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**Abstract**

Injuries to articular cartilage are one of the most challenging issues of musculoskeletal medicine due to the poor intrinsic ability of this tissue for repair. Despite progress in orthopaedic surgery, the lack of efficient modalities of treatment for large chondral defects has prompted research on tissue engineering combining chondrogenic cells, scaffold materials and environmental factors. The aim of this review is to focus on the recent advances made in exploiting the potentials of cell therapy for cartilage engineering. These include:

1) defining the best cell candidates between chondrocytes or multipotent progenitor cells, such as multipotent mesenchymal stromal cells (MSC), in terms of readily available sources for isolation, expansion and repair potential; 2) engineering biocompatible and biodegradable natural or artificial matrix scaffolds as cell carriers, chondrogenic factors releasing factories and supports for defect filling, 3) identifying more specific growth factors and the appropriate scheme of application that will promote both chondrogenic differentiation and then maintain the differentiated phenotype overtime and 4) evaluating the optimal combinations that will answer to the functional demand placed upon cartilage tissue replacement in animal models and in clinics. Finally, some of the major obstacles generally encountered in cartilage engineering are discussed as well as future trends to overcome these limiting issues for clinical applications.
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**INTRODUCTION**

Structure and function of articular cartilage

Articular cartilage is a highly specialized tissue that reduces joint friction and protects the bone ends from the shear forces associated with high mechanical load. The articular cartilage consists of chondrocytes and few progenitor cells [1 ] which are organized in various layers, from the fibrotic to the mature and hypertrophic mineralizing layer of chondrocytes in direct contact with the sub-chondral bone. The extracellular matrix (ECM) of chondrocytes is distinct from that of other connective tissues. This ECM is composed of a network of fibrillar collagens that give the tissue its shape, strength and tensile force and, proteoglycans that give resistance to compression [2 ]. It contains the large aggregating proteoglycan aggrecan which is attached to hyaluronic acid polymers via a link protein and predominantly, the collagens type II (80–90% of total collagens), IX and XI. Once the cartilage is formed in the adult, the turn-over of ECM protein replacement is low with a collagen and proteoglycan half-live of 100 and 3–24 years, respectively [3 ]. This, low rate of matrix remodelling partly explains why chondrocytes are relatively inactive metabolically although they can respond to various stimuli to maintain normal homeostasis.

Poor intrinsic capacity of cartilage for repair

After injury due to traumas or osteo-articular diseases, the articular cartilage is frequently damaged resulting in fibrillation and subsequent degradation which can also lay down into the sub-chondral bone. This is the result of the limited capacity of cartilage for repair due to the absence of vasculature that cannot provide the progenitor cells from the blood or the bone marrow to enter the tissue. Accordingly, the resident articular progenitor cells or chondrocytes entrapped within the surrounding matrix do not migrate into the lesions to secrete a reparative matrix. Consequently, the limited repair capacity and the absence of pharmacological agents have prompted researchers and surgeons to develop surgical methods to restore cartilage surfaces using tissue grafts or cell-based therapies (for review, see [4 ]). However, none of the current cartilage repair approaches allowed the generation of long term hyaline cartilage replacement tissue.
Limitations of current surgical methods for cartilage repair

Among the possible explanations for the limited results described with the current methods of cartilage repair is the lack of integration of the chondrocytes within the existing cartilage. This is likely to be due to the insufficient capacity of implanted cells to secrete the cartilaginous matrix and to recapitulate the complex events resulting in the zonal organization of the cartilaginous tissue [5]. The lack of integration may also be due to the incomplete differentiation of chondroprogenitor cells or instability of the chondrocytic phenotype. The implantation of undifferentiated cells has already been applied in humans and although a significantly improved patient outcome was observed after one to five years, the defects were filled with a fibrocartilaginous tissue [6]. Finally, leakage of the cell suspension may be the cause of loss or decreased viability of the implanted cells as currently reported in autologous chondrocyte transplantation [7]. In summary, cell-based therapies have proved their feasibility but showed no superiority over other surgical methods on the long-term highlighting a crucial need for optimizing various combinations of cell types, scaffolds and/or chondrogenic factors (Fig. 1).

