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Abstract

The discovery of new adjuvants that can stimulate the immune response to protein antigens is a major issue for the development of subunit vaccines. Lipoprotein oxidation occurring during the acute phase response (APR) to aggression of the organism, provide signals of danger that are detected by dendritic cells (DC). Among other instructive molecules generated during the APR, lysophosphatidylcholine (LPC) promotes mature DC generation from differentiating human monocytes in vitro. It is shown here that LPC also controls the initiation of an adaptive immune response in vivo. LPC displays adjuvant properties when injected to mice in mixture with various antigens. Immunizations with LPC induced the production of antigen-specific antibodies with an efficiency similar to Alum, the reference adjuvant for human vaccination. Importantly, LPC also induced cytotoxic T cell responses, opening perspectives for vaccine development. Therefore, LPC is a natural adjuvant for the immune system, inducing humoral and cellular immune responses.
1. Introduction

With the development of new subunit vaccines that are less immunogenic than live or killed whole organism vaccines, there is an urgent need for potent adjuvants. For more than fifty years, aluminium salt derivatives (generically called Alum) have been the main adjuvants currently used for human vaccination. Toxicity is the major problem of many adjuvants that have been proposed as alternative to Alum, such as bacterial components and mineral oil emulsions. We hypothesized that endogenous lipids produced during physiological responses to aggression of the organism could be used as immunoregulators. Lipoprotein modifications occurring during the acute phase can provide signals of danger that are detected by dendritic cells (DC). The identification of these signals is a major issue to discover endogenous immunoregulators.

The acute phase response (APR) is a physiological alarm response of the body to tissue injury, trauma or infection. It is characterized by a series of coordinated processes involving several major organ systems and devoted to repair of tissue damage as well as isolation and elimination of potential pathogens. One function of the APR is to adapt the blood plasma composition to a new aggression state by transiently modifying the concentration of a number of proteins called acute phase reactants [1]. The concentration of these acute phase reactants can be increased up to a thousand fold while that of other proteins like albumin can drop dramatically [2]. This phenomenon is mainly controlled by the liver and has important consequences on lipid metabolism. It is accompanied by alterations in lipoprotein composition that result in generation of oxidized low density lipoprotein (oxLDL) and oxidized phospholipids [3,4]. OxLDL were first studied for their role in chronic inflammation during atherosclerosis [5] but recent work indicates that infection and inflammation also result...
in increased levels of oxLDL [6] and modified lipids that can be detected by the immune system [7-9].

Oxidative modification of low density lipoprotein (LDL) is a complex process generating numerous modified lipids such as lysophosphatidylcholine (LPC) and oxidized fatty acids that display opposite effects on inflammation. We reported that LPC downregulates the activity of the transcription factor peroxisome-proliferator activated receptor (PPAR) γ and induce dendritic cells maturation whereas oxidized fatty acids that activate PPARγ inhibit DC maturation [9]. Therefore, the relative level of these lipid mediators in oxLDL appears to determine the functional properties of oxLDL. LPC can be generated by oxidation and fragmentation of the polyunsaturated sn-2 fatty acyl residues of phosphatidylcholine (PC), followed by hydrolysis of the shortened fatty acyl residues by LDL-associated enzymes [10]. LPC can also be produced by hydrolysis of PC by secretory phospholipases A_2 (PLA_2) whose secretion is strongly increased during inflammatory conditions. Importantly, LPC was recently found to be a signal produced by apoptotic cells following caspase-3 mediated activation of the intracellular calcium-independent PLA_2 [11]. LPC synthesis by apoptotic cells is a soluble attraction signal for phagocytes and can be detected by scavenger receptors and natural IgM antibodies favouring complement activation resulting in efficient elimination of apoptotic corpses [12]. Production of LPC is accompanied by anti-inflammatory mediators, especially following phosphatidylserine recognition by phagocytes, therefore quenching the pro-inflammatory activity of LPC and most likely preventing induction of immune responses. Thus, the functionally available concentration of LPC appears to be tightly controlled during the acute phase in response to tissue injury and cellular death.

