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Abstract

The cannabinoid receptor 2 (CB2) plays a pleiotropic role in innate immunity and is a crucial mediator of liver disease. In the present study, we investigated the impact of CB2 receptors on the regenerative process associated with liver injury. Following acute hepatitis induced by carbon tetrachloride (CCl4), CB2 were induced in the non-parenchymal cell fraction and remained undetectable in hepatocytes. Administration of CCl4 to CB2−/− mice accelerated liver injury, as shown by increased ALT/AST levels and hepatocyte apoptosis, and delayed liver regeneration, as reflected by a retarded induction of hepatocyte PCNA expression; PCNA induction was also delayed in CB2−/− mice undergoing partial hepatectomy. Conversely, following treatment with the CB2 agonist JWH-133, CCl4 -treated WT mice displayed reduced liver injury and accelerated liver regeneration. CCl4 -treated CB2−/− mice showed a decrease in inducible nitric oxide synthase and tumor necrosis-α (TNF-α expression, and administration of the nitric oxide donor SIN-1 to these animals reduced hepatocyte apoptosis, without affecting liver regeneration. Impaired liver regeneration was consecutive to an interleukin-6 (IL-6)-mediated decrease in matrix metalloproteinase-2 (MMP-2) activity. Indeed, CCl4 -treated CB2−/− mice displayed lower levels of hepatic IL-6 mRNA and increased MMP-2 activity. Administration of IL-6 to these mice decreased MMP-2 activity and improved liver regeneration, without affecting hepatocyte apoptosis. Accordingly, administration of the MMP inhibitor CTTHWGFTLC to CCl4 -treated CB2−/− mice improved liver regeneration. Finally, in vitro studies demonstrated that incubation of hepatic myofibroblasts with JWH-133 increased TNF-α and IL-6 and decreased MMP-2 expressions.

Conclusion

CB2 receptors reduce liver injury and promote liver regeneration following acute insult, via distinct paracrine mechanisms involving hepatic myofibroblasts. These results suggest that CB2 agonists display potent hepatoprotective properties, in addition to their antifibrogenic effects.
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INTRODUCTION

The endocannabinoid system comprises two G-protein coupled receptors, CB1 and CB2, a family of lipidic ligands, known as endocannabinoids and a machinery dedicated to endocannabinoid degradation (1, 2). CB1 receptors are highly expressed in the central nervous system and in a variety of peripheral tissues; in contrast, CB2 receptors show a more restricted distribution, predominating in immune cells (2). Several recent data suggest that the non-psychoactive cannabinoid receptor CB2 is up-regulated in inflammatory disorders and may represent a critical target for regulation of inflammation, with either pro- or anti-inflammatory properties according to pathophysiological settings. Thus, we have shown that CB2 receptors promote adipose tissue inflammation associated with obesity (3). In contrast, anti-inflammatory properties of CB2 receptors have been established in experimental models of multiple sclerosis, atherosclerosis, inflammatory bowel disease or liver ischemia reperfusion injury (4–6). CB2 receptors have also been identified in non-immune cells, such as osteoblasts, myocytes and cardiac fibroblasts, leading to the characterization of non immune beneficial effects of CB2 agonists on osteoporosis or post-ischemic heart failure (7, 8).
Accumulating data indicate that the cannabinoid system is a crucial mediator in the pathogenesis of a variety of liver diseases (1, 3, 9). We have shown that CB1 receptors promote the progression of liver fibrogenesis and that CB1 antagonism is an efficient antifibrotic strategy (10, 11). Moreover, CB1 receptors have also been implicated in the pathogenesis of alcoholic and non-alcoholic fatty liver disease (12–14). Finally, CB1 receptors promote the development of portal hypertension and ascites in cirrhotic animals (15, 16). Unfortunately, exciting potential therapeutic openings derived from these findings have been put to a hold with the withdrawal of the CB1 receptor antagonist rimonabant, due to central adverse effects. Nonetheless, mounting evidences identify CB2 receptors as an alternative target for the management of liver diseases. Thus, we have shown that endogenous activation of CB2 receptors in hepatic myofibroblasts reduces the progression of experimental fibrosis (17) and a subsequent study established the curative properties of a CB2 agonist in cirrhotic rats (18). Recent data also indicate that CB2 receptors decrease the extent of liver injury in models of acute insult, as induced by ischemia-reperfusion or concanavalin-A administration (6, 19). However, their impact on the regenerative process associated with liver injury has not been investigated, as yet. In the present study we show that CB2 receptors reduce liver injury and accelerate liver regeneration via distinct pathways.

