



HAL
open science

Development and differentiation of the intestinal epithelium.

Pascal de Santa Barbara, Gijs R. van den Brink, Drucilla J. Roberts

► **To cite this version:**

Pascal de Santa Barbara, Gijs R. van den Brink, Drucilla J. Roberts. Development and differentiation of the intestinal epithelium.. Cellular and Molecular Life Sciences, 2003, 60 (7), pp.1322-32. 10.1007/s00018-003-2289-3 . inserm-00287641

HAL Id: inserm-00287641

<https://www.hal.inserm.fr/inserm-00287641>

Submitted on 12 Jun 2008

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Abstract. The gastrointestinal tract develops from a simple tube to a complex organ with patterns of differentiation along four axes of asymmetry. The organ is composed of all three germ layers signaling to each other during development to form the adult structure. The gut epithelium is a constitutively developing tissue, constantly differentiating from a stem cell in a progenitor pool throughout the life of the organism. Signals from the adjacent mesoderm and between epithelial cells are required for the normal orderly development/differentiation, homeostasis, and apoptosis. Embryonically important patterning factors are used during adult stages for these processes. Such critical pathways as the hedgehog, bone morphogenetic protein, Notch, SOX, and WNT systems are used both in embryologic and adult times of gut development. We will focus and review the roles of these factors in gut epithelial cell development and differentiation.

Key words. Visceral endoderm; intestinal epithelium; signaling pathways; BMP; Hh; HOX; SOX; Wnt/ β -catenin; epithelial-mesenchymal interactions.

Introduction

This review summarizes advances in the understanding of the molecular control of intestinal epithelial cell differentiation. Recently some elegant multi-disciplinarily studies have been published that progress our understanding of endoderm development, intestinal epithelium differentiation, and its homeostasis [1-7]. Different molecular pathways and transcription factors have been described and studies in these processes. In order to clarify this review, we have decided to focus on events that we have studied and the pathways that are best understood developmentally. Key molecular pathways that we will comment on include the hedgehog (Hh), bone morphogenetic protein (BMP), and Notch signaling pathways, the HOX and SOX transcription factors, the Eph receptors/ephrin ligands (Eph-ephrin) signaling system, the Wnt/ β -catenin and TCF signaling pathways. Many of these systems are best known as critical control factors in general body plan developmental processes as well as role in organ pattern formation including having key roles in gastrointestinal development. These developmentally critical pathways continue to be important in cell differentiation, homeostasis, and apoptosis in the adult intestinal epithelium. Adult gut epithelium may be viewed as a “developmental” system; analogous in many ways to embryonic developmental systems. Understanding these pathways and how they may interact should provide insight to diseases found associated with gastrointestinal morphogenic defects and epithelial differentiation perturbations.

Embryonic development of the intestinal endoderm

The vertebrate gastrointestinal tract (GI tract) is a remarkably complex, three dimensional, specialized and vital organ system derived from a simple tubal structure. The GI tract includes the luminal digestive system of the esophagus, stomach, intestines, and colon (which we will designate as "gut") and GI tract derivatives. GI derivatives essentially bud off ventrally from the

early gut endoderm and will form the thyroid, lungs, and liver. The pancreas develops from the fusion of distinct dorsal and ventral diverticula that originally arise from the gut endoderm posterior to the stomach. The gut is composed of the three germ layers - endoderm (which forms the epithelial lining of the lumen), mesoderm (which forms the smooth muscle layers), and ectoderm (which includes the most anterior and posterior luminal digestive structure and the enteric nervous system).

Morphologic GI tract development has been found to be very similar in all vertebrate species studied. At the end of gastrulation, the endoderm is phenotypically homogenous until morphogenetic movements occur in cranial and caudal areas. The vertebrate gut tube develops from two ventral invaginations, one at the anterior (anterior intestinal portal, AIP) and the other at the posterior (caudal intestinal portal, CIP) end of the embryo. These invaginations elongate in the endodermal layer and fuse in the midline of the embryo to form a straight tube. During this process lateral plate derived splanchnic mesoderm surrounds the endoderm. Later in gut development, neural crest derived cells migrate into and colonize the gut to form the enteric nervous system (ENS). The ENS arises from the neural crest cells that delaminate from the dorsal region of the neural tube and colonize the whole gut to establish its innervation [8, 9].

Early in embryonic development the gut becomes patterned in - the AP axis, the dorsoventral (DV) axis, the left-right (LR) axis, and later the radial (RAD) axis. Regional specific morphologic development and differentiation along the anterior-posterior (AP) axis will give rise to the formation of three regions: the foregut, the midgut, and the hindgut. These structures respectively will give rise to the adult gut: pharynx, esophagus, and stomach (for the foregut), to the small intestines (for the midgut) and the colon (for the hindgut). The LR axis is relatively evidenced early on, by the characteristic turning and looping of the gut in which the stomach is generally positioned on the left side of the organism and the gut loops in

a counterclockwise direction. The endoderm remains uniform in its morphology (undifferentiated appearing stratified cuboidal cells) throughout all axes of the gut until midgestation in most vertebrates when epithelial-mesenchymal (EM) interactions direct endodermal differentiation (fig.1). Finally the endoderm differentiates from signals provided by the mesoderm directed by its AP and DV specific location. Finally, the endodermal pattern becomes phenotypically specific in AP, DV, and RAD axes. The mature (adult) gut has a morphologic and functional pattern clearly identifiable in all four axes.

Transcription factors involved during gut endoderm development

Genetic controls of endoderm development have been less well studied as the mesoderm or ectoderm [10]. Numerous factors involved in the specification of the endoderm layer have been described and reviewed (for review see [11]). Other factors, such as *Sox17*, first have been identified as early endodermal specification factors, but also its action during gut endoderm development was recently demonstrated [12, 13]. *Sox17* is a high-mobility group (HMG) transcription factor gene related to the sex-determining factor gene *SRY* [14]. *SOX* genes have been identified as key players in numerous developmental processes: sex determination [15, 16], neurogenesis [17], muscle differentiation [18], and chondrogenesis [19]. *SOX* genes are also involved in pathologic development as well [20] shown to be involved in pathways controlling cellular proliferation [21] and oncogenesis [22]. Early endoderm formation is under control of *Sox17* expression as demonstrated in *Xenopus* [12, 23]. Recently in zebrafish, *casanova*, a novel member of *SOX* family gene acts upstream of zebrafish *Sox17* related gene and as *Sox17* is sufficient to induce early endodermal formation [24-28]. Murine knockouts for *Sox17* show that *Sox17* is essential for embryonic cells to acquire endodermal cell fate but also suggests a potential redundancy with other *SOX* gene expressed on overlapping endodermal territory [13]. Disruption of *Sox17* gene in the murine

system has an impact on endoderm development but does not affect the formation of the anterior definitive endoderm [13]. Published and unpublished observations have demonstrated the presence of at least 5 different *SOX* genes expressed in the gut endoderm: *Sox2* [29], *Sox7* [30, 31], *Sox9* (P. de Santa Barbara unpublished data), *Sox17* [13, 32], and *Sox18* [33] suggesting that *SOX* family genes may be important in the gut endoderm development. Additive functions of *SOX* genes in intestinal epithelium are suggested by different expressional studies [31-33]. Moreover, in our experiments we shown that *SOX9* expression changes during the differentiation state of the intestinal epithelium, with restriction of then along villi axis, indicating a potential function of *SOX* gene during the epithelial differentiation process (P. de Santa Barbara unpublished data).

