multivariate analysis. As for Reptin, depletion of Pontin in HuH7 cells with siRNAs led to growth arrest. Remarkably, Pontin depletion led to down-regulation of Reptin as shown with Western blot, and conversely. Whereas siRNAs induced a decrease of their cognate mRNA targets, they did not affect the transcripts of the partner protein. Translation of Pontin or Reptin was not altered when the partner protein was silenced. However, pulse-chase experiments demonstrated that newly synthesized Pontin or Reptin stability was reduced in Reptin or Pontin depleted cells; respectively. This phenomenon was reverted upon inhibition of proteasome or ubiquitin-activating enzyme (E1). In addition, proteasome inhibition could partly restore Pontin steady-state levels in Reptin-depleted cells, as shown by Western blot. This restoration was no more observed when cells were also treated with cycloheximide, thus confirming that proteasomal degradation in this setting was restricted to newly synthesized Pontin. Conclusion: Reptin and Pontin protein levels are strictly controlled by a post-translational mechanism involving proteasomal degradation of newly synthesized proteins. These data demonstrate a tight regulatory and reciprocal interaction between Reptin and Pontin, which may in turn lead to the maintenance of their 1:1 stoichiometry.
Hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is the main type of primary liver cancer. It is the fifth most common cancer worldwide and bears a very poor prognosis, mainly because of the lack of efficient therapy. Looking for new targets, we performed a comparative proteomic analysis between HCC and peri-tumoral liver, which led to the discovery of many deregulated proteins (1), including Reptin. Reptin overexpression was associated with a poor prognosis, and we demonstrated that Reptin was required for HCC cell viability and growth, and that Reptin overexpression conferred a greater ability to tumor cells for growth in xenografts (2).

Reptin (TIP48, TIP49b, RuvBL2, TAP54β, ECP-51) and Pontin (or TIP49, RuvBL1, TAP54α, ECP-54) are closely-related members of the AAA+ family of ATPases (ATPases Associated with various cellular Activities (3)) that show remarkable conservation from yeast to human. Due to their participation in several distinct multi-protein complexes (reviewed in (4, 5)), they are involved in chromatin remodeling (6-8), transcriptional regulation (6, 9, 10), DNA damage repair (7, 11), snoRNA biogenesis (12) and telomerase activity (13). Because Reptin is usually associated with Pontin in complexes where they are present in stoichiometric amounts (7, 8, 10, 14-19), we studied Pontin expression and function in HCC. We found that Pontin was also up-regulated in HCC and unexpectedly that Pontin and Reptin expression was co-regulated via a novel post-translational mechanism.

**Experimental procedures**

**Liver samples and real-time RT-PCR**

Samples came from resected or explanted livers with HCC of patients treated in Bordeaux from 1992 to 2005. Fragments of fresh tumor and non-tumor liver tissues (taken at a distance of at least 2 cm from the tumor) were either snap-frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at -80°C, or fixed with formalin and embedded in paraffin. 104 HCC samples (supporting Table
1) were used for real-time RT-PCR analysis. 18 non-tumor liver samples were used as a control group. RNA extraction and real-time RT-PCR were performed as described (2, 20). Pre-developed sequence detection reagents specific for human RUVBL1 gene (Applied Biosystems, Courtaboeuf, France) were used as described (20) using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta CT}$ method (21). Gene expression results were normalized to internal control ribosomal 18S.

**Immunohistochemistry**

This was done as described (2) using a mouse monoclonal Pontin antibody (22) diluted to 1.6 µg/µl.

**Transient transfection of small interfering RNA (siRNA)**

We used two targeting siRNAs for each Reptin (R1 and R2 (2)) and Pontin mRNAs (P1 and P2, Supporting Table 2). Controls were either scrambled R2 and P2 sequences, or the GL2 siRNA targeting Firefly luciferase (MWG, Ebersberg, Germany). siRNAs were transfected at a concentration of 125nM with Lipofectamine (Invitrogen, Cergy Pontoise, France).

**Cell proliferation assay and caspase 3 activity measurement**

Cells were counted with a Coulter counter (Beckman Coulter, Villepinte, France) in duplicate wells. DNA synthesis was measured by the quantification of bromodeoxyuridine (BrdU) incorporation, and caspase 3 activity with a colorimetric assay (2).

**Western blot**

Cell extracts were prepared in RIPA buffer (23). We used Reptin, β-catenin (BD Biosciences, Pharmingen, Le Pont de Caix, France), FLAG-M2, β-actin (Sigma-Aldrich, Saint-Quentin Fallavier, France) mouse monoclonal, and Pontin rabbit polyclonal (ProteinTech, Chicago,
IL) antibodies. Primary antibodies were detected by horseradish peroxidase conjugated, or infrared dye-labeled secondary antibodies (LI-COR, Lincoln, NE). Detection was achieved with the ECL kit (GE Healthcare, Saclay, France) or the Odyssey IR imaging system (LI-COR), respectively.

**Polyribosome fractionation**

KGL2 and KR2 cell lines stably expressing an shRNA targeting Firefly luciferase or Reptin, respectively, in a doxycycline-dependent manner (Supporting Methods), were cultured with or without doxycycline. Cycloheximide (100 µM) was added ten minutes before cell lysis. Sucrose-gradient fractionation and polysome-associated RNA purification were as described (24). RNAs were analyzed by cDNA synthesis and PCR amplification.

**Metabolic labeling and immunoprecipitation**

KGL2 and KR2 cells were stably transduced with a lentiviral vector coding HA-Pontin resulting in KGL2-HAP and KR2-HAP cell lines (Supporting Methods). Similarly, KP2 cells expressing the P2 Pontin shRNA (Supporting Methods), were transduced with Flag-Reptin (2), resulting in KP2-FR cells. Cells were incubated in Methionine/Cysteine-free medium for 30 min before pulse labeling with 150 µCi/ml EXPRE$^{35}$S$^{35}$S Protein Labeling Mix (Perkin Elmer, Courtaboeuf, France) for 15 min at 37°C. Cells were washed then scraped in lysis buffer (50 mM Tris-HCl pH 7.5, 150 mM NaCl, 4 mM EDTA, 1% Triton, 1% SDS) supplemented with protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche, Meylan, France). The amount of radiolabeled TCA-precipitated material was measured by scintillation counting.

