

## Pharmacological interventions in acute respiratory distress syndrome

Antoine Roch, Sami Hraiech, Stéphanie Dizier, Laurent Papazian

► **To cite this version:**

Antoine Roch, Sami Hraiech, Stéphanie Dizier, Laurent Papazian. Pharmacological interventions in acute respiratory distress syndrome. *Annals of Intensive Care*, BioMed Central, 2013, 3 (1), pp.20. <inserm-00841486>

**HAL Id: inserm-00841486**

**<http://www.hal.inserm.fr/inserm-00841486>**

Submitted on 4 Jul 2013

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

REVIEW

Open Access

# Pharmacological interventions in acute respiratory distress syndrome

Antoine Roch<sup>1,2,3\*</sup>, Sami Hraiech<sup>1,2</sup>, Stéphanie Dizier<sup>2</sup> and Laurent Papazian<sup>1,2</sup>

## Abstract

Pharmacological interventions are commonly considered in acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) patients. Inhaled nitric oxide (iNO) and neuromuscular blockers (NMBs) are used in patients with severe hypoxemia. No outcome benefit has been observed with the systematic use of iNO. However, a sometimes important improvement in oxygenation can occur shortly after starting administration. Therefore, its ease of use and its good tolerance justify iNO optionally combined with almitrine as a rescue therapy on a trial basis. Recent data from the literature support the use of a 48-h infusion of NMBs in patients with a PaO<sub>2</sub> to FiO<sub>2</sub> ratio <120 mmHg. No strong evidence exists on the increase of ICU-acquired paresis after a short course of NMBs. Fluid management with the goal to obtain zero fluid balance in ARDS patients without shock or renal failure significantly increases the number of days without mechanical ventilation. On the other hand, patients with hemodynamic failure must receive early and adapted fluid resuscitation. Liberal and conservative fluid strategies therefore are complementary and should ideally follow each other in time in the same patient whose hemodynamic state progressively stabilizes. At present, albumin treatment does not appear to be justified for limitation of pulmonary edema and respiratory morbidity. Aerosolized β<sub>2</sub>-agonists do not improve outcome in patients with ARDS and one study strongly suggests that intravenous salbutamol may worsen outcome in those patients. The early use of high doses of corticosteroids for the prevention of ARDS in septic shock patients or in patients with confirmed ARDS significantly reduced the duration of mechanical ventilation but had no effect or even increased mortality. In patients with persistent ARDS after 7 to 28 days, a randomized trial showed no reduction in mortality with moderate doses of corticosteroids but an increased PaO<sub>2</sub> to FiO<sub>2</sub> ratio and thoracopulmonary compliance were found, as well as shorter durations of mechanical ventilation and of ICU stay. Conflicting data exist on the interest of low doses of corticosteroids (200 mg/day of hydrocortisone) in ARDS patients. In the context of a persistent ARDS with histological proof of fibroproliferation, a corticosteroid treatment with a progressive decrease of doses can be proposed.

**Keywords:** Acute respiratory distress syndrome; Nitric oxide; Neuromuscular blockers; Fluids; Albumin; Corticosteroids; Hypoxemia; Fibrosis; Rescue therapy; Outcome

## Review

### Introduction

Dysregulated inflammation, accumulation and activity of leukocytes and platelets, uncontrolled activation of coagulation and altered permeability of alveolar endothelial and epithelial barriers leading to pulmonary edema are key points in the pathophysiology of acute lung injury and acute respiratory distress syndrome (ARDS) [1]. ARDS results in impaired gas exchange and hypoxemic respiratory

failure, which can be refractory and life-threatening [2]. Besides ventilatory support, pharmacological interventions are commonly considered throughout the course of ARDS. Some of these interventions affect supportive care, such as fluid management or neuromuscular blockers (NMBs), whereas others are focused on recovery from hypoxemia or have been studied in patients with persistent ARDS. The present review will summarize clinical results and practical aspects of pharmacological interventions, which are more largely used by clinicians. The current evidence about inhaled nitric oxide, almitrine, NMBs, fluid management, β<sub>2</sub>-agonists, and corticosteroids will be addressed successively.

\* Correspondence: antoine.roch@ap-hm.fr

<sup>1</sup>URMITE, UM63, CNRS 7278, Aix Marseille Université, IRD 198, Inserm 1095, 13005, Marseille, France

<sup>2</sup>APHM, CHU Nord, Réanimation, 13015, Marseille, France

Full list of author information is available at the end of the article

### Inhaled nitric oxide and almitrine

Inhaled nitric oxide (iNO) selectively vasodilates the pulmonary vasculature in ventilated alveoli, which improves ventilation-perfusion matching and hypoxemia and lowers pulmonary arterial pressure. Its administration via the inhaled route and its very short half-life minimize systemic effects (i.e., hypotension) [3]. In randomized, clinical trials (RCTs) [4-8], iNO was associated with a transient improvement in oxygenation in adults with ARDS. However, no survival benefit or reduction in ventilator-free days has been observed. In a systematic review and meta-analysis of patients with acute lung injury (ALI) or ARDS from 12 trials, iNO was associated with modest improvements in oxygenation (13% of increase in PaO<sub>2</sub> to FiO<sub>2</sub> ratio until day 3-4 of administration), no effect on mean pulmonary artery pressure, and no effect on survival or duration of mechanical ventilation [9]. However, available RCTs do not resolve the question of whether iNO leads to any clinically significant benefits in certain subgroups of patients, such as those with severe hypoxemia not responding to conventional treatment [10]. In this sense, iNO is frequently used as a rescue therapy. For example, iNO was used during ICU stay in respectively 30% and 20% of ARDS patients included in two large RCTs [11,12]. Moreover, iNO was used for refractory hypoxemia in 32% of the patients subsequently treated with ECMO during the 2009 H1N1 pandemic in Australia/New Zealand [13]. When defining a positive response as an increase of at least 20% of PaO<sub>2</sub> to FiO<sub>2</sub> ratio, about half of patients are responders to iNO [6,14]. However, because improvement in oxygenation can be dramatic in the setting of life-threatening hypoxemia and because no radiological or physiological predictive factor of response has been identified [15,16], its use can be supported in this setting on a trial basis, what may buy time for the institution of other means of support. Clinically significant improvement in oxygenation following initiation of iNO should be demonstrated within the first hour of therapy to justify continued use. A maximal oxygenation benefit typically occurs with doses as low as 0.1 to 2 ppm [17] and always with doses of less than 20 ppm [18]. Worsening of oxygenation has been frequently documented with doses above 20 ppm [19] or even above 10 ppm [18]. Moreover, the dose-response curve has been shown to shift progressively to the left in patients receiving iNO continuously for several days [20], implying that the optimal dose of iNO must be determined by titration against the therapeutic target in each patient at least each day. iNO has additive effects on oxygenation with prone positioning [21] and with high frequency oscillatory ventilation [22]. The effect of iNO is not influenced by the administration of vasopressive agents, such as norepinephrine [23,24], but iNO has additive effects on oxygenation with almitrine, a selective pulmonary vasoconstrictor [25,26]. A progressive iNO weaning on several hours is

suggested due to the risk of oxygenation worsening, pulmonary artery pressure increase, and hemodynamic collapse in case of sudden discontinuation [14]. iNO may contribute to the formation of cytotoxic reactive nitrogen species and reactive oxygen species, especially when administered with high concentrations of oxygen [27]. This is minimized by limiting FiO<sub>2</sub>, by introducing iNO into the inspiratory limb of the ventilator tubing as near to the patient as possible [28] and by synchronizing injection of iNO with inspiration [29]. Up to 40 ppm of iNO should not cause methemoglobinemia in adults in the absence of methemoglobin reductase deficiency [30].