CELL SOURCES FOR CARTILAGE REPAIR

Chondrocytes

Chondrocytes, the resident cells of cartilage, produce the components of the ECM and represent the cells of choice for engineering articular cartilage. Adult chondrocytes have been isolated from various sources like articular cartilage, nasal septum, ribs or ear cartilage [8, 9]. However, ear cartilage is an elastic cartilage, which exhibit different mechanical properties as compared to the hyaline cartilage found in joint and nasal septum. Isogai et al. have shown that chondrocytes give rise to cartilage tissue having the characteristics of its original tissue [8]. A chondrocyte from ear cartilage will thus give rise to an elastic cartilage. With a view to an application to the repair of articular cartilage, it therefor seems more appropriate to use hyaline cartilage as a source of chondrocytes. A comparison between different sources of hyaline chondrocytes (nasal, costal, and articular) has shown the superiority of costal and nasal chondrocytes on articular chondrocytes in term of quantity of cartilage formed after transplantation in subcutaneous sites [8]. One of the main limits related to the use of chondrocytes, is their instability in monolayer culture resulting in the loss of their phenotype. Indeed, chondrocytes lose the expression of the chondrocytic markers which are collagen II and aggrecan mainly, but also SZP (superficial zone protein) [10]. This loss of the chondrocytic phenotype is accompanied by a phenotypic shift towards a fibroblastic one. This fibroblastic phenotype is characterized by an increased expression of collagen I and the adoption of the spindle-shape characteristic of fibroblasts [11]. This process of dedifferentiation is however reversible. Indeed, if dedifferentiated chondrocytes are placed in a three-dimensional environment, they retrieve their differentiated phenotype [12, 13]. Chondrocytes from osteoarthritic (OA) cartilage have also been considered. However, OA chondrocytes undergo metabolic alterations, which can lead to a low response to inductive environmental factors [14, 15]. Although chondrocytes derived from OA patients appear less appropriate for articular cartilage repair, it has been reported that OA chondrocytes may be able to recover a normal chondrocytic phenotype after in vitro three-dimensional (3D) culture [16]. However, additional studies are required to clearly decipher whether OA chondrocytes could be manipulated in vitro to be suitable for cell therapy of cartilage.

Mesenchymal stem cells

Multipotential mesenchymal stromal cells (MSC) are an attractive source of cells for cartilage engineering due to their easy access and high capacity of in vitro expansion. They are mainly isolated from bone marrow or adipose tissue but have been isolated from a number of other tissues including synovium, periosteum, umbilical cord vein or placenta [17]. MSC were first characterized by their clonogenic potential determined by the capacity to form Fibroblast Colony Forming Units (CFU-F). In the bone marrow, the frequency of CFU-F is in the range of 1 cell in 10^4–10^5 mononuclear cells [18, 19]. They are now characterized by their capacity to adhere to plastic, their phenotype (CD73+, CD90+, CD105+, CD14− or CD11b−, CD19− or CD79a−, CD34−, CD45− and HLA-DR−) and their trilineage differentiation potential [20]. MSC also exhibit the potential to differentiate into myocytes, tendinocytes, ligamentocytes [21], cardiomyocytes [22], neuronal cells [23, 24] and other cell types [25]. More recently, these cells have been described as immunoregulatory cells since they were shown to escape the immune recognition and to inhibit the host defence mechanisms (for review, see [26]). The interest of various other progenitor cells, such as multipotent adult progenitor cells (MAPC) or marrow-isolated adult multilineage inducible (MIAMI) cells, for cartilage differentiation has been shown in vitro but has still to be validated in vivo [27, 28].

DIFFERENTIATION FACTORS REQUIRED FOR CARTILAGE ENGINEERING

A number of growth and differentiation factors that regulate cartilage development and homeostasis of mature articular cartilage have been identified. The most characterized factors which stimulate the anabolic activity in cartilage include Transforming Growth Factor (TGF)-β, Bone Morphogenetic Protein (BMP), Fibroblast Growth Factors (FGF), Insulin Growth factor (IGF)-1, Hedgehog (hh) and Wingless (Wnt) proteins.

Transforming Growth Factor-β family
The TGF-β superfamily of polypeptides includes TGF-β, BMP, activins and inhibins. Because TGF-β and BMP are the best characterized peptides and their role in osteogenesis and chondrogenesis is well documented [3], we will focus on these molecules in the following paragraphs. The TGF-β family includes 5 members (TGF-β1–5) which are produced by many different cell types. However, the concentration of TGF-β is approximately 100-fold greater in bone than in other tissues [29]. Articular cartilage itself contains large amounts of latent TGF-β. Although present in tiny quantities in normal physiological conditions, active TGF-β1, 2 and 3 are generally considered to be potent stimulators of proteoglycans and type II collagen synthesis in primary chondrocytes (for review[3, 29]). In vitro, TGF-β1, 2 and 3 were also shown to induce the chondrogenic differentiation of MSC [30–32]. Recently, rabbit MSC encapsulated with thermo-reversible hydrogel releasing heparin-bind TGF-β3 were shown to differentiate toward chondrocytes [33]. In vivo, a porous gelatin-chondroitin-hyaluronate scaffold in combination with a controlled release of TGF-β1 could induce the chondral differentiation of MSC to form ectopic cartilage [34]. The same group also reported that implantation of rabbit MSC in a full-thickness deficit resulted in better chondrocyte morphology, integration, continuous subchondral bone, and much thicker newly formed cartilage layer when compared to control group [34]. However, several studies have shown that injection of TGF-β or TGF-β-expressing adenoviruses results in side effects in the joints, such as osteophyte formation, swelling and synovial hyperplasia [35–37].

