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Abstract

During the last decade, the potential of peptides for drug delivery into cells has been highlighted by the discovery of several cell-penetrating peptides (CPPs). CPPs are very efficient in delivering various molecules into cells. However, except in some specific cases, their lack of cell specificity remains the major drawback for their clinical development. At the same time, various peptides with specific binding activity for a given cell line (cell-targeting peptides) have also been reported in the literature. One of the goals of the next years will be to optimize the tissue and cell delivery of therapeutic molecules by means of peptides which combine both targeting and internalization advantages. In this review, we describe the main strategies that are currently in use or likely to be employed in the near future to associate both targeting and delivery properties.
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1. Cell Penetrating Peptides (CPPs)
The efficient passage of drugs through the plasma membrane remains a major hurdle for drug delivery. Good cell uptake often requires the administration of high quantities of drugs in order to obtain the expected intracellular biological effect. Therefore, improving the translocation process across the plasma membrane will significantly reduce the quantity of drug to be administered, and the side effects on healthy tissues that are currently observed in most of the cases.

In the nineties, several proteins have been shown to translocate spontaneously through the plasma membrane when incubated in the extracellular medium. In particular, two of these molecules have been extensively studied in order to define the structural or sequence elements needed for the translocation: the Tat protein from the HIV-1 virus [1, 2] and the Drosophila melanogaster Antennapedia homeodomain [3]. A study on the structure-activity relationship was carried out on these two small proteins of 101 and 60 amino acids, respectively, and the minimal domain needed for translocation was defined [4-6]. This corresponds to short sequences of 10 to 16 amino acids, thus opening the way to the chemical synthesis of different mutants and analogues that are called “Cell Penetrating Peptides” (CPPs) or “Protein Transduction Domains” (PTDs). However, since “non-natural” peptide sequences that are quite different from the conventional PTD have also been used as cell translocating units for drug delivery [7, 8], we prefer the acronym CPP for such family of peptides.

Both Tat and Antennapedia peptides contain several basic amino acids. The native Tat peptide is composed of several cationic amino acids, including 6 arginine and 2 lysine residues. Starting from this native composition, the potential of arginine homopeptides to promote cellular uptake has been rapidly realized [9, 10], as it was demonstrated that the arginine-rich peptide is more efficient than the other cationic (i.e. poly-lysine, poly-histidine or poly-ornithine) homopolymers [11]. The strong impact of arginine residues has been described by Futaki’s group [10, 12] and further investigated by Rothbard and Wender, and others, who
performed a systematic replacement of arginine residues with alanine residues [11, 13]. Such substitutions induced a strong reduction of peptide uptake that was directly correlated with the number of substituted arginines. Therefore, Rothbard et al. proposed that a bidentate hydrogen-bonding interaction between the guanidinium group of arginine residues and phosphate groups in the membrane [14] is implicated in the mechanism of translocation.

Surprisingly, the role of cationic amino acids in the Antennapedia peptide has not been so extensively studied, whereas the influence of the tryptophane residues has been comprehensively investigated [4]. In line with this, a peptide made of arginine and tryptophane residues only and showing an efficient translocating potency has been recently designed [15].

Altogether, the studies on Tat and Antennapedia peptides represent more than 75% of the published work on CPPs (for reviews, see [16] and [17]), and in the last two years this percentage even increased with several publications being reported weekly in the literature. The Antennapedia peptide has been also marketed as “Penetratin”. Under this commercial version, an activated group sensitive to nucleophilic attack by a sulfhydryl function conveniently allows the spontaneous formation of a disulfide bridge between any cargo molecule and “Penetratin”. At the time of writing this review however, only 172 results appeared in Medline for the keyword “Penetratin”. Most of them are fundamental studies mainly about the entry mechanism of CPPs or the biological evaluation of a coupled drug, whereas only a little number concerns clinical applications. It is noteworthy to consider that a stable covalent linkage has to be formed between CPP and cargo to allow translocation, at least for Tat, Antennapedia, or poly-Arg peptides, although a couple of publications also reported a surprising efficacy upon simple mixing with the cargo entities [18, 19]. Similarly, another CPP, Pep-1, which has been marketed as “Chariot” [20], can induce internalization of a cargo molecule just by being mixed with it [21]. A very little number of references on
“Chariot” can be however found in the literature despite its apparent ease of use. As for other CPPs, the debate about the mechanism of entry of “Chariot” is still ongoing. Although being described initially as energy-independent, further works have proposed different mechanisms, such as the association of helices [22] or the formation of discrete nano-particles [23]. Controversies about the formation of pores through the membrane have also been reported [24, 25].

1.1. CPPs and cell entry
As mentioned in the previous section, the entry mechanism of CPPs into cells is still a matter of some debate. Historically, two hypotheses were put forward to explain how these peptides could possibly deliver various kinds of molecules, and also much larger macromolecular structures, into the cell (for a review [16]). It was first proposed that CPPs, especially Tat and Antennapedia, but also others such as poly-Arg [10, 26], Transportan [27], MPG [28] or Pep-1 [20], could pass through the plasma membrane via an energy-independent pathway. Some suggestions have been put forward to explain the translocation of these peptides, such as the formation of micromicelles at the membrane [5], or direct translocation through the lipid bilayer [29, 30]. If conceivable for small CPPs, these models can not explain the passage through the plasma membrane of CPPs-cargoes of very important size [31, 32]. The hypothesis of a direct translocation through the plasma membrane became less popular when the entry mechanism for the Tat and the poly-arginine CPPs had to be re-evaluated following evidences of fixation artifacts during the preparation for samples for microscopic observation [33]. Indeed, fixation has been described to interfere with the sub-cellular localization of constructs with a high content in cationic residues, such as histones and the VP22 protein [34]. This redistribution upon fixation has been clearly demonstrated using fusion proteins made of Antennapedia, poly-Arg, or Tat peptides [35]. As a consequence, the majority of the
new microscopic studies on CPP-cargoes localization have been conducted on living cells. As a result, during these last few years, numerous new works about the mechanism of entry of CPPs appeared in the literature, but the conclusions we can draw from these very elegant works could be summarized by: “the more we learn, the less we know”. As a matter of fact, there has been a profusion of publications highlighting one or another entry route, sometimes with some obvious discrepancies. CPP-mediated transport has been shown, so far, to mainly follow a cellular endocytosis-mediated uptake [36-38].