Hox genes are homeobox containing transcription factors conserved across divergent species [34, 35]. *Hox* genes function in pattern formation of many aspects of development including the overall body plan [36, 37], limb [38], CNS [39, 40], and viscera [41-44]. Mesodermal expression of specific *Hox* genes play an important role in patterning the gut along the AP axis in both the gross morphology of the gut and later the epithelial-mesenchymal interactions responsible for normal gut epithelial differentiation [42, 45]. The genes of the *AbdB* class include the most 5' of the vertebrate *Hox* genes. These vertebrate *Hox* genes are expressed spatially in the most posterior body regions and subregions [41]. In the gut, these *Hox* genes are expressed in a spatially and temporally specific manner in the posterior mesoderm of the gut, from the post-umbilical portion of the midgut through the hindgut [41-43, 45]. *Hoxa13* and *Hoxd13* are co-expressed in the distal most hindgut mesoderm (anorectal mesoderm in the mouse and cloacal mesoderm in the chick) and uniquely throughout the hindgut endoderm [42, 46]. In mouse *Hoxa13*(+/-)/*Hoxd13*(-/-) mutants have gastrointestinal malformations of the muscular and epithelial layers of the rectum [44]. The tissue specific roles of these genes were not dissected. Were the anomalies

seen in the null mice due to absence of *Hox* function in the mesoderm, the endoderm, or both? Recently, the role of *Hoxa13* in the posterior endoderm was investigated using the avian system. A *Hoxa13* mutant protein, which behaves as a dominant-negative, was specifically expressed in the early developing chick posterior endoderm [45]. This resulted in decreased wild type protein and the chicks developed with a dramatic malformation in the gut and genitourinary system with atresia of the hindgut anterior to the cloaca, cystic mesonephric maldevelopment, atresia of the distal Müllerian ducts. This was the first time that a specific endodermal function of a *Hox* gene was described. Different *Hox* genes also were found expressed in the small and large intestine endoderm, such as *Hoxa8*, however no functional studies were made [47, 48]. Their expressions let us hypothesize specific functions of intestinal endodermally expressed *Hox* genes, but still to be described.

Hox gene expression is principally mesodermal in the gut, and expression occurs early in gut development, before any pattern formation in the 4 axes is evident. We have previously shown that *Hoxd13* and *Hoxa13* have a function in the mesoderm to direct differentiation of the overlying endoderm [42, 45]. Both *Hoxd13* and *Hoxa13* are expressed in the distal most hindgut mesoderm [41, 43, 46]. Both are also expressed in the entire hindgut endoderm [45]. When *Hoxd13* and/or *Hoxa13* are ectopically expressed in the midgut mesoderm the endoderm differentiated towards a hindgut phenotype [42, 45]. These put *Hoxd13* and *Hoxa13* as players in the hindgut mesoderm to endoderm signaling that has been shown to direct the final epithelial phenotype. Recently, the mesodermal-endodermal *HOX* crosstalk pathway was also observed in mouse [49] and shows a strong conserved function of *Hox* genes in GI tract differentiation.

Signaling pathways acting during gut endoderm development

The Hedgehog (Hh) pathway in *Drosophila* and vertebrates is conserved and known to play an important role in gut development [50-52]. *Sonic hedgehog (Shh)* is an important factor implicated in the first phase of EM signaling in the gut [41, 53]. *Shh* is expressed early in the AIP and CIP endoderm [41, 54-56]. As the gut tube forms and undergoes morphogenesis, *Shh* expression expands and is maintained in the gut endoderm with the exception of the GI tract derivatives [56-58]. One other member of the Hh family, *Indian hedgehog (Ihh)*, is expressed later in the gut endoderm in a partially overlapping pattern [1]. The function of *Hh* signaling in the early gut endoderm layer is not well defined, but its action in the adjacent mesoderm was demonstrated. Endodermally secreted *Shh* acts via its mesodermally expressed receptor *Patched (Ptc)* to induce mesodermal expression of *Bmp4* [41, 42]. Early endodermal *Shh* expression was suggested to act as a signal in epithelial-mesenchymal interaction in the earliest stage of hindgut formation [41].

BMPs are members of the Transforming growth factor β (TGF β) superfamily of signaling molecules that play important roles during embryogenesis and organogenesis. BMP ligands were initially identified as regulators of bone formation [59], but subsequent analyses have suggested that these ligands regulate a spectrum of developmental processes throughout embryogenesis and organogenesis (reviewed in [60]). BMPs are tightly regulated growth and differentiation morphogens, therefore to truly understand what their function any one system may be, it is extremely useful to localize the tissue/cells in which their actions are occurring [60]. BMP ligands act via specific receptors in a complex which ultimately, by phosphorylation, activates a target molecule, SMAD1/5 and 8, that in turn moves to the nucleus to activate transcription of target genes [61]. Due to the high degree of complexity of the BMP signaling pathway (numerous ligands, receptors, and processing regulations), the detection of the phosphorylated forms of Smad1/5/8 was used to give an endogenous

cartography of the BMP activation in *Xenopus* [62] and chick [63], that could not be predicted from ligand and antagonist expression patterns. Smad1/5/8 phosphorylations are activated in the ventral part of the foregut endoderm [63]. These data suggest an unexpected and early role of BMP signaling in the development and patterning of the endodermal AIP structure formation.

Recent investigations have highlighted the roles of BMP in patterning the gut during development. *Bmp-4* is expressed throughout the mesoderm of the chick gut sparing expression only in the avian muscular stomach (gizzard) [42, 64, 65]. Retroviral misexpression experiments suggest that level of BMP activity may have fundamental roles in the control of gut muscular development, in the pyloric sphincter development, and in the stomach gland formation [42, 64-67]. Anti-phospho-Smad1/5/8 antibodies were used to study the endogenous BMP pathway activation in the developing GI tract in chick (P. de Santa Barbara, S. Faure and D.J. Roberts, unpublished data). Endogenous activation of this pathway is specifically found in the gut mesenchyme layer but also in the developing endoderm. The localization of activated-Smad1 in the mesoderm of the midgut is consistent with the expression of *Bmp-4* at this stage [64], but the additional activation of Smad1 that we report in the endoderm suggests that either diffusion of *Bmp-4* from the mesoderm induces Smad1/5/8 phosphorylations in the endoderm, or that additional BMPs are expressed in the endoderm. Regional differences in BMP pathway activation also are present in the AP axis. Smad1/5/8 phosphorylations are present in the midgut endoderm but not in the hindgut endoderm. The function of the BMP pathway in early gut endoderm is still unknown. Later in gut development, some roles for the pathway have been suggested (see below).

Pattern of the adult intestinal and colonic epithelium

The intestinal endoderm layer forms the intestine characterized in the RAD axis with the establishment of the villus-crypt axis. The pseudostratified endoderm formed of undifferentiated cells undergoes a columnar transformation accompanied with a mesodermal outgrowth. This process results in the development of structures termed villi, which form along a cranial to caudal wave (fig. 1). AP axis influences the RAD axis in morphologic and epithelial cellular differentiation. In late fetal life, small intestine epithelium is characterized by long and thin villi, whereas colon epithelium shows wide and flat villi. These villi are separated by a proliferating intervillus epithelium (fig. 1). As the gut develops the intervillus epithelium is reshaped downward forming crypts. The crypt-villous unit allows for a great increase in surface area for absorption. Small intestines conserve their villus-crypt unit throughout life (fig. 1). In many species, (including human but not in chick) the embryonic villi will be lost in adult colonic epithelium. Human colon has a relatively flat epithelium separated regularly by crypts (fig. 1). The formation of these crypt-villous structures and epithelial cellular differentiation relies on reciprocal signaling between the endoderm and mesoderm (EM) (for review see [68]).

