



**HAL**  
open science

## **Birth-related characteristics, congenital malformation, maternal reproductive history and neuroblastoma: The ESCALE study (SFCE).**

Caroline Munzer, Florence Menegaux, Brigitte Lacour, Dominique Valteau-Couanet, Jean Michon, Carole Coze, Christophe Bergeron, Anne Auvrignon, Frédéric A. Bernard, Caroline Thomas, et al.

### **► To cite this version:**

Caroline Munzer, Florence Menegaux, Brigitte Lacour, Dominique Valteau-Couanet, Jean Michon, et al.. Birth-related characteristics, congenital malformation, maternal reproductive history and neuroblastoma: The ESCALE study (SFCE).. *International Journal of Cancer*, Wiley, 2008, 122 (10), pp.2315-2321. 10.1002/ijc.23301 . inserm-00260711

**HAL Id: inserm-00260711**

**<https://www.hal.inserm.fr/inserm-00260711>**

Submitted on 4 Sep 2009

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

**Birth-related characteristics, congenital malformation, maternal reproductive history and neuroblastoma: the ESCALE Study (SFCE\*)**

Caroline Munzer<sup>1,2,3</sup>, Florence Menegaux<sup>1,2\*</sup>, Brigitte Lacour<sup>1,2,4</sup>, Dominique Valteau-Couanet<sup>5</sup>, Jean Michon<sup>6</sup>, Carole Coze<sup>7</sup>, Christophe Bergeron<sup>8</sup>, Anne Auvrignon<sup>9</sup>, Frédéric Bernard<sup>10</sup>, Caroline Thomas<sup>11</sup>, Jean-Pierre Vannier<sup>12</sup>, Justyna Kanold<sup>13</sup>, Hervé Rubie<sup>3</sup>, Denis Hémon<sup>1,2</sup>, Jacqueline Clavel<sup>1,2,14</sup>

<sup>1</sup>INSERM, U754, IFR69, Villejuif, France. <sup>2</sup>Univ Paris-Sud, UMR-S754, Villejuif, France.

<sup>3</sup>Hôpital des Enfants, Toulouse France. <sup>4</sup>Registre National des Tumeurs Solides de l'Enfant.

<sup>5</sup>Institut Gustave Roussy, Villejuif, France. <sup>6</sup>Institut Curie, Paris, France. <sup>7</sup>Hôpital d'enfants de

La Timone, Marseille, France. <sup>8</sup>Centre Léon Bérard, Lyon, France. <sup>9</sup>Hôpital Trousseau, Paris,

France. <sup>10</sup>Hôpital Arnaud de Villeneuve, Montpellier, France. <sup>11</sup>Hôpital de la mère et de

l'enfant, Nantes, France. <sup>12</sup>Hôpital Charles Nicolle, Rouen, France. <sup>13</sup>Hôtel Dieu, Clermont-

Ferrand, France. <sup>14</sup>Registre National des Hémopathies malignes de l'Enfant.

\*SFCE: Société Française de lutte contre les Cancers de l'Enfant et de l'adolescent

**Short title:** Perinatal factors, congenital anomalies and neuroblastoma.

**Key words:** Child, Neuroblastoma, Congenital Anomalies, Epidemiology, Risk Factors

**\*Correspondence to:** Dr Florence Menegaux, INSERM U754, 16 av. Paul Vaillant Couturier, F- 94807 Villejuif Cédex. Tel: 33 1 45 59 51 53 – Fax: 33 1 45 59 51 51 – E-mail: [menegaux@vjf.inserm.fr](mailto:menegaux@vjf.inserm.fr)

**Abbreviations used:** MYCN+: amplification of MYCN oncogene ; MYCN- : Non-amplification of MYCN oncogene ; NB: neuroblastoma ; OR: Odds Ratio ; 95% CI: 95% Confidence Interval

**Journal category:** Research article, Epidemiology

**Novelty and impact of our paper:** This paper investigated the role of perinatal factors (birth weight, birth order, congenital anomalies, spontaneous abortion and the use of fertility treatments) in children with neuroblastoma in France at a national scale. We report that congenital malformations were more frequent in neuroblastoma cases than in population controls, and particularly for children aged less than 1 year which has never been described before.

## ABSTRACT

Since neuroblastoma (NB) occurs very early in children's lives, it has been hypothesized that pre- and perinatal factors may play a role in its etiology. This study investigated the role of birth characteristics, congenital malformation and maternal reproductive history in neuroblastoma. The data used were generated by the national population-based case-control study, ESCALE, conducted in France in 2003-2004. The mothers of 191 neuroblastoma cases and 1681 controls, frequency-matched by age and gender, were interviewed by telephone, using a standardized questionnaire, on several factors including pregnancy, medical history, lifestyle, childhood medical conditions and exposures. A positive association between congenital malformation and all neuroblastoma cases was observed (Odds ratio (OR) = 2.2, 95% confidence interval (95% CI): 1.1-4.5). Congenital malformations were highly associated to neuroblastoma in children aged less than 1 year (OR = 16.8, 95% CI: 3.1-90), while no association was observed in children aged 1 year or more (OR = 1.0, 95% CI: 0.3-2.9). A negative association with a maternal history of spontaneous abortions was also found (OR = 0.6, 95% CI: 0.4-0.9). The results strongly support the hypothesis that congenital anomalies may be associated with neuroblastoma, particularly in infant (less than 1 year of age).

## INTRODUCTION

Neuroblastoma (NB) is a malignant embryonal tumour of the autonomic nervous system derived from neural crest cells. It has the earliest incidence peak of childhood cancers and is the most frequent cancer in infants (aged less than one year).<sup>1</sup> The median age at diagnosis is 22 months, and 85% of cases are diagnosed before the age of 5 years.<sup>2</sup>

Little is known about the etiology of neuroblastoma and the early age at diagnosis strongly suggests that pre- and perinatal factors may play an important role in its pathogenesis. Subgroups characterized by age at diagnosis, stage and MYCN oncogene amplification status, which are known to be important prognostic factors, may be of etiologic relevance.<sup>3</sup>