Bone morphogenetic proteins

BMPs constitute a large sub-class of polypeptides whose role is essential for chondrogenesis during skeletal development. Indeed, mutations in BMP-5 and BMP-14 genes result in brachypondism in mice and chondrodysplasia in humans. A number of mice deficient for BMP are nonviable but in BMPR-1B, ActR-IA, BMP-7 or BMP-14 deficient mice, severe appendicular skeletal defects have been observed suggesting that they play important synergistic or overlapping roles in cartilage and bone formation in vivo [38]. A number of BMP, including BMP-2, -4, -6, -7, -13, -14 can stimulate the chondrogenic differentiation of MSC [39–42] and enhance the synthesis of collagen type II and aggrecan by chondrocytes in vitro [43]. In vivo, healing of full-thickness cartilage defects in the rabbit was improved when combining microfracture and recombinant BMP-7 [44]. Similarly, the implantation of a type I collagen sponge containing BMP-2-expressing naked plasmid DNA implanted in full-thickness cartilage defects stimulates the transfection of MSC subjacent to the defect and cartilage repair [34]. The use of ex vivo retrovirally transduced muscle-derived stem cells isolated from mouse skeletal muscle to express BMP-4 enhanced chondrogenesis and significantly improved articular cartilage repair in rats [45]. A more recent study indicated that repair of chondral lesions in the knee joints of miniature pigs by periosteal precursor cells is facilitated in deeper hypoxic zones of cartilage repair tissue and is stimulated by BMP-2, and to a lesser extend IGF-1, which enhance HIF-1α activity [46]. However, when implanted in ectopic localizations, BMPs led to bone formation via endochondral ossification which should be avoided for articular cartilage engineering [47]. This observation points to the notion that optimal regulation of BMPs may enhance their efficacy in a regulated tissue engineering strategy. Indeed, Huard’s team showed that Noggin delivery can inhibit heterotopic ossification caused by BMP-4, demineralized bone matrix, and trauma in calvaria defect model [48, 49] suggesting that this strategy may be also useful for inhibiting endochondral ossification induced by hypertrophic cartilage. Although these BMP have shown great potential in animal models, no clinical studies have been conducted to validate their potential to enhance cartilage repair in humans.

Wingless family

The Wnt family of secreted ligands contains more than 20 members in vertebrates that are characterized by conserved cysteine residues. These proteins exhibit unique expression patterns and distinct functions in development [50]. The Wnt family members signal through the canonical β-catenin-dependent pathway or β-catenin-independent pathways. Various Wnt members are involved both in early and late skeletal development and play a role in the control of chondrogenesis and hypertrophy. 9 Wnt genes are expressed in the postnatal growth plate, including Wnt-4, -5a, -5b, -10b and -11 at higher levels, and Wnt-2b, -7b, -9a and -10a at very low levels [51]. Wnt-1, Wnt-4, Wnt-7a, Wnt-8 block chondrogenic differentiation but display different effects on hypertrophy. On the contrary, Wnt-5a and Wnt-5b promote chondrogenesis [52, 53]. Wnt-5a together with Wnt-5b were shown to regulate the proliferation of chondrocytes and their maturation into hypertrophic chondrocytes in both the embryonic and postnatal growth plate and Wnt-11 does not affect chondrogenic differentiation. The role of Wnt-3a on chondrogenesis is more controversial. Importantly, we recently identified Wnt-6 as a new factor able to induce the chondrogenic differentiation of primary MSCs while inhibiting both osteogenic and adipogenic differentiation (pers.com.). The key genes identified in both embryonic cartilage development and postnatal endochondral bone formation includes the Wnt-5a/Fz-5 receptor complex and Wnt-7a [54]. It is generally reported that Wnt members responsible for the induction of the osteogenic differentiation activate the β-catenin-dependent pathway. Indeed, in vitro inactivation of β-catenin in MSC causes chondrocyte differentiation under conditions allowing only osteoblasts to form [55]. Consistently, in vitro loss- and gain-of-function analyses reveal that β-catenin activity is necessary and sufficient to repress the differentiation of mesenchymal cells into Runx2- and Sox9-positive skeletal precursors [56]. However, β-catenin was recently shown to be required for both osteogenesis and chondrogenesis in adult mature tissues [57]. Overall, it appears that the Wnt network has dual roles in cartilage, as has been described in other tissues: it is an important regulator of chondrocyte development, but deregulated signaling is detrimental to mature tissues and may lead to disease.