The function of small intestine epithelium is digestion and absorption of nutrient. Therefore, the epithelial cells are highly specialized and metabolically active. These cells undergo a relatively rapid generation and death continuously throughout the life of the organism. The cells are derived from a stem cell located in the middle of the crypt. Asymmetric division is essential to insure maintenance of stem cell number and final homeostasis of the intestinal epithelium. The stem cells have a high proliferative rate with embryonic cell like features. They can be morphologically identified by a large nucleus compartment with diffuse chromatin and scant cytoplasm with few small organelles. The

number of stem cells in the small intestine is estimated at around 4-6 per crypt. This cell produces progenitors, which appear undifferentiated in the crypt but eventually produce four cell types: enterocytes, enteroendocrine cells, Paneth cells, and goblet cells. Cellular position along the crypt-villous unit (in the RAD axis) is different following the differentiation state of its cells (bottom for undifferentiated cells, and top for more differentiated cells), with exception of Paneth cells that always are located at the bottom of the crypts. Morphological changes are achieved during migration from the stem cell to the crypt-villous junction. When these cells reach the crypt-villous junction, their differentiation is complete. Enterocytes are the most abundant intestinal epithelial cells (up to 80% of all epithelial cells).

Enterocytes are columnar cells with apical microvilli, that greatly increase the absorptive surface, and lateral junctions with neighbor cells. The enterocytes have hydrolytic and absorptive functions and are responsible for degradation of nutrients. The turnover of enterocytes is estimated in mouse at around 3 days. The enterocytes are characteristic of the small intestine and are the main absorptive cell of the intestine. Goblet cells are scattered from the middle of the crypt to the tip of the villus. They represent 5 % of the small intestine epithelial cells. They are characterized by specific mucous granules found in the cytoplasm. The mucus constitutes a barrier against the intestinal contents. Goblet cell turnover is quick, around 3 days. Enteroendocrine cells represent a small percentage of the small intestine epithelial cells. They produce numerous hormones that assist in regulating gastrointestinal motility. Paneth cells, in contrast of the three other intestinal epithelial cell types, have a longer turnover period of about 20 days. Mature Paneth cells are columnar epithelial cells with apical cytoplasmic granules. Around 10 Paneth cells are present per crypt. Paneth function is mostly associated with the antimicrobial defense of the intestine.

The pattern of the different cell types in the small intestine in the RAD axis is such that the mid-crypt position of the stem cell produces progenitor cells, rapidly dividing, committed but

undifferentiated, that “move” lumenally to the villous. At the crypt-villous junction, these cells differentiate. Their luminal migration is both passive due to being “pushed” by newly “born” progenitors from the crypts, apoptotic loss of cells from the villous tip, and from newly described epithelial cell-cell and EM signals (described below). In the small intestine, the Paneth cell is the only cell type that apparently disregards this rule, as it is uniquely located at the base of the crypts, apparently migrating “downward”.

The principal function of the adult colon epithelium is to absorb water and salt. Transient formation of colonic villi is present in embryonic proximal intestine, but in human these villi are flattened by birth. The mature colon epithelium has mainly two differentiated cell types: the enterocyte and goblet cell. The colon also has endocrine cells. The goblet cells are mainly found in the midcrypt whereas the absorptive enterocytes (or colonocytes) are found at the surface (or top of the crypt), the surface between the crypt is called the “intercrypt table” and consists mainly of enterocytes. Endocrine cells are found in highest numbers at the base of the crypt [69]. The stem cell and proliferating compartment in the colonic epithelium resides at the base of the crypt. All cellular “movements” are towards the lumen.

Genetic control of intestinal and colonic epithelial pattern

The fundamental pattern in adult gut epithelium is the RAD axis, with the progenitor/proliferative cells being deep to the differentiated/functional/and finally apoptotic cells being luminal [70]. The formation of this pattern occurs embryologically but it is maintained in the adult organ. Disruption of this pattern results in dysfunctional bowel and can lead to malignant growth. Many new insights into the molecular controls of this pattern have been recently described and will be summarized here. These involve cellular interactions from the mesoderm to the epithelium and between epithelial cells. Many of the same factors shown to be important in embryologic pattern formation of the gut continue in their

importance in pattern formation of the adult organ. We respectively will comments the different pathways and factors involved in maintenance of the crypts – the proliferative/progenitor region and follow through control of cell fate decisions, cellular differentiation and finally apoptosis.

Interventions of the WNT and BMP signaling pathways in adult intestinal and colonic epithelium

WNT genes encode secreted proteins, which control numerous developmental processes. *WNT* genes are included on the same family but can be distinguished in two different functional groups: the WNT/ β -catenin signaling pathway and the WNT/ Ca^{2+} signaling pathway [71]. In the first group, WNT expression leads to the nuclear translocation of β -catenin and its association with T-cell factor (TCF) family members (HMG box-containing DNA-binding proteins). These β -catenin/TCFs complexes mediate WNT signaling pathway by transcriptional activation of WNT target genes. This pathway is important in gut epithelial development. New data has implicated the *Wnt*/ β -catenin/Tcf4 pathway as critically important in maintaining the proliferative compartment of the adult gut epithelium (fig. 2).

Expression of *Wnt* genes during mouse and chick gut development suggested possible roles in gut patterning [10, 42, 72]. These publications have shown *Wnt* expression in the developing gut mesoderm and suggest a role in controlling AP boundaries. Although no published data has shown specific WNT factor expression in the adult gut, significant evidence documents that its pathway is important at the crypt. WNT proteins signal via complexes formed with β -catenin. After expression of WNT morphogens, WNT binds to its membranous receptor Frizzled and activates its. These stimulation leads to the inhibition of GSK-3 β activity and to cytoplasmic and nuclear β -catenin accumulations. The consensual model states that nuclear β -catenin interacts and binds to TCF factors to activate *Wnt* target

genes (for review, see [73] and <http://www.stanford.edu/~rnusse/wntwindow.html>). Recently this model was discussed by new data that demonstrated activation of the WNT pathway with membrane targeted β -catenin forms [74]. In the digestive epithelium, β -catenin is present in all membranes along the crypt-villus unit, but nuclear accumulation of β -catenin is specifically found in the epithelial cells located from the bottom third of the small intestine crypt to the bottom and at the bottom of the colonic crypt [2, 3]. The effector of the WNT/ β -catenin signaling pathway, *Tcf4* is found expressed in the gut epithelium throughout life [75, 76]. *Tcf4* is expressed in a gradient highest in the cells at the base of the crypt [77, 78]. *Tcf4* knockout mice appear to lose the intestinal epithelial progenitor and stem cell population and die before crypt formation is evident [76]. These data suggest that TCF4 functions in intestinal epithelial stem-cell maintenance. The experiments identified new signaling pathways involved in the intestinal epithelium and demonstrate a central role of β -catenin/TCF4 in the maintenance of the crypt progenitor cells [2, 3, 79, 80]. A direct target of the β -catenin/TCF4 is the *Cdx1* gene, one of the mouse *Drosophila caudal* homologues [81]. *Cdx1* is expressed in the developing intestine endoderm [82] and its product finally localizes in the proliferative crypt compartment during differentiation [83]. After WNT stimulation, it was demonstrated that *Cdx1* expression is stimulated and let us hypothesize that its homeobox gene is one of the WNT signaling pathway effector involved in the maintenance of the proliferative intestinal compartment