According to this mechanism, CPPs, particularly those with a high content in cationic residues, are first simply adsorbed at the cell surface thanks to the numerous anionic moieties, such as heparan sulfate, sialic or phospholipidic acid [39-41]. Then CPP-mediated transport has been reported to happen through different endocytosis routes [33]: via caveolae [42], macropinocytosis [43, 44], through a clathrin-dependent pathway [45], via a cholesterol-dependent clathrin-mediated pathway [46] or in the trans-Golgi network [47]. Some publications have provided convincing arguments against one or the other of these cellular pathways despite the use of rather similar experimental models. It has been suggested that these controversies might be due to the use of different peptide concentrations as they can trigger different endocytotic pathways [38, 48]. Higher CPP concentration (>10μM) could also lead to an energy-independent internalization [38, 49]. A molecular mechanism for a direct translocation of the Tat peptide through the plasma membrane has been also recently described [50]. In conclusion, more work is needed to highlight unambiguously the precise mechanism(s) of entry of these peptides.

In addition, since no cellular pathway appears absolutely predominant or more convincing than another one, most of these pathways could be involved depending on yet unknown events such as the concentration, the net charge, the hydrophobicity or other physico-chemical parameters of the CPPs. In several studies, initial ionic interactions at the cell membrane
surface have been however shown to be key determinants for the uptake of all the cationic CPPs since the peptide entry could be strongly reduced by competition with polyanionic compounds [51-54] or by stringent cell washes with solutions at acidic pH [55].

Moreover, the way of entry into the cell could also be influenced by the nature of the cargo, the type of CPP, the cell line and the conditions of incubation (for example, the CPP concentration as shown above). There is still a major need to compare different CPP-mediated delivery systems in the same cell model. Attempts have been made recently to compare the effects of the cargo as well, but none of these studies could define precise rules that might explain all the observed discrepancies [49, 56].

Despite all the controversies about the route of entry, some consensual features are now privileged, at least for the Tat peptide and other multi-cationic CPPs. First, the entry mechanism implies the use of pathways that are sensitive to lysosomotrophic agents, such as chloroquine and sucrose [57, 58]. Moreover, the efficacy of the cell uptake is improved by co-incubation of Tat with another peptide derived from the hemagglutinin protein which has membrane fusogenic properties [59], or by the use of photochemical internalization mediated by a membrane soluble photosensitizer [60].

Since the endosomal pathway is likely to be involved in the cellular delivery of Tat-conjugated molecules whatever the initial route, a strong enzymatic degradation within this compartment and a poor cytoplasmic release of intact molecules from this compartment are expected, thus leading to a global weak transfer into the cytoplasm. Therefore, increasing the escape rate from the endosome could be a strategy to improve the intracellular delivery of CPP-attached molecules. How could this be done? One possibility could be to increase the hydrophobicity of CPPs to favor the destabilization of the endosomal membrane. To this aim, lipid moieties have been coupled to molecules to be delivered inside the cells. For example, a cholesterol unit has been attached to a free terminus of an oligonucleotide hairpin, thus
enhancing its cellular delivery in comparison to conventional transfection methods [61]. In another study, cholesterol-derivatized oligonucleotides also showed a rapid binding and cytosolic partition in cells [62]. Closer to the CPP context, cell delivery improvement has been also observed upon stearylation of an octa-arginine peptide [63] or following the introduction of a proline amino acid derivative with a higher hydrophobicity into a proline-rich CPP [64]. In most of these studies, except for the last one, the derivatization of peptides with lipids was performed at the N-terminal end as it allowed easy coupling of the lipid by conventional amide bond formation directly on the peptidyl-resin after completion of the peptide sequence. We are currently developing an approach to insert lipophilic groups anywhere within the CPP sequence to increase the destabilization of cellular or endosomal membranes.

1.2. Problems and limitations of CPPs for drug delivery in vivo.

Although highly efficient in mediating the in vitro cell uptake of different molecules into most cell lines, the in vivo use of CPPs appears much more complicated mainly because of a complete lack of cell specificity. Indeed, CPPs, and the therapeutic molecules attached to them, are dispersed almost all over the body independently of the way of administration (intravenous, IV, or intraperitoneal, IP). Such spreading has been highlighted by a seminal work that also underlines the CPP potential as a therapeutic approach [65]. After IP injection, the short Tat cell-penetrating sequence [6] fused to β-galactosidase was found in the lung, liver, kidney and other tissues, and, more surprisingly, in the brain, demonstrating that this fusion protein could cross also the blood brain barrier [65]. To our knowledge, the brain translocation of a Tat-fusion protein was confirmed in a single example with Bcl-xL, a well-characterized death-suppressing molecule of the Bcl-2 family, also following IP injection [66]. An other CPP related to the protegrins family has been also shown to pass across the
blood brain barrier [67]. However, no study has been published so far to explain precisely how CPPs and their cargoes could cross this highly selective membrane. More importantly, no complete bio-distribution has been provided for such CPP-mediated in vivo transports.

Much effort has been put into improving the cell uptake of antibodies coupled to various CPPs since the tumor-targeting efficiency of most anticancer antibodies is severely limited by their poor penetration into the tumor mass [68]. As already discussed in a previous review [69], the coupling of cell specific antibodies to CPPs did increase their cellular internalization in vitro as expected [70]. However, it also reduced significantly their selectivity in vivo since non-targeted tissues took up the chimeric construct through non-specific internalization mediated by the CPP. This study revealed that the higher the number of CPP copies grafted on a cell-specific monoclonal antibody (Mab), the lower was the specificity of the Mab for the native cell. Significant localization and retention of CPP-Mab fragments in non-targeted tissues in vivo have been confirmed more recently by Jain et al., especially with a high peptide to antibody ratio [19]. In this study, the cell-penetrating “driving force” predominated over the specific antigen binding of the Mab fragments. Similarly, Niesner et al. observed a complete loss of in vivo cellular specificity when a tumor-targeting scFv fragment was coupled to the Tat peptide [68].

CPP-mediated delivery of an antibody raised against p21, an intracellular target inducing G1-S phase cell cycle arrest, has also been reported [71]. Compared to the uncoupled antibody, Tat increased the cellular accumulation of radio-labeled anti-p21 of about 10 folds, and the in vivo distribution study demonstrated that the Tat-conjugated anti-p21 Mab was more concentrated in tumors than the un-conjugated Mab [71]. However, accumulation of the Tat modified Mab in other tissues was also observed. In conclusion, the tumor to normal tissue ratio did not improve upon conjugation of the anti-p21 Mab with the Tat peptide.
Stein et al. conjugated a longer version of the Tat peptide spanning along residues 37 to 72 of the HIV-1 Tat protein to a Fab fragment of anti-tetanus toxin antibodies either by thioether or disulfide linkage [72]. They demonstrated that only the disulfide conjugates effectively neutralized the toxin. Surprisingly, the disulfide conjugate also showed a higher nuclear accumulation compared to the thioether conjugate [72]. One would have expected the opposite result since the intracellular reducing environment should be strong enough to cause the disulfide bridge reduction as shown in a previous study with a CPP-peptide conjugate [73]. Therefore, as a nuclear localization sequence (NLS) is present within the Tat peptide sequence [74], it is difficult to understand why the Fab fragment enters more efficiently the nucleus with a reducible linker than with a stable one. We already discussed extensively in a previous review [40] the features of reducible and stable links in CPP-morpholino-nucleic acid chimeras [75] and also in that case argued for an intracellular reduction of the chimeras in the cellular environment.