Experimentally, almitrine increases hypoxic pulmonary vasoconstriction, except where strong vasoconstriction is already present [31]. In patients with ARDS, almitrine causes a redistribution of blood flow to well-ventilated areas and improves oxygenation [32] while increasing pulmonary artery pressure [33-35]. It is suggested that low-dose almitrine enhances hypoxic pulmonary vasoconstriction, whereas at high doses (>20 µg/kg/min), it would induce diffuse and inefficient vasoconstriction [36,37]. In this sense, dosages of 2 to 4 µg/kg/min have been shown to be optimal in most patients [34,38,39]. Almitrine has additive effects on oxygenation with iNO in approximately two thirds of iNO responders [25,38]. However, some patients may be responders to almitrine while they did not respond to iNO [25,38]. The effectiveness of almitrine on oxygenation often is reduced in septic patients receiving norepinephrine [23,24]. Therefore, the use of higher doses of almitrine (16 µg/kg/min) may produce further improvement in oxygenation in patients receiving norepinephrine if they are responders to iNO [26]. The efficiency of prolonged administration of almitrine has not been evaluated. Because of an increased pulmonary artery pressure, high doses of almitrine (16 µg/kg/min) may result in reduced right ventricular ejection fraction [40]. Therefore, almitrine is not recommended in patients with severe pulmonary hypertension or acute cor pulmonale. The prolonged administration of almitrine has been involved in peripheral neuropathies in COPD patients [41,42]. This complication was usually associated with circulating levels >400 ng/ml. While intravenous administration of 16 µg/kg/min of almitrine in patients with ARDS was associated with levels >400 ng/ml in 16 of 17 patients, a dosage of 4 µg/kg/min resulted in high levels in only one patient [32], suggesting that this dosage would be safe on the neurological function. The almitrine also may have liver toxicity [43]. A reversible and dose-dependent increase in lactate level associated with impaired liver function was observed in 8 of 25 patients in the first 24 hours of administration of 2 of 8 µg/kg/min of almitrine [43]. As for iNO, a frequent and quick effect on oxygenation supports the optional use of almitrine in patients with very severe hypoxemia on a trial basis, until the institution of other means of support. The absence

of response to the administration of 0.5 mg/kg in 30 minutes does not justify any continued administration.

### Neuromuscular blockers

Several studies have reported the frequent use of neuromuscular blockers (NMBs) in severe ARDS patients, reaching 40-50% in several recent RCTs [12,44,45]. One of the main reasons to justify the use of NMBs in ARDS is facilitation of mechanical ventilation and control of patient/ventilator asynchrony. Arroliga et al. [46] found that the factors associated with a more frequent NMB use were mainly related to the severity of the lung disease, such as the presence of ARDS criteria, high plateau pressures, and also by the use of prone positioning, high PEEP levels, or nonconventional modes of ventilation, such as high-frequency oscillatory ventilation [47]. Several recent studies have shown an improvement in oxygenation with the use of NMBs in ARDS patients [11,48-50]. In the studies by Gainer et al. [49] and Forel et al. [50], patients randomized to the NMB group had a higher PaO<sub>2</sub> to FiO<sub>2</sub> ratio at 48, 96, and 120 h after randomization. In contrast, there was no change in the PaO<sub>2</sub> to FiO<sub>2</sub> ratio 1 h after randomization in the NMB group. The decrease in plateau pressure and PEEP requirements during the 120-h study period were more marked in the NMB group. Recently, a larger RCT confirmed these results [11], showing a PaO<sub>2</sub> to FiO<sub>2</sub> ratio on day 7 higher in patients receiving a 48-h continuous cisatracurium infusion than in the control group. In this multicenter, double-blind trial [11], 339 patients presenting with severe ARDS within the previous 48 h (i.e., PaO<sub>2</sub> to FiO<sub>2</sub> ratio <150 mmHg with PEEP of at least 5 cm H<sub>2</sub>O) were randomly assigned to receive either cisatracurium besylate (bolus of 15 mg i.v., then 37.5 mg/h infusion for 48 h) or placebo for 48 h. The group of patients treated with cisatracurium had a higher adjusted 90-day survival rate compared with those who received placebo (hazards ratio (HR) 0.68; 95% confidence interval (CI) 0.48–0.98; *p* = 0.04). It is noteworthy that the beneficial effect of cisatracurium on mortality was limited to the patients presenting a PaO<sub>2</sub> to FiO<sub>2</sub> ratio of less than 120 mmHg. These results were recently confirmed in a meta-analysis [51]. The observed improvements in mortality and gas exchange raise the question of the mechanisms involved. The positive effects of NMBs could be related to a decrease in ventilator induced lung injury, as suggested by the decreased incidence of barotrauma and pneumothoraces in the cisatracurium group [11]. NMBs also could reinforce the beneficial effect of lung-protective mechanical ventilation in patients with ARDS through a reduction in biotrauma. Forel et al. [50] showed that after 48 h of NMB infusion in ARDS patients, pulmonary concentrations of IL-1b, IL-6, and IL-8, as well as serum concentrations of IL-1b and IL-6 were lower than in the control group. This hypothesis is

reinforced by the observed decrease in the number of organ failures in the cisatracurium group of the ACURASYS study [11], possibly as a result of less biotrauma. NMBs also could help to avoid patient–ventilator dyssynchrony and limit end-expiratory collapse by inhibiting active expiration, limiting derecruitment, and maintaining PEEP [52]. Moreover, in some patients, inspiratory efforts could lead to global or regional increases in transpulmonary pressure that can be deleterious [53]. These recent data from the literature provide a strong argument for beneficial effects of NMBs during the early phase of severe ARDS and support the use of a 48-h infusion of cisatracurium in patients with more hypoxemic ARDS (particularly with a PaO<sub>2</sub> to FiO<sub>2</sub> ratio <120 mmHg). However, risks of using NMBs have been reported and have resulted in controversy regarding the use of these agents in ARDS patients. The incidence of ICU-acquired weakness is 34–60% in patients with ARDS [54]. Administration of NMBs does not appear to be an independent risk factor for ICU-acquired weakness [55] if they are not given with corticosteroids or in patients with hyperglycemia [56]. In the ACURASYS study [11], the incidence of ICU-acquired paresis was not higher in patients receiving NMBs than in the control group. Hypersensitivity reactions occurring after administration of NMBs are a major cause for concern. A recent survey [57] of hypersensitivity reactions observed during anesthesia showed that cisatracurium was involved in 5.9% of anaphylaxis episodes. Finally, paralyzing patients highlights the problem of inadequate sedation [58].