The literature on pre- and perinatal factors in neuroblastoma is relatively limited and the results of the various studies are most often inconsistent. Pre-term (< 37 weeks) and post-term (> 41 weeks) birth, low birth weight (< 2500 grams) and high birth weight (> 4000 grams), have been associated with neuroblastoma, with positive or negative associations for the same risk factor, depending on the study.<sup>4-9</sup> Maternal reproductive factors such as fetal losses or use of sex hormones have also been associated with neuroblastoma in some studies,<sup>10-12</sup> while others did not show any association.<sup>4-6,13</sup>

Birth defect constitutes the factor that has been most consistently positively associated with NB in several studies.<sup>13-22</sup>

Very few papers have reported analyses by NB subgroups. Only the study by the Children's Oncology Group has suggested that associations with previous miscarriages and previous induced abortion may depend on MYCN oncogene amplification status.<sup>10</sup>

This study therefore investigated the role of birth-related characteristics, birth defects and maternal reproductive history in the etiology of neuroblastoma using the data generated by the ESCALE study. ESCALE is a French national population-based case-control study, which was carried out to assess the role of infectious, environmental and genetic factors in 4 childhood cancers: leukemia, lymphoma, malignant central nervous system tumor and neuroblastoma.

## MATERIAL AND METHODS

### Study population

Details of the study design have been provided elsewhere.<sup>23</sup> Briefly, the cases were children under the age of 15 years, in whom neuroblastoma had been newly diagnosed between January 1, 2003, and December 31, 2004, and who were residing in mainland France at the time of diagnosis. All the cases were directly recruited by the investigators in each French pediatric oncology hospital department within the network of the two National Registries of childhood cancer: the National Registry of Childhood Hematological Cancers (RNHE)<sup>24</sup> and the National Registry of Childhood Solid Tumors (RNTSE).<sup>25</sup>

The eligibility criteria were the biological mother's availability for interview, a telephone in the home, the biological mother's ability to speak French and a surviving index child who was not terminally sick. Out of the 276 cases of NB diagnosed in 2003-2004, 41 were not eligible (22 children had died or were terminally sick, 14 mothers did not speak French and 5 mothers had serious psycho-social disorders). Out of the 235 eligible cases, 44 (16 percent) were not included because the mother refused to participate (n = 18) or could not be contacted (n = 28). Finally, 191 incident cases (81%) were included using the International Classification of Childhood Cancer based on the ICD-O-3 (ICD-O-3 codes 9500/3 and 9490/3).<sup>26</sup>

The controls were randomly selected from the general population using a sample of 60,000 phone numbers representative of the French population. Control selection was stratified using quotas ensuring frequency matching with cases by age and gender. The quotas were designed to be representative of all cancer cases in terms of age and gender. Additional quotas were designed to ensure that the control group was representative of the French population in terms of the number of children living in the household, conditionally on age group. Out of the 50,217 phone numbers called, 46,994 were non-eligible numbers (22,584 businesses or disconnected numbers, 18,456 household without children, 5,277 out of quotas, 677 non-reliable interviews). The eligibility of 862 phone numbers could not be

determined. Finally, out of the 2361 remaining eligible controls, 1681 (71%) were included in the study (679 parents refused and one child had a prior history of neuroblastoma).

#### Data collection

All case and control mothers responded to a standardized telephone interview conducted by trained interviewers and lasting approximately 40 minutes. The cases' mothers were interviewed at least 2 months after the diagnosis. The interview focused on demographic and socioeconomic characteristics, childhood environment and lifestyle, familial and personal medical history, parental occupational history, and maternal reproductive history.

The birth-related characteristics included gestational age (pre-term less than 37 complete weeks of pregnancy, 37 to 39 weeks, 40 to 41 weeks and post-term more than 41 weeks), birth weight in quintiles and in more usual categories (< 2500, 2500 to 2999, 3000 to 3499, 3500 to 3999 and  $\geq$  4000 grams), maternal age at birth (less than 25 years, 25-34 years, 35 years or more) and birth order (first, second, third or more).

Mothers were asked if the index child was born with a congenital malformation and, if so, to state the malformation site by choosing from a list of organs. The malformations were coded and reclassified if necessary using the ICD-10 (codes Q00-Q99), with the coder blind to case-control status. The malformations were categorized as minor or major congenital anomalies using the European Surveillance of Congenital Anomalies (EUROCAT) recommendations.<sup>27</sup>

Maternal reproductive history included questions on maternal history of fetal losses defined as any pregnancy loss (spontaneous abortions, abortions and termination of pregnancy for medical reasons: congenital anomalies, chromosomal anomalies, German measles or toxoplasmosis) and the use of fertility treatments for the index child, such as ovarian stimulation, in vitro fertilization and artificial insemination.

## Statistical analysis

All the analyses were performed using SAS software (version 9.1, Cary, NC, USA). Odds ratios and 95% confidence intervals were estimated using unconditional logistic regression models including the stratification variables, gender and age. Because of the particular age distribution of NB, narrow age groups were defined in order to avoid possible residual confounding by age: 2, 4, 6, 8, 10, 12, 18, 24 months and 3, 4, 5 to 6, 7 to 8, 9 to 11 and 12 to 14 years. The analysis was also restricted to the subgroup aged less than 12 years given the small number of cases aged 12 or more years.

We also stratified the analyses by age ( $< 1$  year /  $\geq 1$  year), known to be an important prognostic factor which may be of etiologic relevance.

Potential confounding by socioeconomic category, maternal educational level and maternal working during pregnancy was also considered in the various analyses.

Additional analyses were conducted by subgroups of NB defined by age at diagnosis (age  $< 1$  year / age  $\geq 1$  year) and MYCN oncogene amplification status (amplified (MYCN+) / non-amplified (MYCN-)).

MYCN copy numbers were determined by the national laboratories of reference.

## RESULTS

Table 1 describes the study population. Of the 191 cases included, the MYCN oncogene was amplified (MYCN+) in 35 cases (18%), not amplified (MYCN-) in 144 cases (76%) and not available for 12 cases (6%).

The case and control gender distributions were similar (table 1). The control group had the same age distribution as the whole ESCALE case population, but was older, on average, than the neuroblastoma group. However, there were at least two controls for each case in each age stratum. The cases and controls did not differ significantly with respect to familial status, maternal educational level, or maternal working during pregnancy (table 1). Nonetheless, the case parents (25%) were slightly more frequently factory and agricultural workers or unemployed than the control parents (16%) ( $p=0.04$ ).