METHODS

Materials

CCl₄, mineral oil (MO) and SIN-1 were from Sigma (France), IL-6 from Peprotech (France), JWH-133 from Tocris (ThermoFisher, France), CTTHWGFTLC from Merck (UK).

Animals and experimental design

Animals

Mice invalidated for CB2 receptor (CB2⁻/⁻) were generated as in (20) and wild type (WT) C57BL/6J mice were obtained from Janvier (France). Animals were housed in temperature and humidity controlled rooms, kept on a 12-h light/dark cycle and provided unrestricted amounts of food and water. Male mice aged 8–12 weeks were used. Animal procedures were conducted in accordance with French government policies (Services Vétérinaires de la Santé et de la Production Animale, Ministère de l’Agriculture).

CCl₄-induced liver injury

Acute liver injury was induced by a single intraperitoneal (ip) injection of CCl₄ (0.5 ml/kg body weight, 1:5 dilution in MO), as in (21). Control animals received MO. When indicated, mice were treated either with respective vehicle, IL-6 (0.5 mg/kg, subcutaneous), the CB2 agonist JWH-133 (3 mg/kg, ip), the NO donor SIN-1 (10 mg/kg, ip), or the MMP-2/MMP-9 inhibitor CTTHWGFTLC (13 mg/kg, ip), administered before CCl₄ administration. No mortality was observed throughout treatments. Liver samples were taken from several lobes and either fixed in buffered formalin or snap frozen in liquid nitrogen and stored at −80°C until use. Experiments were performed on 4–9 animals/group.

Partial hepatectomy

Two-third hepatectomy was performed as previously described (22), while animals were under isoflurane anesthesia. After ventral laparotomy, the left lateral, left median and right median lobes were ligated, and excised. The removed liver specimens were weighed and snap frozen in liquid nitrogen to serve as control.

Liver function tests

Alanine aminotransferase activity was measured on an automated analyzer in the Biochemistry Department of Mondor Hospital. Results are the mean from 15 animals/group.

Liver cell fractionation

Liver cells were digested by two-step collagenase perfusion. Cell suspension was centrifuged at 500 rpm for 2 min. The pellet contained hepatocytes and non-parenchymal cells were purified from the supernatant by density gradient centrifugation with 25%–50% Percoll.

TUNEL assay

TUNEL staining was performed on paraffin-embedded tissue sections, using the In Situ Cell Death Detection Kit, POD (Roche). TUNEL-positive area from 2–3 fields (X100)/animal were quantified with Image J. Results are expressed as % of total area, and were quantified from 7–8 animals/group.

Immunohistochemistry
Immunohistochemistry was carried out on paraffin-embedded liver tissue sections as previously described (10 ). Immunohistochemical detection of PCNA was performed using the MOM immunodetection kit (Vector) and a mouse monoclonal anti-PCNA (1:1,750, Santa Cruz). The number of labeled hepatocytes/2000 hepatocytes was quantified in tissue sections (X200) from 4–6 mice/group. Immunohistochemical detection of F4/80 and myeloperoxidase was performed using rat anti-mouse F4/80 (1:20, Serotec) and rabbit anti-human myeloperoxidase (1:750, Dako) respectively, followed by biotinylated secondary antibody (anti-rat, 1/50, Serotec or anti-rabbit, 1/100, Santa Cruz). The signal was amplified with alkaline phosphatase–conjugated streptavidin (1/20, Serotec), and revealed using liquid permanent red chromogen system (Dako).