Moreover, Kameyama et al. showed some important differences in the body distribution, retention and metabolic fate of the conjugates depending on the type of CPP used (Tat, Antennapedia and Rev peptides coupled to the same Fab fragment) [76]. In another study, Tat or Antennapedia peptides were co-administrated with sc(Fv)2 fragments [19]. In such a situation, there was no stable link between the CPPs and the sc(Fv)2 fragment. However, a higher tumor retention was observed with the Antennapedia peptide and, to a lower extent, with the Tat CPP than with the sc(Fv)2 fragment alone. However, the Tat peptide used in this study lacked one arginine residue [19]. Therefore, the lower tumor retention observed with Tat in this work should be reconsidered as the replacement of just a single cationic residue in Tat can greatly decrease its cell uptake [11, 13]. In another work a mixture of CPPs (Antennapaedia or Tat peptides) and cargo molecules were used to improve internalization of
replication-deficient viruses for the therapeutic gene delivery, both in vitro and in vivo [18], but unfortunately, no bio-distribution study was performed.

To conclude this section and despite the lack of a clear understanding of how CPPs deliver cargo molecules into the cell, there are evidences that CPPs induce a strong non-specific binding by sticking any molecule attached to them to non-targeted cells, leading to a dramatic loss of very “precious” material. Therefore, this issue is probably one of the major drawbacks for the use of these peptides as in vivo delivery vehicles if alternative strategies are not developed to promote cell-specific delivery.

1.3. Toxicity of cationic CPPs.

Because of their highly cationic nature, one could fear that some CPPs could be as toxic as other cationic polymers such as poly-L-Lysine or poly-ethylene imine (PEI) (for a review, [77]). Moreover the cationic Tat peptide has been shown to mediate some neurotoxicity (for a review, see [78] and [79, 80]). Therefore several groups evaluated their in vitro and in vivo cellular toxicity. When the minimal membrane-translocating Tat domain was discovered [6], no toxicity has been found in very extreme conditions on HeLa cells (24 h incubation at 100 micromolar concentration). This absence of toxicity has been also shown in other studies. For example, Toro et al. confirmed that the short Tat peptide was not toxic for lymphocytes at dose up to 300 μM [81]. Using different cationic peptides (namely Tat, Antp, Rev and VP22), no toxicity was observed in Hela or Jurkat cells with up to 20-30 μM concentrations [82]. SiRNA transfected in vivo with a poly-Arginine peptide did not induce any cellular toxicity at a ratio of negative/positive charges of 40 [83]. Frequent injections in mice of a fusion protein corrected the metabolic disorder and immune defects with no apparent toxicity [81]. Incubation of primary or immortalized human keratocytes with the Antennapepdia or Tat peptide resulted in no evidence of toxicity with dosage up to 200 μM and 400 μM,
respectively. Similar results were obtained with a human trabecular meshwork cell line, primary human foreskin fibroblasts, Vero, and HeLa cells. In the same work, toxicity was evaluated in vivo by applying peptides to the cornea 4 times daily for 7 days. At concentrations 1000 times the IC50 values, the Antennapedia peptide showed no toxicity, whereas Tat caused some mild eyelid swelling [84]. Park et al. described the equivalent cell translocation potency of a short protamine derivative rich in arginine residues which was equivalent to that of the Tat peptide combined with the absence of toxicity [85]. Cardozo et al. showed that concentrations of up to 100 μM of Tat(48-57) were essentially harmless in all cells tested, whereas Antp(43-58) was significantly more toxic [86]. In addition, it is noteworthy that peptide concentrations used in toxicity tests are in their very large majority far above the concentrations used for delivering various drugs into cells (which range from 1 to 10 μM).

2. Local CPP-mediated delivery

The apparent in vivo “spreading” of CPP-coupled molecules could be circumvented if the chimerical constructs could be applied directly on the targeted cells, or at least in their close vicinity. Local application of CPPs could be compared to an in vitro experiment. This has been performed, for instance, with an arginine homopolymer to vectorize cyclosporine A into the skin [87]. In this study, crossing of the stratum corneum and diffusion into the epidermis was observed, although fixation artifacts were not considered at that time. This chimera entered a phase II clinical trial in 2003 for the treatment of psoriasis under the commercial name of PsorBan®. However, despite an efficient uptake of the chimera, the release of the free drug was not rapid enough to compete with clearance (P.A. Wender, personal communication). Local delivery of drugs through a CPP has been attempted also in
the vitreous body and in the sub-retinal space of the eye following intraocular injection of CPPs [88]. In such a situation, any diffusion of the CPPs is obviously strongly reduced.

Intracoronary injections of a Tat-delta protein kinase C inhibitor chimera (KAI-9803) have also been used for the treatment of acute myocardial infarction [89]. In addition to local intracoronary administration, KAI-9803 just commenced in March 2007 an intravenous phase 1 clinical study.

Moreover, delivery to the lungs of siRNAs bound to CPPs has been also described [90]. Indeed, topical delivery to lungs is facilitated by either intranasal, intratracheal or aerosol administration (for a recent review [91]). In the study by Moschos and colleagues, a siRNA designed to turn off the expression on an intracellular protein (the p38 MAP kinase) was conjugated through a disulfide bridge to Antennapedia or Tat peptides. A 30 to 45% knockdown of the corresponding RNA was recorded at 6h post-administration. However, and rather unexpectedly, higher doses of the chimera did not induce a stronger response. It is also noteworthy to point out that Tat and Antennapedia-coupled siRNAs induce different cellular responses, reflecting apparently a different intracellular fate of these two conjugates [90], as already mentioned in another study [92].