### Fluid management

In the early phase of ARDS, an associated septic state is usually responsible for hypovolemia. At this stage, hemodynamic optimization by early and adapted fluid loading has proven its prognostic value [59] and a fluid restriction strategy can result in hemodynamic aggravation and dysfunctions of associated organs, determining the mortality of patients presenting ARDS [60]. Nevertheless, ARDS is particularly characterized by pulmonary edema due to an increase in pulmonary capillary permeability. Edema has an impact on respiratory function at several levels: decrease in compliance, hypoxemia, alteration of surfactant, pulmonary hypertension [61]. Thus, any attempt to reduce edema potentially can have beneficial effects on respiratory function and eventually outcome. Several studies have suggested a prognostic role of the quantity of lung water in ARDS patients [62-64]. Moreover, large cohort studies have shown that positive fluid balance was associated with higher mortality in patients with acute lung injury or ARDS [65] or in patients with septic shock [66]. However, to date, no study evaluated strategies specifically designed to reduce lung water content and to evaluate their prognostic impact. Fluid restriction that is more or less associated with a diuretic treatment makes possible to

reduce both pulmonary capillary pressure and central venous pressure (CVP) due to lung edema resorption through an improvement in venous return. A randomized, multi-center study evaluated a strategy of fluid restriction that was more or less associated with diuretic treatment prescribed in the absence of hypotension and renal failure in patients with acute lung injury or ARDS [67]. Patients were included in the study approximately 48 hours after admission to the ICU. The decision to submit patients to fluid loading or diuretic treatment depended on the presence of oliguria and the level of CVP. Schematically, the purpose was to obtain a CVP of 8 mmHg or less in the “conservative-strategy” group or 14 mmHg in the “liberal-strategy” group. The protocol was applied for 7 days after inclusion of the patient but was not applied in cases of hypotension. The conservative strategy resulted in zero fluid in 7 days, whereas the fluid results in the liberal-strategy were +6 liters over 7 days. The conservative strategy discreetly improved oxygenation in patients and increased the number of days without ventilation ( $14.6 \pm 0.5$  vs.  $12 \pm 0.5$ ,  $p < 0.001$ ) but did not influence mortality at 60 days, which was the principal purpose of the study.

This study confirmed the impression that limiting the fluid intake of patients with isolated respiratory failure can limit respiratory morbidity without aggravating other organ dysfunctions. However, the exclusion of hemodynamically unstable patients or patients with renal failure makes impossible to generalize these results or to create a “gold standard” for management of the fluid status of every ARDS patient. In addition, the absence of an effect on mortality reminds us that the management of fluid intake in ICU patients does not boil down to being liberal or conservative. It is only once the initial phase of hemodynamic instability has passed that a reasonable policy of fluid intake aimed at zero fluid can contribute to reducing the duration of ventilation and ICU stay [68]. The importance of a “biphase” fluid strategy was recently illustrated by a retrospective study that included 212 patients presenting acute lung injury complicating septic shock [69].

In this study, the nonperformance of early adapted fluid administration and the absence of a negative fluid balance during a minimum of the first 2 consecutive days within the 7 days following the occurrence of septic shock were independent mortality factors in multivariate analysis. Along these lines, the Surviving Sepsis Campaign [70] recommends a conservative fluid strategy for patients with established ARDS who do not have evidence of tissue hypoperfusion. From a hemodynamic point of view, in case of a decrease in plasmatic oncotic pressure, which is clinically illustrated by hypoprotidemia/hypoalbuminemia, pulmonary edema forms at a lower hydrostatic pressure because the oncotic pressure gradient between plasma and

the interstitium decreases [71]. Therefore, hypoprotidemia frequently observed in ICU patients facilitates the development of hydrostatic pulmonary edema. However, the importance of oncotic pressure in the limitation of flux is only conceivable if the barrier is intact. In case of endothelial lesions, an interstitial edema will be all the richer in proteins than the plasma, theoretically limiting the interest of increasing the plasmatic oncotic pressure [72]. The interest of a strategy of diuretic treatment associated with albumin filling in ARDS patients with a protidemia inferior to 50 g/l has been investigated by Martin et al. [73,74]. In the most recent study [74], 40 patients were randomized receiving either furosemide alone or furosemide and albumin (75 g/d) for 3 days. When protidemia was superior to 80 g/l in the treated group, albumin was replaced with a placebo. Albuminemia increased by 13 g/l in the albumin + furosemide group, reaching 30 g/l at the end of treatment and increased by 3 g/l in the furosemide alone group, reaching 20 g/l at the end of treatment. The effects can be summarized by a discrete improvement in oxygenation when albumin was associated with diuretic treatment compared with diuretic treatment alone.

A large, randomized study [75] demonstrated that volume therapy using albumin was equivalent to volume therapy using saline in ICU patients. At this time, the very limited clinical data do not make it possible to recommend the administration of albumin with the goal to improve pulmonary function and respiratory morbidity in ARDS patients. Two recent RCTs evaluated the effects of albumin in septic patients [76,77]. In the first, conducted in France [76], 798 patients with septic shock were randomized to receive either 300 ml of 20% albumin per day for 3 days or the same amount of saline. Neither mortality at day 28 nor the respiratory outcome was different between groups. The second was conducted in Italy [77] and included 1,800 patients with severe sepsis or septic shock. Patients were randomized to receive crystalloids and albumin to maintain albumin level  $>30$  g/l until day 28 or crystalloids alone. Although day-90 mortality was not different between groups, the use of albumin in the subgroup of patients with septic shock was associated with a reduction of mortality.

No large study investigated the interest of using hydroxyethylstarch (HES) for fluid loading in ARDS patients. However, considering the increased risk of renal dysfunction associated with their use [78], especially in septic patients [79], they cannot be recommended in ARDS patients. The use of hyperosmolar filling solutions, such as hypertonic saline, could have the advantage, due to the limited amount of fluid administered, of limiting the development of pulmonary edema in case of an increase in the alveolocapillary barrier. Experimental studies have provided conflicting results on this point [80-82]. In clinical practice, the potential interest of hypertonic resuscitation has been

investigated in patients with traumatic hypovolemic shock. In a recent randomized study that included 853 patients, fluid loading with hypertonic saline or hypertonic saline/dextran neither reduced mortality nor prevented ARDS occurrence compared with normal saline resuscitation [83].

### **β2-agonists**

Recovery from ARDS requires that the pulmonary edema resolve [84]. The resolution of alveolar edema is driven by active transport of sodium and chloride ions from the luminal space across alveolar epithelial cells, creating an osmotic gradient for the reabsorption of water. Despite severe epithelial lesions, alveolar clearance is usually pharmacologically stimuable. Several experimental studies have shown that the exogenous administration of cAMP agonists, in particular β2-agonists, accelerates the resolution of edema, whatever its nature [85]. A preliminary study [86] showed that the intravenous administration of salbutamol at a dose of 15 μg/kg/h for 7 days in ARDS patients made possible to diminish the quantity of pulmonary water measured by transpulmonary thermodilution without affecting oxygenation, duration of mechanical ventilation, or outcome. However, the same authors showed in a large RCT involving 326 patients [87] that 15 μg/kg/h of intravenous salbutamol was poorly tolerated and was associated with an increase in 28-day mortality (34 vs. 23% in the control group,  $p = 0.033$ ). Another RCT has evaluated the effect of aerosolized albuterol six times per day until day 10 or extubation in patients with acute lung injury [88]. The study was stopped for futility after the inclusion of 282 patients. The number of ventilator-free days, which was the primary outcome variable, was not different between groups. These data do not support the use of aerosolized β2-agonists to improve outcome in patients with ARDS, and strongly suggest that intravenous salbutamol may worsen outcome in those patients.