Fibroblast growth factor family
In vertebrates, the FGF family comprises twenty-two structurally related proteins with a molecular mass from 17 to 34 kDa that bind one of four tyrosine kinase FGF receptors (FGFR) [58]. The importance of FGF signalling in skeletal development is highlighted by the number of dysplasias, mainly dwarfing chondrodysplasias and craniosynostosis syndromes, attributed to specific mutation in the genes encoding the FGFR-1, -2 and -3 [59]. During limb development, FGFR-2, Sox9 and collagen type II are among the earliest genes upregulated in condensing mesenchyme. Mice lacking FGFR-3 develop skeletal overgrowth while mice overexpressing an activated form of FGFR-3 develop skeletal dwarfism. These data have shown that signalling through FGFR-3 negatively regulates chondrocyte proliferation through a STAT1 pathway and differentiation through the MAPK pathway. Genetic studies have also identified defects in chondrogenesis in mice lacking FGF18 whereas no apparent defects in chondrogenesis occurs in mice lacking FGF-2, -5, -6, -7, -8 and -17. Additionally, the skeletons of FGFR-9+/− mice are sightly smaller than the wild type littermates [60].

In the adult cells, the chondrogenic effect of FGF and FGFR has been confirmed by very few studies. Indeed, forced expression of FGFR-3 in the murine C3H10T1/2 MSC line was shown sufficient for chondrogenic differentiation [61]. Accordingly, FGF-18 which was shown to be a selective ligand for FGFR-3 in limb bud mesenchymal cells, suppress their proliferation while promoting differentiation to produce cartilage matrix [62]. In cultured chicken chondrocytes, FGF-9, another ligand for FGFR3, rapidly induces the upregulation and secretion of the matrix phosphoprotein osteopontin, known to be associated with chondrocyte and osteoblast differentiation. Unexpectedly, FGF-9-induced osteopontin was accompanied by inhibition of differentiation and increased proliferation of the treated chondrocytes [63]. In adult chondrocytes, FGF-2 is mainly mitogenic although recent studies have shown that it can inhibit the anabolic activities of other growth factors, such as IGF-1. MSCs expanded in FGF-2-supplemented medium proliferated more rapidly than control MSCs and FGF-2 treatment enhanced subsequent chondrogenic differentiation in a 3-dimensional culture [64, 65]. In addition, in a rabbit model, FGF-2 can stimulate articular cartilage restoration in temporomandibular osteoarthritic defects, although the effective concentration range of FGF-2 would have to be determined [66]. Implantation of a fibrin sealant incorporating FGF-2 successfully induced healing of a mechanically induced defect with hyaline cartilage and concomitant repair of the subchondral bone [67]. One study reports the use of FGF-18 in a setting of rapidly progressive osteoarthritis in rats. The data showed that FGF-18 induced an increase in chondrocyte size and remodeling of the subchondral bone suggesting that it can stimulate repair of damaged cartilage [68]. The important issues coming from the contradictory results reported to date include a better characterization of the signalling pathways activated by FGFs, a better understanding of the interplay between these pathways as well as understanding the contribution of additional factors, such as HS, in regulating FGF activity in cartilage and bone development.

**Insulin-like growth factor family**

The IGF family is composed of two ligands (IGF-1 and IGF-2), two cell surface receptors (IGF1R and IGF2R), at least six different IGF binding proteins (IGFBP-1 to IGFBP-6), and multiple IGFBP proteases, which regulate IGF activity in several tissues. IGF-1 is the most studied form with respect to cartilage repair. In embryonic development, mice with IGF-1−/− mutations display severe growth retardation, have developmental defects in various organs while IGF-2−/− are 60% smaller than their wild-type littermates but grow normally after birth. Accordingly, mice nullizygous for the IGF1R gene demonstrate severe fetal growth retardation [69]. In humans, a reported natural deletion of exons 4 and 5 of the IGF-1 gene results in severe pre- and postnatal growth and developmental deficits, combined with mental retardation [70].

IGF-1 and IGF1R are expressed by chondrocytes, osteoblasts, and osteoclasts. IGF-1 is considered an essential mediator of cartilage homeostasis through its capacity to stimulate proteoglycan synthesis and, promote chondrocyte survival and proliferation [71, 72]. IGF-1 also induces the differentiation of MSC towards the chondrocytic phenotype as shown by the upregulation of the specific markers [33, 73]. In a critical size full-thickness cartilage defect horse model, defects filled with fibrin clots loaded with IGF-1 repaired better than empty defects and contained mainly chondrocytes with predominantly collagen type II rich matrix [74]. In the same model, the combined use of chondrocytes and IGF-1 tend to improve the overall continuity and consistency of the repair tissue [74]. However, an age-related or OA-associated decline in the responsiveness of chondrocytes to IGF-1 appears to be due at least in part to over-expression of IGFBPs. The titration of FGFs by IGFBPs may account for the variable results reported to date with IGF-1 treatment for in vivo cartilage repair studies. Improvement will require optimizing the dose, injection regimen and/or combination with other growth factors.