LPC is implicated in many aspects of the inflammatory response. It is a potent chemoattractant for monocytes [13] phagocytes [14] and T lymphocytes [15,16]. It stimulates the expression of growth factor and adhesion molecules by endothelial cells [17-19] as well as
their production of monocyte chemoattractant protein-1 [20]. LPC can activate monocytes and macrophages [21-25] and is one of the intracellular mediators required in the cytotoxic response of human NK cells to tumour cells [26]. After intracutaneous injection in humans, LPC induces a local inflammation and leukocyte accumulation at the site of injection [27]. LPC treatment has been shown to protect mice from experimental sepsis most likely by increasing the bactericidal activity of neutrophils [28]. LPC also displays some immunoregulatory activities. We recently reported that LPC acts through G protein-coupled receptors on differentiating monocytes to generate mature DC with the ability to stimulate IL-2 and IFNγ production by allogeneic T lymphocytes [8]. PPARγ are involved in this phenomenon but it is not clear whether the action of LPC on these nuclear receptors is direct or not [9]. LPC negatively regulates T cell proliferation induced by mitogenic signals [29]. Although it was first claimed that G2A could be a high affinity receptor for LPC on T lymphocytes [30], the authors now suggest that the action of LPC on G2A is indirect [31]. In mice, the production of Abs naturally generated following injection of sheep erythrocytes was increased in the presence of LPC [32]. The synthetic analogues of LPC, alkyl-lysophospholipids, displayed adjuvant-like properties in delayed-type hypersensitivity [33,34]. For these observations, no molecular target has been identified.

In this study, we asked whether LPC can initiate immune responses. Sensing the danger is a crucial function of Ag-presenting cells, that is necessary to initiate primary immune responses [35,36]. We previously hypothesized that oxLDL and modified phospholipids such as LPC generated during APR could signal the presence of a dangerous situation to the immune system and showed that oxLDL and LPC could favour the development of adaptive immunity by promoting mature DC generation in vitro [7-9]. It is shown here that LPC can prime both cellular and humoral Ag-specific responses, confirming that the APR regulates the production
of critical immunoregulatory molecules by controlling the biochemical composition of lipoproteins.
2. Materials and Methods

2.1. Mice
Female BALB/c and C57BL/6 mice (7-12 weeks of age) were obtained from Charles River Laboratories (L’Arbresle, France).

2.2. Cell culture
Primary cells from mouse organs were cultured in complete medium (RPMI 1640 with 2 mM Glutamine, 10 mM Hepes, 50 µM 2-mercaptoethanol and 10 % foetal calf serum) supplemented with 40 µg/ml Gentamycin. E.G7-OVA cells (EL-4 derived cells expressing ovalbumin (OVA)) were cultured in complete medium supplemented with 400 µg/ml Geneticin. E.G7-OVA cells present OVA 258-276 peptide on H-2 Kb MHC class I molecules.

2.3. Immunization material
Endotoxin free hen egg lysozyme (HEL) and Grade V OVA were from Sigma (St Quentin Fallavier, France) and dissolved in sterile PBS. L-alpha-lysophosphatidylcholine, complete Freund’s adjuvant (CFA) and incomplete Freund’s adjuvant (IFA) were from Sigma (St Quentin Fallavier, France). Alum was obtained from Pierce (Rockford, IL, USA) and used as recommended by the manufacturer. LPC was dissolved in sterile PBS for injections or in ethanol for epicutaneous applications.

Immunization mixtures were prepared by adding equal volumes of antigen (Ag) and adjuvant. To allow adsorption of Ag on Alum, the mixture was incubated for 30 min at room temperature.