Table 2 shows the associations between birth-related characteristics and neuroblastoma. The case mothers were more often aged less than 25 years at the index child's birth than the control mothers (OR = 2.3, 95% CI: 1.5-3.6). No association between gestational age or birth weight and neuroblastoma considered as a whole was observed. However, a U-curve between birth weight and MYCN+ NB cases was observed for the highest quintile ( $\geq 3720$  grams) (OR: 2.6, 95% CI: 0.9-7.8). A significant inverse relationship with birth order was also observed (OR = 0.6, 95% CI: 0.4-1.0 for  $\geq$  third born). The association appeared to depend on MYCN status, with an OR of 0.4 and 95% CI of (0.3-0.8), for MYCN- cases versus an OR of 1.5 and 95% CI of (0.7-3.1) for MYCN+ cases.

Overall, congenital malformations had been diagnosed for six percent of the cases and three percent of the controls (OR = 2.2, 95% CI: 1.1-4.5) (table 3). The odds ratios increased as the number of anomalies increased (OR = 2.1, 95% CI: 1.0-4.6 and OR = 3.1, 95% CI: 0.6-17 for one malformation and two or more malformations, respectively,  $p_{\text{trend}} = 0.03$ ). The association was a little more marked for major malformations (OR = 2.4, 95% CI: 1.1-5.4) than for minor malformations (OR = 1.7, 95% CI: 0.4-7.7). The strongest associations,



although based on small numbers, were observed with urinary tract malformations (OR = 4.4, 95% CI: 1.1-17) and skeletal malformations (OR = 3.3, 95% CI: 1.1-9.6). Only 5 controls and none of the cases had a cardiac malformation.

Despite the small number of NB with MYCN oncogene amplification (n = 35), the association with major anomalies was slightly more pronounced for MYCN+ cases (OR = 4.4, 95% CI: 1.2-16) than for MYCN- cases (OR = 1.9, 95% CI: 0.7-5.2).

Table 4 shows the results for maternal reproductive history. Neither a maternal history of any fetal losses (OR = 0.9, 95% CI: 0.6-1.2) nor a maternal history of abortion (OR = 1.2, 95% CI: 0.8- 1.8) was associated with neuroblastoma and the results were not affected by MYCN amplification status. In contrast, a clear inverse relationship with a maternal history of spontaneous abortion was observed (OR = 0.6, 95% CI: 0.4-0.9). The ORs decreased as the number of spontaneous abortion increased ( $p_{\text{trend}} = 0.01$ ). The negative association was limited to the MYCN- NB cases (OR = 0.6, 95% CI: 0.3-1.1 and OR = 0.3, 95% CI: 0.1-0.8 for one miscarriage and two or more miscarriages, respectively,  $p_{\text{trend}} = 0.004$ ). While termination of pregnancy for medical reasons was not associated with neuroblastoma, irrespective of MYCN amplification status, it is noteworthy that, although only based on one case (3%) and 14 controls (1%), an elevated OR of 3.3 (95% CI: 0.4-30) was observed in MYCN+ cases when the medical reason for termination was congenital anomalies. Finally, no association between neuroblastoma and the use of fertility treatments of any type (drug stimulation only, in vitro fertilization, artificial insemination) for the index child was evidenced (OR = 0.9, 95% CI: 0.4-2.0).

Table 5 presents the previous analyses stratified by age (< 1 year /  $\geq$  1 year). We did not find any association with none of the investigated perinatal factors (birth weight, gestational age, congenital malformation, spontaneous abortion and the use of fertility treatments for index child) in children aged 1 year or more. Conversely, when analyses were restricted to children aged less than one year, we observed a U-shape association between neuroblastoma and birth weight (OR = 4.8, 95% CI: 1.6-15 and OR = 2.9, 95% CI: 1.0-8.0 ; respectively for birth

weight < 2930 grams and birth weight  $\geq$  3720 grams). Congenital malformations were also highly associated to neuroblastoma in children aged less than 1 year (OR = 16.8, 95% CI: 3.1-90).

Adjustment for familial situation, maternal educational level and socioeconomic categories did not modify the results. The estimates also remained unchanged after mutual adjustments for the various variables of interest, but the association with high birth order lost its significance.

Lastly, excluding the 10 cases and 37 controls whose mothers did not have the children's health records available did not change the results.

## DISCUSSION

ESCALE is the first French population-based case-control study including cases of frequent childhood neoplastic diseases (leukemia, lymphoma, brain tumor and neuroblastoma) on a national scale. The main results of the analysis were the positive association with congenital malformations, especially for cases with MYCN oncogene amplification, and the negative association with a maternal history of spontaneous abortions.

The size of the study enabled detection of minimum odds ratios of 1.6, 1.9 and 2.3 for exposure prevalences in controls of 30, 10 and five percent, respectively, with a type-I error of 5 percent and power of 80 percent.

Case identification was based on the network of investigators working with the two French National Registries of Childhood Cancer (RNHE and RNTSE), making a selection bias due to the case identification process unlikely. Over the two-year study period, 276 NB cases were identified. The number was equivalent to the expected incidence of NB in France.<sup>25</sup>

Out of the 276 cases identified, 41 were not eligible (20 were MYCN oncogene not amplified, 11 were MYCN oncogene amplified and 10 had MYCN oncogene status not informative). Twenty-two had died or were receiving palliative care. The non-inclusion of those 22 children may have induced survival bias. Indeed, those children were younger (average age of 1.5 versus 2.4 years,  $p=0.01$ ) and more often MYCN oncogene amplified (45% versus 18%,  $p=0.002$ ) than included children. However, a history of malformation is unlikely to lead to a better prognosis, and there is no obvious reason for a maternal history of miscarriages being related to a poorer prognosis. In addition, the prevalence of MYCN oncogene amplification, which is an important prognostic factor, was 18 percent, similar to that of the published clinical series.<sup>2,28</sup> Nineteen percent ( $n = 44$ ) of the eligible cases did not respond. However, the age and gender distributions of the respondent and non-respondent cases were similar.