The BMP signaling pathway is involved in the early steps of AIP formation and gut development (as reviewed upper). However, human genetic data has demonstrated that this pathway plays an important role in intestinal epithelial homeostasis. Recently, mutations in different members of the BMP signaling pathway were found associated with the human precancerous Juvenile Polyposis Syndrome (JPS). A specific *SMAD4* mutation is found in some JPS patients and results in a truncated protein [84, 85]. The *Smad4* protein is the common

shuttle of both TGF- β (Transforming Growth Factor- β) and BMP(s) signaling pathways. *SMAD4* mutations associated with JPS leads to the hypothesis that other members of TGF- β or BMP signaling pathways may be involved in the JPS patients without the specific *SMAD4* mutation. In fact, in some germline nonsense mutations were found in the bone morphogenetic protein receptor 1A (*BMPRIA*) gene [86]. These mutations resulted in protein truncation due to a deletion of the intracellular serine-threonine kinase domain necessary for Smad protein phosphorylation and signal transduction. These genetic studies show that perturbation of the BMP signaling pathway is associated with intestinal hamartomatous polyps. This suggests that BMP signaling is involved in normal epithelial differentiation and homeostasis in the gut. Expressions of phosphorylated forms of Smad1/5/8 proteins are found in the intestinal epithelial cells and in the lamina propria stromal cells of the adult human small intestine and colon (D.J. Roberts and P. de Santa Barbara, unpublished data). Recently, different investigators found that intestinal epithelial BMP4 expression is under the control of the β -catenin/TCF4 activity [2, 80]. Precise actions of BMP pathway in the differentiated intestinal epithelium and the defects involving mutations in the BMP pathway compounds need to be further investigated.

Genetic control of cellular patterning in the intestinal and colonic epithelium

Paneth and enterocyte cell fate choiced must involve the Rho GTPase family members. These factors play a central role in all eukaryotic cells by controlling the organization of the actin cytoskeleton [87]. These proteins integrate information from different signaling pathways and act as effectors to mediate effects on migration, proliferation and differentiation [88]. Rac1 is a member of the Rho family of GTP-binding proteins that can activate the Jun N-terminal Kinase (JNK) and p38 Mitogen-Activated-Protein (MAP) kinase pathways [89]. Expressions of either constitutively active and/or dominant-negative Rac1 forms in mice result in

perturbation of cell differentiation in the intestinal epithelium [4]. Sustained Rac1 activation leads to an early differentiation of Paneth and enterocyte cells within the small intestine intervillus epithelium in late fetal mice, but no impact was observed on Goblet and enteroendocrine cells. In adult, forced Rac1 activation increases cell proliferation in intestinal crypts and leads to unusually wide villi [5]. Activated Rac1 specifically increases phosphorylation of JNK in both intervillus and villus epithelial cells and alters the actin cytoskeleton [5]. The cytoskeleton is also involved in cell migration. The position of cells along the crypt-villous axis (RAD) is one of the important factors thought to play a role in cellular differentiation [90].

A fundamental pathway utilized by many systems to direct cellular differentiation is the Notch-Delta receptor-ligand signaling system [91]. Adult gut epithelial cells use this system to affect cell fate in the proliferative zone of the crypt-villous unit. The Notch pathway affects cell fate decisions by using lateral inhibition in cell-cell interactions with its cell membrane based receptor Delta [92]. Feedback amplification of relative differences in Notch and Delta results in subsets of cells with high levels of Notch and others with high Delta levels. Elevated cellular Notch levels induce expression of transcription factors, such as *Hes1* [93]. *Hes1* is a transcriptional repressor, and *Hes1* positive cells have been shown to remain in the precursor population [94]. Downstream targets of *Hes1* have been recently described to include *Math1*, a basic helix-loop-helix transcription factor [95]. *Math1* expression is present in both developing and mature mouse intestinal epithelium and co-localizes with proliferating markers in the progenitor region of the crypt in small intestinal epithelium. *Math1* null mice have increased reporter expression in crypt cells, lack intestinal goblet, Paneth, and enteroendocrine cells, and show no increased apoptosis. These findings suggest that *Math1* is involved in early epithelial cell fate decisions. *Math1* expression is needed for cells to make their first lineage specifying choice. *Math1* non-expressing cells remain in the progenitor pool

and can only become enterocytes. These results are informative not only in that *Math1* is an early cell fate determining factor but also that there are apparently two progenitor cell types - a *Math1* dependent progenitor for goblet, Paneth and enteroendocrine cells – and a *Math1* independent progenitor for enterocytes.

During embryonic development, Eph receptors and their ephrin ligands (Eph/ephrin) have been shown to be essential for migration of many cell types [96] and pattern boundaries [97]. Eph receptors constitute a large family of transmembrane tyrosine kinase receptors [98]. Binding and activation of Eph receptors to ephrin ligands require cell-cell interaction [99]. Eph-ephrin signaling converges to regulate the cytoskeleton [100]. Members of the Eph-ephrin signaling pathway were found expressed in the small intestine epithelium [3]. EphB2 and EphB3 receptors are expressed in the proliferative compartment, whereas their ligand ephrin-B1 is expressed in adjacent differentiated cells. This suggests that the Eph-ephrin system may regulate epithelial cell migration, therefore position in the RAD axis, which is critical in determining cell fate. The neonatal intestinal epithelium of *EphB2/EphB3* double mutant mice presents perturbation in the proliferative/differentiated compartment boundary with presence of ectopic proliferative cells along the villus. In adults, EphB3 receptor is restricted in its gut epithelial expression to the crypt base columnar cells, where the Paneth cells reside. *EphB3* null mice show abnormalities in the localization of the Paneth cells, with scattered Paneth cells throughout the entire crypt and the base of the villus. These results support the role of the Eph-ephrin system in maintaining the integrity of the epithelial cell pattern in the RAD axis.

Functions of the Hedgehog signaling pathways in adult intestinal and colonic epithelium

The hedgehog (Hh) family of morphogens includes three members in most vertebrates, Sonic Hedgehog (Shh), Indian Hedgehog (Ihh) and Desert Hedgehog. All hedgehogs can bind

two common homologous receptors: Patched (Ptc)-1 and -2. In the unbound state these receptors negatively regulate the activity of a seven-pass transmembrane receptor Smoothed (Smo) by a so far unresolved mechanism [101]. Upon binding of Ptc by Hh, the suppression of Smo is relieved and pathway activation through the Gli family of transcription factors ensues. Both Shh and Ihh are important endodermal signals in gut tube differentiation and are involved in patterning events along all four axes of its development. Shh plays an essential role in gross morphological patterning of the foregut [102] and both Shh and Ihh are expressed in the developing stomach. A remarkable gastric phenotype has been described in the Shh null mouse that showed hyperplastic epithelium in the stomach with intestinal transformation [1]. A role for Shh is maintained in the regulation of gastric gland homeostasis in the adult stomach [103] and the observed loss of this expression in intestinal metaplasia of the adult stomach may suggest that Shh plays a role in maintenance of the gastric epithelial differentiation program [104]. Both Shh and Ihh are expressed in the developing small intestine where they seem to have both opposing and overlapping functions. The Shh null mouse shows overgrowth of villi that are abnormally innervated and clog the lumen of the duodenum whereas growth of villi in the Ihh mouse is strongly diminished and often lacks innervation [1]. Both the Shh and Ihh null mutant display a reduction in the thickness of the circular smooth muscle layer [1]. Shh expression is down regulated in the developing small intestine in two phases. Initially Shh expression is lost in the prospective pancreatic endoderm and this loss is critical for normal pancreas formation [105]; in a second phase Shh expression is downregulated along the length of the small intestine, experiments in *Xenopus* suggest that this phase may be critical to normal small intestinal epithelial differentiation [106]. In the adult small intestine *Shh* mRNA is detected at the base of the crypts around the presumed location of the small intestinal stem cell [104]. Although we have so far not been able to

detect Shh protein in the crypts, experiments with the Hh inhibitor cyclopamine suggest that Shh positively regulates precursor cell proliferation [103].