For pulse-chase experiments, cells were labeled with 100 µCi/mL EXPRE$^{35}$S$^{35}$S Protein Labeling Mix for 1h, washed with medium supplemented with 2 mM cysteine/methionine,
and cultured for various times in this chase medium supplemented with the indicated agents before harvesting.

Cell extracts were diluted in lysis buffer without SDS and incubated for 2 hours at 4°C with monoclonal anti-HA-Agarose or anti-Flag M2 beads (Sigma-Aldrich). The beads were washed 5 times with lysis buffer and eluted with Laemmli sample buffer. Eluates were separated on a 10% SDS-PAGE. In some cases, Pontin was precipitated with a polyclonal anti-Pontin antibody.

Signals from radiolabeled protein bands were acquired in an Instant-Imager (Packard, Perkin-Elmer). Protein degradation rate is expressed as half-life ($t_{1/2}$), the time where 50% of the protein is degraded. The results are expressed as mean ± SEM of five to six independent determinations.

Statistical analysis

Statistical analyses were performed using the 2-tailed Student t test, or 1-way analysis of variance (ANOVA) when comparing multiple means. Statistical significance was set at a p value of less than 0.05. Correlations between mRNA levels of expression and qualitative variables were calculated with the non-parametric Kruskal-Wallis test with STATA software (Stata Corp., College Station, TX). The ages of patients and diameters of the tumors were partitioned with a median. For survival analysis, expression data were logarithm 10-transformed and dichotomized according to the median. Survival comparisons were done by log-rank test. Survival curves were obtained by the Kaplan-Meier method. Multivariate analyses were performed using Cox model. All survival analyses were performed using STATA software 8.2.
Results

*Pontin is overexpressed in human HCC*

There was a 3.9-fold increase in Pontin transcripts in HCC as compared to non-tumor livers (Fig. 1A, p<0.0004). Pontin mRNA levels were highly correlated with those of Reptin mRNA ($r^2 = 0.78$, $p < 0.0001$, Fig. 1B). Immunohistochemistry confirmed the overexpression of Pontin in HCC with the same pattern as Reptin, and showed that, similar to Reptin (2), Pontin was partly localized to the cytoplasm of tumor cells (Fig. 1C-E). Pontin mRNA levels were correlated with features of poor prognosis: levels were higher in large tumors ($> 60$ mm, $p = 0.026$), in tumors with vascular embolism ($p = 0.047$), portal invasion ($p = 0.008$), or chromosomal instability (fractional allelic loss $> 0.128$, $p = 0.02$), in patients with early relapse following curative surgery ($p = 0.001$) or who died within 3 years of surgery ($p = 0.001$). A significant shorter disease-free survival in patients with high Pontin level was observed as compared to those with low level (Fig. 1F, $p = 0.0022$). Most importantly, using a Cox model multivariate analysis, high Pontin mRNA levels remained associated with shorter disease-free survival after adjustment for age, gender, tumor diameter and the presence of vascular embolism (Hazard Ratio $= 3.9$, 95% CI $= [1.9-8.0]$, $p=0.003$).

*Pontin silencing reduced cell proliferation and induced apoptosis*

Pontin mRNA level was significantly reduced with P1 (70% ± 13%) and P2 (77% ± 12%) siRNAs (Fig. 2A). The siRNAs also greatly decreased Pontin protein expression (78.6% ± 6.9% with P1 and 87.7% ± 9.0% with P2) (Fig. 2B).

Starting from Day 3 after transfection, the growth of cells transfected with Pontin siRNAs strikingly decreased (Fig. 2C). This was associated with both reduced DNA synthesis,
evidenced by decreased BrdU incorporation, and increased apoptosis, demonstrated by an increased caspase 3 activity (Fig. 2D).

Concomitant down-regulation of Reptin and Pontin

Because findings with Pontin silencing were reminiscent of those we obtained previously upon Reptin silencing, we evaluated Reptin expression after transfection of Pontin siRNAs. Surprisingly, Reptin protein level was markedly reduced after transfection of P1 or P2 compared to control siRNA. The reciprocal observation was done in cells transfected with the Reptin-specific R1 and R2 siRNAs where Pontin protein level was also decreased (Fig. 3A-B). Because of the significant homology between Reptin and Pontin, we performed extensive control experiments that allowed excluding cross-reactivity of antibodies (Supporting Fig. 1).

To rule out off-target effects of siRNAs, we used a rescue strategy. Cell lines resistant to siRNAs were established by transduction of lentiviral vectors bearing either HA-Pontin or Flag-Reptin cDNA in which silent mutations have been introduced in the sequence targeted by the P2 or R2 siRNAs (Supporting Fig. 2). Reptin protein expression was no more decreased by P2 siRNA in P2-resistant cells, whereas it was reduced as expected upon transfection of P1 siRNA (Fig. 3C). Similarly, Pontin expression was not affected in cells expressing FLAG-Reptin resistant to the R2 siRNA (Fig. 3D). Altogether, these results showed that the down-regulation of Pontin was a direct consequence of the silencing of Reptin and reciprocally.

We then asked if our findings were specific of HuH7 cells. We examined the consequences of Reptin or Pontin silencing in another human liver cell line (HepG2), in human breast cancer cells (MCF7), in human prostatic cancer cells (LNCap) and in Hela cells. We also tested the
co-extinction of Pontin after transfection of the R2 siRNA in mouse (Hepa 1-6) or rat hepatoma cells (FAO). In every case, we observed a concomitant reduction of Reptin and Pontin protein levels (Supporting Fig. 3). These results argued in favor of a general rather than a cell-specific process for regulation of Pontin and Reptin expression.

Reptin silencing does not decrease Pontin mRNA level (and reciprocally)

Reptin and Pontin interact with transcription factors and bind to gene promoters (reviewed in (4)). We thus hypothesized that they could regulate reciprocally their expression through a transcriptional mechanism. However, transfection of Pontin siRNAs did not alter Reptin mRNA levels, whereas as expected, Pontin mRNA decreased. Reciprocally, Reptin, but not Pontin mRNA levels were efficiently silenced with Reptin siRNAs (Fig. 4A-B).