The controls were randomly selected from the general population using the national telephone directory as a random basis. Unlisted numbers were computer generated prior to

the random selection in order to avoid listed-control selection bias. The sampling process also made the controls similar to the French population in terms of number of children living in the household and, subsequently, in terms of birth order, when compared with the national perinatal surveys.<sup>29,30</sup> The comparison with those surveys also showed that the controls were very similar to the French population in terms of mother's educational level, gestational age, birth weight and maternal history of voluntary abortion, miscarriage and use of assisted reproductive technologies. The controls' mothers were slightly older than in the overall population. In fact, only 10% of the control mothers were aged less than 25 years at the birth of the index child, compared to 17% and 19% in the 1998 and 2003 national surveys, respectively. Thus, the association observed between maternal age < 25 years at birth and neuroblastoma may be due to an underestimation of the number of young mothers among the controls. However, maternal age was not related to the variables of interest and adjustment on maternal age did not change any of the results.

The control mothers were very similar to the case mothers with respect to their familial situation, educational level and working during pregnancy, but their socioeconomic categories were slightly higher. However, the results remained unchanged after additional adjustment for those factors.

The use of standardized questionnaires and the similar interviewing conditions for case and control mothers reduced potential differential misclassifications. In order to facilitate recall and increase efficiency, at the beginning of the interview, the mothers were asked to fetch the index child's health record. Moreover, completion of the health record, especially for birth-related characteristics (birth weight and gestational age), was independent of the case/control status. Only 10 case mothers (5%) and 37 control mothers (2%) did not have access to their children's health record during the interview ( $p = 0.02$ ). In any event, the analyses conducted after excluding those cases and controls gave similar results.

The literature on birth-related characteristics is still inconsistent. Both, low birth weight<sup>5,6,8</sup> and high birth weight<sup>7,9</sup> have been positively associated with neuroblastoma, but five other

studies did not detect any association.<sup>4,10-13</sup> As was the case in the present study, most studies have not evidenced any association with gestational age, even though others have shown a positive association<sup>5</sup> or a negative association<sup>4,8</sup> with pre-term birth (< 37 weeks).

The negative association between neuroblastoma and high birth order observed in the present study is in line with the findings of two other studies.<sup>4,13</sup> It has been suggested that the “hygiene hypothesis” proposed with respect to childhood acute leukemia<sup>31</sup> should also be considered for neuroblastoma.<sup>32,33</sup>

A potential drawback of case-control studies is the lack of reliability, possibly differential, of maternal recall. Since the congenital malformation data were obtained by interviewing case and control mothers and not from medical records, there was an opportunity for a recall bias to occur. The results of the present study may be explained by over-declaration of malformations by case mothers or under-declaration by control mothers. However, the results remained the same when the analyses were restricted to malformations considered major by EUROCAT which are less liable to differential recall bias.<sup>27</sup>

Another concern is that the observed association between congenital anomalies and neuroblastoma may be the consequence of over-detection of asymptomatic anomalies in the course of disease staging. However, the medical records of 9 out of 12 cases with a malformation were checked (the 3 remaining medical records were not available). All the malformations were diagnosed before the neuroblastoma and all the diagnoses were the same as those reported by the mothers. In addition, associations remained unchanged after excision of cases whose malformation was not validated and thus, specifically for children aged less than 1 year (any malformation: OR=12.3 [2.1-71], major malformation: OR=23.1 [2.5-214]). Nevertheless, we can not completely rule out that some neuroblastoma cases may have been diagnosed in the process of evaluating a child with a known malformation.

The relationship found between neuroblastoma and congenital malformation is consistent with most of the previous studies,<sup>13-22</sup> although no cardiovascular malformations were observed in the cases.<sup>15,17,19,22,34,35</sup> Those previous studies used different methods to assess

congenital malformations (medical records, birth certificates and hospital records, birth defect registries and parental self-report) which may have led to various potential biases.

Our results may be an argument for the involvement of developmental genes in neuroblastoma. Indeed, the PHOX2B gene, a human development gene, has already been associated with Congenital Central Hypoventilation Syndrome (CCHS, or Ondine's curse) which, like neuroblastoma, is a disorder of autonomic nervous system development.<sup>35</sup> The specific association between neuroblastoma and congenital malformation in infants has also been observed in a recent study based on birth certificates and hospital records.<sup>22</sup>

Maternal reproductive history has rarely been investigated in the literature and the terminology used in the various studies does not always enable spontaneous abortions, abortions and termination of pregnancy for medical reasons to be distinguished. The terms used by the mothers themselves are probably not always reliable but they are likely to be similarly used by the cases and controls. Most previous studies did not find any association with fetal losses overall,<sup>5,6,13</sup> induced abortions,<sup>4</sup> or spontaneous abortions.<sup>4</sup> Nonetheless, one study reported a negative association between neuroblastoma and all fetal losses,<sup>11</sup> two studies found a positive association with prior spontaneous abortions<sup>10,12</sup> and one study also found a positive association with induced abortions.<sup>10</sup>

In contrast, in the present study, a relatively strong inverse association with maternal history of spontaneous abortions was observed and that association decreased as the number of miscarriages increased ( $p = 0.01$ ).

Over the last 30 years, rapid advances have been made in the treatment of infertility. While the effects of infertility treatments on birth and short-term outcomes are relatively well documented, little is known about potential effects on child health after the neonatal period. Childhood cancer and especially neuroblastoma, which may be initiated during the early stages of fetal development, may be a possible adverse outcome of the use of infertility treatments. Indeed, out of five case-control studies, three reported a positive association with the use of sex hormone exposure for infertility (induction of ovulation),<sup>37-39</sup> one reported a non

significant association<sup>40</sup> and one did not find any association.<sup>12</sup> Moreover, among six cohort studies investigating the incidence of childhood cancer in children born following infertility treatments,<sup>41-46</sup> two found an elevated, but non-significant, SIR for neuroblastoma<sup>40,42</sup> and, interestingly, one study did not find any association with childhood cancer but did detect an association with congenital anomalies.<sup>45</sup>

In conclusion, our results strongly support the hypothesis that congenital anomalies may be associated with neuroblastoma, particularly in children aged less than 1 year.

## **ACKNOWLEDGMENTS**

This work was supported by grants from INSERM, the Fondation de France, the Association pour la Recherche contre le Cancer (ARC), the Agence Française de Sécurité Sanitaire des Produits de Santé (AFSSAPS), the Agence Française de Sécurité Sanitaire de l'Environnement et du Travail (AFSSET) and the association Cent pour Sang la Vie.