### **Corticosteroids**

Corticosteroids have a broad inhibitory action on host defenses, including inhibition of the transcription of proinflammatory cytokines, inhibition of neutrophil activation, a synergic action with anti-inflammatory cytokines, and a suppression of the synthesis of phospholipase A2, cyclooxygenase, and inducible NO synthase [89]. Because of these properties, corticosteroids in high doses for a short period were proposed in the early phase of ARDS, mostly in septic patients, to obtain a quick resolution and to prevent progression to lung fibrosis.

Four randomized trials investigated early use of high doses of corticosteroids for the prevention of ARDS in septic shock patients [90-92] or in patients with confirmed ARDS [93]. In these studies, corticosteroids significantly reduced the duration of mechanical ventilation. However, these studies showed no benefit in terms of prevention or

improvement of ARDS and no effect or even an increase of mortality with corticosteroids. Only relevant ARDS etiologies (pneumocystis pneumonia, pulmonary eosinophilic pneumonia associated with certain autoimmune diseases, or a systemic disease) are recognized as eligible for steroids in the early stages. However, some authors argue that the failure of steroids is not related to their early use but to a too short administration [94]. Corticosteroids have an inhibitory effect on fibroblast proliferation and collagen deposition, including in the lung. Therefore, they were proposed in the late phase of fibroproliferation and post-aggressive fibrosis. Several case reports and a small, randomized study suggested an interest in terms of reduced mortality [95]. This study, although on a small number of patients and despite methodological bias has long been the benchmark to justify corticosteroids in persistent ARDS.

More recently, another RCT by Meduri evaluated early corticosteroid administration, and showed that methylprednisolone administration (1 mg/kg/d) less than 72 after the onset of ARDS reduced mortality [96]. However, these results should be interpreted with caution, because this study included a large number of patients with septic shock. Another randomized, controlled trial, conducted by the ARDS network, showed no reduction in mortality in the group receiving methylprednisolone [44]. This study involved 180 patients with ARDS persistent after 7 to 28 days who received methylprednisolone (2 mg/kg/d) or placebo for 21 days. The protocol used was borrowed from corticosteroid Meduri study [95] and also is the protocol commonly used in clinical practice. Despite the lack of benefit in terms of mortality at 60 days, an increased PaO<sub>2</sub> to FiO<sub>2</sub> ratio and thoracopulmonary compliance were found in the group receiving corticosteroids, as well as shorter durations of mechanical ventilation and ICU stay. The subgroup analysis showed a significantly higher mortality rate when corticosteroid therapy was started after the 13th day of ARDS, suggesting the presence of fixed fibrotic lesions at this stage, at the expense of a steroid-sensitive fibroproliferation. However, this study had some bias, including a very important initial selection (5% of eligible patients included) with an only 30% mortality rate in persistent ARDS, a brutal discontinuation of steroids after 48 hours of ventilator weaning and finally the absence of pathologic analysis leading probably to a treatment with corticosteroids in some patients without fibroproliferation. The subgroup analysis suggests this hypothesis. Indeed, patients with a low level of procollagen III in their alveolar lavage fluid, thus having a lesser degree of fibroproliferation, had a higher mortality if they had received steroids (35% vs. 9%,  $p < 0.05$ ) [44]. On the other hand, it is conceivable that some of them were carrying a contraindication to steroids because of an infection.

Papazian et al. [97] recently showed that only 53% of persistent ARDS patients actually had fibrosis or fibroproliferation highlighted on surgical biopsies and that more than half of them had an infectious contraindication to systemic corticosteroids, notably because they presented with an untreated infection. Conflicting data exist on the interest of low doses of corticosteroids (200 mg/day of hydrocortisone) in ARDS patients. A post hoc analysis [98] of an RCT of low doses of steroids in septic shock patients [99] noted that mortality was improved mainly in the subgroup of patients with ARDS and no response to corticotrophin stimulation. On the other hand, two recent studies suggested that early treatment with low doses of corticosteroids was inefficient or even harmful in patients with H1N1 pneumonia [100] or ARDS [101]. Further randomized, controlled trials are needed before any definitive conclusion.

In the context of a persistent ARDS with histological proof of fibroproliferation, a corticosteroid treatment can be proposed according to the protocol by Meduri et al., with a progressive decrease of doses [95]. This protocol is accompanied by measures usually applied in case of prolonged corticosteroid therapy (gastric protection, prevention of electrolyte disorders, treatment of diabetes, restriction of the use of NMBs) to which can be added a systematic bacteriological monitoring supplemented by other sampling in case of suspicion of infection. One of the main complications of corticosteroid treatment is ICU acquired weakness [54,55], potentially reversible but often leading to difficulties in weaning from ventilation.

## Conclusions

The place of iNO as a rescue therapy has been clarified by numerous studies that gave practical guidelines on its use. The modest reduction of ventilation duration obtained with a conservative fluid strategy for patients who do not have evidence of tissue hypoperfusion makes impossible to create a “gold standard” for management of the fluid status of every ARDS patient. Further studies must confirm recent data from the literature, which provide a strong argument for beneficial effects of NMBs during the early phase of severe ARDS. Concerning corticosteroids, numerous questions remain on their potential benefits in subgroups of ARDS patients. Finally, current and future research has to consider the interest of therapeutics designed to reduce lung inflammation and to enhance alveolar repair. Some of the promising research areas include cell-based therapy with mesenchymal stem cells, which could reverse the major abnormalities of lung injury [102], statin therapy [103], anti-inflammatory drugs, such as aspirin [104], or the modulation of signaling pathway of proteins involved in the regulation of vascular permeability [105,106].

## Competing interests

The authors declare no conflict of interest that may inappropriately influence how results are presented in this paper.

## Authors' contributions

AR, SH, SD, and LP drafted the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## Author details

<sup>1</sup>URMITE, UM63, CNRS 7278, Aix Marseille Université, IRD 198, Inserm 1095, 13005, Marseille, France. <sup>2</sup>APHM, CHU Nord, Réanimation, 13015, Marseille, France. <sup>3</sup>Intensive Care Unit, CHU Nord, Chemin des Bourrelly, 13015, Marseille, France.