2.4. Induction of T cell responses
BALB/c mice were immunized by injection in both hind footpads of 3 to 100 µg of HEL mixed with 250 or 500 nmol of LPC or with PBS. To analyze the effect of Intralipid (IL) on the LPC-induced T cell response, mice were immunized with a mixture containing 50 µg HEL with 45 µl Intralipid 20% (Fresenius Kabi, Sevres, France) (HEL+IL) or 50 µg HEL with 500 nmol LPC and 45 µl Intralipid 20% (HEL+LPC+IL). At day 10 post-immunization, popliteal draining lymph nodes were removed and lymph nodes from the same mouse were pooled. Cells were dissociated and washed 3 times in complete medium supplemented with 40 µg/ml Gentamycin. Cells (2.10^6 cells/ml) were restimulated in triplicates with increasing doses of HEL for 4 days. T cell proliferation was measured by ³H-Thymidine incorporation added for the last 15 h of culture (1 µCi / well). For statistical analysis, HEL-specific proliferation indexes were calculated as the ratio between proliferation with 140 µM HEL and basal proliferation without HEL. The Mann-Whitney rank test was used to compare indexes of proliferation obtained from mice immunized against HEL in the presence or not of LPC.

2.5. Contact hypersensitivity (CHS)

The mouse model of allergic contact dermatitis used in this study has been previously described [37]. Briefly, naïve BALB/c mice were sensitized at day 0 by epicutaneous application of 5 µl of a non irritant concentration of 2,4-dinitro-fluorobenzene (DNFB) (0.25% in acetone / olive oil 4 : 1) on each side of the left ear. CHS developed 5 days later as a characteristic oedema. To analyze the impact of LPC on CHS reaction, one group of mice received 5 µl of LPC (50 mM) dissolved in ethanol, applied on each side of the left ear at day -2, -1 and 0. DNFB was applied on the same ear 15 min after the last application of LPC at day 0 (group “LPC+DNFB”). Another group only received the control vehicle of LPC (ethanol) at day -2, -1 and 0 before sensitization by DNFB (group “DNFB”). The thickness of both ears was measured using a spring-loaded micrometer (J15 Blet SA, Lyon, France). Ear
swelling was calculated by subtracting ear thickness of the untreated right ear to ear thickness of the left ear. Vehicles alone did not induce ear swelling.

2.6. Induction of a cytotoxic T cell response

Groups of five C57BL/6 mice were immunized twice at 7 days interval by injection in both hind footpads of 100 µg of OVA mixed with 500 nmol of LPC or with PBS. 7 days after the last injection, draining lymph nodes were removed. Cells were dissociated, washed and resuspended at 4x10^6 cells/ml in complete medium for restimulation with E.G7-OVA cells. γ-irradiated E.G7-OVA cells (2x10^5 cells/ml) were added to lymph node cells for a 5 day-restimulation. Effector cells were then collected and incubated for cytotoxicity assay with 10^4 E.G7-OVA target cells labelled with Na_2^{51}CrO_4 for 1 h at 37°C. After a 5 hour-incubation at various effector to target ratio (E/T), supernatants were collected and radioactivity was measured with a gamma counter (TopCount NXT, PerkinElmer, Boston, MA). Percent specific lysis was calculated as follows: 100 x ([release by CTL – spontaneous release] / [maximal release – spontaneous release]). Maximal release was determined by addition of 2N HCl. Spontaneous release in the absence of CTL was <15% of maximal release.

2.7. Preventive and therapeutic anti-tumor therapy

Treatment of established tumours: groups of C57BL/6 mice were injected s.c. into the right flank at day 0 with 1.5x10^4 E.G7-OVA cells. Mice were then injected with 100 µg of OVA alone or 500 nmol LPC alone or OVA+LPC or OVA+CFA in both flanks, at day 1, 8 and 15. CFA was replaced by IFA in the second and third immunization. Preventive immunizations: groups of C57BL/6 mice were immunized at day -14 and -7 with s.c. injections of 100 µg of OVA alone or 500 nmol LPC alone or OVA+LPC or OVA+CFA in both flanks. CFA was replaced by IFA for the second injection. At day 0, 1.5x10^4 E.G7-OVA cells were injected
into the right flank. Tumour growth was followed and measured every 2 or 3 days. The tumour length (L) and width (W) were measured at different time points and tumour volume was determined by: \( \frac{L \times W^2}{2} \). Mice were sacrificed when the volume reached 4 cm\(^3\).