**Western blot analysis**

Western blot analysis was performed as previously described (10 ). Primary antibodies were mouse monoclonal anti-PCNA (1:1,000) or anti-cyclin D1 (1:500) and secondary antibody was peroxidase-conjugated goat anti-mouse IgG antibody (1:5,000), all from Santa Cruz. Proteins were visualized by an enhanced chemiluminescence assay kit (ECL Plus, GE Healthcare). Signals were quantified using ImageJ. Quantification relative to β-actin (mouse monoclonal 1:100,000, Sigma) was performed on 8–10 animals/group.

**RNA preparation and RT-PCR**

Total RNA was extracted using RNeasy Mini kit (Qiagen). Real-time PCR was carried out on a LightCycler (Roche), using Quantitec SYBR Green PCR kit (Qiagen), with oligonucleotide primers from MWG Biotech (see supplementary data ) The PCR amplified products were analyzed on a 2% agarose gel, and sequenced. Data are from 6–10 animals/group.

**Cell culture**

**Hepatic myofibroblasts**

Hepatic myofibroblasts were obtained by outgrowth of explants prepared from surgical specimens of human normal liver, as previously described (23 ). This procedure was performed in accordance with ethical regulations imposed by the French legislation. Experiments were performed on confluent cells that were made quiescent by a 48 h incubation in serum-free medium.

**Bone-marrow derived macrophages (BMDM)**

BMDM were isolated from bone marrow obtained from posterior leg bones of WT mice, following differenciation in HBSS completed with supernatant from L-cells for 5 days. BMDM were collected, allowed to adhere on 6-well dishes and further treated with 5 μM JWH-133 for 7h. The purity of BMDM was > 95%.

Results are the mean of triplicate determinations on 5 wells/condition.

**Gelatin zymography**

Proteins (50 μg) from liver homogenates were obtained as described (23 ) and separated on a 10% polyacrylamide gel containing 1 mg/ml of bovine skin gelatin (Sigma). After washing for 2 h in 2.5% Triton X-100, gels were incubated for 18 h at 37°C in 50 mM Tris pH 7.8 containing 5 mM CaCl₂ , stained with Coomassie blue, destained in methanol/acetic acid/water (5/2/13) and fixed in methanol/glycerol/water (2/1/17).

**Statistics**

Values represent means ± SEM. Results were analyzed by either Mann and Whitney test, one way or two way ANOVA followed by multiple comparison test, as appropriate. p<0.05 was taken as the minimum level of significance.

**RESULTS**

**Induction of CB2 receptors following acute CCl4-induced liver damage**

Administration of CCl₄ was associated with a 10-fold induction of CB2 mRNA expression at 24 h that was maintained after 48 h (Fig 1A ). CB2 receptors were not detected in hepatocytes isolated from either control or CCl₄ -treated animals (Fig 1B ). In contrast, non-parenchymal cells showed basal expression of CB2 receptors and marked induction following CCl₄ administration (Fig 1B ).

Toxic damage induced by CCl₄ is associated with activation of Kupffer cells and hepatic myofibroblasts, and promotes infiltration of the liver by inflammatory cells (monocytes/macrophages and neutrophils) all of which express CB2 receptors. The mRNA expression of F4/80 (resident and recruited macrophage marker) and chemokine (C-C motif) receptor 2 (CCR2, recruited macrophages) was induced as of 24 h after CCl₄ administration (Fig 1C ), while induction of myeloperoxidase (MPO) mRNA, a marker of polymorphonuclear leukocytes, occurred only after 48 h (Fig 1C ). Smooth muscle alpha-actin (α-SMA) expression was also induced after 24 h in CCl₄ –treated mice, reflecting activation of hepatic myofibroblasts (Fig 1C ).
These data indicate that CCl₄ induced liver injury is associated with an early induction of CB2 receptors in non-parenchymal cells, including hepatic myofibroblasts and macrophages at 24 h, although polymorphonuclear leukocytes may also contribute to CB2 induction after 48 h.