Received: 5 April 2013 Accepted: 14 June 2013

Published: 3 July 2013

## References

1. Matthay MA, Ware LB, Zimmerman GA: **The acute respiratory distress syndrome.** *J Clin Invest* 2012, **122**:2731–2740.
2. The ARDS Definition Task Force: **Acute respiratory distress syndrome: the Berlin definition.** *JAMA* 2012, **307**:2526–2533.
3. Rossaint R, Falke KJ, Lopez F, Slama K, Pison U, Zapol WM: **Inhaled nitric oxide for the adult respiratory distress syndrome.** *N Eng J Med* 1993, **328**:399–405.
4. Taylor RW, Zimmerman JL, Dellinger RP, Straube RC, Criner GJ, Davis K Jr, Kelly KM, Smith TC, Small RJ: **Low-dose inhaled nitric oxide in patients with acute lung injury: a randomized controlled trial.** *JAMA* 2004, **291**:1603–1609.
5. Michael JR, Barton RG, Saffle JR, Mone M, Markewitz BA, Hillier K, Elstad MR, Campbell EJ, Troyer BE, Whatley RE, Liou TG, Samuelson WM, Carveth HJ, Hinson DM, Morris SE, Davis BL, Day RW: **Inhaled nitric oxide versus conventional therapy: effect on oxygenation in ARDS.** *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1998, **157**:1372–1380.
6. Dellinger RP, Zimmerman JL, Taylor RW, Straube RC, Hauser DL, Criner GJ, Davis K Jr, Hyers TM, Papadakis P: **Effects of inhaled nitric oxide in patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome: results of a randomized phase II trial.** *Crit Care Med* 1998, **26**:15–23.
7. Troncy E, Collet JP, Shapiro S, Guimond JG, Blair L, Ducruet T, Francoeur M, Charbonneau M, Blaise G: **Inhaled nitric oxide in acute respiratory distress syndrome: a pilot randomized controlled study.** *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1998, **157**:1483–1488.
8. Lundin S, Mang H, Smithies M, Stenqvist O, Frostel C, The European Study Group of Inhaled Nitric Oxide: **Inhalation of nitric oxide in acute lung injury: results of a European multicentre study.** *Intensive Care Med* 1999, **25**:911–919.
9. Adhikari NK, Burns KE, Friedrich JO, Granton JT, Cook DJ, Meade MO: **Effect of nitric oxide on oxygenation and mortality in acute lung injury: systematic review and metaanalysis.** *BMJ* 2007, **334**:779.
10. Germann P, Braschi A, Della Rocca G, Dinh-Xuan AT, Falke K, Frostel C, Gustafsson LE, Hervé P, Jolliet P, Kaisers U, Litvan H, Macrae DJ, Maggiorini M, Marcin N, Mueller B, Payen D, Ranucci M, Schranz D, Zimmermann R, Ullrich R: **Inhaled nitric oxide therapy in adults: European expert recommendations.** *Intensive Care Med* 2005, **31**:1029–1041.
11. Papazian L, Forel JM, Gacouin A, Penot-Ragon C, Perrin G, Loundou A, Jaber S, Arnal JM, Perez D, Seghboyan JM, Constantin JM, Courant P, Lefrant JY, Guérin C, Prat G, Morange S, Roch A, ACURASYS Study Investigators: **Neuromuscular blockers in early acute respiratory distress syndrome.** *N Engl J Med* 2010, **363**:1107–1116.
12. Mercat A, Richard JC, Vielle B, Jaber S, Osman D, Diehl JL, Lefrant JY, Prat G, Richecoeur J, Nieszkowska A, Gervais C, Baudot J, Bouadma L, Brochard L, Expiratory Pressure (Express) Study Group: **Positive end-expiratory pressure setting in adults with acute lung injury and acute respiratory distress syndrome: a randomized controlled trial.** *JAMA* 2008, **299**:646–655.
13. Davies A, Jones D, Bailey M, Beca J, Bellomo R, Blackwell N, Forrest P, Gattas D, Granger E, Herkes R, Jackson A, McGuinness S, Nair P, Pellegrino V, Pettitlā V, Plunkett B, Pye R, Torzillo P, Webb S, Wilson M, Ziegenfuss M: **Extracorporeal membrane oxygenation for 2009 influenza A(H1N1) acute respiratory distress syndrome.** *JAMA* 2009, **302**:1888–1895.
14. Christenson J, Lavoie A, O'Connor M, Bhorade S, Pohlman A, Hall JB: **The incidence and pathogenesis of cardiopulmonary deterioration after abrupt withdrawal of inhaled nitric oxide.** *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2000, **161**:1443–1449.