As an additional control, we tested whether Reptin produced from an mRNA devoid of its natural regulatory sequences would also be depleted upon transfection of a Pontin siRNA. We used HuH7 cells stably expressing N-term FLAG-tagged Reptin from the viral MND promoter (2). We found that the level of both endogenous and Flag-tagged Reptin was decreased after transfection of Pontin specific siRNAs (Supporting Fig. 4A). We obtained similar results with HeLa cells expressing FLAG/HA-tagged Reptin or Pontin from the CMV promoter (Supporting Fig. 4B).

Altogether, these experiments allow concluding that the reduction of Reptin or Pontin protein levels upon silencing of the respective binding partner is not related to a transcriptional effect, and thus occurs by a post-transcriptional mechanism.

Reptin silencing does not alter Pontin mRNA translation (and reciprocally)
Given the pleiotropic role of Reptin and Pontin, we hypothesized that they might regulate their partner’s translation. This was tested using polyribosome fractionation and RT-PCR analysis in HuH7 cell lines stably expressing shRNAs targeting either Firefly luciferase (KGL2) or Reptin (KR2), in a doxycycline-dependent manner (Supporting Fig. 5). The polysomal distribution of Pontin mRNA was analyzed after 4 days of culture with or without doxycycline (Fig. 5A-B). In KGL2 cells, Reptin and Pontin transcripts exhibited a similar profile and were found all along the gradient, with an enhancement in the heaviest fractions, associated with polyribosomes. As expected, in doxycycline-treated KR2 cells, Reptin mRNA became barely detectable, whereas the profile of Pontin mRNA was unchanged as compared to that in KGL2 cells, and its distribution over the fractions was similar to the profile of GAPDH mRNA. When cells were incubated with puromycin for one hour prior to extraction, the profile of Pontin and GAPDH mRNAs shifted from heavy fractions to the top of the gradient (Fig. 5B). Because puromycin is a polypeptide chain terminator that causes premature termination of translation, our results demonstrate a genuine association of Pontin mRNA with polyribosomes, even in conditions where Reptin is depleted.

To make sure that Pontin mRNA was indeed actively translated, we performed metabolic labeling after 4 days of culture with doxycycline using cells stably expressing HA-Pontin (KGL2-HAP and KR2-HAP). After a 15 min pulse of radiolabeled methionine/cysteine, the level of $^{35}$S incorporation into newly synthesized proteins was not different between KGL2-HAP and KR2-HAP cells (78.8% ± 4.3% vs 71.6 ± 6.8%, respectively), suggesting no gross alteration in overall protein biosynthesis in Reptin silencing conditions. After immunoprecipitation with anti-HA antibodies, the label accumulated in a 55-kDa band confirmed to be the full-length HA-Pontin polypeptide since it was reactive with an antibody against Pontin (Fig. 5C). When Reptin shRNA expression was induced in KR2-HAP cells, the
amount of labeled HA-Pontin immunoprecipitated after a 15 min pulse was 98% of the control. This experiment showed that the decrease in HA-Pontin expression in cells expressing Reptin shRNA was not the consequence of a defective translation. Therefore, the association of Pontin mRNA with polyribosomes and the completion of HA-Pontin translation argued in favor of a post-translational regulation that explains the co-depletion of Pontin and Reptin. In reciprocal experiments, we also found that Pontin silencing did not alter Reptin translation, although Reptin silencing with R1 siRNA did reduce Reptin translation as expected (Fig. 5D).

Reptin silencing induces Pontin destabilization

Because Pontin and Reptin form a stoichiometric complex, we hypothesized that down-regulation of one of the two partners could disturb this stoichiometry and lead to post-translational destabilization of the binding partner. We thus measured Pontin half-life using metabolic labeling-chase experiments. Newly synthesized HA-Pontin was less stable upon Reptin silencing as shown by a significantly reduced half-life as compared to control (t\text{1/2} = 66.6 ± 7.6 vs 118 ± 21 min, p = 0.002) (Fig. 6A, 6C). Because the proteasome is the major intracellular proteolytic machinery in higher eukaryotic cells, we tested its involvement using specific inhibitors. Fig. 6B-C shows that MG132 was able to prolong the half-life of HA-Pontin in both Reptin-repleted and depleted conditions. The effect of Reptin depletion was specific since it did not alter the half-life of calnexin tested as a control (Fig. 6D).

We then asked if proteasome inhibitors could restore Pontin steady-state level in Reptin-depleted cells. We performed many experiments using a variety of experimental settings. For instance, Fig. 7A shows an experiment where, three days after induction of Reptin shRNA expression, HuH7 cells were treated with MG132 or epoxomycin for 4h. This did not modify
the co-depletion although effective inhibition of the proteasome was confirmed by the accumulation of higher molecular weight forms of β-catenin, a proteasome target (25). Similar results were seen when using other MG132 concentrations, duration of treatment, or with other inhibitors such as clasto-Lactacystin-β-lactone (not shown). We hypothesized that if the stabilizing effect of proteasome inhibition was restricted to newly synthesized protein chains, our experiments might not be sensitive enough to detect an accumulation of proteins within the short time course of the experiments. We thus repeated them using HuH7 cells that stably express HA-Pontin. These cells have high levels of Pontin mRNA (not shown), and interestingly a higher rate of Pontin translation than control cells (Fig. 7B). They do however overexpress very little Pontin at the protein level, reminiscent of our previous results with Flag-Reptin (2) (Fig. 7B). When these cells were treated with MG132 for 4 hours, we could detect a significant increase in Pontin protein levels both in basal conditions and when Reptin was previously depleted upon shRNA induction with doxycycline (Fig. 7C-D). On the other hand, the low level of Reptin consecutive to R2 shRNA induction in doxycycline-treated cells was not increased by MG132. Similar results were obtained when the proteasome was inhibited with 2.5µM epoxomycin (not shown).