2.1. Some ways to home CPPs

Some particular situations have been exploited to bypass the absence of cell specificity of CPPs and to design molecular systems to improve targeting. These are based on the physiological or biological features of the targeted organ or cell type, such as its microenvironment or its enzymatic activity. In all cases, the CPP domain is first somehow hidden prior reaching the targeted area to avoid unspecific uptake. After reaching its target, CPP is then fully exposed to promote an efficient internalization. Cancer cells are very often
targeted for a therapeutic purpose, and their metabolism, which is different from that of normal cells, can be used to improve the delivery specificity.

2.1.1. Exploiting matrix metalloproteases

In 2004, the first targeting system which uses an “activable” CPP was described (Figure 1A) [93]. It exploited the ability of a specific CPP (the arginine homopolymer) to interact intra-molecularly with a polyanionic counterpart through ionic interactions. Both ionic parts of this construct were linked together via a cleavable matrix metalloprotease (MMP) sequence, forming a hairpin. When the construct was introduced in the blood stream (at a concentration of around 6μM), no cleavage occurred due to the insufficient level of circulating MMPs. Thanks to the neutralization of the cationic charges by the anionic counterparts, the cationic CPP could not interact anymore with the anionic charges of the cells of the blood vessels and, therefore, unspecific binding was avoided. Around a tumor, MMPs concentration increases significantly because they are secreted by tumor cells. Thus, the linker could be cleaved, the ionic parts of the chimera dissociated, and CPP ability to bind to the surrounding cells was restored. In this way, a preferential uptake by the tumor cells was made possible. Since a payload molecule is covalently attached to the CPP, this strategy is an alternative mean to concentrate CPP-transported molecules into tumor cells. In other words, this CPP-based molecular construct promotes an indirect, but more specific delivery system in vivo [93].

2.1.2. Exploiting the peritumoral acidic pH

More recently, ionic interactions between CPPs and their anionic counterparts have been exploited to temporally hide the cellular “sticky opportunism” of cationic CPPs during their transport towards the tumor site [94]. This delivery system consists of two components:
a conventional hydrophobic core made of a polymer into which any chemotherapeutic molecule can be incorporated, and a peripheral hydrophilic layer composed of polyethylene glycol and the Tat peptide. An anionic and ultra-sensitive di-block copolymer is then complexed to the cationic Tat. Such ionic interactions are expected to shield the cationic charges during delivery up to when the slightly acidic microenvironment of the tumor triggers the protonation of the anionic moiety. This induces the ionic dissociation and the subsequent exposure of the Tat peptide sequence, allowing the preferential internalization of the drug-loaded polymer into the surrounding tumor cells [94] (Figure 1B). This strategy has been pursued using the very pH-sensitive sulfonamide (PSD) group [95]. The PSD compound is fully protonated at pH 7.4 (the pH in the blood stream) and becomes neutral at pH 6.8 [96]. The peritumoral pH has been evaluated to be about 6.9 +/- 0.14 at the tumor-host interface, rising from 7.10 to 7.15 at only 200 micrometers away from the tumor [97]. Indeed, this pH gradient strongly reduces the “effective volume” where the Tat peptide could be freed from its pro-drug structure. The main advantage is again that the Tat peptide is active only in close proximity of the tumor area. On the other hand, the main inconvenience is that the volume where the pro-drug becomes effective is very small as compared to the whole circulation. Therefore, the pro-drug peptide needs to reach rapidly the peritumoral area to fulfill its therapeutic effect prior to its renal and/or hepatic elimination. Further studies are indeed required to provide all the kinetic parameters of this interesting strategy.

Similarly, Kale et al. obtained an enhanced in vivo transfection of DNA using pH-sensitive Tat-modified pegylated (PEG) liposomes (Figure 1D) [98]. Basically, a number of PEG chains were attached to the liposome surface that contained also some Tat peptides of much smaller size. The steric hindrances created by the PEG coat were expected to shield the surface-attached Tat peptides, therefore preventing again the non-specific liposome/cell interactions. Since these long PEG chains were coupled to the liposome surface through a pH-
sensitive hydrazone linker, cleavage of the linker could occur only in the acidic environment of the tumor [98]. In experiments performed in tumor-bearing mice, the liposomes were administered directly intra-tumorally, and allowed to obtain at least a three times more efficient transfection than with the corresponding pH-insensitive system. Whether the intra-tumoral injection is required to improve the efficacy of this approach is currently unknown.

The exploitation of the acidic pH has been also described for a peptide derived from the HA2 glycopolypeptide of the influenza virus hemagglutinin [99]. The aim was to improve cytoplasmic delivery of cargo molecules performed with CPPs. In this case, the lyzosome acidification, down to 5 pH units, induced a conformational change of the HA2 derived-peptide, leading to a structural change and exposure of a fusion property. More recently, a Tat-HA chimeric peptide has been used by Dowdy’s group to improve the escape of the Tat-Cre recombinase fusion construct co-incubated in the experiment [59]. The Tat peptide from the Tat-HA chimera probably caused a higher membrane adsorption of the HA peptide itself, thus increasing its cellular uptake followed by lyzosomal destabilization. Subsequently, the improvement of the cytoplasmic escape of the HA-Cre fusion construct could be recorded [59]. The problem with this strategy is that there is no possibility to target a specific cell line as the endocytotic pathway is ubiquitous.

2.1.3. Exploiting the biological state of targeted cells

Another approach is to take advantage exclusively of the internal biological status of the targeted cell, as caused by an infection, or of a metabolic change induced by a pathological disorder. For instance, one can imagine that a toxic molecule, coupled to a CPP as a harmless pro-drug, could be activated only once inside a specific type of cells. Such a strategy has been elegantly achieved by Dowdy’s group in 1999 (Figure 1C) [100]. In this study, they fused the Tat peptide to a caspase-3 protein precursor that could be activated only
upon cleavage by the HIV protease. This chimerical construct is processed into its active form only by the HIV protease that is exclusively present in HIV-infected cells. This results in caspase-3 induced apoptosis of these cells. This construct was shown to transduce efficiently about 100% of cells and remained indeed fully inactive in healthy cells. Despite these very promising results a well-controlled in vivo experiment in humanized T cell SCID mice is required to definitively validate this approach (S.F. Dowdy, personal communication).