We are grateful to: Marie-Hélène Da Silva and Dr. Christophe Steffen (INSERM, U754), who coordinated the recruitment of the cases; Aurélie Goubin and the staff of the French National Registry of Childhood Blood Malignancies (RNHE), Sandra Guissou and Dr. Emmanuel Désandes and the staff of the French National Registry of Childhood Solid Tumors (RNTSE), who contributed to case detection and verification; Sabine Méléze and Marie-Anne Noël (Institut CSA), who coordinated the selection of the controls and the interviews; Catherine Tricoche (Callson) and the team of interviewers, who interviewed the cases and the controls; Drs. Jean Bernard from the “Laboratoire de Pharmacologie Moléculaire” (Institut Gustave Roussy, Villejuif, France), Valérie Combaret from the “Laboratoire de Biologie Cellulaire” (Centre Léon Bérard, Lyon, France), Jérôme Couturier and Olivier Delattre from the “Laboratoire de Génétique des Tumeurs” (Institut Curie, Paris, France), who determined the MYCN copy numbers; and Andrew Mullarky for his skilful revision of the manuscript.





## REFERENCES

1. Desandes E, Clavel J, Berger C, Bernard JL, Blouin P, de Lumley L, Demeocq F, Freycon F, Gembara P, Goubin A, Le Gall E, Pillon P, et al. Cancer incidence among children in France, 1990-1999. *Pediatr Blood Cancer* 2004;43:749-57.
2. Perel Y, Valteau-Couanet D, Michon J, Lavrand F, Coze C, Bergeron C, Notz A, Plantaz D, Chastagner P, Bernard F, Thomas C, Rubie H. [Prognosis of neuroblastoma in childhood. Methods of assessment and clinical use]. *Arch Pediatr* 2004;11:834-42.
3. Brodeur GM. Neuroblastoma: biological insights into a clinical enigma. *Nat Rev Cancer* 2003;3:203-16.
4. Buck GM, Michalek AM, Chen CJ, Nasca PC, Baptiste MS. Perinatal factors and risk of neuroblastoma. *Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol* 2001;15:47-53.
5. Schuz J, Kaletsch U, Meinert R, Kaatsch P, Spix C, Michaelis J. Risk factors for neuroblastoma at different stages of disease. Results from a population-based case-control study in Germany. *J Clin Epidemiol* 2001;54:702-9.
6. Schuz J, Kaatsch P, Kaletsch U, Meinert R, Michaelis J. Association of childhood cancer with factors related to pregnancy and birth. *Int J Epidemiol* 1999;28:631-9.
7. Yeazel MW, Ross JA, Buckley JD, Woods WG, Ruccione K, Robison LL. High birth weight and risk of specific childhood cancers: a report from the Children's Cancer Group. *J Pediatr* 1997;131:671-7.
8. Johnson CC, Spitz MR. Neuroblastoma: case-control analysis of birth characteristics. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1985;74:789-92.
9. Daling JR, Starzyk P, Olshan AF, Weiss NS. Birth weight and the incidence of childhood cancer. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1984;72:1039-41.
10. Hamrick SE, Olshan AF, Neglia JP, Pollock BH. Association of pregnancy history and birth characteristics with neuroblastoma: a report from the Children's Cancer Group and the Pediatric Oncology Group. *Paediatr Perinat Epidemiol* 2001;15:328-37.
11. Neglia JP, Smithson WA, Gunderson P, King FL, Singher LJ, Robison LL. Prenatal and perinatal risk factors for neuroblastoma. A case-control study. *Cancer* 1988;61:2202-6.
12. Schwartzbaum JA. Influence of the mother's prenatal drug consumption on risk of neuroblastoma in the child. *Am J Epidemiol* 1992;135:1358-67.
13. Urayama KY, Von Behren J, Reynolds P. Birth characteristics and risk of neuroblastoma in young children. *Am J Epidemiol* 2007;165:486-95.
14. Agha MM, Williams JI, Marrett L, To T, Zipursky A, Dodds L. Congenital abnormalities and childhood cancer. *Cancer* 2005;103:1939-48.

15. Menegaux F, Olshan AF, Reitnauer PJ, Blatt J, Cohn SL. Positive association between congenital anomalies and risk of neuroblastoma. *Pediatr Blood Cancer* 2005;45:649-55.
16. Merks JH, Caron HN, Hennekam RC. High incidence of malformation syndromes in a series of 1,073 children with cancer. *Am J Med Genet A* 2005;134:132-43.
17. George RE, Lipshultz SE, Lipsitz SR, Colan SD, Diller L. Association between congenital cardiovascular malformations and neuroblastoma. *J Pediatr* 2004;144:444-8.
18. Altmann AE, Halliday JL, Giles GG. Associations between congenital malformations and childhood cancer. A register-based case-control study. *Br J Cancer* 1998;78:1244-9.
19. Foulkes WD, Buu PN, Filiatrault D, Leclerc JM, Narod SA. Excess of congenital abnormalities in French-Canadian children with neuroblastoma: a case series study from Montreal. *Med Pediatr Oncol* 1997;29:272-9.
20. Narod SA, Hawkins MM, Robertson CM, Stiller CA. Congenital anomalies and childhood cancer in Great Britain. *Am J Hum Genet* 1997;60:474-85.
21. Mili F, Khoury MJ, Flanders WD, Greenberg RS. Risk of childhood cancer for infants with birth defects. I. A record-linkage study, Atlanta, Georgia, 1968-1988. *Am J Epidemiol* 1993;137:629-38.
22. Chow EJ, Friedman DL, Mueller BA. Maternal and Perinatal Characteristics in relation to neuroblastoma. *Cancer* 2007;109:983-92.
23. Rudant J, Menegaux F, Leverger G, Baruchel A, Nelken B, Bertrand Y, Hartmann O, Pacquement H, Verite C, Robert A, Michel G, Margueritte G, et al. Family history of cancer in children with acute leukemia, Hodgkin's lymphoma or non-Hodgkin's lymphoma: The ESCALE study (SFCE). *Int J Cancer* 2007.
24. Clavel J, Goubin A, Auclerc MF, Auvrignon A, Waterkeyn C, Patte C, Baruchel A, Leverger G, Nelken B, Philippe N, Sommelet D, Vilmer E, et al. Incidence of childhood leukaemia and non-Hodgkin's lymphoma in France: National Registry of Childhood Leukaemia and Lymphoma, 1990-1999. *Eur J Cancer Prev* 2004;13:97-103.
25. <http://www.chu-nancy.fr/rntse/>.
26. Steliarova-Foucher E, Stiller C, Lacour B and Kaatsch. "International Classification of Childhood Cancer, third edition." *Cancer* 2005;103: 1457-1467.
27. De Wals P, Dolk H, Bertrand F, Gillerot Y, Weatherall JA, Lechat MF. [Epidemiologic surveillance of congenital abnormalities using the EUROCAT Register]. *Rev Epidemiol Sante Publique* 1988;36:273-82.