Altogether, these results suggested that the restoration seen with proteasome inhibition was restricted to the pool of freshly translated protein. This hypothesis was tested in experiments where, following Reptin depletion, proteasome inhibition with MG1342 or epoxomycin was done in the absence or in the presence of cycloheximide that blocks new protein synthesis. As shown in Fig. 7E-F, cycloheximide treatment prevented the restoration of Pontin levels in these conditions.
Since in most cases proteins are targeted to the proteasome following poly-ubiquitylation, we examined the stability of newly synthesized Pontin in the presence of the ubiquitin activating enzyme inhibitor UBEI-41 (Biogenova). We found indeed that newly synthesized Pontin stability was enhanced in cells with Reptin depletion when they were treated with UBEI-41 (Fig. 8A). We also performed the reverse experiment. We first showed that the stability of newly synthesized Reptin was reduced upon Pontin silencing (Fig. 8D, compare lanes 2 and 6), an effect reverted in great part by proteasome inhibition (Fig. 8D). Furthermore, Reptin stability in Pontin-depleted cells was also largely restored following inhibition of ubiquitin activating enzyme (Fig. 8D). These data proved that an ubiquitylation step was involved in the destabilization of Pontin and Reptin in this setting.

We next attempted to detect whether Pontin and Reptin underwent polyubiquitylation upon silencing of their partner. Because endogenous ubiquitin might be limiting, and in order to maximize the sensitivity of detection, we transduced KR2 cells with adenoviral vectors (kindly provided by H. Wodrich, Bordeaux) expressing either HA-ubiquitin and GFP, or GFP alone. After 3 days with or without Dox, the proteasome was inhibited with epoxomycin in order to allow accumulation of ubiquitylated proteins, and ubiquitin was precipitated using the HA tag. Western blot with a Pontin antibody failed to reveal ubiquitylated Pontin whereas ubiquitylated β-catenin was indeed detected (Supporting Fig. 6A). The same results were obtained when looking for Reptin ubiquitylation in KP2-FR cells (Supporting Fig. 6B).

Discussion

We show that Pontin is overexpressed in a large series of human HCC. High levels of Pontin mRNA were associated with features of poor prognosis. Using a multivariate analysis, we even found that Pontin level was an independent factor of poor prognosis when taking into
account other poor prognosis factors including the presence of vascular embolism. In
addition, in vitro experiments showed that Pontin was required for HCC cell growth and
viability. All these findings, also including the cytoplasmic localization of Pontin in tumor
cells, are highly reminiscent of those that we obtained with Reptin (2) and are in keeping with
the known association of Pontin with Reptin into functional complexes (4, 5).

Surprisingly, silencing experiments led to a similar co-depletion of Pontin and Reptin, as
recently found in HeLa cells (13). Our results were extended to a series of human cells from
liver and non-liver origin and to other species, suggesting that co-depletion of Reptin and
Pontin is a general finding. We demonstrate that Pontin and Reptin co-depletion is linked to a
post-translational regulation since no changes in mRNA levels nor in translation were
observed following silencing. On the other hand, we show that the stability of newly
synthesized Pontin is reduced when Reptin is previously depleted, and reciprocally. This
defect can be reverted when using a proteasome inhibitor. In addition, we show that
proteasome inhibition is able to increase Pontin steady-state level whether Reptin levels are
high or low. Proteasome inhibition had on the other hand no effect on the residual low levels
of Reptin consecutive to RNAi. Together with our results with cycloheximide, this suggests
that the restoration of Pontin levels due to proteasome inhibition is mainly the consequence of
stabilization of newly translated Pontin, whereas no effect is seen for Reptin which translation
is impaired because of RNAi. We suggest that Pontin and Reptin heteromers are formed
immediately upon completion of translation, likely co-translationnally. In that case, in the
absence of its partner, newly translated Pontin or Reptin cannot be correctly folded and is
targeted for proteasomal degradation. This is also in agreement with our data showing that
Reptin and Pontin depletion follow identical kinetics upon use of any siRNA (Supporting Fig.
7). It is also possible that Pontin and Reptin act as chaperones for each other. A chaperone
role can be inferred from their presence in a HSP90 complex conserved in human and yeast (26, 27), their role in the assembly of the Ino80 complex in yeast (28), and is coherent with the fact that many other members of the AAA+ family are indeed bona fide chaperones (3). In most cases, proteins undergo proteasome degradation following prior polyubiquitylation. Polyubiquitylation involves an ordered set of reactions beginning with activation of ubiquitin by the ubiquitin-activating enzyme E1 (29). We thus used an E1 inhibitor and found that the stability of both Pontin and Reptin was enhanced. We were however unable to directly detect polyubiquitylation of any of them even when ubiquitin was greatly overexpressed and proteasome inhibited. It may be that the sensitivity of ubiquitylation detection is still insufficient or that there is an intermediary protein that is ubiquitylated and regulates Pontin and Reptin turnover (30). Further experiments are needed to clarify this point.

Besides the co-depletion, results from our study also shed light on our previous observation that transduction of cells with a lentiviral vector coding Flag-tagged Reptin induced very little protein overexpression despite highly increased mRNA levels (2). We obtained similar data here with a vector coding HA-Pontin, and found that inhibition of proteasome enhanced the stability of newly synthesized Pontin and allowed a higher accumulation of Pontin (Fig. 7C-D). This gives support to the hypothesis that the lack of high-level protein expression is explained by a degradation of the newly translated protein that cannot find its binding partner.

A co-depletion of proteins engaged in functional complexes has been already shown for instance in the case of the Ku70-Ku80 heterodimer (31) or the γ-secretase complex (32). However, in no case were full mechanistic data provided. In addition, our findings are unique in that we show that destabilization of the protein partner intervenes at an early post-translational stage.
From our findings, one would expect that the depletion of either protein would result in the same cellular phenotype. This is indeed what was observed in our experiments when comparing depletion of Reptin (2) and Pontin (this study) on cell growth and apoptosis, and also by others on the formation of RAD51 nuclear foci after DNA damage in prostate cancer cells (11). In the yeast, genome-wide microarray analysis after acute deletion of either protein showed a striking correlation between the genes regulated by each protein (6). More generally, knockdown, inactivation or deletion of either gene in Drosophila (10) or yeast (33) resulted in a similarly lethal phenotype. These data have been interpreted as Pontin and Reptin having non-redundant functions. We suggest that they could be re-interpreted on the basis of the co-depletion of both proteins. Still, it is likely that Pontin and Reptin have some unique functions as suggested by several observations. Indeed, whereas Pontin depletion with siRNAs led to spindle defects in Drosophila S2 and HeLa cells, Reptin siRNAs had no effect (34). Pontin and Reptin also antagonistically modulate β-catenin transcriptional activity (10, 14, 16, 22), likely because they are incorporated into different complexes and differentially recruited onto target promoters (9, 35). These apparently unique functions may be due to a small pool of Pontin and Reptin not engaged in heteromeric complexes but present as monomers of homo-oligomers. Although structural studies suggest mostly hetero-complex formation (17, 18), they do not exclude the possibility of other forms (18).