2.8. Production of antibodies

BALB/c mice were immunized at day 0 in both hind footpads with 50 µg of HEL mixed with PBS, LPC (500 nmol), Alum or CFA. At day 14, mice were injected s.c. on both flanks with the same mixtures except that CFA was replaced by IFA. Sera were collected at day 28 and titrated for HEL-specific antibodies by ELISA.

2.9. ELISA

96-well Nunc-Immuno plates (Nalge Nunc, Rochester, NY) were coated with 100 µg/ml HEL in 0.1 M sodium bicarbonate buffer pH 9.5 at 4°C overnight, washed twice with PBS / 0.05% Tween 20 and saturated with PBS / 5% BSA for 30 min at 37°C. Sera were incubated for 1 h at 37°C. After washing, HEL-specific Ig were detected by alkaline phosphatase-conjugated Abs diluted in PBS. Goat anti-mouse Ig (H+L), specific for IgG + IgM + IgA, was from Sigma and used at 1:10000. Anti-mouse IgG1 and IgG2a were from Rockland (Gilbertsville, PA, USA) and used at 1:10000 and 1:4000 respectively. Secondary Abs were incubated for 1 h at 37°C. The colorimetric assay was developed with 2 mg/ml para-nitrophenyl phosphate (Sigma) in 0.1 M triethanolamine pH 9.6 / 1 mM MgCl\(_2\), for 30 min at 37°C. Absorbance at 405 nm was determined using a MRX microplate reader (Dynex Technologies, Worthing, U.K.).
3. Results

3.1. LPC primes specific T cell responses in vivo

The following experiments were designed to explore the ability of LPC to prime Ag-specific T cell responses in mice. Immunization experiments were conducted with BALB/c mice that were injected once in the footpads with a mixture of LPC and HEL. After 10 days, the popliteal draining lymph nodes were collected and cells were restimulated ex-vivo for 4 days with the indicated doses of HEL. The capacity of T cells to respond to Ag restimulation was analyzed by measuring their proliferation. Figure 1A shows that T cells from mice immunized with 30 to 100 µg HEL mixed with 500 nmol LPC could respond to antigenic restimulation in vitro, indicating that sufficient naive HEL-specific T cells have been primed in vivo. Neither immunization with 100 µg of HEL without LPC nor injection of LPC alone could induce HEL-specific T cell priming in vivo (Fig. 1A). Immunization with 3 to 10 µg HEL plus LPC was not sufficient to induce a good priming of HEL-specific T cells under these experimental conditions. The adjuvant effect of LPC was dose-dependent (Fig 1B) with optimal priming obtained with 500 nmol LPC and 50 µg HEL. Mann-Whitney rank test indicated that proliferation indexes obtained from mice immunized with HEL + 500 nmol LPC (n=14) were significantly higher than those obtained from mice immunized with HEL alone (n=11) with p<0.01. Thus, LPC is a natural lipid that can induce in vivo the priming of naive T cells when co-injected with an Ag in a single intra-footpad injection.

In previous reports, we showed that the action of LPC on DC in vitro could be blocked by Intralipid (IL), a therapeutic lipid emulsion currently used for parenteral nutrition in case of septic shock [8]. Figure 1C shows that co-injection of IL with HEL+LPC strongly inhibited
the induction of the HEL-specific T cell response, indicating that IL can antagonize in vivo the immunostimulating effect of LPC.