**CB2 receptors reduce acute liver injury**

Acute exposure to CCl₄ induces apoptosis of hepatocytes following cytochrome P450 2E1 (CYP-2E1)-dependent generation of hepatotoxic metabolites. We found that CYP-2E1 mRNA expression was similar in CCl₄-treated CB2⁻/⁻ and WT mice, ruling out an impact of CB2 receptors on CCl₄ metabolism (not shown). Hepatocyte apoptosis was monitored by TUNEL staining and showed time-dependent increase in CCl₄-treated WT mice, achieving 20% of parenchymal area after 48 h (Fig 2A). Administration of CCl₄ to CB2⁻/⁻ mice resulted in a faster progression of TUNEL staining, reaching a peak of 20% after 24 h, higher than the corresponding 10% value in WT counterparts (Fig 2A). Moreover, serum ALT and AST underwent earlier and higher elevation in CCl₄-treated WT mice, whereas liver injury was unchanged in CCl₄-treated CB2⁻/⁻ mice compared to WT mice (Fig 2B). Conversely, there was a reduction in the density of TUNEL-positive hepatocytes in WT mice treated with the specific CB2 receptor agonist, JWH-133, compared to vehicle-treated animals (Fig 2C). Accordingly, peak values of ALT and AST levels were lower in the JWH-133-treated group as compared to vehicle-treated animals (Fig 2D). Overall, these data indicate that CB2 receptors reduce liver injury.

We also investigated whether CB2 receptor invalidation affects the extent of inflammatory infiltrate following CCl₄ exposure. RT-PCR analysis showed that WT and CB2⁻/⁻ mice did not differ in F4/80 and MPO mRNA expression. Accordingly, there was no difference in the density of F4/80 and MPO immuno-positive cells between both groups of mice (Fig 2E). These data indicate that CB2 receptors do not modulate inflammatory infiltration of the liver in response to CCl₄.

**CB2 receptors accelerate liver regeneration**

We investigated the impact of CB2 receptors on the regenerative response arising from CCl₄-induced injury. Cyclin D1 expression was induced in the liver of WT mice, peaking at 24 h and declining thereafter. In contrast, CB2⁻/⁻ mice showed a lower level of hepatic cyclin D1 induction (Fig 3A). Accordingly, hepatocyte proliferation was delayed in CB2⁻/⁻ animals compared to WT counterparts, as shown by western blot analysis and immunohistochemistry of PCNA expression (Fig 3B). Conversely, JWH-133 pretreatment accelerated the onset of PCNA induction in CCl₄-treated WT mice (Fig 3C). These results were further confirmed in the partial hepatectomy model. CB2 mRNA expression was induced 48 and 72 h after partial hepatectomy (Fig 3D), and CB2⁻/⁻ mice also showed a retarded regenerative response, as shown by Western blot analysis and immunohistochemistry of PCNA expression (Fig 3E) or BrDU staining (not shown). Altogether, these data indicate that CB2 receptors accelerate liver regeneration.

**CB2 deficiency reduces expression of TNF-α, IL-6 and iNOS expression**

Further experiments aimed at delineating the molecular mechanisms underlying the beneficial effect of CB2 receptors on hepatocyte survival and regeneration (24, 25). We first investigated the impact of CB2 receptor deficiency on hepatic expression of factors with known effects on hepatocyte survival and/or regeneration (24, 25). The induction of iNOS, TNF-α and IL-6 mRNA was attenuated in CCl₄-treated CB2⁻/⁻ mice as compared to WT counterparts (Fig 4A) whereas the induction profile of MCP-1, IL-10 and TLR4 was similar in both groups (Fig 4B).