**Hedgehog family**

In mammals, the Hh family comprises 3 members of highly conserved proteins: Sonic hedgehog (Shh), Indian hedgehog (Ihh), and Desert hedgehog (Dhh). In concert with other signaling molecules, Ihh has been found to function as a central regulator of endochondral ossification, coordinating chondrocyte proliferation, differentiation, and ossification of the perichondrium. Expression of Ihh induces the upregulation of a second secreted factor, parathyroid hormone-related protein (PTHrP), which is expressed in distal chondrocytes of the skeletal elements. Mice overexpressing Ihh or a constitutively activated allele of Smo displayed an increased chondrocyte proliferation [75]. Furthermore, as Gli3 is the major mediator of Shh signaling during limb patterning, it is not surprising that mutations in the human Gli3 gene cause a variety of inherited skeletal patterning defects [76]. The requirement of Ihh signalling varies in different developmental...
processes: osteoblast differentiation in the perichondrium and chondrocyte differentiation in the sclerotome are induced by transient exposures to Ihh or Shh, respectively, whereas the onset of columnar and hypertrophic chondrocyte differentiation depends on continuous Ihh signaling to maintain the Ihh-PTHrP interactions. Furthermore, hedgehog signaling has been shown to interact with several other signaling pathways, including those of FGFs, Wnts, and BMPs.

Although hedgehog signalling has been mainly described in growth plate chondrocytes, the role of Shh during chondrogenesis has been shown. Indeed, in vitro, Shh-treated MSCs showed expression of cartilage markers aggrecan, Sox9, CEP-68, and collagen type II and type X within 3 weeks [77]. In addition, Hh pathway dramatically impaired adipogenesis of MSC, with reduced lipid accumulation, a decrease in adipocyte-specific markers, and acquisition of insulin-resistant phenotype stimulation [78]. In vivo, few studies are available and report the role of Shh on bone regeneration [79].

**NATURAL AND SYNTHETIC SCAFFOLDS FOR CARTILAGE ENGINEERING**

A number of matrices have been tested in vitro and in vivo in preclinical and clinical studies. These matrices can be classified according to their nature (proteic, polysaccharidic, synthetic and natural) or to their form (mass, mass porous, foam, viscous liquid and hydrogel). The ideal properties of a matrix are biocompatibility to prevent the inflammatory reactions to protect host tissue; three-dimensional shape allowing proliferation and cellular differentiation and porosity permitting migration of cells and diffusion of molecules, nutrients and oxygen. The matrix must also allow cell adhesion to facilitate the implantation of cells in the lesion and maintainance in the implant. It can also be bioactive and allow the homogeneous and controlled release of growth factors or morphogens. Finally, the matrix has to adhere to the host tissue; maintain its mechanical integrity in order to avoid its flow after implantation and be degradable to integrate the physiological processes of tissue remodeling. The matrix must be applicable by mini-invasive surgery thus if possible injectable. Main matrices used in tissue engineering of the cartilage are referred in table 1. Mixed matrices can be also obtained either by an association of two or several matrices, or by modifying them chemically or structurally [80].

**Natural matrices**

**Collagen matrices**

Collagen-based matrices or collagen sponges are among the mostly used matrices for cartilage engineering. Collagen is naturally degraded by collagenases and serines proteases. Its degradation is controlled locally by the cells present in the tissue [81]. These collagen matrices implanted alone improve the spontaneous repair process of osteochondral defects in the rabbit [82]. They are however generally associated with chondrocytes [83] or MSC [84]. MSC seeded in type I and III collagen gels and implanted in osteochondral defects allowed the formation of cartilage and subchondral bone which was mechanically inferior to healthy articular cartilage and showed signs of degeneration after 24 weeks [85]. Moreover, the use of type I collagen from bovine origin may induce the production of antibodies by the host [86]. Collagen gels like Atelocollagene® (Koken Co Ltd, Tokyo, Japan) are favorable for the culture of chondrocytes and the synthesis of the ECM. These gels have been used in vitro as three-dimensional support for the culture of autologous human chondrocytes and in humans. This last study showed encouraging results, since 93% of the patients exhibited hyaline cartilage containing type II collagen with a biomechanical response similar between repaired tissue and healthy cartilage [87]. Another multicentric clinical study also showed positive preliminary results after implantation of grafts of collagen gel containing autologous chondrocytes and three-dimensional culture in vitro [88]. Collagen gels containing MSC formed hyaline-like tissue in cartilaginous defects after 7 months and after 1 year, patients had recovered a normal activity [6]. Despite immunoreactivity associated to its bovine origin, collagen gels therefore could appear as suitable matrices for cartilage tissue engineering.