Both Ihh and Shh are expressed in the colon during development and may have partially overlapping functions (fig. 2). The Ihh null mouse has a colonic phenotype that is reminiscent of Hirschprung's disease with dilatation of parts of the colon and a thin wall with a reduced small muscle layer that lacks innervation at the sites of dilatation [1]. The Shh null mutant and several mutants of the Gli family of transcription factors show a spectrum of anorectal malformations [107]. In the adult colon Shh mRNA can be detected in a few cells at the base of the colonic crypts, as in the small intestine, we fail to detect Shh protein in the epithelium with immunohistochemical techniques, which may indicate that Shh is expressed at very low levels [104]. In the adult, Ihh is expressed by the differentiated colonic enterocytes and seems to be involved in their maturation (G.R. van den Brink, unpublished data).

Genetic control of apoptosis in adult intestinal epithelium

Homeostasis of the intestinal epithelium requires tight control and balance of the different processes of proliferation, differentiation, migration and apoptosis. This coordination requires intervention of numerous and well timed pathways. Perturbations of the balance between proliferation and apoptosis could be the base of cancer predisposition and development [108]. Recently, new data showed involvement of the *LKB1* gene, a Serine/Threonine kinase mutated in Peutz-Jegher syndrome [109, 110], in the natural apoptosis of the gut epithelium [6]. The cytoplasmic expression of LKB1 shows a gradient pattern along the villus. LKB1 expression is higher in older epithelial cells (located near the top of the villus) compare to the newly differentiated epithelial cells. LKB1 has been shown to regulate the specific p53-dependent cell death pathway in the intestinal epithelium (fig. 2).

Conclusion and perspectives

Understanding of molecular pathways involved in the gut development requires a thorough grasp of the relevance that the four axes have in gut patterning. The spatial relationships of the tissues in the gut are critical in how the organ as a whole develops. Cell-cell interactions are essential in epithelial patterning that starts at somitic stages of development and continues throughout the life of the organism. EM interactions control endoderm differentiation from the earliest point of development and continue to affect epithelial cells in their proliferation and differentiation (the Wnt/ β catenin/Tcf4 system and the Hh signaling pathway) and probably in apoptosis (LKB1 pathway). Epithelial cell to epithelial cell (EE) interactions are critical in cell fate decisions (the Notch-Delta-Hes1-Math1 system). *Hox* genes appear to affect how these systems are altered dependant upon the AP region of the gut that the epithelium resides, at least in embryonic stages. These transcription factors may work both via EM and EE interactions. Many of these systems are critically important from embryonic stages to adult stages retaining and refining many of their embryonic functions into adult tissues.

Some fundamental questions remain to be answered. For example: How do these well described but disparate systems inter-relate and what are the controls that continue to define the AP boundaries respected in epithelial differentiation throughout the organisms' life?

Gut epithelium is a rich model to study many different developmental questions. The future promises rapid advancement in the coordination of research efforts to fully understand gut development. Molecular developmental biologists using *in vivo* and *in vitro* studies with animal model systems provide insight into global patterning events and often uncover novel candidate factors in gut development. Cell and molecular biologists dissect these factors often using tissue culture studies to place molecules into pathways and describe tissue specific roles for molecules. Geneticists and pathologists using human tissues and families can identify

molecules responsible for, or candidate factors associated with, diseases and syndromes that feed back into the loop of bench study. These medical investigators can provide insights from well studied factors and identify new roles for them by studying their expression or association in human diseases and disorders. Collaborative efforts will only enrich the field.

Acknowledgements. The authors thank the members of Roberts, Berta and Peppelenbosch laboratories for fruitful discussions and helpful comments on the manuscript. The authors are grateful to H. Clevers for allowing access to data before publication. The authors thank S. Faure and M. Whitman for sharing data before publication. Unpublished data from authors were supported by grants the NICHD to D.J.R. P.d.S.B. is supported by the Association pour la Recherche sur le Cancer (ARC).

References

- 1 Ramalho-Santos M., Melton D. A. and McMahon A. P. (2000) Hedgehog signals regulate multiple aspects of gastrointestinal development. *Development* **127**: 2763-2772
- 2 van de Wetering M., Sancho E., Verweij C., de Lau W., Oving I., Hurlstone A. et al. (2002) The β -catenin/TCF4 complex controls the proliferation/differentiation switch in colon epithelium through c-MYC-mediated repression of p21CIP1/WAF1. *Cell* **111**: 241-250
- 3 Batlle E., Henderson J. T., Beghtel H., van den Born M. M. W., Sanch, E., Huls G. et al. (2002) β -catenin and TCF mediate cell positioning in the intestinal epithelium by controlling the expression of the EPHB/EphrinB system. *Cell* **111**: 241-263
- 4 Stappenbeck T. S. and Gordon J. I. (2000) Rac1 mutations produce aberrant epithelial differentiation in the developing and adult mouse small intestine. *Development* **127**: 2629-2642
- 5 Stappenbeck T. S. and Gordon J. I. (2001) Extranuclear sequestration of phospho-Jun N-terminal kinase and distorted villi produced by activated Rac1 in the intestinal epithelium of chimeric mice. *Development* **128**: 2603-2614
- 6 Karuman P., Gozani O., Odze R. D., Zhou X. C., Zhu H., Shaw R. et al. (2001) The Peutz-Jegher gene product LKB1 is a mediator of p53-dependent cell death. *Mol. Cell* **7**: 1307-1319
- 7 Grapin-Botton A., Majithia A. R. and Melton D. A. (2001) Key events of pancreas formation are triggered in gut endoderm by ectopic expression of pancreatic regulatory genes. *Genes Dev.* 15: 444-454

- 8 Yntema C. and Hammond W. S. (1954) The origin of intrinsic ganglia of trunk viscera from vagal neural crest in the chick embryo. *J. Comp. Neurol.* **101**: 515-541
- 9 Le Douarin N. and Theillet M. A. (1973) The migration of neural crest cells to the wall of the digestive tract in avian embryo. *J. Embryol. Exp. Morphol.* **30**: 31-48
- 10 Wells J. M. and Melton D. A. (1999) Vertebrate endoderm development. *Annu. Rev. Cell. Dev. Biol.* **15**: 393-410
- 11 Shivdasani R. A. (2002) Molecular regulation of vertebrate early endoderm development. *Dev Biol.* **249**: 191-203
- 12 Hudson C., Clements D., Friday R. V., Stott D. and Woodland H. R. (1997) *Xsox17* alpha and -beta mediate endoderm formation in *Xenopus*. *Cell* **91**: 397-405
- 13 Kanai-Azuma M., Kanai Y., Gad J. M., Tajima Y., Taya C., Kurohmaru M. et al. (2002) Depletion of definitive gut endoderm in *Sox17*-null mutant mice. *Development* **129**: 2367-2379
- 14 Kanai Y., Kanai-Azuma M., Noce T., Saido T. C., Shiroishi T., Hayash, Y. et al. (1996) Identification of two *Sox17* messenger RNA isoforms, with and without the high mobility group box region, and their differential expression in mouse spermatogenesis. *J. Cell. Biol.* **133**: 667-681
- 15 Graves J. A. (1998) Interactions between SRY and SOX genes in mammalian sex determination. *Bioessays* **20**: 264-269
- 16 Clarkson M. J. and Harley V. R. (2002) Sex with two SOX on: SRY and SOX9 in testis development. *Trends Endocrinol. Metab.* **13**: 106-111
- 17 Sasai Y. (2001) Roles of Sox factors in neural determination: conserved signaling in evolution? *Int. J. Dev. Biol.* **45**: 321-326