**Defective hepatic iNOS induction is responsible for enhanced hepatocyte apoptosis in CB2⁻/⁻ mice**

Following CCl₄ administration, up-regulation of iNOS in hepatocytes is a compensatory protective pathway with respect to hepatocyte apoptosis (26–29). Given the impairment of iNOS induction in the liver of CB2⁻/⁻ mice exposed to CCl₄, we investigated whether an NO donor would attenuate the exacerbation of liver injury in these mice. Treatment with SIN-1 reduced the rate of TUNEL-positive hepatocytes in CCl₄-exposed CB2⁻/⁻ mice, whereas liver injury was unchanged in CCl₄-injected WT animals (Fig 5A). However, SIN-1 did not significantly improved liver regeneration in WT mice (not shown) or in CB2⁻/⁻ animals, although a trend to increase was noted in the latter group. (p=0.13, Fig 5B). These results suggest that CB2 inactivation leads to defective induction of hepatic iNOS, thereby enhancing hepatocyte death following acute liver injury. In contrast, the impairment of liver regeneration found in CCl₄-treated CB2⁻/⁻ mice may result from additional mechanisms.

**CB2 inactivation impairs liver regeneration via an IL-6 dependent inhibition of MMP-2**

IL-6 plays a major role in hepatocyte survival and regeneration (24, 25, 30). Given the impairment of IL-6 induction in the liver of CCl₄-treated CB2⁻/⁻ mice, we explored whether defective liver regeneration would be restored by IL-6 administration. IL-6 did not affect TUNEL staining in CCl₄-treated WT or CB2⁻/⁻ mice at 24 h (Fig 6A). In contrast, IL6 restored PCNA expression in CCl₄-treated CB2⁻/⁻.
animals to 75% of its level in CCl₄-treated WT animals (Fig 6B). These findings suggest that IL-6 deficiency is a key event in the impairment of liver regeneration observed in CB2−/− animals.

Matrix metalloproteinases contribute to liver injury and regeneration. IL-6 has been shown to down-regulate hepatic MMP-2 activity following acute CCl₄ administration (31). We therefore investigated whether CB2 receptors modulate hepatic MMP activity via an IL-6-dependent mechanism. WT and CB2−/− mice showed no differences in hepatic MMP-9 activation following exposure to CCl₄ (Fig 7A). In contrast, MMP-2 activation was enhanced in CB2−/− mice, as compared to WT counterparts (Fig 7A). Moreover, treatment of CB2−/− animals with IL-6 down-regulated MMP-2 activity to levels similar to those found in CCl₄-treated WT mice (Fig 7B). These data demonstrate that following acute liver injury, CB2 receptor inactivation enhances MMP-2 activity as a consequence of IL-6 down-regulation. In order to determine whether MMP-2 mediates the effects of CB2 on liver regeneration, WT and CB2−/− mice underwent an injection of the MMP-2/9 inhibitor CTHWGFTLC (CTT) or vehicle before CCl₄ administration. The defective induction of cyclin D1 associated with CB2 deficiency was fully restored in mice treated with CTT, whereas CTT had no effect in WT mice (Fig 7C).

Altogether, our results indicate that impairment of liver regeneration in CB2−/− mice is consecutive to a defect in IL-6 production leading to an increase in MMP-2 activity.

**CB2 receptors up-regulate TNF-α and IL-6 expression in cultured hepatic myofibroblasts**

The absence of CB2 receptors in hepatocytes, and their predominant expression in non parenchymal cells (Fig 1) suggested that CB2-dependent regulation of hepatocyte injury and proliferation results from paracrine interactions between non parenchymal cells and hepatocytes. We therefore conducted experiments in primary cultures of macrophages and hepatic myofibroblasts. Indeed, both cell types express CB2 receptors (3, 17) and produce bioactive factors with antiapoptotic and mitogenic properties for hepatocytes, in particular TNF-α and IL-6. Moreover, hepatic myofibroblasts are the main source of MMP-2 during liver injury (32).