**Fibrin Glue**

The fibrin adhesive is obtained by polymerization of fibrinogen in the presence of thrombin [89]. The fibrinogen is a physiologic liquid present in blood which is activated to polymerization in vascular lesions or in pathological situations [90]. Physiologically, fibrin promotes the spontaneous repair activity of articular cartilage but also has a pro-inflammatory activity. Fibrin induces its own degradation by the ECM components into non toxic endpoint components. Several studies have reported that the use of fibrin glue and chondrocytes improve cartilage repair in vivo [91–94]. In horse, fibrin glue containing either chondrocytes or MSC allowed the formation of a new cartilaginous tissue containing high proteoglycan and type II collagen contents [95, 96]. After 30 days, the defects filled with fibrin containing MSC exhibited a higher arthroscopic score compared with fibrin alone but it was no more significant after 6 months [96]. Nevertheless, due to its lack of mechanical stability, the use of fibrin glue is restricted. In human, its use is limited to seal off the periosteal flap in the autologous chondrocyte implantation (ACI) technique [97].

**Agarose**

Agarose is a polysaccharide which contains residues of L and D-galactose and is isolated from Chinese algae. Agarose has been mostly used in vitro as a matrix for the 3D culture of chondrocytes. It has also been used to help the chondrogenic differentiation of stem cells [98]. Implantation of agarose containing chondrocytes or MSC in osteochondral defects allows the formation of a repaired tissue
containing collagens and proteoglycans [99]. However, when implanted alone, agarose inhibits the process of spontaneous repair [100]. Moreover, due to its weak degradation, agarose has been poorly studied in vivo.

**Alginate**

Alginate is a linear polysaccharide purified from brown algae. It can undergo a reversible gelation in aqueous medium by crosslinking of bivalent cations and its dissolution can be obtained very quickly by using a calcium chelating agent. Alginate has been widely used in vitro as a matrix for the three-dimensional culture of chondrocytes because it allows the maintenance of the chondrocytic phenotype and the synthesis of ECM proteins [101–103]. In nude mice, the subcutaneous implantation of alginate beads containing MSC differentiated in vitro towards a chondrocytic phenotype allowed the production of cartilaginous protein [104]. In vivo, the results were disappointing since alginate alone inhibits spontaneous repair [105] and when associated with chondrocytes, it did not repair osteochondral defects partly due to severe immunological reactions [106, 107]. Nevertheless, a hybrid agarose-alginate hydrogel, Cartipatch® (Tissue Bank of France, Lyon, France), was tested for in vivo implantation of autologous chondrocytes in human. After two years, all patients clinically improved and 8 out of 13 patients had hyaline cartilage in biopsies from neotissue [108].

**Hyaluronic acid**

Hyaluronic acid (HA) is a component of the cartilaginous ECM which forms macromolecules of important size. HA is degraded naturally by hyaluronidases [81] but its degradation products are able to induce chondrolysis [109]. HA-based matrices increase the synthesis of ECM by chondrocytes in vitro and in vivo [110]. Nevertheless, under an unmodified form, HA is not suitable for cartilage repair [111] and needs crosslinking to enhance its biocompatibility [112]. HA may also be associated to other matrices. As an example, a tripolymer of gelatine, chondroitin and HA sulphate allowed the maintenance of the chondrocytic phenotype and type II collagen synthesis in vitro [113]. Hyalograft® (Fidia Advanced Biopolymers, Abano Terme, Italy), a tissue engineered graft composed of autologous chondrocytes and HYAFF 11 (Fidia Advanced Biopolymers, Abano Terme, Italy) showed improvement of cartilage function in 91.5% of patients [114].

**Chitosan**

Chitosan is a natural linear polymer pertaining to the glycosaminoglycan (GAG) family. Chitosan is mainly found in cuticles of arthropods, the endosquelette of cephalopods and in mushrooms. Chitosan can be associated with chondroitin sulphate to form hydrogels [90] and can be degraded by lysosomes [81]. Matrices containing chitosan are biocompatible and are widely used for cellular encapsulation, drug release and cell culture [115]. Several in vitro studies indicate that matrices containing chitosan are able to improve cartilage repair, promote chondrogenic activity of human chondrocytes and synthesis of ECM proteins [90]; when used alone or in association with various other polymers, like alginate or hyaluronic acid [116]. In vivo, chitosan-based matrices were reported to induce the formation of a hyaline-like repair tissue in articular cartilage defects [117]. To our knowledge, chitosan-based matrices have not yet been evaluated in human.

**Cellulose**

Cellulose is a semicrystalline polymer of glucose. The cellulose is found in plants and is the most widely spread natural polymer. Cellulose is degradable by enzymes like cellulases [118]. The biocompatibility of cellulose and its derivatives is well established [119, 120]. In vitro, the use of a cellulose polymer allowed the proliferation of chondrocytes and showed good biocompatibility [118]. In addition, we report that injectable hydroxypropylmethylcellulose hydrogel may be used for articular cartilage repair [121]. To date, however, few in vivo studies have been performed in this field of tissue engineering.