- HAL author manuscript inserm-00260711, version 1
28. Mathew P, Valentine MB, Bowman LC, Rowe ST, Nash MB, Valentine VA, Cohn SL, Castleberry RP, Brodeur GM, Look AT. Detection of MYCN gene amplification in neuroblastoma by fluorescence in situ hybridization: a pediatric oncology group study. *Neoplasia* 2001;3:105-9.
  29. Blondel B, Supernant K, Du Mazaubrun C, Breart G. [Trends in perinatal health in metropolitan France between 1995 and 2003: results from the National Perinatal Surveys]. *J Gynecol Obstet Biol Reprod (Paris)* 2006;35:373-87.
  30. Blondel B, Breart G, du Mazaubrun C, Badeyan G, Wcislo M, Lordier A, Matet N. [The perinatal situation in France. Trends between 1981 and 1995]. *J Gynecol Obstet Biol Reprod (Paris)* 1997;26:770-80.
  31. Greaves M. Infection, immune responses and the aetiology of childhood leukaemia. *Nat Rev Cancer* 2006;6:193-203.
  32. Menegaux F, Olshan AF, Reitnauer PJ, Blatt J, Cohn SL. Positive association between congenital anomalies and risk of neuroblastoma. *Pediatr Blood Cancer* 2005;45:649-55.
  33. Daniels JL, Olshan AF, Pollock BH, Shah NR, Stram DO. Breast-feeding and neuroblastoma, USA and Canada. *Cancer Causes Control* 2002;13:401-5.
  34. Rosti L, Lin AE, Frigiola A. Neuroblastoma and congenital cardiovascular malformations. *Pediatrics* 1996;97:258-61.
  35. Bellah R, D'Andrea A, Darillis E, Fellows KE. The association of congenital neuroblastoma and congenital heart disease. Is there a common embryologic basis? *Pediatr Radiol* 1989;19:119-21.
  36. Trochet D, O'Brien LM, Gozal D, et al. PHOX2B genotype allows for prediction of tumor risk in congenital central hypoventilation syndrome. *Am J Hum Genet* 2005;76:421-6.
  37. Michalek AM, Buck GM, Nasca PC, Freedman AN, Baptiste MS, Mahoney MC. Gravid health status, medication use, and risk of neuroblastoma. *Am J Epidemiol* 1996;143:996-1001.
  38. Kobayashi N, Matsui I, Tanimura M, Nagahara N, Akatsuka J, Hirayama T, Sato K. Childhood neuroectodermal tumours and malignant lymphoma after maternal ovulation induction. *Lancet* 1991;338:955.
  39. Kramer S, Ward E, Meadows AT, Malone KE. Medical and drug risk factors associated with neuroblastoma: a case-control study. *J Natl Cancer Inst* 1987;78:797-804.
  40. Olshan AF, Smith J, Cook MN, Grufferman S, Pollock BH, Stram DO, Seeger RC, Look AT, Cohn SL, Castleberry RP, Bondy ML. Hormone and fertility drug use and

the risk of neuroblastoma: a report from the Children's Cancer Group and the Pediatric Oncology Group. *Am J Epidemiol* 1999;150:930-8.

41. Brinton LA, Kruger Kjaer S, Thomsen BL, Sharif HF, Graubard BI, Olsen JH, Bock JE. Childhood tumor risk after treatment with ovulation-stimulating drugs. *Fertil Steril* 2004;81:1083-91.
42. Klip H, Burger CW, de Kraker J, van Leeuwen FE. Risk of cancer in the offspring of women who underwent ovarian stimulation for IVF. *Hum Reprod* 2001;16:2451-8.
43. Bruinsma F, Venn A, Lancaster P, Speirs A, Healy D. Incidence of cancer in children born after in-vitro fertilization. *Hum Reprod* 2000;15:604-7.
44. Lerner-Geva L, Toren A, Chetrit A, Modan B, Mandel M, Rechavi G, Dor J. The risk for cancer among children of women who underwent in vitro fertilization. *Cancer* 2000;88:2845-7.
45. Bergh T, Ericson A, Hillensjo T, Nygren KG, Wennerholm UB. Deliveries and children born after in-vitro fertilisation in Sweden 1982-95: a retrospective cohort study. *Lancet* 1999;354:1579-85.
46. Doyle P, Bunch KJ, Beral V, Draper GJ. Cancer incidence in children conceived with assisted reproduction technology. *Lancet* 1998;352:452-3.

**Table 1:** Sample description for cases and controls

	<b>Cases</b>		<b>Controls</b>		<b>p</b>
	n = 191 (%)		n = 1681 (%)		
<b>MYCN oncogene status</b>					
Amplified (MYCN +)	35	(18)	-		
Non-amplified (MYCN -)	144	(76)	-		
Non-informative (NI)	12	(6)	-		
<b>Gender</b>					
Male	97	(51)	932	(55)	<b>ns</b>
<b>Age (year)</b>					
< 1	74	(39)	187	(11)	<b>&lt; 10<sup>-4</sup></b>
1	36	(19)	182	(11)	
2	30	(16)	153	(9)	
3	13	(7)	166	(10)	
4	11	(6)	145	(9)	
5-6	16	(8)	228	(14)	
7-8	3	(2)	163	(10)	
9-11	6	(3)	225	(13)	
12-14	2	(1)	232	(14)	
<b>Familial situation<sup>1</sup></b>					
Couple	180	(94)	1541	(92)	<b>ns</b>
Single	8	(4)	75	(4)	
Separated or widowed	3	(2)	65	(4)	
<b>Socioeconomic categories<sup>1</sup></b>					
Intellectual and scientific jobs, managers and intermediate professions	74	(39)	713	(42)	<b>0.04</b>
Administrative and sales workers	49	(26)	477	(28)	
Service workers	21	(11)	215	(13)	
Factory and agricultural workers, unemployed	47	(25)	274	(16)	
<b>Maternal education<sup>1</sup></b>					
≤ High school graduation	102	(53)	979	(58)	<b>ns</b>
> High school graduation	89	(47)	701	(42)	
<b>Maternal working during pregnancy<sup>1</sup></b>					
No	53	(28)	531	(32)	<b>ns</b>
Yes	138	(72)	1150	(68)	