The ability of LPC to stimulate T cell responses in vivo was then extended to a well characterized model of contact hypersensitivity (CHS) to the DNFB hapten. CHS is a skin inflammatory reaction that occurs at the site of hapten epicutaneous application and which is mediated by hapten-specific T cells [37]. Upon skin painting, the hapten is taken up by skin DC which migrate to proximal lymph nodes and activate specific T cells. Since the hapten can stay in the skin for a few days in its antigenic form, effector CD8$^+$ T cells are recruited and activated in the dermis and induce CHS through a process involving cytotoxicity and IFN$\gamma$ synthesis [38,39]. We used suboptimal concentrations of DNFB so that the CHS reaction observed at day 5 was only moderate in intensity and magnitude (Fig. 1D). Epicutaneous application of LPC once daily for three days before DNFB sensitization dramatically increased the CHS reaction to suboptimal concentrations of DNFB. Application of LPC alone did not induce ear swelling (data not shown).

Since activated hapten-specific CD8$^+$ T cells in the skin are the effectors of the CHS reaction to DNFB, these results suggested that LPC could stimulate hapten-specific CTL priming and/or recruitment. Therefore, we analyzed the activation of CD8$^+$ T cells in a model where cytotoxicity can be measured.

3.2. **LPC induces a cytotoxic T cell response in vivo**

These experiments were designed to compare the efficiency of CTL priming when mice are immunized with OVA supplemented with LPC, Freund’s Adjuvant or Alum. Immunization with CFA was used as a positive control of CTL activation. In contrast, Alum is an efficient inducer of humoral responses but a poor inducer of CTL responses. C57BL/6 mice were
immunized twice at one week interval by injection in the footpads of OVA mixed with the various adjuvants. One week after the second immunization, lymph nodes were collected and cells were restimulated for 5 days by irradiated E.G7-OVA cells. The E.G7-OVA tumour cell line derives from the C57BL/6 lymphoma EL4 cell line that has been transfected with the OVA cDNA. These cells express OVA endogenously and present OVA peptides on MHC-Class I molecules. CTL activity was assessed by $^{51}$Cr release assay using E.G7-OVA cells as target. Figure 1E shows that immunization of mice with OVA+LPC generates OVA-specific cytotoxic T cells with an efficiency similar to immunization with OVA+CFA. As expected, immunization with OVA alone or in combination with Alum was inefficient to generate CTL.

3.3. **LPC elicits preventive and therapeutic anti-tumour responses**

To further analyze the ability of LPC to prime an Ag-specific response, we asked whether OVA+LPC immunization could prevent or reduce the growth of the E.G7-OVA tumour cell line in C57BL/6 syngeneic mice. In this model, C57BL/6 mice were immunized preventively with OVA alone, LPC alone, OVA+LPC or OVA+CFA, at day -14 and -7 before tumour challenge at day 0 with $1.5 \times 10^4$ E.G7-OVA cells injected s.c. Control mice that received OVA alone or LPC alone developed solid tumours between day 9 and day 16 (Fig. 2). In mice immunized with LPC+OVA, tumour development was delayed and even prevented until day 30 for one third of the mice that remained tumour free at the autopsy (Fig. 2). The protection induced by immunization with LPC was similar to that provided by immunization with CFA, an adjuvant known to stimulate the CTL response with high efficiency.

In a second set of experiments, therapeutic immunizations were performed against OVA as a tumour Ag using LPC or CFA as adjuvant. The tumour cells were first injected at day 0 and mice were immunized 3 times at day 1, 8 and 15 by s.c. injection of OVA alone, LPC alone,
OVA+LPC or OVA+CFA. In control mice injected with OVA or LPC alone, the tumours were detectable at day 10 and presented an exponential growth (Fig. 3A,B). When mice received OVA+LPC, tumour growth was delayed by 7 days (Fig. 3C, E). At day 17, the tumour mean size of mice immunized with OVA+LPC was significantly reduced compared to control mice that received OVA alone (p<0.01). Immunization with OVA+CFA also strongly reduced tumour growth (Fig. 3D).

Although an important delay in tumour appearance was observed in mice immunized with OVA+LPC and OVA+CFA, the growth rate did not seem to be significantly different from control once the tumour has started to grow.