In summary, Pontin, like Reptin, is overexpressed in HCC and is required for HCC growth and viability. We demonstrate that the expression of both proteins is strictly co-regulated by a post-translational mechanism involving the proteasomal degradation of newly synthesized proteins. We also found that Pontin and Reptin expression are highly correlated at the mRNA level in HCC thus suggesting some additional co-regulation at the mRNA level. Altogether,
the whole data indicate the importance to maintain a strict control of the stoichiometry between Pontin and Reptin for proper cell homeostasis and likely for their role in carcinogenesis.

Acknowledgments

We thank Pierre Laurent-Puig (Paris, France) for help with statistical analysis, Otmar Huber (Berlin, Germany) for antibodies, Michael Cole (Lebanon, NH, USA) and Irina Tsaneva (London, UK) for plasmids, Harald Wodrich (Bordeaux, France) for ubiquitin tools and know-how, and Marc Piechaczyk (Montpellier, France) for helpful discussions.
References


Figure legends

Figure 1. Expression of Pontin in human hepatocellular carcinoma

(A) Pontin mRNA levels were measured with real-time RT-PCR in 104 HCC and 18 non-tumoral livers (NT). Gene expression results were normalized to internal control ribosomal 18S. The graph shows the mean ± 1 SD of mRNA levels. (B) Correlations between Pontin and Reptin mRNA levels in HCC and non-tumor (NT) samples. (C) Pontin immunostaining at the junction between the HCC (left) and the surrounding non-tumoral liver that is separated from the tumor by a fibrous capsule. Staining appears as a brown color. The inset shows a consecutive section stained with a control IgG. (D) Reptin immunostaining on a consecutive section. (E) Pontin immunostaining on a high-power view of the tumor where an intense cytoplasmic staining is detectable. (F) Disease-free survival (DFS) curves according to Pontin mRNA levels. Log-rank test comparison of low versus high levels gave a P value at 0.0022.

Figure 2. Efficiency and effects of silencing of Pontin by siRNAs in HuH7 cells

(A) Relative expression of Pontin mRNA in HuH7 24h post-transfection of Pontin siRNA (P1 and P2) or control siRNA (scP). Gene expression was measured by real-time RT-PCR. Results are normalized on the basis of the expression of GAPDH and on the level of the non-transfected cells (NT). The graph shows the mean ± 1 SD of 3 independent experiments. (B) Western blot showing Pontin expression 3 days after siRNA transfection in HuH7. The same blot was re-hybridized with a β-actin antibody. (C) Cell proliferation was measured at indicated times after siRNAs transfection. Shown are the means ± 1 SEM of 3 experiments conducted in duplicate. Proliferation of P1 and P2 transfected cells was significantly different in comparison with controls (NT and scP) (p<0.001). (D) BrdU incorporation and caspase 3 activity measured in cells after transfection of siRNA against Pontin (P1 and P2) or scrambled P2 duplexes (scP). Values are means ± 1 SD of three experiments. BrdU incorporation was
significantly reduced and caspase-3 activity increased in P1 and in P2 transfected cells compared to scrambled-transfected cells (p<0.001 and p<0.05, respectively).

**Figure 3. Silencing of Reptin or Pontin by specific siRNAs leads to down-regulation of both proteins.**

(A) Western blot of Pontin and Reptin expression in HuH7 cells three days after transfection of siRNAs targeting Reptin (R1 and R2), Pontin (P1 and P2) or Luciferase (GL2). (B) The graph shows the relative amounts of Pontin and Reptin. All values are the mean ± 1SD of at least 3 independent experiments. (C) HuH7 cells expressing HA-Pontin (wt) or HA-Pontin resistant to P2 siRNA (res) were transfected with control (GL2) or Pontin siRNAs (P1 or P2). Expression of Pontin and Reptin was analyzed by western blot. In cells expressing HA-Pontin resistant to P2, Reptin level was not decreased after transfection of P2. In contrast, P1 siRNA depleted together Pontin, HA-Pontin and Reptin (note that HA-Pontin cannot be distinguished from endogenous Pontin on these gels). (D) HuH7 cells expressing Flag-Reptin resistant to R2 siRNA were transfected with control (GL2) or Reptin siRNAs (R1 or R2). In cells expressing Flag-Reptin resistant to R2, the level of Pontin was not decreased after transfection of R2. In contrast, R1 siRNA depleted together Reptin, Flag-Reptin and Pontin. The arrow indicates the upper band revealed with the anti-Reptin antibody, corresponding to Flag-Reptin.

**Figure 4. Expression of Reptin and Pontin transcripts following silencing**

(A) Total RNA was purified 24h after transfection of Pontin (P1 and P2) or Reptin specific siRNAs (R1 and R2). Scrambled P2 (scP) or R2 (scR) duplexes were used as controls. RNAs were used for RT-qPCR analysis of Pontin (left) or Reptin (right) mRNAs. The results are expressed as relative expression of Reptin and Pontin normalized to GAPDH and are the
mean ± 1SD of at least three independent experiments. Pontin and Reptin mRNAs were significantly decreased only following transfection of their targeting siRNAs (p<0.001 by ANOVA). (B) Same experiment performed 48 h after transfection of siRNAs.

Figure 5. Distribution of Reptin and Pontin mRNA in polysomes, and translation of Pontin.