- 18 Beranger F., Mejean C., Moniot B., Berta P. and Vandromme M. (2000) Muscle differentiation is antagonized by SOX15, a new member of the SOX protein family. *J. Biol. Chem.* **275**: 16103-16109
- 19 de Crombrughe B., Lefebvre V. and Nakashima K. (2001) Regulatory mechanisms in the pathways of cartilage and bone formation. *Curr. Opin. Cell. Biol.* **13**: 721-727
- 20 Wegner M. (1999) From head to toes: the multiple facets of Sox proteins. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **27**: 1409-1420
- 21 Zorn A. M., Barish G. D., Williams B. O., Lavender P., Klymkowsky M. W. and Varmus H. E. (1999) Regulation of Wnt signaling by Sox proteins: XSox17 alpha/beta and XSox3 physically interact with beta-catenin. *Mol. Cell* **4**: 487-498
- 22 Xia Y., Papalopulu N., Vogt P. K. and Li J. (2000) The oncogenic potential of the high mobility group box protein Sox3. *Cancer Res.* **60**: 6303-6306
- 23 Engleka M. J., Craig E. J. and Kessler D. S. (2001) VegT activation of Sox17 at the midblastula transition alters the response to nodal signals in the vegetal endoderm domain. *Dev. Biol.* **237**: 159-172
- 24 Alexander J. and Stainier D. Y. (1999) A molecular pathway leading to endoderm formation in zebrafish. *Curr. Biol.* **9**: 1147-1157
- 25 Dickmeis T., Mourrain P., Saint-Etienne L., Fischer N., Aanstad P., Clark M. et al. (2001) A crucial component of the endoderm formation pathway, CASANOVA, is encoded by a novel sox-related gene. *Genes Dev.* **15**: 1487-1492
- 26 Kikuchi Y., Agathon A., Alexander J., Thisse C., Waldron S., Yelon D., et al. (2001) casanova encodes a novel Sox-related protein necessary and sufficient for early endoderm formation in zebrafish. *Genes Dev.* **15**: 1493-1505

- 27 Sakaguchi T., Kuroiwa A. and Takeda H. (2001) A novel sox gene, 226D7, acts downstream of Nodal signaling to specify endoderm precursors in zebrafish. *Mech. Dev.* **107**: 25-38
- 28 Aoki T. O., David N. B., Minchiott, G., Saint-Etienne L., Dickmeis T., Persico G. M. et al. (2002) Molecular integration of casanova in the Nodal signalling pathway controlling endoderm formation. *Development* **129**: 275-286
- 29 Ishii Y., Rex M., Scotting P. J. and Yasugi S. (1998) Region-specific expression of chicken Sox2 in the developing gut and lung epithelium: regulation by epithelial-mesenchymal interactions. *Dev. Dyn.* **213**: 464-475
- 30 Takash W., Canizares J., Bonneaud N., Poulat F., Mattei M. G., Jay P. et al. (2001) SOX7 transcription factor: sequence, chromosomal localisation, expression, transactivation and interference with Wnt signalling. *Nucleic Acids Res.* **29**: 4274-4283
- 31 Katoh M. (2002) Expression of human SOX7 in normal tissues and tumors. *Int. J. Mol. Med.* **9**: 363-368
- 32 Katoh M. (2002) Molecular cloning and characterization of human SOX17. *Int. J. Mol. Med.* **9**: 153-157
- 33 Saitoh T. and Katoh M. (2002) Expression of human SOX18 in normal tissues and tumors. *Int. J. Mol. Med.* **10**: 339-344
- 34 McGinnis W. and Krumlauf R. (1992) Homeobox genes and axial patterning. *Cell* **68**: 283-302
- 35 Krumlauf R. (1994) Hox genes in vertebrate development. *Cell* **78**: 191-201
- 36 Prince V. (2002) The Hox Paradox: More complex(es) than imagined. *Dev. Biol.* **249**: 1-15

- 37 Dressler G. R. and Gruss P. (1989) Anterior boundaries of Hox gene expression in mesoderm-derived structures correlate with the linear gene order along the chromosome. *Differentiation* **41**: 193-201
- 38 Morgan B. A., Izpisua-Belmonte J. C., Duboule D. and Tabin C. J. (1992) Targeted misexpression of Hox-4.6 in the avian limb bud causes apparent homeotic transformations. *Nature* **358**: 236-239
- 39 Carpenter E. M. (2002) Hox genes and spinal cord development. *Dev. Neurosci.* **24**: 24-34
- 40 Awgulewitsch A., Utset M. F., Hart C. P., McGinnis W. and Ruddle F. H. (1986) Spatial restriction in expression of a mouse homoeo box locus within the central nervous system. *Nature* **320**: 328-335
- 41 Roberts D. J., Johnson R. L., Burke A. C., Nelson C. E., Morgan B. A. and Tabin C. (1995) Sonic hedgehog is an endodermal signal inducing Bmp-4 and Hox genes during induction and regionalization of the chick hindgut. *Development* **121**: 3163-3174
- 42 Roberts D. J., Smith D. M., Goff D. J. and Tabin C. J. (1998) Epithelial-mesenchymal signaling during the regionalization of the chick gut. *Development* **125**: 2791-2801
- 43 Yokouchi Y., Sakiyama J. and Kuroiwa A. (1995) Coordinated expression of Abd-B subfamily genes of the HoxA cluster in the developing digestive tract of chick embryo. *Dev. Biol.* **169**: 76-89
- 44 Warot X., Fromental-Ramain C., Fraulob V., Chambon P. and Dolle P. (1997) Gene dosage-dependent effects of the Hoxa-13 and Hoxd-13 mutations on morphogenesis of the terminal parts of the digestive and urogenital tracts. *Development* **124**: 4781-4791