3.4. LPC initiates the production of Ag-specific antibodies

The data presented above indicate that LPC can prime T cell responses against different Ags. The ability of LPC to initiate a B cell response was then tested by immunizing BALB/c mice against HEL and measuring HEL-specific Abs by ELISA. Immunizations with HEL alone was not followed by the production of Abs whereas immunizations with HEL + CFA induced a massive secretion of anti-HEL Ig (Fig. 4A) with a mean titer reaching $10^4$ (Fig. 4B). Immunizations with HEL+LPC led to the production of HEL-specific Ig (Fig. 4A) with an intermediate titer (Fig. 4B). These results were highly significant (p<0.02) and similar to those obtained following immunization with HEL+Alum (data not shown). The characterization of IgG isotypes showed that LPC and Alum induced very similar responses with high production of anti-HEL IgG1 but no anti-HEL IgG2a (Fig. 4C). As previously described for BALB/c mice [40,41], only CFA induced an important secretion of both IgG1 and IgG2a (Fig. 4D).
4. Discussion

The APR is a transient reaction of the host to an aggression that results in important modifications of the concentration of acute phase reactants. Native lipoproteins whose function is to transport and distribute non-polar lipids throughout the organism acquire additional function during the APR. Indeed, acute phase lipoproteins can be submitted to biochemical modifications that lead to the production of bioactive molecules. Among these molecules, LPC is known to be a pro-inflammatory lysophospholipid and to stimulate the generation of mature DC from differentiating monocytes in vitro [8]. This raised the intriguing possibility that LPC could be an endogenous signal of danger, favouring the activation of the adaptive arm of the immune response, that could be of interest for the development of new immunotherapy and vaccination procedures. It is shown here that LPC can initiate both humoral and cellular Ag-specific adaptive responses when injected subcutaneously with an Ag that does not elicit by itself an immune response. The action of LPC on T cell priming could be demonstrated using several immunization procedures against HEL, OVA or the hapten DNFB. LPC enhanced the CHS response to DNFB, a reaction that requires the activation of DNFB-specific CD8$^+$ T cells and their recruitment in the skin [39]. Ag-specific T cell proliferation and CTL generation was also observed after immunization with HEL or OVA mixed with LPC. These data suggest that LPC induces the presentation of exogenous Ag on MHC-Class I molecules, a property mainly devoted to mature DC. Immunization with OVA+LPC also inhibited the development of OVA$^+$ MHC-class I-positive tumours, in a preventive and therapeutic manner. LPC injected in mixture with a protein Ag was able to initiate an antibody specific response with production of IgG1. Although it was previously shown that LPC could enhance antibody production that was naturally induced by sheep erythrocytes in mice [32], this is the first report that LPC does not only increase
antibody production but can also efficiently prime B cell responses. Although the absence of IgG2a is more in favour of a Th2-type response, the overall data indicate that LPC can stimulate a well balanced immune response leading to both cellular and humoral immunity.

Several receptors could account for the detection of LPC in mice. The receptor G2A is involved in the LPC-induced protection of mice from experimental sepsis [28]. Our preliminary results show that blocking Abs administered as described in the model of experimental sepsis did not affect the induction of a T cell response by LPC, suggesting that G2A is unlikely playing a major role in this process. Other known LPC receptors could mediate the action of LPC such as the G-protein coupled receptors GPR4 and PAF-receptor [23,42,43] and the scavenger receptor LOX-1 [44].