ns: p &gt; 0.05

<sup>1</sup> Adjusted for age and gender

**Table 2:** Birth-related characteristics and neuroblastoma

	Controls		Neuroblastoma					
	n = 1681	n = 191	All neuroblastoma OR <sup>1</sup>	95% CI <sup>2</sup>	MYCN non-amplified n = 144	MYCN amplified n = 35	OR	95% CI
<b>Maternal age at birth (year)</b>								
< 25	163	35	<b>2.3</b>	(1.5- 3.6)	25	5	<b>1.7</b>	(0.6- 4.7)
25-34	1235	124	<b>1.0</b>	reference	97	22	<b>1.0</b>	reference
35 +	283	32	<b>0.9</b>	(0.5- 1.4)	22	8	<b>1.2</b>	(0.5- 2.8)
<b>Birth weight (gram)<sup>c</sup></b>								
< 2500	94	15	<b>1.8</b>	(0.8-3.8)	9	5	<b>2.2</b>	(0.5- 11)
2500-2999	304	39	<b>1.3</b>	(0.8-2.1)	34	5	<b>1.1</b>	(0.3-3.4)
3000-3499	671	62	<b>1.0</b>	reference	48	9	<b>1.0</b>	reference
3500-3999	464	53	<b>1.2</b>	(0.8-1.8)	41	11	<b>1.8</b>	(0.7-4.5)
≥ 4000	147	21	<b>1.6</b>	(0.9-2.8)	12	5	<b>2.6</b>	(0.8-8.2)
<b>Birth weight in quintiles (gram)<sup>c</sup></b>								
< 2930	334	50	<b>1.4</b>	(0.8- 2.3)	40	9	<b>1.3</b>	(0.4- 4.6)
2930-3199	316	29	<b>0.8</b>	(0.4- 1.3)	26	3	<b>0.7</b>	(0.2- 3.0)
3200-3449	353	35	<b>1.0</b>	reference	25	5	<b>1.0</b>	reference
3450-3719	338	30	<b>0.9</b>	(0.5- 1.6)	22	7	<b>1.7</b>	(0.5- 5.4)
≥ 3720	339	46	<b>1.2</b>	(0.7- 2.0)	31	11	<b>2.6</b>	(0.9- 7.8)
<b>Duration of gestation (week)<sup>d</sup></b>								
< 37	111	17	<b>1.0</b>	(0.5- 2.0)	9	6	<b>2.8</b>	(0.8- 9.5)
37-39	493	61	<b>1.0</b>	(0.7- 1.4)	50	9	<b>0.9</b>	(0.4- 2.2)
40-41	1022	108	<b>1.0</b>	reference	81	20	<b>1.0</b>	reference
> 41	40	3	<b>0.8</b>	(0.2- 2.7)	2	0	-	-
<b>Birth order (index child)</b>								
1	708	96	<b>1.0</b>	reference	76	14	<b>1.0</b>	reference
2	608	62	<b>0.7</b>	(0.5- 1.1)	49	10	<b>0.9</b>	(0.4- 2.1)
3+	365	33	<b>0.6</b>	(0.4- 1.0)	19	11	<b>1.5</b>	(0.7- 3.1)

<sup>1</sup> Odds ratios adjusted for age and gender; <sup>2</sup> 95% CI: 95% Confidence Interval

**Table 3:** Congenital malformations and neuroblastoma

	Controls		All neuroblastoma		Neuroblastoma						
	n = 1681	n = 191	OR <sup>1</sup>	95% CI <sup>2</sup>	n = 144	OR	95% CI	MYCN non-amplified	MYCN amplified		
<b>Any malformations</b>											
No	1624	179	1.0	reference	136	1.0	reference	reference	32	1.0	reference
Yes	57	12	2.2	(1.1- 4.5)	8	2.0	(0.9- 4.7)	(0.9- 4.7)	3	3.3	(0.9- 12)
1	51	10	2.1	(1.0- 4.6)	7	2.0	(0.8- 4.9)	(0.8- 4.9)	2	2.7	(0.6- 12)
2/over	6	2	3.1	(0.6- 17)	1	2.3	(0.3- 20)	(0.3- 20)	1	6.4	(0.7- 62)
				<i>p</i> <sub>trend</sub> = 0.03							<i>p</i> <sub>trend</sub> = 0.04
Minor malformations	19	2	1.7	(0.4- 7.7)	2	2.4	(0.5- 11)	(0.5- 11)	0	-	-
Major malformations	38	10	2.4	(1.1- 5.4)	6	1.9	(0.7- 5.2)	(0.7- 5.2)	3	4.4	(1.2- 16)
<b>Malformation location</b>											
Heart	5	0	0	-	0	-	-	-	0	-	-
Digestive system	2	1	4.6	(0.3- 69)	1	6.8	(0.5- 102)	(0.5- 102)	0	-	-
Urinary system	10	4	4.4	(1.1- 17)	3	4.4	(1.0- 20)	(1.0- 20)	1	6.4	(0.6- 64)
Genital organs	6	1	1.7	(0.2- 15)	0	-	-	-	1	5.4	(0.5- 54)
Skeleton	22	5	3.3	(1.1- 9.6)	3	3.0	(0.8- 11)	(0.8- 11)	1	3.6	(0.4- 31)
Minor	8	2	5.1	(0.9- 29)	2	7.7	(1.3- 44)	(1.3- 44)	0	-	-
Major	14	3	2.6	(0.7- 10)	1	1.3	(0.2- 11)	(0.2- 11)	1	6.1	(0.6- 58)
Others	2	14	0.7	(0.1- 5.7)	1	<0.001	(<0.001- > 999)	(<0.001- > 999)	1	5.2	(0.5- 51)

<sup>1</sup> Odds ratios adjusted for age and gender; <sup>2</sup> 95% CI: 95% Confidence Interval