- 45 de Santa Barbara P. and Roberts D. J. (2002) Tail gut endoderm and
gut/genitourinary/tail development: a new tissue-specific role for Hoxa13.
Development **129**: 551-561
- 46 Kondo T., Dolle P., Zakany J. and Duboule D. (1996) Function of posterior HoxD
genes in the morphogenesis of the anal sphincter. Development **122**: 2651-2659
- 47 Beck F., Tata F. and Chawengsaksophak K. (2000) Homeobox genes and gut
development. Bioessays **22**: 431-441
- 48 Sekimoto T., Yoshinobu K., Yoshida M., Kuratani S., Fujimoto S., Araki M. et al.
(1998) Region-specific expression of murine Hox genes implies the Hox code-
mediated patterning of the digestive tract. Genes Cells **3**: 51-64
- 49 Aubin J., Dery U., Lemieux M., Chailier P. and Jeannotte L. (2002) Stomach regional
specification requires Hoxa5-driven mesenchymal-epithelial signaling. Development
129: 4075-4087
- 50 Bitgood M. J. and McMahon A. P. (1995) Hedgehog and Bmp genes are coexpressed
at many diverse sites of cell-cell interaction in the mouse embryo. Dev. Biol. **172**:
126-138
- 51 Bilder D. and Scott M. P. (1998) Hedgehog and wingless induce metameric pattern in
the Drosophila visceral mesoderm. Dev. Biol. **201**: 43-56
- 52 Murone M., Rosenthal A. and de Sauvage F. J. (1999) Hedgehog signal transduction:
from flies to vertebrates. Exp. Cell. Res. **253**: 25-33
- 53 Litingtung Y., Lei L., Westphal H. and Chiang C. (1998) Sonic hedgehog is essential
to foregut development. Nat. Genet. **20**: 58-61
- 54 Levin M., Johnson R. L., Stern C. D., Kuehn M. and Tabin C. (1995) A molecular
pathway determining left-right asymmetry in chick embryogenesis. Cell **82**: 803-814

- 55 Roberts D. J. (2000) Molecular mechanisms of development of the gastrointestinal tract. *Dev. Dyn.* **219**: 109-120
- 56 Grapin-Botton A. and Melton D. A. (2000) Endoderm development: from patterning to organogenesis. *Trends Genet.* **16**: 124-130
- 57 Sukegawa A., Narita T., Kameda T., Saitoh K., Nohno T., Iba H. et al. (2000) The concentric structure of the developing gut is regulated by Sonic hedgehog derived from endodermal epithelium. *Development* **127**: 1971-1980
- 58 Apelqvist A., Ahlgren U. and Edlund H. (1997) Sonic hedgehog directs specialised mesoderm differentiation in the intestine and pancreas. *Curr. Biol.* **7**: 801-804
- 59 Urist M. R., Mikulski A. and Lietze A. (1979) Solubilized and insolubilized bone morphogenetic protein. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U S A* **76**: 1828-1832
- 60 Hogan B. L. (1996) Bone morphogenetic proteins in development. *Curr. Opin. Genet. Dev.* **6**: 432-438
- 61 Whitman M. (1998) Smads and early developmental signaling by the TGFbeta superfamily. *Genes Dev.* **12**: 2445-2462
- 62 Faure S., Lee M. A., Keller T., ten Dijke P. and Whitman M. (2000) Endogenous patterns of TGFbeta superfamily signaling during early *Xenopus* development. *Development* **127**: 2917-2931
- 63 Faure S., de Santa Barbara P., Roberts D. J. and Whitman M. (2002) Endogenous patterns of BMP signaling during early chick development. *Dev. Biol.* **244**: 44-65
- 64 Smith D. M., Nielsen C., Tabin C. J. and Roberts D. J. (2000) Roles of BMP signaling and Nkx2.5 in patterning at the chick midgut-foregut boundary. *Development* **127**: 3671-3681
- 65 Nielsen C., Murtaugh L. C., Chyung, J. C., Lassar A. and Roberts D. J. (2001) Gizzard formation and the role of Bapx1. *Dev. Biol.* **231**: 164-174

- 66 Smith D. M. and Tabin C. J. (1999) BMP signalling specifies the pyloric sphincter. *Nature* **402**: 748-749
- 67 Narita T., Saitoh K., Kameda T., Kuroiwa A., Mizutani M., Koike C. et al. (2000) BMPs are necessary for stomach gland formation in the chicken embryo: a study using virally induced BMP-2 and Noggin expression. *Development* **127**: 981-988
- 68 Haffen K., Kedinger M. and Simon-Assmann P. (1987) Mesenchyme-dependent differentiation of epithelial progenitor cells in the gut. *J. Pediatr. Gastroenterol. Nutr.* **6**: 14-23
- 69 Chang W. W. and Leblond C. P. (1971) Renewal of the epithelium in the descending colon of the mouse. I. Presence of three cell populations: vacuolated-columnar, mucous and argentaffin. *Am. J. Anat.* **131**: 73-99
- 70 Potten C. S. (1997) Epithelial cell growth and differentiation. II. Intestinal apoptosis. *Am. J. Physiol.* **273**: 253-257
- 71 Wodarz A. and Nusse R. (1998) Mechanisms of Wnt signaling in development. *Annu. Rev. Cell. Dev. Biol.* **14**: 59-88
- 72 Lickert H., Kispert A., Kutsch S. and Kemler R. (2001) Expression patterns of Wnt genes in mouse gut development. *Mech. Dev.* **105**: 181-184
- 73 van Noort M. and Clevers H. (2002) TCF transcription factors, mediators of Wnt-signaling in development and cancer. *Dev. Biol.* **244**: 1-8
- 74 Chan S. K. and Struhl G. (2002) Evidence that Armadillo Transduces Wingless by Mediating Nuclear Export or Cytosolic Activation of Pangolin. *Cell* **111**: 265-280
- 75 Korinek V., Barker N., Morin P. J., van Wichen D., de Weger R., Kinzler K. W. et al. (1997) Constitutive transcriptional activation by a beta-catenin-Tcf complex in APC^{-/-} colon carcinoma. *Science* **275**: 1784-1787

- 76 Korinek V., Barker N., Moerer P., van Donselaar E., Hul, G., Peters P. J. et al. (1998) Depletion of epithelial stem-cell compartments in the small intestine of mice lacking Tcf-4. *Nat. Genet.* **19**: 379-383
- 77 Barker N., Huls G., Korinek V. and Clevers H. (1999) Restricted high level expression of Tcf-4 protein in intestinal and mammary gland epithelium. *Am. J. Pathol.* **154**: 29-35
- 78 Lee Y. J., Swencki B., Shoichet S. and Shivdasani R. A. (1999) A possible role for the high mobility group box transcription factor Tcf-4 in vertebrate gut epithelial cell differentiation. *J. Biol. Chem.* **274**: 1566-1572
- 79 Willert J., Epping M., Pollack J. R., Brown P. O. and Nusse R. (2002) A transcriptional response to Wnt protein in human embryonic carcinoma cells. *BMC. Dev. Biol.* **2**: 8
- 80 Kim J. S., Crooks H., Dracheva T., Nishanian T. G., Sing, B., Jen J. et al. (2002) Oncogenic beta-catenin is required for bone morphogenetic protein 4 expression in human cancer cells. *Cancer Res.* **62**: 2744-2748
- 81 Lickert H., Domon C., Huls G., Wehrle C., Duluc I., Clevers H. et al. (2000) Wnt/(beta)-catenin signaling regulates the expression of the homeobox gene Cdx1 in embryonic intestine. *Development* **127**: 3805-3813
- 82 Duprey P., Chowdhury K., Dressler G. R., Balling R., Simon D., Guenet J. I. et al. (1988) A mouse gene homologous to the Drosophila gene caudal is expressed in epithelial cells from the embryonic intestine. *Genes Dev.* **2**: 1647-1654
- 83 Subramanian V., Meyer B. and Evans G. S. (1998) The murine Cdx1 gene product localises to the proliferative compartment in the developing and regenerating intestinal epithelium. *Differentiation* **64**: 11-18