The same group also used the Tat peptide fused to the p27 tumor suppressor to evaluate the effect of its transduction on tumor proliferation in vitro and in vivo [101]. Cell cycle arrest of tumor cells was obtained in culture and an inhibition of the tumor growth was observed in mouse models. These encouraging data have, however, to be considered with the “pharmacist’s eye” for two reasons: first, the experimental model had peritoneal tumors and the chimerical molecule was injected directly into the peritoneal cavity. Therefore, this strategy can be considered as a local therapy, thus strongly reducing the risks of a large spreading of the Tat-fusion construct in the circulation. Second, two injections of 300μg of fusion protein during four days were necessary to obtain the expected biological activity. Since the Tat-p27 chimerical molecule has a molecular weight of 25kDa, this dose corresponds, for one single injection, to a molar excess of more than 140 folds compared to Trastuzumab®, a monoclonal antibody used in therapy in current standard treatments against some cancers. The need of such an important dose could be the direct consequence of the low quantity of Tat-derived construct which enters effectively the cell. Indeed, ongoing work in our laboratory indicates that less than one percent of the extracellular Tat peptide enters effectively the cell (Vivès et al.; in preparation). However, the use of CPPs to mediate the anti-tumoral response is very attractive and it has been reported in the literature. Garcia-Echeverria and colleagues designed a short peptide to disrupt the interaction between p53 and hDM2 [102] in order to restore p53 activity in tumor cells. It was then coupled to a CPP (the
Antennapedia peptide), but apparently, the biological evaluation in vivo was not further developed, despite preliminary in vitro tests showing that the chimera did not impair the activity of the interfering peptide [103]. Other groups have obtained in vivo responses following mainly IP, but also with IV or local administration of CPP-peptides at doses ranging from some milligrams to some fractions of microgram per kg (for a recent review [104]). However, although IP injection is commonly used in mouse models, IV or subcutaneous injections would be preferred for a clinical development.

2.2. CPP-loaded devices and local release

As already discussed, cationic CPPs have the undesired characteristic of entering most of the cells they get in contact with. Therefore, once injected in the body, most of the peptides and indeed the drug attached to them will be internalized in the “wrong” cells. As described in the previous chapters, efforts to overcome these limitations have led to the generation of new chimerical molecules. These molecules are often complex, certainly rather difficult to synthesize and/or fully characterize, and to market. To circumvent these problems, it is also conceivable to design cell-penetrating systems showing a certain form of specificity, by simply allowing a CPP-loaded device to diffuse very close to the environment of the tissues to be targeted. This is indeed viable only in the case of a localized pathology, such as solid tumors, or of directly accessible organs. It may be indeed feasible for the treatment of various cutaneous pathologies, and possibly lung diseases, and eventually for reaching the ocular cavity or the digestive tract, for instance. Moreover, several drug delivery sustained release systems, including gel matrix, nanocapsules, liposomal structures, to name but a few, have been described in the literature (for recent reviews in this field, see volume 59, issues 4 and 5 of the Advanced Drug Delivery Reviews (2007)). Some of them could trigger the release of the encapsulated drug specifically in the region requiring the therapy, however the
problem of the rapid and efficient internalization of these drugs into the targeted cells would remain. This could be solved by attaching a CPP to the therapeutic molecule. To our knowledge, such a strategy combining a local delivery device and a CPP internalization system still remains very marginal, although some examples of its application have been described.

2.2.1. Thermal sensitive polymers

There are some alternative means to efficiently direct drugs into a specific cell type with CPPs. For instance, a chemotherapeutic CPP-coupled doxorubicin conjugate has been included in a macromolecular carrier made of an Elastin-like polypeptide (ELP) [105]. This carrier is thermal sensitive with a phase transition occurring between 39°C and 42°C [105, 106]. This temperature range is sufficiently above the normal body temperature to prevent unwanted systemic aggregation. Therefore, following external and focused hyperthermia, which can be induced with different devices such as pulsed-high intensity focused ultrasounds (HIFU) [107], the Tat-drug conjugate can be released in the tumor environment and preferentially taken up by the tumor cells. Additionally, a Gly-Phe-Leu-Gly tetrapeptide spacer (sequence GFLG) was joined to the Tat peptide and the doxorubicin molecule. This sequence corresponds to the target sequence of a protease belonging to the cathepsin family [108]. Since the CPP is internalized mainly by endocytosis [33], the lysosomal proteases are expected to cleave and release active drug moieties within the cell. In this study, a 20-fold enhancement of the effect of the drug was recorded when aggregation of ELP was induced by localized hyperthermia [105].

More recently, Curley and colleagues have used a thermal sensitive device made of carbon nanotubes [109]. By injecting these nanotubes into cancer cells and then zapping them with radio-frequency waves, they created an important increase in temperature that led to the death
of cancer cells. Radio-frequency waves can be used in this case because of the particular electronic properties of carbon nanotubes and they are more attractive than the near-infrared laser heating method used in others strategies. Moreover, carbon nanotubes could be derivatized with various proteins to improve their specificity [110]. Indeed, it might be feasible to link these nanotubes to CPPs, to improve their cellular uptake, or to targeting-peptides to concentrate them at the tumor site.

2.2.2. Ultrasound sensitive particles

Ultrasounds can also be used to deliver drugs in a very precise body area. They are currently evaluated for two main applications. The first one relies on focused sonication to deliver drugs or genes into the tissue where the sonication is applied as ultrasounds can reversibly increase the micro-permeation (sonoporation) of the membrane, thus inducing cellular transfer of the extracellular medium [111, 112]. Indeed if the blood stream is loaded with the drug to be transfected into the cells, a more potent delivery of the molecule can be expected locally in the area of sonication. However, it is worth to mention that the sonication effects do not last long. In other words, this strategy mimics an in vitro transfection performed in vivo, thus leading to a higher degree of drug delivery in the cells within the treated area. Sonication has been shown to improve the delivery efficacy of a cytotoxic agent, leading to a significant reduction of the injected dose [113]. However, if applied to small drugs, a rapid clearance from the blood stream can be expected, which will reduce the time window of the sonication effects.

Therefore, to limit this problem, some attempts have been made to deliver in the blood stream stable molecular structures that are exclusively sensitive to ultrasounds [114]. This strategy allows the entrapment of various drugs prior sonication, inducing their release only in the targeted environment [114]. Moreover, the concomitant use of drugs conjugated to CPPs and
sonication should further improve the local cellular delivery. However, only hydrophobic molecules, such as doxorubicin for instance [115], can be successfully inserted in ultrasound sensitive structures. Since most of the CPPs are rather hydrophilic because of their high cationic content, their inclusion in theses structures is compromised unless their coupling to doxorubicin reduces significantly their hydrophilicity, or more extended hydrophobization of the CPPs is performed. Additional lipophilic group(s) could also favor the escape of CPPs from the endosomes and, subsequently, the overall efficacy of this “targeted” delivery strategy. This approach is currently evaluated in our group.