**Artificial matrices**

**Poly(lactic acid and polyglycolic acid**

Polyactic acid (PLA) and polyglycolic acid (PGA) are derived from alpha hydroxypolyesters. PLA and PGA are degraded either by hydrolysis, or specific cleavage of oligopeptides [81]. Their degradation products are however partially cytotoxic [80] and these polymers induce important immunological reactions [122, 123]. Originally, they were developed to form resorbable suture wire (vicryl™) and medical devices (screw, plates). Since twenty years, they are tested alone or mixed with other matrices for cartilage tissue engineering [124, 125]. Various forms of these polymers, from the fine fibrillary layer to the sponge, have been developed. PGA polymers provide the best in vitro results, with a cellular density near of that found in vivo and a continuous production of type II collagen [126]. In vivo studies were mainly performed in the rabbit model [127]. In human, BioSeed® (BioTissue Technologies, Freiburg, Germany) containing autologous articular chondrocytes was reported to induce the formation of a hyaline cartilage and to improve significantly clinical scores [128].

**Carbon fibers**
Carbon fibers are inert and therefore did not induce specific biological answer. They were used, without success, to fill rabbit cartilage defect in order to improve the spontaneous repair [129]. The neotissue was fibrous and exhibited only weak mechanical properties. Despite these unsatisfactory results, carbon fibers have been applied in human with very variable results [90].

**Dacron and Teflon**

Dacron (polyethylene terephthalate) and Teflon (polytetrafluoroethylene) have been used to improve spontaneous repair of articular cartilage in rabbit. Results have reported the formation of a repair tissue, which was either a vascularized fibrous tissue or a fibrocartilage [130, 131]. Due to an increased rigidity of joint after resurfacing with Teflon [132] and immunological reaction observed when these matrices was used as suture wires, these matrices seem not adequate for cartilage tissue engineering.

**Hydrogel**

The search for a minimally-invasive surgery has justified the development of injectable matrices for cartilage tissue engineering. These injectable matrices have to be able to solidify, once implanted, to gain the desired shape and present the mechanical properties of the tissue to repair [80]. Hydrogels are three-dimensional polymeric networks that are able to absorb and retain large volume of water. Viscous polymers from various origins (see table 1) can be transformed in hydrogel by modifying their environment. Crosslinking of hydrogels can be initiated by physical stimuli like pH, temperature or ionic environment or chemical crosslink through crosslinking agent, photopolymerization or enzymatic reaction [81]. Hydrogels generally present good biocompatibility. Moreover, cells, growth factors or bioactive components can be homogeneously incorporated. Their high water content allowed rapid diffusion of nutrients and metabolites [133]. Hydrogels are produced from natural or synthetic polymers (see table 2). Collagen gels represent the main protein–based polymer used for hydrogel production [134]. Among polysaccharide-based polymers, HA [114], alginate [135], chitosan [136] or cellulose derivatives [94, 137] have been used with satisfactory results. Among synthetic polymers, polyvinyl alcohol, polyethylene glycols (PEG) and poly(lactide-co-glycolide) represent the mostly used. Hydrogels therefore appeared as appealing materials for cartilage tissue engineering.

**CELL THERAPIES IN CLINICS**

Therapies currently used or available in clinics are bone marrow stimulation techniques (subchondral drilling [138], abrasion, microfracture [139]), multiple osteochondral graft like mosaicplasty [140] and autologous chondrocyte implantation (ACI) [141]. Clinical studies report an improvement in clinical outcome measures compared with preoperative assessment but no superiority of one technique over the others [142].

After a first generation of ACI, a second generation has recently been developed where autologous chondrocytes are associated with a matrix which provides a three-dimensional environment and support at the implantation site [143]. Various concepts are presently accessible in clinics: MACI® (Verigen, Leverkusen, Germany); Maix® (Matricel, Heizoenrath, Germany); Chondro-gide® (Geistlich Biomaterials, Wolhusen, Switzerland); Atelocollagen® (Koken Co Ltd, Tokyo, Japan), Hyalograph® C (Fidia Advanced Biopolymers, Abano Terme, Italy), Bio-Seed®-C (BioTissue Technologies, Freiburg, Germany). Despite good clinical results, these above mentioned concepts suffer a major limitation related to the facts that matrices require a surgical incision into the joint to be implanted. To address the issue of less invasive transplantation technique, the development of injectable biomaterials as hydrogels suitable for minimally invasive transplantation of chondrocytes is the focus of current research. The second limitation of the ACI and matrix-induced ACI is the use of chondrocytes harvested from articular joints which implies a limited quantity of autologous chondrocytes [144]. Therefore, cellular therapies using MSC, an easily accessible source of autologous cells, have been proposed. Only a few case studies have been reported to date. Transplantation of bone marrow-derived MSCs seeded on type I collagen membranes in articular cartilage defects resulted in increased arthroscopic and histologic grading scores [145]. Centeno et al. have injected bone marrow-derived MSCs percutaneously in the knee of a patient with radiographic signs of degenerative joint disease [146]. 24 weeks later, they observed a significant cartilage growth and the pain decreased. Kuroda et al. have also transplanted bone marrow MSCs, incorporated in a collagen gel, in an athlete with a grade IV cartilage defect [6]. After seven months, the athlete has regained its normal activity and histologic analysis reveals the formation of hyaline cartilage within the defect. The third study is a three case report where undifferentiated bone marrow-derived MSCs were associated with collagen sheet [147]. After 1 year, the three patients presented an increase IKDC score (International Knee Documentation Committee) and in 2 patients, a fibrocartilage-like repaired tissue totally recovered the defect [6]. Although the results suggest that autologous MSC transplantation may be an effective approach to promote the repair of articular cartilage defects, improvement is needed to achieve hyaline cartilage formation. Currently, only one Phase I clinical trial in cartilage tissue engineering using MSCs is underway. This clinical trial is sponsored by the Royan Institute and Tehran University of Medical Sciences and concerns the use of bone marrow MSCs mixed with type I collagen scaffolds and implanted in patients with knee cartilage defect or osteoarthritis.