Cultured hepatic myofibroblasts showed a decrease in MMP-2 mRNA following treatment with JWH-133. Moreover, JWH-133 induced TNF-α and IL-6 mRNAs, that peaked after 6 h and declined to basal levels within 24 h (Fig 8A). In contrast, exposure of bone marrow-derived macrophages to JWH-133 did not affect TNF-α and down-regulated IL-6 mRNA expressions (Fig 8B). These findings suggest that CB2-dependent regulation of hepatocyte injury and regeneration may depend on paracrine effects of hepatic myofibroblasts.

**DISCUSSION**

The present study shows that activation of CB2 receptors alleviates CCl₄-induced hepatitis and accelerates liver regeneration, therefore identifying CB2 agonists as potential beneficial hepatoprotective agents.

We show that hepatic CB2 receptor expression is increased in the non parenchymal cell fraction during acute hepatitis triggered by CCl₄. Moreover, our data suggest that early up-regulation of CB2 receptors may arise from macrophages and activated myofibroblasts, while other recruited inflammatory cells (i.e polymorphonuclear leucocytes) most probably also contribute to CB2 receptor induction at later time points. Interestingly, a recent study has reported increased production of the endogenous CB2 ligand 2-arachidonoylglycerol in the liver following a single injection of CTT (33). Altogether, these data indicate that acute liver injury is associated with an enhancement of the endogenous CB2 tone.

We show that in the CCl₄ model, administration of the CB2 agonist JWH-133 reduces the extent of liver injury, whereas CB2−/− mice are more susceptible to the toxic insult. These findings corroborate previous studies demonstrating hepatoprotective properties of CB2 receptors in experimental models of acute liver injury elicited by ischemia/reperfusion injury, thioacetamide or concanavalan A (6, 19, 34). In addition, we identify iNOS as a central mediator in the beneficial effects mediated by CB2 receptors. Indeed, CCl₄-treated CB2−/− mice show impaired induction of hepatic iNOS, and treatment of these mice with the NO donor SIN-1 reduces their exacerbated susceptibility to liver injury. These findings are in line with the reported protective effects of hepatocyte iNOS on liver injury. Thus, iNOS−/− mice display enhanced hepatocyte apoptosis when exposed to either CCl₄ (26, 27) or to partial hepatectomy (28). In addition, cultured hepatocytes are more resistant to apoptosis in the presence of NO donors, or following induction of iNOS with cytokines, such as TNF-α (29). Interestingly, our data also indicate that CCl₄-treated CB2−/− mice show decreased induction of TNF-α, a well-characterized inducer of iNOS expression. Whether TNF-α release triggered by CB2 receptors in non-parenchymal cells may contribute to the iNOS-dependent antiapoptotic effects in hepatocytes remains to be determined.

It is well demonstrated that liver injury triggered by CCl₄ is followed by a regenerative response orchestrated by the activation of multiple coordinated pathways, involving cross-talk between hepatocytes and non parenchymal cells (25). We demonstrate that CB2 receptors display beneficial effects on liver regeneration in this model, as well as in the partial hepatectomy model. We also demonstrate that beneficial effects of CB2 receptors are mediated by a pathway distinct from its protective effects against hepatocyte apoptosis, that
involve IL-6. Thus, CCl\textsubscript{4}-treated CB2\textsuperscript{−/−} mice display reduced hepatic expression of IL-6, and administration of IL-6 to these animals partially restores PCNA expression. Interestingly, CB2\textsuperscript{−/−} mice and IL-6\textsuperscript{−/−} mice behave similarly in response to acute and chronic liver injury, with increased liver damage, decreased liver regeneration and increased fibrogenesis (17, 35). However, our data indicate that although IL-6 mediates CB2 receptor impact on liver regeneration, the cytokine is not involved in CB2 receptor-dependent anti-apoptotic effect. These data are in line with the reported beneficial effects of IL-6 on liver regeneration (25), but contrast with studies reporting the protective role of IL-6 against liver damage (31, 36, 37). The mechanisms underlying these discrepancies, although not fully elucidated, may rely on the duration of IL-6 treatment, as suggested in a recent study (38). Our data also identify MMP-2 as a downstream target of the CB2/IL-6 pathway, as CCl\textsubscript{4} -treated CB2\textsuperscript{−/−} mice display an increase in MMP-2 activity that is down-regulated following IL-6 administration. These findings are in line with the increase in MMP-2 activity reported in IL-6\textsuperscript{−/−} mice upon CCl\textsubscript{4} exposure, and the inhibitory effect of IL-6 on MMP-2 expression in hepatic myofibroblasts (31). Moreover, we also demonstrate that IL-6-dependent dysregulation of MMP2 activity is responsible for impaired liver regeneration, as shown by the beneficial effects of an MMP2\textsuperscript{−/−} inhibitor on cyclin D1 expression in CB2\textsuperscript{−/−} mice. Taken together, these data further argue for a central role of IL-6 in the regenerative response promoted by CB2 receptors, and identify MMP-2 as a downstream target.