(A) Representative distribution of ribosomal RNAs in a sucrose gradient visualized by ethidium bromide staining. RNA from each fraction was analyzed by RT-PCR. (B) Distribution of GAPDH, Reptin and Pontin mRNA in polysomes. KGL2 or KR2 cells that stably express a doxycycline-inducible shRNA against luciferase or Reptin, respectively, were grown with or without 0.02 µg/ml doxycycline for 3 days before cell fractionation. Polysome profile analyses were carried out 3 times, with similar results. (C) Normal translation of Pontin in Reptin-depleted cells. Cells were grown for 4 days with or without doxycycline, then pulsed for 15 min with \([^{35}S]\) methionine/cysteine. The overall profile of newly synthesized proteins is not altered upon Reptin depletion (top left panel). Depletion of Pontin in KR2-HAP treated with doxycycline was shown by immunoblotting with an anti-Pontin antibody (bottom left). HA-pontin was immunoprecipitated with anti-HA antibody. Signals from immunoprecipitated radiolabeled polypeptides were acquired with an Instant-Imager (top right). The identity of the labeled band was confirmed by immunodetection with an anti-Pontin antibody (bottom right). As a control, no labeled polypeptide was immunoprecipitated with anti-HA in extracts from wild type HuH7 cells. (D) Normal translation of Flag-Reptin in Pontin-depleted cells. Cells were grown for 5 days after tranfection of control (GL2), Reptin (R1) or Pontin (P1) siRNAs, then pulsed for 15 min with \([^{35}S]\) methionine/cysteine. The overall profile of newly synthesized proteins is not altered upon Reptin or Pontin depletion (top left panel). Depletion of Pontin and Flag-Reptin in Huh7 cells after transfection of R1...
and P1 siRNAs was shown by immunobloting with anti-FLAG and anti-Pontin antibodies (bottom left). FLAG-Reptin was immunoprecipitated with anti-FLAG antibody. Signals from immunoprecipitated radiolabeled polypeptides were acquired with an Instant-Imager (top right).

**Figure 6. Half-life of Pontin**

(A) Pulse-chase analysis of HA-tagged Pontin in KR2-HAP cells in Reptin-replete (“w/o dox”) or Reptin-depleted conditions (“with dox”). Equal amounts of cell lysates were immunoprecipitated with an anti-HA antibody. Eluates were loaded onto a SDS-PAGE. As a control, no labeled polypeptide was immunoprecipitated with anti-HA in extracts from wild type HuH7 cells (lane 1). (B) Same experiment except that the chase medium was supplemented or not with the proteasome inhibitor MG132 (25 µM). (C) The graph shows relative quantitation of radiolabeled protein from at least 3 independent experiments. Counts from t0 were arbitrarily set at 100%. (D) Pulse-chase analysis of Calnexin half-life. Calnexin was immunoprecipitated from the flow-through fractions after centrifugation of anti-HA-agarose bead, using a rabbit polyclonal anti-Calnexin antibody (36), followed by protein A-Sepharose beads. (E) Graphical analysis of (D) following signal quantitation.

**Figure 7. Effect of proteasome inhibitors on the decrease in Pontin levels upon Reptin depletion**

(A) Western blot of Reptin and Pontin expression in KR2 cells. After three days with or without doxycyclin, cells were treated with 25µM MG132, 2.5 µM epoxomycin, or DMSO during 4 hours. The same samples were also analyzed for β-catenin expression. (B) Expression of Pontin in KR2-HAP cells. Left, cells were pulse-labeled and Pontin was immunoprecipitated. The top panel shows newly synthesized Pontin, and the bottom one total
Pontin. There was a 4.9 fold increase in newly synthesized Pontin in cells expressing HA-Pontin as compared to control cells. On the right side, Western blot for Pontin. Pontin level was increased by only 1.2 fold in KR2 HAP cells as compared to control cells. (C) After 3 days of culture with or without doxycycline, cells were treated for 4h with 25 µM MG132 or DMSO. Cell extracts were subjected to Western blot with the indicated antibodies. (D) Quantification of experiments shown in (C) (n = 3). (E) Treatment with cycloheximide prevents the restoration by MG132 of Pontin levels in Reptin-depleted cells. Cells were treated as in (C). In some cases (cycloheximide (CHX, 50 µM) was added at the same time than MG132. (F) Same as in (E) except that epoxomycin was used instead of MG132.

**Figure 8. Evidence for the involvement of ubiquitylation in the destabilization of Pontin and Reptin**

(A-B) Effect of proteasome and ubiquitin-activating enzyme inhibitors on the stability of newly-synthesized Pontin. HuH7 cells expressing the inducible R2 Reptin shRNA together with HA-Pontin (KR2-HAP) were treated or not with Dox for 3 days. Metabolic labelling and immunoprecipitation were as in Fig. 6. The chase period was 180 min and was done in the presence of 25 µM MG132, 50 µM UBEI-41 (UbeI) or DMSO (CTRL). (A) Overall pattern of radiolabeled neosynthesized proteins (top) and western blots for Pontin, Reptin and β-actin in the cell extract (bottom). (B) Detection of newly-synthesized HA-Pontin following immunoprecipitation with the HA antibody (top); the bottom part shows the quantification of two independent experiments. (C-D) Effect of proteasome and ubiquitin-activating enzyme inhibitors on the stability of newly-synthesized Reptin. HuH7 cells expressing the inducible P2 Pontin shRNA together with Flag-Pontin (KP2-FR) were treated as above, except that immunoprecipitation was carried out with the Flag antibody. (C) Overall pattern of radiolabeled neosynthesized proteins (top) and western blots for Reptin, Pontin and β-actin in
the cell extract (bottom). (D) Detection of newly-synthesized Flag-Reptin following immunoprecipitation with the Flag antibody. Note that all lanes from the IP experiment come from the same gel and were exposed simultaneously.
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Supporting methods

Cell culture

HuH7 cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s medium, supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum, non essential amino acids, L-glutamine, and penicillin/streptomycin in 5% CO₂–containing atmosphere at 37°C.

Construction of cell lines with a conditional expression of Reptin or Pontin shRNA

The Reptin siRNA sequence chosen was the R2 sequence previously described (1). For the construction of plasmids expressing Luciferase and Reptin-specific short hairpin RNAs, the following oligonucleotides were used: for luciferase, GL2-sense, 5’-cgcgtcccccCACGTACGCGGAATCTTCGAttcaagagaTCGAAGTATTCCGCGTACGTGtttttggaaat-3’; GL2 antisense, 5’-cgatttccaaaaaCACGTACGCGGAATCTTCGAtctcttgaaTCGAAGTATTCCGCGTACGTGgggga-3’. For Reptin, R2-sense, 5’-cgcgtccccGAAGATGTGGAGATGAGTGAGttcaagagaCTCACTCATCTCCACATCTTCtttttggaaat-3’; R2-antisense, 5’-cgtttccaaaaaGAAGATGTGGAGATGAGTGAGtctcttgaaCTCACTCATCTCCACATCTTCgggga-3’. After denaturation at 70°C during 10 min, oligonucleotides were annealed in 100 mM potassium acetate, 30 mM HEPES, pH 7.4, 2mM magnesium acetate. For annealing, the temperature was gradually reduced to 20°C. Then, the oligonucleotides duplexes were subcloned into the PLVTHM vector (2) between restriction sites Cla1 and Mlu1 downstream of the H1 promoter, resulting in PLVTHM-GL2 and PLVTHM-R2 vectors.