3. Cell Targeting Peptides (CTPs)

Beside CPPs, different peptides composed of a few amino acids (from 3 to 10) that are cell specific and are internalized by endocytosis are emerging in the literature (Table 2). Some of these peptides are called cell targeting peptides (CTPs) and have been already used in various therapeutic assays [116]. CTPs show high specificity and strong affinity for a given targeted cell line upon interactions with a receptor that is exclusively over-expressed by these cells. The most extensively studied among them is probably the RGD peptide ([117] and [118] for recent reviews), the first tumor-homing peptide discovered [119]. In the next sections we will describe most of the work done to improve the specificity and the affinity of this peptide since we think that this can well illustrate the issues related to CTPs.

3.1. Identification of CTPs

Several monoclonal antibodies that target cell surface receptors, such as the anti-CD20 antibody Rituximab, have been approved for cancer treatment (for a review [120]). However, the large size of the antibody (160kDa), the high cost of its production and characterization as well as its relatively nonspecific binding to the reticulo-endothelial system represent major
drawbacks when cytotoxic drugs or radionuclides are coupled to the antibody. On the other hand, binding to the reticulo-endothelial system can be considered as an advantage when the antibody is naked as it can induce an immunological response which can destroy tumor cells. It is expected that binding of macrophages to the Fc fragment of these antibodies will also favor the immune response. Therefore, because of their easier preparation and their good affinity or specificity, short peptides or peptido-mimetics are attractive alternative targeting agents for either cancer imaging or therapy. Various peptides that target specifically one given cell line have been identified using different techniques aimed at defining the shortest peptide with the highest specificity and affinity (Table 1).

3.1.1. Structure-activity relationship of ligands

The most intuitive way of designing a specific binding peptide for a given receptor is to start either from the structural data or from the structure-activity relationship study of the molecular interactions between a circulating protein and its cellular receptor. However, structural data are not always available and structure-activity relationship studies seldom advance to a linear well-defined sequence which could be directly used as a receptor-specific binding peptide, mainly because the tertiary structure scaffolding frequently hides the ligand-receptor interactions. Nevertheless, some short peptide sequences have been defined by these conventional methods [121, 122]. For instance, the erbB2 receptor has been targeted with an erbB2 receptor-binding hepta-peptide (see Table 1) attached to the pro-apoptotic alpha-tocopheryl succinate (α-TOS) [123]. The chimera between the α-TOS moiety and the receptor-binding hepta-peptide reduced breast carcinomas expressing high levels of erbB2 in transgenic mice. Another hepta-peptide binding to Neuropilin-1 (NRP), a vascular endothelial growth factor (VEGF) receptor, has been evaluated in vitro [124] and more recently in vivo [125]. The peptide used against
circulating VEGF was initially identified by phage display (see next section), and then a linear 20-mer peptide was further studied by X-rays crystallography to improve its binding properties to VEGF. It was shown that this peptide was unstructured in solution but adopted a largely extended conformation upon binding to VEGF [126]. This observation confirms the dynamic process leading to high affinity binding. The initial interaction favors the next one, and so on, until the peptide secondary structure is finally changed. X-rays data also indicate that, upon binding, residues 3 to 8 of this peptide form a beta-strand structure pairing with the beta 6-strand of VEGF via six hydrogen bonds, which leads to a rather strong interaction of the peptide with VEGF. However, the parent peptide could not be shortened to less than 14 residues without dramatically reducing the binding efficiency. To our knowledge, this 14 mer-version of the VEGF binding peptide has not yet been evaluated in vivo.

3.1.2. Phage display

Several peptides that target specifically cancer cells or tumor blood vessel endothelial cells have been identified using two techniques based on a combinatorial approach (i.e., phage display and One Bead One Compound). The phage-display technique relies on the combinatorial generation of short peptide sequences inserted in the extracellular protein of a filamentous phage [127]. Upon interactions of the phage with a specific extracellular receptor of a given cell type, the phage is amplified following cell infection. Limiting dilutions of the transfected suspension are then made, and one single phage type can be isolated after several rounds of selection. Subsequently, the “active” combinatorial sequence is identified by sequencing. The phage display technique allows the identification of peptide sequences ranging from 8 to 12 amino acids. Once characterized, the peptide itself is evaluated for its ability to bind with high specificity and strong affinity to the specific receptor of the targeted cell.
In terms of synthesis, the sequences highlighted by phage display are interesting and their production is not difficult. Indeed, nowadays, peptide chemistry is easy and quite cheap for peptides up to 15 amino acids, and they can be synthesized with rather good yields. Although some longer peptides have also reached the drug market (the best known among them is probably the 36 amino acid-long T20 or Fuseon, used for antiviral treatment of HIV (for a review [128])), the synthesis of such large peptides is more complicated and requires more expensive production techniques. Peptide length could also be a concern when considering the structural aspects of the peptide-ligand interactions. Except in some very specific cases, peptides of less than 15 amino acids are poorly structured. Therefore, their affinity or their specificity might be somehow reduced when extracted from the displaying protein-phage. However, by inserting cysteine residues at both ends of the identified sequence by genetic engineering, it is possible to apply a structural constraint through the formation of a disulfide bridge, leading to a cyclic peptide within the phage protein [129, 130]. Such cyclization often improves the affinity of the peptide towards the target receptor in comparison to the linear form (see below). The main inconvenience of the phage display technique as an abundant source of new cell-specific ligands is that, because of its biological origin, only natural L-amino acids can be inserted in the peptide sequence. Therefore, ligands with higher affinity can be missed out. However, once a native sequence has been identified, it is also possible to carry out a structure-activity relationship study on analogues harboring non-natural synthons. This is probably the reason why the second technique was developed about a decade ago [131].

3.1.3. Chemical strategies (One Bead One Compound, ligand mimetics…)

The chemical generation of libraries, which include both D- or non-natural amino acids, has been recently developed, offering the possibility of discovering new ligands with
either higher affinity or better specificity for a given cell receptor. This synthetic technique is based on the combinatorial synthesis of one compound on one single solid bead, thus generating random peptides, each with its own unique amino acid sequence on the same bead. Hence, it has been defined as the “one-bead one-compound” (OBOC) strategy [132], and has led to the discovery of a large number of specific ligands (for a recent review [133]). Once the library has been assembled, various cell lines can be grown in the presence of the beads. A cell type growing on one bead clearly indicates the interaction of a membrane receptor with the peptide assembled on that particular bead. The sequence of the peptide attached on the bead is then determined by sequencing. Competition experiments between the peptide attached on the bead and the soluble peptide allow to confirm the sequence specificity and to measure various parameters.