15. Brett SJ, Hansell DM, Evans TW: **Clinical correlates in acute lung injury: response to inhaled nitric oxide.** *Chest* 1998, **114**:1397–1404.
16. Rossaint R, Gerlach H, Schmidt-Ruhnke H, Pappert D, Lewandowski K, Steudel W: **Efficacy of inhaled nitric oxide in patients with severe ARDS.** *Chest* 1995, **107**:1107–1115.
17. Puybasset L, Rouby JJ, Mourgeon E, Stewart TE, Cluzel P, Arthaud M, Poète P, Bodin L, Korinek AM, Viars P: **Inhaled nitric oxide in acute respiratory failure: dose-response curves.** *Intensive Care Med* 1994, **20**:319–327.
18. Gerlach H, Rossaint R, Pappert D, Falke KJ: **Time-course and dose-response of nitric oxide inhalation for systemic oxygenation and pulmonary hypertension in patients with adult respiratory distress syndrome.** *Eur J Clin Invest* 1993, **23**:499–502.
19. Hsu CW, Lee DL, Lin SL, Sun SF, Chang HW: **The initial response to inhaled nitric oxide treatment for intensive care unit patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome.** *Respiration* 2008, **75**:288–295.
20. Gerlach H, Keh D, Semmerow A, Busch T, Lewandowski K, Pappert DM, Rossaint R, Falke KJ: **Dose-response characteristics during long-term inhalation of nitric oxide in patients with severe acute respiratory distress syndrome: a prospective, randomized, controlled study.** *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2003, **167**:1008–1015.
21. Papazian L, Bregeon F, Gaillat F, Thirion X, Gannier M, Gregoire R, Saux P, Gouin F, Jammes Y, Auffray JP: **Respective and combined effects of prone position and inhaled nitric oxide in patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome.** *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1998, **157**:580–585.
22. Mehta S, MacDonald R, Hallett DC, Lapinsky SE, Aubin M, Stewart TE: **Acute oxygenation response to inhaled nitric oxide when combined with high-frequency oscillatory ventilation in adults with acute respiratory distress syndrome.** *Crit Care Med* 2003, **31**:383–389.
23. Papazian L, Bregeon F, Gaillat F, Thirion X, Roch A, Cortes E, Fulachier V, Saux P, Jammes Y, Auffray JP: **Inhaled NO and almitrine bismesylate in patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome: effect of noradrenalin.** *Eur Respir J* 1999, **14**:1283–1289.
24. Papazian L, Bregeon F, Gaillat F, Kaphan E, Thirion X, Saux P, Badier M, Gregoire R, Gouin F, Jammes Y, Auffray JP: **Does norepinephrine modify the effects of inhaled nitric oxide in septic patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome?** *Anesthesiology* 1998, **89**:1089–1098.
25. Papazian L, Roch A, Bregeon F, Thirion X, Gaillat F, Saux P, Fulachier V, Jammes Y, Auffray JP: **Inhaled nitric oxide and vasoconstrictors in acute respiratory distress syndrome.** *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 1999, **160**:473–479.
26. Roch A, Papazian L, Bregeon F, Gannier M, Michelet P, Thirion X, Saux P, Thomas P, Jammes Y, Auffray JP: **High or low doses of almitrine bismesylate in ARDS patients responding to inhaled NO and receiving norepinephrine?** *Intensive Care Med* 2001, **27**:1737–1743.
27. Griffiths MJ, Evans TW: **Inhaled nitric oxide therapy in adults.** *N Engl J Med* 2005, **353**:2683–2695.
28. Cuthbertson BH, Dellinger P, Dyr OJ, Evans TE, Higenbottam T, Latimer R, Payen D, Stott SA, Webster NR, Young J: **UK guidelines for the use of inhaled nitric oxide therapy in adult ICUs: American- European Consensus Conference on ALI/ARDS.** *Intensive Care Med* 1997, **23**:1212–1218.
29. Westfelt UN, Lundin S, Stenqvist O: **Nitric oxide administration after the ventilator: evaluation of mixing conditions.** *Acta Anaesthesiol Scand* 1997, **41**:266–273.
30. Young JD, Dyr O, Xiong L, Howell S: **Methaemoglobin production in normal adults inhaling low concentrations of nitric oxide.** *Intensive Care Med* 1994, **20**:581–584.
31. Naeije R, Lejeune P, Vachier JL, Leeman M, Melot C, Hallemans R, Delcroix M, Brimiouille S: **Restored hypoxic pulmonary vasoconstriction by peripheral chemoreceptor agonists in dogs.** *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1990, **142**:789–795.
32. Reyes A, Roca J, Rodriguez-Roisin R, Torres A, Ussetti P, Wagner PD: **Effect of almitrine on ventilation-perfusion distribution in adult respiratory distress syndrome.** *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1988, **137**:1062–1067.
33. Wysocki M, Delclaux C, Roupie E, Langeron O, Liu N, Herman B, Lemaire F, Brochard L: **Additive effect on gas exchange of inhaled nitric oxide and intravenous almitrine bismesylate in the adult respiratory distress syndrome.** *Intensive Care Med* 1994, **20**:254–259.
34. Lu Q, Mourgeon E, Law\_Koune JD, Roche S, Vezinet C, Abdennour L, Vicaut E, Puybasset L, Diaby M, Coriat P: **Dose-response curves of inhaled nitric oxide with and without intravenous almitrine in nitric oxide-responding patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome.** *Anesthesiology* 1995, **83**:929–943.
35. Gillart T, Bazin JE, Cosserant B, Guelon D, Aigouy L, Mansoor O, Schoeffler P: **Combined nitric oxide inhalation, prone positioning and almitrine infusion improve oxygenation in severe ARDS.** *Can J Anaesth* 1998, **45**:402–409.
36. Gottschall EB, Fernyak S, Wuertemberger G, Voelkel NF: **Almitrine mimics hypoxic vasoconstriction in isolated rat lungs.** *Am J Physiol* 1992, **263**:H383–H391.
37. Russell PC, Emery CJ, Nicholl J, Barer GR, Howard P: **Interactions between hypoxic and almitrine-induced vasoconstriction in the rat lung.** *Clin Sci* 1992, **82**:265–272.
38. Gallart L, Lu Q, Puybasset L, Umamaheswara\_Rao GS, Coriat P, Rouby JJ: **Intravenous almitrine combined with inhaled nitric oxide for acute respiratory distress syndrome. The NO Almitrine Study Group.** *Am J Resp Crit Care Med* 1998, **158**:1770–1777.
39. Sommerer A, Kaisers U, Dembinski R, Bubser HP, Falke KJ, Rossaint R: **Dose-dependent effects of almitrine on hemodynamics and gas exchange in an animal model of acute lung injury.** *Intensive Care Med* 2000, **26**:434–441.
40. Michard F, Wolff M, Herman B, Wysocki M: **Right ventricular response to high-dose almitrine infusion in patients with severe hypoxemia related to acute respiratory distress syndrome.** *Crit Care Med* 2001, **29**:32–36.
41. Gherardi R, Baudrimont M, Gray F, Louarn F: **Almitrine neuropathy. A nerve biopsy study of 8 cases.** *Acta Neuropathol* 1987, **73**:202–208.
42. Bouche P, Lacomblez L, Leger JM, Chaunu MP, Ratinahirana H, Brunet P, Hauw JJ, Cathala HP, Laplane D: **Peripheral neuropathies during treatment with almitrine: report of 46 cases.** *J Neurol* 1989, **236**:29–33.
43. Bchir A, Mebazaa A, Losser MR, Romieu M, Payen D: **Intravenous almitrine bismesylate reversibly induces lactic acidosis and hepatic dysfunction in patients with acute lung injury.** *Anesthesiology* 1998, **89**:823–830.
44. Steinberg KP, Hudson LD, Goodman RB, Hough CL, Lanken PN, Hyzy R, Thompson BT, Ancukiewicz M, National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome (ARDS) Clinical Trials Network: **Efficacy and safety of corticosteroids for persistent acute respiratory distress syndrome.** *N Engl J Med* 2006, **354**:1671–1684.
45. Brower RG, Lanken PN, MacIntyre N, Matthay MA, Morris A, Ancukiewicz M, Schoenfeld D, Thompson BT, National Heart, Lung, and Blood Institute ARDS Clinical Trials Network: **Higher versus lower positive end-expiratory pressures in patients with the acute respiratory distress syndrome.** *N Engl J Med* 2004, **351**:327–336.
46. Arroliga AC, Thompson BT, Ancukiewicz M, Gonzales JP, Guntupalli KK, Park PK, Wiedemann HP, Anzueto A, Acute Respiratory Distress Syndrome Network: **Use of sedatives, opioids, and neuromuscular blocking agents in patients with acute lung injury and acute respiratory distress syndrome.** *Crit Care Med* 2008, **36**:1083–1088.
47. Mehta S, Granton J, MacDonald RJ, Bowman D, Matte-Martyn A, Bachman T, Smith T, Stewart TE: **High-frequency oscillatory ventilation in adults: the Toronto experience.** *Chest* 2004, **126**:518–527.
48. Lagneau F, D'honneur G, Plaud B, Mantz J, Gillart T, Duvaldestin P, Marty J, Clyti N, Pourriat JL: **A comparison of two depths of prolonged neuromuscular blockade induced by cisatracurium in mechanically ventilated critically ill patients.** *Intensive Care Med* 2002, **28**:1735–1741.
49. Gannier M, Roch A, Forel JM, Thirion X, Arnal JM, Donati S, Papazian L: **Effect of neuromuscular blocking agents on gas exchange in patients presenting with acute respiratory distress syndrome.** *Crit Care Med* 2004, **32**:113–119.
50. Forel JM, Roch A, Marin V, Michelet P, Demory D, Blache JL, Perrin G, Gannier M, Bongrand P, Papazian L: **Neuromuscular blocking agents decrease inflammatory response in patients presenting with acute respiratory distress syndrome.** *Crit Care Med* 2006, **34**:2749–2757.
51. Neto AS, Pereira VG, Espósito DC, Damasceno MC, Schultz MJ: **Neuromuscular blocking agents in patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome: a summary of the current evidence from three randomized controlled trials.** *Ann Intensive Care* 2012, **2**:33.
52. Slutsky AS: **Neuromuscular blocking agents in ARDS.** *N Engl J Med* 2010, **363**:1176–1180.
53. Yoshida T, Uchiyama A, Matsuura N, Mashimo T, Fujino Y: **Spontaneous breathing during lung-protective ventilation in an experimental acute lung injury model: high transpulmonary pressure associated with strong spontaneous breathing effort may worsen lung injury.** *Crit Care Med* 2012, **40**:1578–1585.
54. Latronico N, Bolton CF: **Critical illness polyneuropathy and myopathy: a major cause of muscle weakness and paralysis.** *Lancet Neurol* 2011, **10**:931–941.