**Table 4:** Maternal reproductive history and neuroblastoma

	Controls		Neuroblastoma							
	All neuroblastoma		MYCN non-amplified		MYCN amplified					
	n = 1681	n = 191	OR <sup>1</sup>	95% CI <sup>2</sup>	n = 144	OR	95% CI	n = 35	OR	95% CI
<b>Any fetal losses</b>										
No	1031	129	1.0	reference	101	1.0	reference	20	1.0	reference
Yes	650	62	0.9	(0.6-1.2)	43	0.8	(0.5-1.2)	15	1.3	(0.6-2.6)
1	403	44	1.0	(0.7-1.5)	30	0.9	(0.5-1.4)	10	1.4	(0.6-3.1)
2+	247	18	0.7	(0.4-1.1)	13	0.6	(0.3-1.2)	5	1.1	(0.4-3.2)
<b>Spontaneous abortions</b>										
No	1239	160	1.0	reference	124	1.0	reference	26	1.0	reference
Yes	442	31	0.6	(0.4-0.9)	20	0.5	(0.3-0.8)	9	1.0	(0.5-2.2)
1	303	24	0.7	(0.4-1.1)	16	0.6	(0.3-1.1)	6	1.0	(0.4-2.6)
2+	138	7	0.4	(0.2-0.9)	4	0.3	(0.1-0.8)	3	1.0	(0.3-3.4)
<b>Abortions</b>										
No	1429	158	1.0	reference	119	1.0	reference	29	1.0	reference
Yes	252	33	1.4	(0.9-2.2)	25	1.4	(0.9-2.4)	6	1.3	(0.5-3.3)
1	209	27	1.3	(0.8-2.2)	19	1.3	(0.7-2.2)	6	1.6	(0.6-3.9)
2+	43	6	1.7	(0.7-4.5)	6	2.4	(0.9-6.4)	0	-	-
<b>Termination of pregnancy</b>										
No	1594	185	1.0	reference	140	1.0	reference	33	1.0	reference
Yes	87	6	0.7	(0.3-1.7)	4	0.6	(0.2-1.9)	2	1.3	(0.3-5.6)
For malformations	14	2	1.1	(0.2-5.6)	1	0.8	(0.1-6.4)	1	3.3	(0.4-30)
Other	73	4	0.6	(0.2-1.7)	3	0.6	(0.2-2.1)	1	0.8	(0.1-6.0)
<b>Use of fertility treatments (index child)</b>										
No	1599	182	1.0	reference	139	1.0	reference	32	1.0	reference
Yes	82	9	0.9	(0.4-2.0)	5	0.7	(0.3-1.8)	3	1.6	(0.5-5.6)
Stimulation only	41	5	1.0	(0.4-2.9)	3	0.8	(0.2-2.8)	2	2.6	(0.6-12)
In vitro fertilization	22	3	1.3	(0.4-4.6)	1	0.6	(0.1-4.4)	1	1.8	(0.2-15)
Artificial insemination	22	2	0.8	(0.2-3.9)	1	0.5	(0.1-4.2)	0	-	-

<sup>1</sup>Odds ratios adjusted for age and gender; <sup>2</sup>95% CI: 95% Confidence Interval



**Table 5:** Perinatal factors (birth weight, gestational age, congenital malformation, spontaneous abortion and use of fertility treatments) and neuroblastoma: analyses stratified by age

	Children < 1 year				Children ≥ 1 year			
	Cases (n=74)	Controls (n=187)	OR <sup>1</sup>	95% CI <sup>2</sup>	Cases (n=117)	Controls (n=1494)	OR	95% CI
<b>Birth weight in quintiles (gram)</b>								
< 2930	19	31	<b>4.8</b>	(1.6- 15)	31	303	<b>1.0</b>	(0.5-1.8)
2930-3199	15	38	<b>2.3</b>	(0.8-6.8)	14	278	<b>0.5</b>	(0.3-1.0)
3200-3449	7	45	<b>1.0</b>	reference	28	308	<b>1.0</b>	reference
3450-3719	12	30	<b>3.0</b>	(1.0-9.4)	18	308	<b>0.6</b>	(0.3-1.1)
≥ 3720	20	43	<b>2.9</b>	(1.0-8.0)	26	296	<b>0.9</b>	(0.5-1.7)
<b>Birth weight (gram)</b>								
< 2500	4	13	<b>1.3</b>	(0.3-5.5)	11	81	<b>1.9</b>	(0.8-4.9)
2500-2999	18	28	<b>2.9</b>	(1.2-6.9)	21	276	<b>1.0</b>	(0.5-1.7)
3000-3499	19	77	<b>1.0</b>	reference	43	594	<b>1.0</b>	reference
3500-3999	23	51	<b>2.0</b>	(0.9-4.4)	30	413	<b>0.9</b>	(0.6-1.6)
≥ 4000	9	18	<b>2.7</b>	(0.9-7.7)	12	129	<b>1.3</b>	(0.7-2.6)
<b>Gestational age (week)</b>								
< 37	6	13	<b>0.9</b>	(0.2-3.4)	11	98	<b>1.0</b>	(0.5-2.3)
37-39	31	71	<b>1.3</b>	(0.7-2.6)	30	422	<b>0.8</b>	(0.5-1.3)
40-41	36	100	<b>1.0</b>	reference	72	922	<b>1.0</b>	reference
> 41	1	3	<b>0.9</b>	(0.1- 11)	2	37	<b>0.8</b>	(0.2-3.4)
<b>Congenital malformations</b>								
No	67	158	<b>1.0</b>	reference	112	1439	<b>1.0</b>	reference
Yes	7	2	<b>16.8</b>	(3.1- 90)	5	55	<b>1.0</b>	(0.3- 2.9)
minor	0	1	-	-	2	18	<b>1.9</b>	(0.4-8.6)
major	7	1	<b>31.3</b>	(3.6-272)	3	37	<b>0.7</b>	(0.2-3.0)
<b>Spontaneous abortions</b>								
No	66	144	<b>1.0</b>	reference	94	1095	<b>1.0</b>	reference
Yes	8	43	<b>0.4</b>	(0.1-0.8)	23	399	<b>0.7</b>	(0.4-1.1)
<b>Use of fertility treatments (index child)</b>								
No	72	177	<b>1.0</b>	reference	110	1422	<b>1.0</b>	reference
Yes	2	10	<b>0.5</b>	(0.1-2.3)	7	72	<b>1.2</b>	(0.5-2.7)

<sup>1</sup> Odds ratios adjusted for age and gender; <sup>2</sup> 95% CI: 95% Confidence Interval