Alum is associated with a Th2-oriented immune response characterized by a strong humoral response but a poor cellular immunity. Therefore, Alum is a good adjuvant when protection mainly relies on neutralizing antibodies. However, subcutaneous or intradermal injection of Alum-based vaccine may favour the development of granulomas and this adjuvant is associated with increased IgE production and allergenicity (for review see [45]). The observation that LPC stimulates antibody production with an efficiency similar to that of Alum, combined with an active cellular immunity opens interesting perspectives in the field of vaccination. It suggests that LPC or related molecules naturally generated during the APR could be introduced in future vaccination formulations against tumours or viruses. The local effect of intracutaneous injection of high concentration of LPC has been studied in humans. No toxicity was observed but a moderate erythema and oedema occurred that rapidly disappeared in 4 hours [27]. Local cellular infiltrate was composed of monocytes, neutrophils and lymphocytes. The ability of LPC to naturally penetrate the skin as shown in the CHS experiments suggests that LPC could be used for transcutaneous immunizations. This
possibility strengthen the therapeutic potential of LPC that nonetheless needs to be further investigated in both animals and humans.

During the APR, increased oxidation of LDL and secretion of PLA$_2$ both favour the production of LPC and other biologically active lipids that have the ability to stimulate DC maturation [8,46]. Elevated levels of active LPC in the blood can result from oxidation or PLA$_2$ hydrolysis of PC from lipoproteins accompanied by a decrease in the concentration of LPC inhibitors, albumin and native LDL. In inflamed peripheral tissues, increased secretion of inflammatory PLA$_2$ may result in local burst of LPC by hydrolysis of cell membrane PC. Moreover, pro-oxidant molecules generated by the inflammation can favour the accumulation of oxLDL and LPC in the tissue. This process has been described during atherosclerosis, which is a chronic inflammatory disease of arterial wall, where high amounts of LPC are found in atherosclerotic lesions [47]. LPC synthesis is also triggered by apoptosis through the activation of intracellular PLA$_2$. This lipid that diffuses in the microenvironment is a chemoattractant for phagocytes and antigen presenting cells [11]. Moreover, LPC exposure at the cell surface results in the binding of natural IgM and complement activation, therefore favouring rapid elimination of apoptotic corpses [12]. It appears that membrane modifications during apoptosis produce a variety of lipids with distinct immunoregulatory functions. Clearly, mechanisms of generation of LPC and other bioactive lipids is an important issue that deserves further investigation. These molecules that are easy to synthesized in vitro and display immunoregulatory functions, could be used in human.

In vivo, LPC action on the immune system could take place at different levels since this lipid displays diverse effects on various cell types in vitro. LPC is a chemoattractant, enhancing the recruitment of monocytes, neutrophils and lymphocytes [13,19,27]. Hence, elevated levels of LPC in inflamed tissues may enhance the recruitment of blood monocytes and their differentiation to mature DC as previously shown in vitro [8]. LPC also induces the secretion
of pro-inflammatory molecules by endothelial cells, creating a local environment that may favour DC activation. Therefore, LPC may stimulate the maturation and migration of an increasing number of DC to the draining lymph nodes where they can prime naive T lymphocytes. This chemoattractant lipid may also enhance the recruitment of activated T lymphocytes in the injured tissue. In addition, LPC negatively regulates T cell proliferation induced by mitogenic signals [29]. LPC may thus increase the specificity of T cell activation of newly recruited T lymphocytes and prevent bystander proliferation.

Therefore, by controlling the production of bioactive lipids, the APR and apoptosis now appear to be directly involved in the regulation of the adaptive immune system. Inhibition of the effect of oxLDL and LPC in vitro and in vivo by native LDL and Intralipid [7-9], highlights the central role of the balance between native and APR lipids in this process. A lipidomic analysis of the APR and apoptotic cells should help in our understanding of these new immunoregulatory pathways and should open therapeutic perspectives for the use of endogeneous lipids in immunotherapy and vaccination.
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Figure legends