Our data show that hepatocytes do not express CB2 receptors, indicating that paracrine interactions mediate the beneficial impact of these receptors on hepatocyte injury and regeneration. It is well established that following acute liver injury, Kupffer cells rapidly release pro-inflammatory mediators, such as TNF-α and IL-6, that regulate hepatocyte death and proliferation. Accumulating evidence suggest that, apart from their fibrogenic properties, hepatic myofibroblasts are also central in the regulation of hepatocyte injury and regeneration (39–41). Indeed, at sites of injury, myofibroblasts produce bioactive mediators with antiapoptotic and mitogenic effects on hepatocytes, including TNF-α and IL-6 (32). Macrophage culture experiments indicate that activation of CB2 receptors does not increase either TNF-α or IL-6 expression. These results suggest that macrophages are not responsible for the CB2-dependent production of these cytokines in the CCl\textsubscript{4} model. In contrast, activation of CB2 receptors in cultured hepatic myofibroblasts leads to a concurrent increase in TNF-α and IL-6 expressions, associated with a down-regulation of MMP-2 expression. These data therefore suggest that production of TNF-α by hepatic myofibroblasts may contribute to iNOS-dependent hepatoprotective effects mediated by CB2 receptors following acute liver injury. Similarly, and since hepatic myofibroblasts are the major source of MMP-2 during liver injury (32), our data also suggest that hepatic myofibroblasts may also be key contributors in the IL-6/MMP-2-dependent regenerative effects of CB2 receptors. Our results indicate that CB2 receptors expressed in hepatic myofibroblasts elicit dual beneficial properties, by producing hepatoprotective factors, and by triggering antifibrogenic effects following growth inhibition and apoptosis of hepatic myofibroblasts (17). In keeping with our results, hepatic myofibroblasts have been shown to display similar hepatoprotective and antifibrogenic effects following stimulation of IGF-1 receptors (41) or neurotrophin p75\textsuperscript{NTR} (39, 42).

In conclusion, our data demonstrate that CB2 receptors reduce liver injury and promote liver regeneration following acute insult, by distinct paracrine mechanisms on hepatocytes originating from hepatic myofibroblasts. These results suggest that CB2 agonists display potent hepatoprotective properties, in addition to their antifibrogenic effects.
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Figure 1
Expression of CB2 receptor mRNA following CCl₄ induced liver injury

(A) RT-PCR analysis of hepatic CB2 mRNA expression. (B) CB2 receptor mRNA expression in the total liver, parenchymal and non parenchymal liver cell fractions at 24 h following CCl₄ or MO administration. (C) RT-PCR analysis of hepatic F4/80, CCR2, MPO and α-SMA mRNA expression following CCl₄ administration. #P <0.05 for CCl₄ vs MO.
Figure 2
Hepatoprotective properties of CB2 receptors