55. De Jonghe B, Lacherade JC, Sharshar T, Outin H: **Intensive care unit-acquired weakness: risk factors and prevention.** *Crit Care Med* 2009, **37**:S309–S315.
56. Griffiths RD, Hall JB: **Intensive care unit-acquired weakness.** *Crit Care Med* 2010, **38**:779–787.
57. Dong SW, Mertes PM, Petitpain N, Hasdenteufel F, Malinovsky JM, GERAP: **Hypersensitivity reactions during anesthesia. Results from the ninth French survey (2005–2007).** *Minerva Anesthesiol* 2012, **78**:8688–78.
58. Hardin KA, Seyal M, Stewart T, Bonekat HW: **Sleep in critically ill chemically paralyzed patients requiring mechanical ventilation.** *Chest* 2006, **129**:1468–1477.
59. Rivers E, Nguyen B, Havstad S, Ressler J, Muzzin A, Knoblich B, Peterson E, Tomlanovich M, Early Goal-Directed Therapy Collaborative Group: **Early goal-directed therapy in the treatment of severe sepsis and septic shock.** *N Engl J Med* 2001, **345**:1368–1377.
60. Stapleton RD, Wang BM, Hudson LD, Rubenfeld GD, Caldwell ES, Steinberg KP: **Causes and timing of death in patients with ARDS.** *Chest* 2005, **128**:525–532.
61. Roch A, Guervilly C, Papazian L: **Fluid management in acute lung injury and ards.** *Ann Intensive Care* 2011, **1**:16.
62. Sakka SG, Klein M, Reinhart K, Meier-Hellmann A: **Prognostic value of extravascular lung water in critically ill patients.** *Chest* 2002, **122**:2080–2086.
63. Phillips CR, Chesnut MS, Smith SM: **Extravascular lung water in sepsis-associated acute respiratory distress syndrome: indexing with predicted body weight improves correlation with severity of illness and survival.** *Crit Care Med* 2008, **36**:69–73.
64. Jozwiak M, Silva S, Persichini R, Anguel N, Osman D, Richard C, Teboul JL, Monnet X: **Extravascular lung water is an independent prognostic factor in patients with acute respiratory distress syndrome.** *Crit Care Med* 2013, **41**:472–480.
65. Sakr Y, Vincent JL, Reinhart K, Groeneveld J, Michalopoulos A, Sprung CL, Artigas A, Ranieri VM, Sepsis Occurrence in Acutely Ill Patients Investigator: **High tidal volume and positive fluid balance are associated with worse outcome in acute lung injury.** *Chest* 2005, **128**:3098–3108.
66. Boyd JH, Forbes J, Nakada TA, Walley KR, Russell JA: **Fluid resuscitation in septic shock: a positive fluid balance and elevated central venous pressure are associated with increased mortality.** *Crit Care Med* 2011, **39**:259–265.
67. Wiedemann HP, Wheeler AP, Bernard GR, Thompson BT, Hayden D, de Boisblanc B, Connors AF Jr, Hite RD, Harabin AL: **Comparison of two fluid-management strategies in acute lung injury.** *N Engl J Med* 2006, **354**:2564–2575.
68. Rivers EP: **Fluid-management strategies in acute lung injury—liberal, conservative, or both?** *N Engl J Med* 2006, **354**:2598–2600.
69. Murphy CV, Schramm GE, Doherty JA, Reichley RM, Gajic O, Afessa B, Micek ST, Kollef MH: **The importance of fluid management in acute lung injury secondary to septic shock.** *Chest* 2009, **136**:102–109.
70. Dellinger RP, Levy MM, Rhodes A, Annane D, Gerlach H, Opal SM, Sevransky JE, Sprung CL, Douglas IS, Jaeschke R, Osborn TM, Nunnally ME, Townsend SR, Reinhart K, Kleinpell RM, Angus DC, Deutschman CS, Machado FR, Rubenfeld GD, Webb S, Beale RJ, Vincent JL, Moreno R, Surviving Sepsis Campaign Guidelines Committee including The Pediatric Subgroup: **Surviving sepsis campaign: international guidelines for management of severe sepsis and septic shock, 2012.** *Intensive Care Med* 2013, **39**:165–228.
71. Guyton AC: **Interstitial fluid pressure. II. Pressure-volume curves of interstitial space.** *Circ Res* 1965, **16**:452–460.
72. Prewitt RM, McCarthy J, Wood LD: **Treatment of acute low pressure pulmonary edema in dogs: relative effects of hydrostatic and oncotic pressure, nitroprusside, and positive end expiratory pressure.** *J Clin Invest* 1981, **67**:409–418.
73. Martin GS, Mangialardi RJ, Wheeler AP, Dupont WD, Morris JA, Bernard GR: **Albumin and furosemide therapy in hypoproteinemic patients with acute lung injury.** *Crit Care Med* 2002, **30**:2175–2182.
74. Martin GS, Moss M, Wheeler AP, Mealer M, Morris JA, Bernard GR: **A randomized, controlled trial of furosemide with or without albumin in hypoproteinemic patients with acute lung injury.** *Crit Care Med* 2005, **33**:1681–1687.
75. Finfer S, Bellomo R, Boyce N, French J, Myburgh J, Norton R: **A comparison of albumin and saline for fluid resuscitation in the intensive care unit.** *N Engl J Med* 2004, **350**:2247–2256.
76. Charpentier J, Mira JP, EARSS study group: **Efficacy and tolerance of hyperoncotic albumin administration in septic shock patients: the EARSS study.** *Intensive Care Med* 2012, **37**:S115.
77. Gattinoni L: **Albumin in Severe Sepsis and Septic Shock: the ALBIO Study.** Lisbon: ESICM Lives 2012 Lisbon, 25th annual congress; 2012:201. 13-17 October 2012. Session fluid therapy in septic shock.
78. Myburgh JA, Finfer S, Bellomo R, Billot L, Cass A, Gattas D, Glass P, Lipman J, Liu B, McArthur C, McGuinness S, Rajbhandari D, Taylor CB, Webb SA: **Hydroxyethyl starch or saline for fluid resuscitation in intensive care.** *N Engl J Med* 2012, **367**:1901–1911.
79. Perner A, Haase N, Guttormsen AB, Tenhunen J, Klemenzson G, Åneman A, Madsen KR, Møller MH, Elkjær JM, Poulsen LM, Bendtsen A, Winding R, Steensen M, Berezowicz P, Søe-Jensen P, Bestle M, Strand K, Wiis J, White JO, Thornberg KJ, Quist L, Nielsen J, Andersen LH, Holst LB, Thormar K, Kjældgaard AL, Fabritius ML, Mondrup F, Pott FC, Møller TP, Winkel P, Wetterslev J: **Hydroxyethyl starch 130/0.42 versus Ringer's acetate in severe sepsis.** *N Engl J Med* 2012, **367**:124–134.
80. Homma H, Deitch EA, Feketeova E, Lu Q, Berezina TL, Zaets SB, Machiedo GW, Xu DZ: **Small volume resuscitation with hypertonic saline is more effective in ameliorating trauma-hemorrhagic shock-induced lung injury, neutrophil activation and red blood cell dysfunction than pancreatic protease inhibition.** *J Trauma* 2005, **59**:266–272.
81. Roch A, Blayac D, Ramiara P, Chetaille B, Marin V, Michelet P, Lambert D, Papazian L, Auffray JP, Carpentier JP: **Comparison of lung injury after normal or small volume optimized resuscitation in a model of hemorrhagic shock.** *Intensive Care Med* 2007, **33**:1645–1654.
82. Roch A, Castanier M, Mardelle V, Trousse D, Marin V, Avaro JP, Tasei AM, Blayac D, Michelet P, Fusai T, Papazian L: **Effect of hypertonic saline pre-treatment on ischemia-reperfusion lung injury in pig.** *J Heart Lung Transplant* 2008, **27**:1023–1030.
83. Bulger EM, May S, Kerby JD, Emerson S, Stiell IG, Schreiber MA, Brasel KJ, Tisherman SA, Coimbra R, Rizoli S, Minei JP, Hata JS, Sopko G, Evans DC, Hoyt DB: **Out-of-hospital hypertonic resuscitation after traumatic hypovolemic shock: a randomized, placebo controlled trial.** *Ann Surg* 2011, **253**:431–441.
84. Ware LB, Matthay MA: **Alveolar fluid clearance is impaired in the majority of patients with acute lung injury and the acute respiratory distress syndrome.** *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2001, **163**:1376–1383.
85. Mehta D, Bhattacharya J, Matthay MA, Malik AB: **Integrated control of lung fluid balance.** *Am J Physiol Lung Cell Mol Physiol* 2004, **287**:L1081–L1090.
86. Perkins GD, McAuley DF, Thickett DR, Gao F: **The beta agonist lung injury trial (BALTI): a randomised placebo-controlled clinical trial.** *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2006, **173**:281–287.
87. Gao Smith F, Perkins GD, Gates S, Young D, McAuley DF, Tunnicliffe W, Khan Z, Lamb SE, BALTI-2 study investigators: **Effect of intravenous  $\beta$ -2 agonist treatment on clinical outcomes in acute respiratory distress syndrome (BALTI-2): a multicentre, randomised controlled trial.** *Lancet* 2012, **379**:229–235.
88. Matthay MA, Brower RG, Carson S, Douglas IS, Eisner M, Hite D, Holets S, Kallet RH, Liu KD, MacIntyre N, Moss M, Schoenfeld D, Steingrub J, Thompson BT: **Randomized, placebo-controlled clinical trial of an aerosolized  $\beta$ -2-agonist for treatment of acute lung injury.** *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2011, **184**:561–568.
89. Thompson BT: **Glucocorticoids and acute lung injury.** *Crit Care Med* 2003, **31**:253–257.
90. Sprung CL, Caralis PV, Marcial EH, Pierce M, Gelbard MA, Long WM, Duncan RC, Tendler MD, Karpf M: **The effect of high dose corticosteroids in patients with septic shock.** *N Engl J Med* 1984, **311**:1137–1143.
91. Luce JM, Montgomery AB, Marks JD, Turner J, Metz CA, Murray JF: **Ineffectiveness of high dose methylprednisolone in preventing parenchymal lung injury and improving mortality in patients with septic shock.** *Am Rev Respir Dis* 1988, **138**:62–68.
92. Weigelt JA, Norcross JF, Borman KR, Snyder WH 3rd: **Early steroid therapy for respiratory failure.** *Arch Surg* 1985, **120**:536–540.
93. Bernard GB, Luce JM, Sprung CL: **High dose corticosteroids in patients with the adult respiratory distress syndrome.** *N Engl J Med* 1987, **317**:1565–1570.
94. Meduri GU, Carratu P, Freire AX: **Evidence of biological efficacy for prolonged glucocorticoid treatment in patients with unresolving ARDS.** *Eur Respir J* 2003, **22**:57–64.
95. Meduri GU, Headley AS, Golden E, Carson SJ, Umberger RA, Kelso T, Tolley EA: **Effect of prolonged methylprednisolone therapy in unresolving acute respiratory distress syndrome: a randomized controlled trial.** *JAMA* 1998, **280**:159–165.
96. Meduri GU, Golden E, Freire AX, Taylor E, Zaman M, Carson SJ, Gibson M, Umberger R: **Methylprednisolone infusion in early severe ARDS results of a randomized controlled trial.** *Chest* 2009, **136**:e30.

