

Comment Maternal influenza immunisation in resource-limited settings

Odile Launay, Vassilis Tsatsaris

► **To cite this version:**

Odile Launay, Vassilis Tsatsaris. Comment Maternal influenza immunisation in resource-limited settings. *Lancet Infectious Diseases*, Elsevier, 2016, <10.1016/S1473-3099(16)30121-9>. <inserm-01327503>

HAL Id: inserm-01327503

<http://www.hal.inserm.fr/inserm-01327503>

Submitted on 6 Jun 2016

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

Maternal influenza immunisation in resource-limited settings



Pregnant women and young infants are at high risk of developing severe influenza.^{1,2} Among infants, those younger than 6 months have the highest risk of developing complications associated with influenza;³ however, antiviral treatments and influenza vaccines are not approved in this age group. Given that influenza vaccines administered to pregnant women have shown a good safety profile⁴ and efficacy to prevent influenza in infants younger than 6 months,^{5,6} maternal immunisation seems to be an important strategy to protect both pregnant women and their infants. WHO targets seasonal influenza vaccination of pregnant women as a high priority.⁷ Most high-income countries recommend maternal influenza immunisation to reduce the burden of influenza in the pregnant woman and her infant.⁸ However, additional data are needed to support decisions about introduction of influenza vaccine in pregnant women in resource-limited settings. The Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation funded three large trials in South Africa, Mali, and Nepal, with the objective of increasing the evidence base for the effect of maternal influenza immunisation.⁹

In *The Lancet Infectious Diseases*, Milagritos D Tapias and colleagues¹⁰ report results of the trial done in Mali—a poorly-resourced country with high infant and maternal mortality. This is the largest randomised controlled trial evaluating the efficacy, safety, and immunogenicity of trivalent inactivated influenza vaccine administered to third-trimester pregnant women to prevent influenza in infants younger than 6 months. 4193 women were immunised: 2018 with trivalent inactivated influenza vaccine and 2085 with conjugate quadrivalent meningococcal vaccine. Vaccine efficacy against first-episode laboratory confirmed influenza in infants (the primary outcome) was 33·1% (95% CI 3·7–53·9) in infants born to women immunised at any time prepartum (intention-to-treat analysis), and 37·3% (7·6–57·8) in those born to women vaccinated at least 14 days prepartum (per-protocol analysis). Among participating women, vaccine efficacy was 70·3% (95% CI 42·2–85·8) overall, 76·6% (28·4–94·3) in pregnant women, and 70·1% (28·0–89·1) in the postpartum period. There was no beneficial effect of the trivalent inactivated influenza vaccine on birthweight. The technical and logistical feasibility of implementation

of a new maternal immunisation programme was also shown with a high rate of recruitment among eligible women.

Evidence of the efficacy of maternal influenza immunisation to prevent influenza in infants in low-income countries from this trial is convincing and in agreement with findings from the two previously reported randomised trials from Bangladesh (63% vaccine efficacy, 95% CI 5–85)⁵ and South Africa (48·8%, 11·6–70·4).⁶

However, important questions follow. First, is the health impact of maternal influenza immunisation (on pregnant women, fetuses, and neonates) and countries' demands enough to justify support from international agencies (eg, GAVI, the Vaccine Alliance) and others? Maternal influenza immunisation could avert around 45 deaths per 100 000 people vaccinated in GAVI-eligible countries—ie, about 210 000 mother-infant deaths from 2015 to 2030 with broad adoption across GAVI countries.¹¹ However, these figures are estimates, and more specific data for influenza burden in poor-income countries are needed to better estimate health impact and convince decision makers. Second, is maternal influenza immunisation acceptable for pregnant women and health providers? In high-income countries, influenza vaccine coverage is less than 50%, even during the 2009 H1N1 pandemic.^{12,13} However, in resource-limited countries, routine administration of tetanus toxoid vaccine as an important part of antenatal care should facilitate both implementation and acceptability of influenza vaccine from pregnant women and health workers. The third question is regarding the feasibility of seasonal vaccine supply, surveillance, and strain-matching? Logistical challenges with supplying, stocking, and administration of seasonal vaccines should be overcome to achieve high coverage of maternal immunisation. Development of maternal immunisation platforms in low-income countries seems to be an appealing approach.