- 84 Houlston R., Bevan S., Williams A., Young J., Dunlop M., Rozen P. et al. (1998) Mutations in DPC4 (SMAD4) cause juvenile polyposis syndrome, but only account for a minority of cases. *Hum. Mol. Genet.* **7**: 1907-1912
- 85 Howe J. R., Roth S., Ringold J. C., Summers R. W., Jarvinen H. J., Sistonen P. et al. (1998) Mutations in the SMAD4/DPC4 gene in juvenile polyposis. *Science* **280**: 1086-1088
- 86 Howe J. R., Bair J. L., Sayed M. G., Anderson M. E., Mitros F. A., Petersen G. M. et al. (2001) Germline mutations of the gene encoding bone morphogenetic protein receptor 1A in juvenile polyposis. *Nat. Genet.* **28**: 184-187
- 87 Machesky L. M. and Hall A. (1997) Role of actin polymerization and adhesion to extracellular matrix in Rac- and Rho-induced cytoskeletal reorganization. *J. Cell. Biol.* **138**: 913-926
- 88 Mackay D. J. and Hall A. (1998) Rho GTPases. *J. Biol. Chem.* **273**: 20685-20688
- 89 Van Aelst L. and D'Souza-Schorey C. (1997) Rho GTPases and signaling networks. *Genes Dev.* **11**: 2295-2322
- 90 Hermiston M. L., Wong M. H. and Gordon J. I. (1996) Forced expression of E-cadherin in the mouse intestinal epithelium slows cell migration and provides evidence for nonautonomous regulation of cell fate in a self-renewing system. *Genes Dev.* **10**: 985-996
- 91 Apelqvist A., Li H., Sommer L., Beatus P., Anderson D. J., Honjo T. et al. (1999) Notch signalling controls pancreatic cell differentiation. *Nature* **400**: 877-881
- 92 Lewis J. (1998) Notch signalling and the control of cell fate choices in vertebrates. *Semin. Cell. Dev. Biol.* **9**: 583-589
- 93 Kageyama R., Ohtsuka T. and Tomita K. (2000) The bHLH gene Hes1 regulates differentiation of multiple cell types. *Mol. Cells* **10**: 1-7

- 94 Skipper M. and Lewis J. (2000) Getting to the guts of enteroendocrine differentiation. *Nat. Genet.* **24**: 3-4
- 95 Yang Q., Bermingham N. A., Finegold M. J. and Zoghbi H. Y. (2001) Requirement of *Math1* for secretory cell lineage commitment in the mouse intestine. *Science* **294**: 2155-2158
- 96 Santiago A. and Erickson C. A. (2002) Ephrin-B ligands play a dual role in the control of neural crest cell migration. *Development* **129**: 3621-3632
- 97 Adams R. H., Diella F., Hennig S., Helmbacher F., Deutsch U. and Klein R. (2001) The cytoplasmic domain of the ligand ephrinB2 is required for vascular morphogenesis but not cranial neural crest migration. *Cell* **104**: 57-69
- 98 Bruckner K., Pasquale E. B. and Klein R. (1997). Tyrosine phosphorylation of transmembrane ligands for Eph receptors. *Science* **275**: 1640-1643
- 99 Kullander K. and Klein R. (2002) Mechanisms and functions of Eph and ephrin signalling. *Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell. Biol.* **3**: 475-486
- 100 Shamah S. M., Lin M. Z., Goldberg J. L., Estrach S., Sahin M., Hu L. et al. (2001) EphA receptors regulate growth cone dynamics through the novel guanine nucleotide exchange factor ephexin. *Cell* **105**: 233-244
- 101 Taipale J., Cooper M. K., Maiti T. and Beachy P. A. (2002) Patched acts catalytically to suppress the activity of Smoothed. *Nature* **418**: 892-897
- 102 de Santa Barbara P., van den Brink G. R. and Roberts D. J. (2002) The molecular etiology of gut malformations and diseases. *Am. J. Med. Genet.* in press
- 103 van den Brink G. R., Hardwick J. C., Tytgat G. N., Brink M. A., Ten Kate F. J., Van Deventer S. J. et al. (2001) Sonic hedgehog regulates gastric gland morphogenesis in man and mouse. *Gastroenterology* **121**: 317-328

- 104 van Den Brink G. R., Hardwick J. C., Nielsen C., Xu C., Ten Kate F. J., Glickman J et al. (2002) Sonic hedgehog expression correlates with fundic gland differentiation in the adult gastrointestinal tract. *Gut* **51**: 628-633
- 105 Hebrok M., Kim S. K. and Melton D. A. (1998) Notochord repression of endodermal Sonic hedgehog permits pancreas development. *Genes Dev.* **12**: 1705-1713
- 106 Zhang J., Rosenthal A., de Sauvage F. J. and Shivdasani R. A. (2001) Downregulation of Hedgehog signaling is required for organogenesis of the small intestine in *Xenopus*. *Dev. Biol.* **229**: 188-202
- 107 Mo R., Kim J. H., Zhang J., Chiang C., Hui C. C. and Kim P. C. (2001) Anorectal malformations caused by defects in sonic hedgehog signaling. *Am. J. Pathol.* **159**: 765-774
- 108 Hanahan D. and Weinberg R. A. (2000) The hallmarks of cancer. *Cell* **100**: 57-70
- 109 Hemminki A., Markie D., Tomlinson I., Avizienyte E., Roth S., Loukola A. et al. (1998) A serine/threonine kinase gene defective in Peutz-Jeghers syndrome. *Nature* **391**: 184-187
- 110 Jenne D. E., Reimann H., Nezu J., Friedel W., Loff S., Jeschke R. et al. (1998) Peutz-Jeghers syndrome is caused by mutations in a novel serine threonine kinase. *Nat. Genet.* **18**: 38-43

Figure legends

Figure 1. From development to differentiation of the intestinal epithelium. During early embryonic development, the visceral endoderm appears uniform and presents stratified cell layer. Intestinal epithelial cytodifferentiation occurs during foetal development and is marked by mesodermal growing into the lumen and villi formations. These villi are separated by proliferative intervillus epithelium. AP axis differences appear and are characterized by long and thin villi in the small intestine and by transitory wide and flat villi in the colon. The intervillus epithelium of the small intestine is reshaped downward forming crypts. In human, final architecture of the small intestine is reached before birth and is characterized by the crypt-villus unit. The colonic villi disappeared at the time of birth and the mature colonic epithelium present tubular glands (crypts). [Adapted from *Expert reviews in molecular medicine* (2001) (01)00362-3a.pdf: fig. 2].




Figure 2. Pathways involved in cell differentiation, homeostasis and apoptosis in the adult intestinal epithelium. β -catenin and TCF4 are expressed in the proliferative compartment, where are located the intestinal epithelial stem cells. The β -catenin/TCF4 complex mediates WNT signaling pathway by transcriptional activation of target genes (*C-MYC*, *BMP4*, *EphB2*, *EphB3*; other genes are reviewed in www.stanford.edu/~rnusse/wntwindow.html). β -catenin/TCF4 pathway is important in maintaining the proliferative compartment of the adult intestinal epithelium. Two members of the hedgehog family are expressed in the adult intestinal epithelium. *Shh* is expressed in the base of the small intestinal crypt and could positively regulate precursor cell proliferation. *Ihh* is expressed in the differentiated colonic cells and could regulate the maturation of the enterocytes. LKB1, a Ser/Thr kinase, regulates specific p53-dependent apoptosis pathways in the intestinal epithelium. Its gradient expression pattern along the villus axis highly suggests a function of LKB1 in the natural apoptosis of the intestinal epithelial cells.