VSV-G pseudotyped lentivectors were produced by triple-transient transfection of 293T cells (3). Titers were determined by the transduction of 293T cells through the serial dilution of the lentiviral supernatant and were analyzed for EGFP expression 5 days later.

Finally, HuH7 cells conditionally expressing GL2- or R2- shRNA were obtained as follows. First, HuH7 cells were transduced with the PLVtTR/KRAB-Red lentiviral vector (2) at a multiplicity of infection of 5 in Dulbecco/Vogt modified Eagle’s minimal essential medium (DMEM)/10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) medium with 8 µg/ml protamine sulfate (Sigma, St.
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Louis, MO). This vector drives the expression of the transcriptional repressor tTR-KRAB and of the marker dsRED. Then, HuH7 cells expressing tTR-KRAB were selected through dsRED expression in a cell sorter (FACS Aria, BD Biosciences, San Jose, CA). Secondly, HuH7 expressing tTR-KRAB were transduced with PLVTHM-GL2 or PLVTHM-R2 at a multiplicity of infection of 15. The resulting cell lines were named KGL2 and KR2. The transduction efficiency was checked through testing for EGFP expression by flow cytometry after three days of cultivation in medium containing 0.02 µg/ml doxycycline.

The KP2 cell line expressing the Pontin P2 shRNA was constructed similarly using the following oligonucleotides: P2 sense, 5’-cgccgtCCCCGGTGAAGTCACAGAGCTAAttcaaga gaTTAGCTCTGTGACCTCACCTTTTGGAAat-3’; P2 5’-antisense, cgatTTCCAAAAAG GTGAAAGTCACAGAGCTAAtctcttgaaTTAGCTCTGTGACTTCACCGGGa-3’.

**Construction of cell lines expressing HA-tagged Pontin**

N-term HA-tagged Pontin cDNA was amplified by PCR from CBS-Tip49 (4) and subcloned in pGEM-Teasy plasmid (Promega). The cDNA was sequenced and cloned downstream of the MND (Myeloproliferative sarcoma virus enhancer, Negative control region Deleted, d1587rev primer-binding site substituted) promoter in a lentiviral vector (1). Finally, KGL2 and KR2 cell lines were transduced with this vector at a multiplicity of infection of 2. The resulting cell lines were named KGL2-HAP and KR2-HAP.

**Construction of cell lines expressing Reptin or Pontin resistant to siRNA**

Human N-term FLAG-tagged Reptin and N-term HA-tagged Pontin cDNAs were amplified by PCR from CBS-Tip48 and CBS-Tip49(4) and subcloned in pGEM-Teasy plasmid. Silent mutations were introduced by in vitro site-directed mutagenesis using the Stratagen QuikChange® II XL Site-Directed Mutagenesis Kit in the regions that are targeted by siRNAs (Supporting Figure 2). The inserts were sequenced to verify that selected clones contain the desired mutations and cloned downstream of the MND promoter in a lentiviral vector (1).

**RNA isolation from cells, reverse transcription, real-time PCR**

Total RNA was extracted with Trizol Reagent. One µg RNA was reverse-transcribed with Superscript III (Invitrogen). Real-time PCR was performed in 25µl with SybrGreen Supermix (BioRad, Marnes-la-Coquette, France). The sequences of primers used are in Supporting Table 2. Five µl of a 50-fold dilution of cDNA were used as template.
Northern blot
Fifteen µg total RNA was separated by electrophoresis into a formaldehyde-agarose gel (1.2% agarose). At the end of migration, RNAs were transferred by capillarity and UV-cross linked on a HyBond N+ nylon membrane.
The probes used were the full-length Pontin and Reptin cDNA, purified after restriction digestion of plasmids CBF-Tip48 and CBF-Tip49 (4). The probes were radio-labeled using 5ng of template DNA with α^{32}P-dCTP by random priming labeling according to the supplier’s instruction (GE Healthcare Ready-to-Go DNA Labelling Beads). Hybridization was performed for 16 hours at 42°C in Expresshyb™ Hybridization solution (Clontech, BD). The signals were acquired in an Instant-Imager.

Supporting Figure legends

Supporting Fig. 1. Specificity of Reptin and Pontin antibodies
(A) Recombinant Reptin and Pontin were subjected to immunoblot analysis simultaneously with mouse mAb Reptin (left) and rabbit pAb Pontin (right). Detection was achieved with infrared dye-labeled secondary goat anti-mouse (green) and goat anti-rabbit (red). No band was detected with the Reptin antibody (green) in the lane containing purified Pontin and no band was detected with the Pontin antibody (red) in the lane containing purified Reptin. (B) HuH7 cells were transfected with either CBF-Tip48 (Flag-Reptin) or CBF-Tip49 (Flag-Pontin). Cells were harvested 72h after transfection, and extracts were subjected to Western blot analysis with the indicated antibodies. (C) Co-depletion of Pontin and Reptin shown in HuH7 cells 3 days after transfection of siRNA revealed using several other antibodies. Reptin (MC) and Pontin (MC) antibodies were a gift from M. Cole (4). Reptin (2E9-5) antibody was a gift from O. Huber (5).
Supporting Fig. 2. Design of Pontin and Reptin siRNA-resistant cDNAs

Schematic representation of the HA-tagged Pontin and Flag-tagged Reptin cDNA sequences. The Pontin-siRNA (P2) targeted sequence and the Reptin-siRNA (R2) targeted sequences are indicated by capital letters. Silent mutations are indicated by bold/italic letters.