The results of Tapias and colleagues' large randomised trial are important because they show not only the efficacy, but also the feasibility, of maternal seasonal influenza immunisation on infant protection during the first months of life in Mali. Moreover, the investigators put forward several propositions to overcome the



Martin Vojt/AP/Press Association Images

Lancet Infect Dis 2016

Published Online

May 31, 2016

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099\(16\)30121-9](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(16)30121-9)

See [Online/Articles](#)

[http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099\(16\)30054-8](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(16)30054-8)

difficulties of seasonal influenza vaccination in resource-limited countries. Nevertheless, supplementary data for influenza disease burden in low-income countries are urgently needed to support GAVI's decision.

**Odile Launay, Vassilis Tsatsaris*

Université Paris Descartes, Sorbonne Paris Cité, Paris, France (OL, VT); Assistance Publique-Hôpitaux de Paris (AP-HP), DHU risks and pregnancy, Hôpital Cochin, 75679 Paris Cedex 14, France, (OL, VT); and Inserm, CIC 1417, F-CRIN, Innovative clinical research network in vaccinology (I-REIVAC), Paris, France (OL); and UMR 1153, Obstetrical, Perinatal and Pediatric Epidemiology Research Team (EPOPé), Paris, France (OL)
odile.launay@aphp.fr

OL has been an investigator for Sanofi Pasteur MSD; reports personal fees from Sanofi Pasteur; and reports grants and non-financial support from GlaxoSmithKline Biologicals, Sanofi Pasteur, and Pfizer. VT declares no competing interests.

Copyright © Launay et al. Open Access article distributed under the terms of CC BY.

- 1 Neuzil KM, Reed GW, Mitchel EF, et al. Impact of influenza on acute cardiopulmonary hospitalizations in pregnant women. *Am J Epidemiol* 1998; **148**: 1094–102.
- 2 Siston AM, Rasmussen SA, Honein MA, et al. Pandemic 2009 influenza A(H1N1) virus illness among pregnant women in the United States. *JAMA* 2010; **303**: 1517–25.
- 3 Rasmussen SA, Jamieson DJ, Uyeki TM. Effects of influenza on pregnant women and infants. *Am J Obstet Gynecol* 2012; **207** (3 suppl): S3–8.
- 4 Loubet P, Kerneis S, Anselem O, Tsatsaris V, Goffinet F, Launay O. Should expectant mothers be vaccinated against flu? A safety review. *Expert Opin Drug Saf* 2014; **13**: 1709–20.
- 5 Zaman K, Roy E, Arifeen SE, et al. Effectiveness of maternal influenza immunization in mothers and infants. *N Engl J Med* 2008; **359**: 1555–64.
- 6 Madhi SA, Cutland CL, Kuwanda L, et al. Influenza vaccination of pregnant women and protection of their infants. *N Engl J Med* 2014; **371**: 918–31.
- 7 WHO. Vaccines against influenza WHO position paper–November 2012. *Wkly Epidemiol Rec* 2012; **87**: 461–76.
- 8 Mak TK, Mangtani P, Leese J, et al. Influenza vaccination in pregnancy: current evidence and selected national policies. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2008; **8**: 44–52.
- 9 Omer SB, Richards JL, Madhi SA, et al. Three randomized trials of maternal influenza immunization in Mali, Nepal, and South Africa: Methods and expectations. *Vaccine* 2015; **33**: 3801–12.
- 10 Tapia MD, Sow SO, Tamboura B, et al. Maternal immunisation with trivalent inactivated influenza vaccine for prevention of influenza in infants in Mali: a prospective, active-controlled, observer-blind, randomised phase 4 trial. *Lancet Infect Dis* 2016; published online May 31. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099\(16\)30054-8](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S1473-3099(16)30054-8).
- 11 GAVI, the Vaccine Alliance. Influenza vaccine investment strategy. 2013. <http://www.gavi.org/about/strategy/vaccine-investment-strategy/> (accessed May 13, 2016).
- 12 Blondel B, Mahjoub N, Drewniak N, Launay O, Goffinet F. Failure of the vaccination campaign against A(H1N1) influenza in pregnant women in France: results from a national survey. *Vaccine* 2012; **30**: 5661–65.
- 13 Wiley KE, Leask J. Respiratory vaccine uptake during pregnancy. *Lancet Respir Med* 2013; **1**: 9–11.