Fig. 1. LPC stimulates Ag-specific T cell responses in vivo. (A) BALB/c mice were immunized with the indicated doses of HEL (0, 3, 10, 30 or 100 µg/footpad) mixed with LPC (500 nmol/footpad). Control mice were immunized with 100 µg of HEL in PBS, without LPC. At d10, cells from draining lymph nodes were restimulated in vitro with HEL for 4 days at the indicated concentration and proliferation of T cells was measured by $^3$H-Thymidine incorporation. Mean +/- SD of triplicata is shown for a representative experiment out of five. (B) Mice were immunized with 50 µg of HEL mixed with 0, 250 or 500 nmol of LPC. At day 10, cells from the draining lymph nodes were restimulated with HEL for 4 days and proliferation of T cells was measured by $^3$H-Thymidine incorporation. Mean +/- SD of triplicata is shown for a representative experiment out of five. (C) Intralipid inhibits T cell priming by LPC. Mice were immunized with 50 µg HEL alone (HEL), HEL + Intralipid 20% (HEL + IL), HEL + 500 nmol LPC (HEL + LPC) or HEL + Intralipid 20% + LPC (HEL + LPC + IL). At d10, cells from draining lymph nodes were restimulated ex vivo with 50 µM HEL for 4 days and proliferation of T cells was measured. Mean $^3$H-Thymidine incorporation +/- SD for each group of mice is shown for a representative experiment out of three. (D) LPC enhances DNFB specific-T cell response. One group was treated with LPC, applied once daily on the left ear (500 nmol) for 3 days, while another group received only ethanol. DNFB (0,25%) was applied at day 0 on the left ear 15 min after the last application of LPC (LPC+DNFB) or ethanol (DNFB). Ear swelling was measured at day -2 before application, at day 0 and thereafter from day 5 to day 9. Mean ear swelling ± SD for each group of five mice of a representative experiment out of three. (E) LPC induces cytotoxic T cell response. C57BL/6 mice were immunized twice at one week interval by injection in both hind footpads of 100 µg OVA with or without 500 nmol LPC. One week later, popliteal lymph node cells
were collected and cultured with irradiated E.G7-OVA cells for 5 days. Cytotoxic activity was measured by a standard $^{51}$Cr release assay at the indicated effector to target cells ratio (E/T ratio). Data are expressed as means of triplicate determinations and are representative of three independent experiments. SD was less than 10%.

Fig. 2. LPC induces a preventive anti-tumour response. Groups of C57BL/6 mice were immunized at day -14 and -7 by s.c. injection in both flanks of 100 µg OVA without adjuvant (♦), 500 nmol LPC (■), OVA + LPC (●) or OVA + CFA (□). At day 0, mice were challenged by s.c. injection of 1.5x10$^4$ E.G7 cells into the right flank. Tumour appearance was monitored every 2-3 days. Data from a representative experiment with 9-10 mice per group out of three.

Fig. 3. LPC induces a therapeutic anti-tumour response. Groups of C57BL/6 mice received 1.5x10$^4$ E.G7 cells via s.c. injection at day 0 and were immunized at day 1, 8 and 15 by s.c. injection in both flanks of 100 µg OVA alone (A), 500 nmol LPC (B), OVA + LPC (C) or OVA + CFA (D). Tumour volume was measured at indicated days. Dots represent each individual mouse and the curve shows the evolution of the mean size for each group. The results of one out of three independent experiments are shown. (E) Mean tumour size ± SD for each group of five mice is shown at day 17. Statistical significance was determined with Student’s t-test, compared with OVA group

Fig. 4. LPC induces the production of HEL-specific antibodies. Mice were immunized twice with 100 µg HEL with or without LPC or Alum or CFA at 2 weeks interval. Two weeks after the second injection, sera were collected and titrated for HEL-specific antibodies. Data are representative of five independent experiments. (A) Titration of HEL-specific total Ig. Dots represent individual mice and the curve shows the mean value for each group of mice. (B)
HEL-specific antibody titers are calculated by determining the serum dilution giving 50 % of the maximal O.D. Dots represent individual mice. Statistical significance was determined with Student’s t-test, compared with HEL group (C) Titration of HEL-specific IgG1. Data represent mean ± SD of groups of five mice. (D) Antibody titers of HEL-specific IgG1 and IgG2a, determined as the serum dilution giving 50 % of the maximal O.D. Data represent mean ± SD of groups of five mice.
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