The main advantage of this technique is that non-natural amino acids can be inserted within the sequence. For instance, a peptido-mimetic called LLP2A showed a very high affinity for the α4β1 integrin receptor of activated T- and B-lymphomas with an IC50 of 2pM [134]. The main benefit of such non-natural ligands is their high stability against proteases. LLP2A showed an extreme resistance to proteases with no sign of degradation after 18 days of incubation in human plasma at 37°C. Indeed, this is a major advantage when developing a targeting peptide. The question of the blood clearance of such small molecules has not yet been completely resolved.

3.2. Improving CTPs

The affinity and/or avidity of CTPs for their receptor can be improved in different ways. In general, cyclization and multimerization of the peptide have been used to boost the interaction with the target receptor. These aspects are developed in the following sections through one of the best studied examples, the RGD tri-peptide that presents a specific
interaction with the integrin receptors. We think that the wealth of research on this peptide is likely to be transferable to any other short peptide showing specific affinity for a given cell receptor.

3.2.1. The RGD peptide: a “scholar study”

The αvβ3 integrin receptor plays a role in angiogenesis of solid tumors, cell migration, invasion and also metastatic activity (for a review [135]) and it expression depends on the type and stage of the tumor. Therefore, it became rapidly a target of choice for cancer treatment. In the early 80’s, the Arg-Gly-Asp (RGD) sequence, which is present in various circulating proteins, was shown to be responsible for the binding to the αvβ3 receptor either on its own or included in a penta-peptide. Then, much work was focused on the definition of the requirements needed to produce a ligand with very strong affinity/selectivity towards αvβ3. This led to the development of a molecule, Cilengitide, which is now in phase II study. Researches are still trying to find more specific ligands to reduce the currently used pharmacologic doses. As explained in a very recent and exhaustive review about the design and chemical synthesis of integrin ligands [118], small changes within the natural sequence of these molecules could deeply improve their activity profiles. For instance, in the c-RGDfV peptide, where f stands for a D-amino acid, each amino acid was replaced by its α–N-methylated form [136]. Depending on the structure-activity refinement of the N-methylation position for instance, the specific binding (IC50) varied from $10^{-5}$M for the c(RGD(NMe)fV) to $10^{-8}$M for the c(RGDf(NMe)V), which represents a gain of more than 1000 folds [118]. This is also illustrated by the replacement of the aspartate (D) residue by glutamate (E) within the RGD peptide. The resulting RGE sequence has an additional methylene group and is used as a negative control peptide for monitoring integrin binding. Another peptide used as a negative control is RAD in which the alanine (A) residue replaces the glycine (G) of RGD.
3.2.2. Cyclization

It is commonly admitted that the interaction between a peptide ligand and its receptor shows higher affinity when the peptide is under a constrained conformation rather than simply linear [137]. Moreover, resistance against proteases is also largely increased upon cyclization [138]. One of the nicest examples to illustrate these aspects is probably again the Arg-Gly-Asp (RGD) tri-peptide. The linear RGD peptide recognizes its target receptor, and has been initially used as a targeting peptide [139]. However, this peptide is inserted in the turn localized at the extremity of a β-sheet within two of the natural ligands of the integrin receptor, fibronectin and vitronectin. The bend of such a turn involves 3 to 4 residues. Therefore, the RGD peptide was rapidly synthesized in a cyclized form in order to mimic its natural environment within the protein. One of the first “cyclization” was performed by bridging two cysteine side chains placed at the two extremities of the peptide [140, 141]. Around the same time, cyclization using chemical closure, mainly through the formation of an amide bond within the N-ter α-amine and the C-ter α-carboxyl functions, was also introduced [142]. Cyclo-peptides with five to six amino acid residues represent the best compromise between flexibility and reactivity to obtain cyclization with good yields. Other types of ring closure have been also performed through various chemical strategies, and peptido-mimetics have been inserted at the place of different native residues. This sometimes leads to molecules apparently far away from the native tri-peptide, in which the charge locations of the ionic side chains (Arg (R) and Asp (D)) have to be placed and oriented accordingly (for recent reviews, see [118, 143]).

3.2.3. Multimerization
The affinity of a dimeric ligand for its receptor is usually higher than that of the corresponding monomeric molecule [144]. In most examples, the increased avidity is the mechanical result of a higher local concentration of ligands because of the direct linkage between two moieties. Since the binding of a ligand to its receptor is a dynamic event, if one molecule becomes detached from the receptor, it is statistically more likely to observe the instantaneous re-attachment of the second half of the dimer to the same receptor molecule. On the other hand, a dimeric ligand could also bind to two adjacent receptors, thus increasing the overall strength of the apparent affinity of the two receptors. It has to be pointed out that initial multimerization of targeting sequences have been made by repeating two or more adjacent recognition sequences [145] and this feature strengthens the hypothesis of a higher local concentration, since it appears unlikely that two adjacent receptors could bind to two sequences so closely linked along the same primary sequence. One might definitely solve this issue by modifying the length of the linker joining the two monomers. The crystal structure of the αvβ3 receptor in its activated state allowed the observation that the α and β sub-units assemble into an ovoid volume of 60Å to 90Å [146]. Since the αvβ3 binding site is located in a central cleft between the two subunits, the minimum length of a linker between two ligands should be at least of 60Å to allow binding to two adjacent receptors. In most studies with cyclic divalent RGD peptides, the spacer length between the two ligands was much shorter than the length required for binding two contiguous receptors [147-149]. Moreover, in a recent study, a dimeric RGD with a spacer compatible in length with a putative attachment of the dimer to two adjacent receptors did not have a stronger affinity than a molecule with a shorter spacer length, or the monomer [150]. This study revealed also that dimers with intermediate length spacers (from 29Å to 43Å) provided the best cell uptake, thus indirectly confirming that binding to two neighbor receptors could not explain the better affinity. In some cases, homo-bivalent ligands can cross-link two adjacent receptors when bound through
an optimal linker length which is correlated to the inter-receptor distance [151]. Moreover, the flexiblility of the linker can also influence the kinetics of the binding as the presence of flexible PEG moieties within the linker significantly modifies the level of recognition [151]. This data stresses again the plethora of possible modifications within a single molecule.