**CONCLUSIONS AND FUTURE PERSPECTIVES**
In conclusion, cell-based strategies not only have proved the feasibility of such approaches for cartilage repair but also have provided good clinical results. However, these protocols are still far from generating a tissue that is comparable to native cartilage with respect to quality and stability. The use of chondrogenic progenitors, in particular MSCs, will undoubtedly be of high potential for such application. Nevertheless, more sophisticated approaches combining deliverable bioactive factors together with a chondro-conductive scaffold will be required (Fig. 2). Although some growth factors have been proposed, none are capable to specifically induce the desired lineage and a timely regulated combination of factors is likely to be required for the obtention of a functional and stable chondrocyte phenotype. These will rely on the understanding on the complex molecular events involved in chondrogenesis induction and maintenance of the chondrocyte phenotype taking place during embryogenesis that will have to be reproduced in adult tissue repair.
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**Figure 1**
Schematic representation of the components required for cartilage tissue engineering. Cells (stem cells with chondrogenic potential or chondrocytes), morphogenic factors and scaffolds (natural or synthetic) are combined in vitro to form an engineered scaffold suitable for implantation. Illustration with permission from Servier (http://www.servier.fr/smart/TermsOfUse.asp)

**Figure 2**
Sequence of events from stem cell isolation to engineered scaffold implantation. The first step is the isolation of mesenchymal stem cell (MSC) from bone marrow (BM) or adipose tissue (AT) and ex vivo expansion. This step is followed by the second and third steps of MSC differentiation towards chondrocytes by the addition of morphogenic factors and inclusion into a scaffold, preferentially an injectable biomaterial that allows an easy and minimally invasive injection of bioengineered scaffold into the cartilage defect as shown in step 4. Illustration with permission from Servier (http://www.servier.fr/smart/TermsOfUse.asp)
Table 1
Main scaffolds used for the 3-dimensional culture and transplantation of chondrogenic cells in cartilage tissue engineering.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Proteic</th>
<th>Cell line</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Collagen</td>
<td></td>
<td>[6, 83, 87, 88]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fibrin</td>
<td></td>
<td>[89, 93, 95]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Laminin (Matrigel™)</td>
<td></td>
<td>[148, 149]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gelatin</td>
<td></td>
<td>[113, 150]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Polysaccharidic</th>
<th>Cell line</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Agarose</td>
<td></td>
<td>[98, 100]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alginate</td>
<td></td>
<td>[103, 105, 106]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyaluronic acid*</td>
<td></td>
<td>[32]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chitosan</td>
<td></td>
<td>[115]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cellulose</td>
<td></td>
<td>[118, 121, 151]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Synthetic</th>
<th>Cell line</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Poly lactic acid</td>
<td></td>
<td>[152, 153]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poly glycolic acid</td>
<td></td>
<td>[127]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Carbon fibres</td>
<td></td>
<td>[129]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dacron (polyethylene terephthalate)</td>
<td></td>
<td>[130, 131]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teflon (polytetrafluoroethylene)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyestherurethane</td>
<td></td>
<td>[154]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polybutyric acid</td>
<td></td>
<td>[155]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyethylemethacrylate</td>
<td></td>
<td>[156]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2
Main matrices used to prepare hydrogels for cartilage tissue engineering

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Natural</th>
<th>Cell line</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hyaluronic acid</td>
<td></td>
<td>[157, 159]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Alginate</td>
<td></td>
<td>[160, 162]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chitosan</td>
<td></td>
<td>[163]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chondroitin sulfate</td>
<td></td>
<td>[164]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collagen</td>
<td></td>
<td>[165]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cellulose</td>
<td></td>
<td>[121, 137]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poly lactic-co-glycolic</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyethyleneglycol</td>
<td></td>
<td>[166, 167]</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polyvinyl alcohol</td>
<td></td>
<td>[168, 170]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Synthetic</th>
<th>Cell line</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Poly ethylene glycol</td>
<td></td>
<td>[171]</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>