97. Papazian L, Doddoli C, Chetaille B, Gernez Y, Thirion X, Roch A, Donati Y, Bonnety M, Zandotti C, Thomas P: **A contributive result of open-lung biopsy improves survival in acute respiratory distress syndrome patients.** *Crit Care Med* 2007, **35**:755–762.
98. Annane D, Sébille V, Bellissant E, Ger-Inf-05 Study Group: **Effect of low doses of corticosteroids in septic shock patients with or without early acute respiratory distress syndrome.** *Crit Care Med* 2006, **34**:22–30.
99. Annane D, Sébille V, Charpentier C, Bollaert PE, François B, Korach JM, Capellier G, Cohen Y, Azoulay E, Troché G, Chaumet-Riffaud P, Bellissant E: **Effect of treatment with low doses of hydrocortisone and fludrocortisone on mortality in patients with septic shock.** *JAMA* 2002, **288**:862–871.
100. Kim SH, Hong SB, Yun SC, Choi WI, Ahn JJ, Lee YJ, Lee HB, Lim CM, Koh Y, Korean Society of Critical Care Medicine H1N1 Collaborative: **Corticosteroid treatment in critically ill patients with pandemic influenza A/H1N1 2009 infection: analytic strategy using propensity scores.** *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2011, **183**:1207–1214.
101. Brun-Buisson C, Richard JC, Mercat A, Thiébaud AC, Brochard L, REVA-SRLF A/H1N1v 2009 Registry Group: **Early corticosteroids in severe influenza A/H1N1 pneumonia and acute respiratory distress syndrome.** *Am J Respir Crit Care Med* 2011, **183**:1200–1206.
102. Matthay MA, Thompson BT, Read EJ, McKenna DH Jr, Liu KD, Calfee CS, Lee JW: **Therapeutic potential of mesenchymal stem cells for severe acute lung injury.** *Chest* 2010, **138**:965–972.
103. De Loecker I, Preiser JC: **Statins in the critically ill.** *Ann Intensive Care* 2012, **2**:19.
104. Looney MR, Nguyen JX, Hu Y, Van Ziffle JA, Lowell CA, Matthay MA: **Platelet depletion and aspirin treatment protect mice in a two-event model of transfusion-related acute lung injury.** *J Clin Invest* 2009, **119**:3450–3461.
105. Lee WL, Slutsky AS: **Sepsis and endothelial permeability.** *N Engl J Med* 2010, **363**:689–691.
106. London NR, Zhu W, Bozza FA, Smith MC, Greif DM, Sorensen LK, Chen L, Kaminoh Y, Chan AC, Passi SF, Day CW, Barnard DL, Zimmerman GA, Krasnow MA, Li DY: **Targeting Robo4-dependent slit signaling to survive the cytokine storm in sepsis and influenza.** *Sci Transl Med* 2010, **2**:23ra19.

doi:10.1186/2110-5820-3-20

**Cite this article as:** Roch *et al.*: Pharmacological interventions in acute respiratory distress syndrome. *Annals of Intensive Care* 2013 **3**:20.

**Submit your manuscript to a SpringerOpen<sup>®</sup> journal and benefit from:**

- ▶ Convenient online submission
- ▶ Rigorous peer review
- ▶ Immediate publication on acceptance
- ▶ Open access: articles freely available online
- ▶ High visibility within the field
- ▶ Retaining the copyright to your article

---

Submit your next manuscript at ▶ [springeropen.com](http://springeropen.com)

---