(A) Representative TUNEL staining and kinetics of mean TUNEL staining (*P <0.05 for CB2−/− vs WT mice; # P<0.05 for CCl4 vs MO). (B) ALT and AST levels . (C) Representative TUNEL staining of WT mice treated with JWH-133 or vehicle and sacrificed 24 h after CCl4 administration.*P <0.05 for JWH-133 vs vehicle. (D) ALT and AST levels . (E) RT-PCR analysis of hepatic F4/80 and MPO expressions. #P <0.05 for CCl4 vs MO. Representative F4/80 and MPO immunostaining of CCl4-treated CB2−/− and WT liver tissue sections.
Figure 3
Beneficial effects of CB2 receptor on liver regeneration
Representative western blot of (A) hepatic cyclin D1 expression and (B) hepatic PCNA expression after CCl₄ injection. Immunohistochemical detection of PCNA in hepatocyte nuclei from WT and CB2⁻/⁻ mice 48 h after CCl₄ injection, and mean PCNA-positive cells. *P <0.05 for CB2⁻/⁻ vs WT mice; # P<0.05 for CCl₄ vs MO; (C) Representative western blot of hepatic PCNA expression in mice treated with JWH-133 or vehicle. *P <0.05 for JWH-133 vs vehicle; # P <0.05 for CCl₄ vs MO. (D) RT-PCR analysis of hepatic CB2 mRNA expression following partial hepatectomy; #P <0.05 for hepatectomized vs control mice. (E) Representative western blot of hepatic PCNA expression after partial hepatectomy. Immunohistochemical detection of PCNA in nuclei of hepatocytes 48 h after partial hepatectomy and mean PCNA-positive cells. *P <0.05 for WT vs CB2⁻/⁻ mice.
Figure 4
Comparison of the expression profile of survival and mitogenic factors between CCl₄-treated CB²⁻/⁻ mice and WT counterparts

(A) RT-PCR analysis of iNOS TNF-α and IL-6 mRNA (B) MCP-1, IL-10, TLR4 mRNA following CCl₄ administration. *P<0.05 for CB²⁻/⁻ vs WT mice; # P<0.05 for CCl₄ vs MO.
Figure 5
Effect of the NO donor SIN-1 on TUNEL staining and cyclin D1 expression in CCl₄-treated CB2⁻/⁻ and WT mice
Mice were injected with SIN-1 or vehicle and sacrificed 24 h after CCl₄ injection. (A) Representative TUNEL staining and mean TUNEL-positive area. (B) Representative immunoblot and mean densitometric analysis of cyclin D1 expression. *P <0.05 for SIN-1 vs vehicle.
Figure 6
Effect of IL-6 administration to CCl₄–treated CB²⁻/⁻ and WT mice on TUNEL staining and PCNA expression
(A) Representative TUNEL staining and mean TUNEL-positive area 24 h after CCl₄ treatment. *P < 0.05 vs vehicle-injected WT mice administered CCl₄. (B) Representative immunoblot and mean densitometric analysis of PCNA expression 48 h after CCl₄ exposure. *P < 0.05 for IL-6 vs vehicle.
Figure 7
CB2 receptor inactivation delays liver regeneration by a mechanism involving enhanced MMP-2 activity
Gelatin zymography showing (A) MMP-9 and MMP-2 activities and (B) MMP-2 activities of liver homogenates from WT and CB2−/− mice injected with IL-6 or vehicle and sacrificed 24 h after CCl₄ administration. (C) Representative western blot of hepatic cyclin D1 expression 24 h after CCl₄ injection, following CTT or vehicle treatment. *P <0.05 for CTT vs vehicle.
Figure 8
Consequences of CB2 receptor activation in cultured macrophages and hepatic myofibroblasts
(A) RT-PCR analysis of TNF-α, IL-6 and MMP-2 mRNA on human hepatic myofibroblasts incubated with 5 μM JWH-133 or vehicle for 6 or 24 h. *P <0.05 for JWH-133 vs vehicle. (B) RT-PCR analysis of TNF-α and IL-6 mRNA on bone marrow-derived macrophages incubated with 5 μM JWH-133 or vehicle for 7 h. *P <0.05 for JWH-133 vs vehicle.