With the aim to investigate the limit of multimerization, several study further evaluated the affinity of tetra- and octa-meric RGD structures [147, 152-154]. The monomeric c(RGDfV) peptide was grafted on a multi-branched core made of different functional groups. In most of the cases, the ligand exposing scaffold also carried a second type of functional group, able to link another molecular entity ranging from a radionuclide for tumor localization during diagnostic phase, to a drug to promote the specific killing of the targeted cells. For instance, Dumy et al. synthesized a planar cyclodecapeptide scaffold with a face harboring four RGD cyclopeptides, and the other one a fluorescein [154]. However, the ultimate goal of such a strategy is to deliver a cytotoxic molecule into cells which harbor αvβ3 receptors and preliminary encouraging in vivo experiments have been very recently published [155, 156]. Kessler’s group evaluated the requirement of multimerization to improve the binding affinity of the monomeric RGD moiety [153]. To this aim they used a scaffold made of lysine moieties, that is known under the acronym of MAP (i.e., multiple antigen peptide) and was conceived in the 90’s to expose several antigenic peptides in order to avoid their coupling to a carrier protein [157]. RGD peptides were attached to the MAP structure via PEG chains.

In conclusion, the use of multimeric RGD structures improves the apparent affinity, or more precisely the avidity of the ligand for the integrin receptor compared to the monomeric ligand. The majority of the reported studies limited the investigation to 8 RGD moieties at most, and in general tetra- di- and monomers were used. The efficacy was $4 > 2 > 1$ RGD peptide [147], but it has been also described a $2 > 4 > 1$ RGD peptide efficiency [158]. These discrepancies suggest that the results depend also on other structural and compositional parameters within
the macromolecular complex. Four targeting moieties seem sufficient to increase the binding forces over the monomer. At the same time, this also limits the complexity of the molecule and the potential solubility problems which could be encountered when injecting them in vivo.

In some particular cases the number of targeting peptides could be higher. For instance, liposomes or nanoparticles aimed at delivering drugs into targeted tissues can be coated with up to several hundred of targeting units. Indeed, in this case, the overall surface of the drug-transporter is important to define its degree of functionalization.

4. CTPs first, then CPPs ?

In this review, we have emphasized the usefulness of CPPs for improving the cellular delivery of different kinds of drugs. However, many experimental results stress that the main setback of CPPs is the absence of specific cellular delivery. Some alternative strategies, often very elegant, have been conceived with encouraging results, although they are mainly applied in very specific cases and rely on the synthesis of sometimes complex molecules. On the other hand, if these tools will reduce significantly the dose which needs to be injected, pharmaceutical companies could become interested in further developing these compounds.

We have then described some peptides that can recognize specific cell types and target these cells (CTPs). When these peptides are coupled to a drug, they specifically target a cell type, however, little is known about the efficiency of this cellular delivery. Moreover, we need also to increase the overall internalization and/or the release from the endosome of CTP-bound molecules, two features which are specific of CPPs. It would be, therefore, interesting to associate the cell specificity of CTPs with the efficient internalization and endosome release of CPPs in a chimerical molecule made with a CTP linked to a CPP. Unfortunately, several examples show that when Tat is coupled to antibodies expected to promote specific cell
recognition there is a dramatic loss of specificity. The main problem appears to be the exposure of the CPP to the anionic cellular components of the cells. Ideally, the design of a multi-component delivery system should resemble a structure in which an exposed cell-recognition motif would first favor the concentration of the drug at the targeted cell type. At that point an efficient cell penetrating peptide attached to a payload molecule should be exposed (Figure 2). We mentioned in this review different and efficient strategies for the concentration of drugs at a specific cell type or for the local release of drug-loaded CPP. The main issue will be to combine these cell-targeting and cell-penetrating peptides into the same pharmaceutical unit and to trigger their exposure in the right place and at the right moment.

5. Conclusions and future directions

Unless temporally masked before being exposed at a particular site of action, the use of CPPs to mediate drug delivery into a specific cell type is far to be common in pharmacology. Such exposure can be triggered by the local pH, the presence of specific enzymes or the mechanical release from a scavenging structure, as reviewed in the previous sections. The dispersion of most of the drug-CPP chimeras all over the body due to non-specific interactions with ubiquitous cellular components requires the use of elevated doses of material with potential secondary effects. Despite these drawbacks, some spectacular biological effects have been reported following in vivo delivery of drugs loaded on CPPs, but the delivery of drugs into the right cell through a peptide-recognition motif has not been extensively quantified in terms of efficacy. In most of the studies, the used doses are often simply the dosages required to obtain the expected biological response, and whether such doses are very high is seldom commented in these works.

We believe that the future will lie in the development of modular units in which CPPs and CTPs will be assembled and disassembled sequentially. The first part of such a unit could be a
polymeric structure coated with a cell-recognition peptide motif in order to concentrate the structure at the target place. Then, this structure could be dismantled by using a localized device, such as hyperthermia or ultrasounds, in order to free the internalized drug loaded-CPP in close vicinity of the target. In this way a drug could be efficiently concentrated at the tumor site and then efficiently delivered into the cancer cells (Figure 2). We expect the peptide-based delivery system of the future to be multi-unit entities, in which each unit will have a very precise function, triggered at the right moment in order to provide a more efficient and/or more tolerated therapeutic delivery strategy. In addition, peptide-based delivery vehicles remain very interesting to develop because of several advantages of peptides for drug delivery purpose (Table 2).

Legend to figures:

Figure 1: Different strategies for the “targeted” delivery mediated by CPP peptides.
A) MMP-dependent protection of the CCP: CPP is temporally neutralized by an anionic counterpart upon cleavage by the MMP present in the tumor environment. Once cleaved, the CPP detaches and vectorizes the drug into the neighboring cells (from [93]). B) PSD is an anionic molecule at pH 7.4, leading to ionic interaction and masking of the cationic CPP. In the peritumoral environment, pH can decrease to 6.8, inducing the neutralization of PSD charges, and full exposure of the CPP. The drug-loaded nanoparticle is internalized preferentially in the surrounding cells (from [94, 95]). C) A CPP-procaspase fusion construct enters the cells. Since the HIV protease is needed to release the caspase in its active form, only HIV-infected cells will die (from [100]). D) CPP peptides are masked by long PEG
chains. These chains are attached to the drug-loaded nanoparticle through a pH sensitive linker. Once in the peritumoral environment (see above), the CPP will be exposed upon cleavage of the long PEG chains (from [98]).

Figure 2: Which future for targeted delivery with CPP peptides?

A) A vesicular system containing a drug-CPP chimera coated with a cell targeting peptide (CTP) is injected in the blood stream. B) The delivery vehicle recognizes the targeted receptor and binds to it, thus concentrating the drug in a specific area. C) A device with a focused beam is focally applied at the target place to destroy the vesicular structure and to free the vesicle containing the drug-CPP chimeras. D) The drug-CPP chimeras diffuse nearby the site of concentration and are taken up preferentially by the neighboring cells.
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