Supporting Fig. 3. Co-depletion of Pontin and Reptin occurs in a variety of cell lines from several species

Western blot of Pontin and Reptin expression in several cell lines transfected with control siRNA (GL2, scR or scP) or Reptin specific siRNA (R1, R2) or Pontin specific siRNA (P1, P2). The relative amounts of Pontin and Reptin below the lanes were estimated by densitometry and are shown below the blots. NT: non transfected. HepG2, MCF7, FAO, Hepa 1-6 and Hela cells were cultured in Dulbecco’s modified Eagle’s media, supplemented with 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS), non essential amino acids, L-glutamine, and penicillin/streptomycin in 5% CO₂-containing atmosphere at 37°C. LNCap cells were cultured in RPMI, supplemented with 10% FBS, non essential amino acids, L-glutamine, and penicillin/streptomycin in Corning® CellBIND® Surface plates in 5% CO₂-containing atmosphere at 37°C.

siRNAs were transfected at a concentration of 125nM into HepG2, Hepa1-6, FAO, MCF7 and HeLa cells with Lipofectamine (Invitrogen, Cergy Pontoise, France). We used the Nucleofector technology (Amaxa) to transfect siRNAs in LNCap cells (20pmol of siRNA for 10⁶ cells).

Supporting Fig. 4. Co-depletion of ectopically-expressed proteins

(A) Knock-down of Pontin leads to down-regulation of endogenous Reptin and of ectopically expressed Flag-Reptin in HuH7 cells. HuH7 cells that stably express a N-term Flag-tagged Reptin from the MND viral promoter were transfected with the indicated siRNAs. Three days after transfection, the cells were lysed and processed for western blot with antibodies specific to Reptin, FLAG tag and β-actin. The arrow indicates the migration of Flag-tagged Reptin. This experiment shows that both endogenous and ectopically-expressed Reptin were depleted with Pontin siRNAs. In order to rule out a possible confounding factor, we first checked that Pontin was not required for transcription from the MND promoter by demonstrating that the expression of GFP driven by the MND promoter was not altered in Pontin-depleted cells (not shown). (B) Co-depletion of ectopically expressed Pontin and Reptin and endogenous proteins in Hela cells. Western blot of Reptin and Pontin expression in siRNA transfected
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Hela cell lines that stably expressed a N-term Flag-HA-tagged Reptin (FH-Reptin) or FH-Pontin from CMV promoter. Three days after transfection of siRNAs, the cells were lysed and processed for western blot with antibodies specific to Reptin, Pontin and β-actin.

Supporting Fig. 5. Co-depletion in cells expressing an inducible Reptin shRNA

(A) Knock-down of Reptin with an inducible shRNA vector leads to down-regulation of Pontin in HuH7. Western blot of Pontin and Reptin expression in HuH7 cell lines stably transduced to express either the GL2 shRNA (against firefly luciferase, KGL2 cells) or the R2 shRNA (against Reptin, KR2 cells) in a doxycycline-dependent manner. Four days after adding of doxycycline in the culture medium, the cells were lysed and processed for western blot with antibodies specific to Pontin, Reptin and β-actin. Protein levels of Reptin and Pontin were decreased by 72% ± 15% and 84% ± 15%, respectively, four days after addition of doxycycline in KR2 cells, whereas they remained stable in KGL2 cells. (B) Pontin mRNA level is not decreased in response to Reptin shRNA expression. Real-time PCR analysis of Reptin and Pontin cDNAs from KR2 cells treated or not with doxycycline during 48h. The results show the expression of Reptin and Pontin in doxycycline treated KR2 cells normalized to untreated cells (set as 100%) and are the mean ± 1 SD of three independent experiments. GAPDH mRNA level is used as a standard.

Supporting Fig. 6. Lack of evidence for Pontin or Reptin ubiquitylation

(A) No evidence for Pontin ubiquitylation following Reptin silencing. KR2 cells were grown for 3 days after infection by an adenoviral vector expressing HA-Ubiquitin + GFP, or GFP alone in medium supplemented or not with doxycycline. After 4 hours of treatment with 2.5µM epoxomycin, cells were lysed in lysis buffer supplemented with 10 mM N-ethylmaleimide and 2 mM DTT, and expression of HA-ubiquitinylated proteins and GFP was detected in the input (left). Ubiquitylated proteins were immunoprecipitated using an anti-HA monoclonal antibody (right). The overall profile of ubiquitylated proteins was not altered by Reptin silencing (top panel). Ubiquitylated β-catenin was readily detected in the immunoprecipitate (middle panel). In contrast, ubiquitylated Pontin could not be detected (lower panel). (B) No evidence for Reptin ubiquitylation following Pontin silencing. Same as in (B) except that KP2-FR cells were used.
Supporting Fig. 7. Kinetics of co-depletion

(A) HuH7 cells were transfected with the indicated siRNAs and protein expression was monitored by Western blot at various time points. (B) KR2 cells were grown in medium supplemented or not with doxycycline and Pontin or Reptin protein expression was monitored by Western blot at various time points.
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**Supporting Table 1.** Patients characteristics and histology of the surrounding liver

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Patients characteristics</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Sex (Male/Female)</td>
<td></td>
<td>82/22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Etiology</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatitis B</td>
<td></td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatitis C</td>
<td></td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alcohol</td>
<td></td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hemochromatosis</td>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No etiology</td>
<td></td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Histology of surrounding liver</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cirrhosis</td>
<td></td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibrosis</td>
<td></td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal histology</td>
<td></td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
siRNA sequences

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Name</th>
<th>Sequence</th>
<th>nucleotides</th>
<th>gi</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>P1</td>
<td>5'-GUUUACUCAACUGAGAAUCA-3'</td>
<td>377-395</td>
<td>4506752</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P2</td>
<td>5'-GGUGAAGUCACAGAGCUAA-3'</td>
<td>473-491</td>
<td>4506752</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>scR2</td>
<td>5'-GGATGTAAAGTGGAAGTGGG-3'</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>scP2</td>
<td>5'-GAAACATGCACGGATGAGT-3'</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PCR primers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Forward Sequence</th>
<th>Reverse Sequence</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GAPDH</td>
<td>5'-TGGGGAAGGTGAAGGTCGG-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pontin</td>
<td>5'-AAGAGCGAGTAGAAGCTGGA-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reptin</td>
<td>5'-AAGTCCCGGAGATCCGTGAT-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reptin</td>
<td>5'-CGACCGGGCAATCTCCCTTC-3'</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Supporting Table 2. Sequences of siRNAs and PCR primers
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