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Early Development of Neuronal Activity in the Primate Hippocampus In Utero
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Morphological studies suggest that the primate hippocampus develops extensively before birth, but little is known about its functional development. Patch-clamp recordings of hippocampal neurons and reconstruction of biocytin-filled pyramidal cells were performed in slices of macaque cynomolgus fetuses delivered by cesarean section. We found that during the second half of gestation, axons and dendrites of pyramidal cells grow intensively by hundreds of micrometers per day to attain a high level of maturity near term. Synaptic currents appear around midgestation and are correlated with the development of morphological differentiation of pyramidal cells: the first synapses are GABAergic, and their emergence correlates with the growth of apical dendrite into stratum radiatum. A later occurrence of glutamatergic synaptic currents correlates with a further differentiation of the axodendritic tree and the appearance of spines. Relying on the number of dendritic spines, we estimated that hundreds of new glutamatergic synapses are established every day on a pyramidal neuron during the last third of gestation. Most of the synaptic activity is synchronized in spontaneous slow (~0.1 Hz) network oscillations reminiscent of the giant depolarizing potentials in neonatal rodents. Epileptiform discharges can be evoked by the GABA(A) receptor antagonist bicuculline by the last third of gestation, and postsynaptic GABA(B) receptors contribute to the termination of epileptiform discharges. Comparing the results obtained in primates and rodents, we conclude that the template of early hippocampal network development is conserved across the mammalian evolution but that it is shifted toward fetal life in primate.
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Most of our knowledge on neuronal activity in a developing brain comes from studies on lower mammals. These studies have demonstrated that neurons establish synapses from the early developmental stages and that the developing networks generate particular patterns of spontaneous coordinated neuronal activity in virtually all peripheral and central structures studied so far (Ben-Ari, 2001). In the rat hippocampus, immature pyramidal neurons start to receive sequentially established GABAergic and glutamatergic synaptic inputs around birth (Tyzio et al., 1999). During the first two postnatal weeks—a period of intense neuronal growth and synaptogenesis—the hippocampal network generates periodic synchronized neuronal discharges, known as giant depolarizing potentials (GDPs) (Ben-Ari et al., 1989). GDPs drive synchronized oscillations of intracellular calcium and provide conditions for Hebbian plasticity in developing synapses (Leinekugel et al., 1997; Garaschuk et al., 1998).

In contrast to lower mammals, in primates the functional development of the hippocampus, or as a matter of fact any cortical structures, is unknown. Morphological studies have shown that comparable stages of hippocampal development occur much earlier in primates than in rodents with most of the developmental process taking place in utero both in human (Humphrey, 1967; Paldino and Purpura, 1979; Kostovic et al., 1989; Arnold and Trojanowski, 1996; Heyner and Kinney, 1996) and nonhuman primates (Nowakowski and Rakic, 1979; Duffy and Rakic, 1983; Eckenhoff and Rakic, 1991; Seress and Ribak, 1995; Berger and Alvarez, 1996; Berger et al., 1997, 1999). Moreover, a hippocampal-dependent form of recognition memory has been recently reported in human and monkey babies soon after birth (Bachevalier et al., 1993; Bachevalier and Mishkin, 1994; Pascalis and de Schonen, 1994; Pascalis and Bachevalier, 1999) raising the possibility that the hippocampal network may be operational before birth. However, because electrophysiological recordings have not been performed in the fetal primate brain, several fundamental questions remain unanswered, namely, when do hippocampal neurons start to communicate via synaptic connections and when do early patterns of the coordinated network activity emerge? Determining these issues is essential to understand not only hippocampal development, but also developmental pathologies associated with the hippocampus, including epilepsy. This information is also important for understanding phylogenetic aspects of hippocampal development and for using lower mammals as a model of human brain development.

In the present study, we have performed patch-clamp recordings from pyramidal cells and interneurons in hippocampal slices of six cynomolgus monkey fetuses delivered by cesarean section during the second half of gestation (embryonic day 85 (E85) to E154, term 165 d], at a time when neurogenesis is complete in the hippocampus (Rakic and Nowakowski, 1981). Biocytin-filled neurons were reconstructed to correlate the extent of dendritic and axonal development with the neuronal activity. The principal
conclusion of this study is that in primate neurons, functional properties emerge early in utero and that there is a rapid pace of maturation that enables the neurons, essentially silent at the beginning of midgestation, to have all the morphological and physiological properties required to generate network-driven endogenous and epileptiform activities before birth.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Preparation of animals and tissue. The study was conducted under the approval and guidelines of the Ethical Committee of the Institut National de la Recherche Agronomique Primate Center at Jouy-en-Josas, France. Six cynomolgus monkey (Macaca fascicularis) fetuses of ages E85, E105, E109, E119, E134, and E154 (term 165 d) were delivered by cesarean section under anesthesia with 10 mg/kg ketamine and 1 mg/kg diazepam. The fetuses were intracardially perfused with cold (2–4°C) oxygenated (95% O₂ and 5% CO₂) modified artificial CSF (ACSF) (Hirsch et al., 1996). The brains were quickly removed and immersed for dissection into ice-cold oxygenated standard ACSF that contained (in mM): 126 NaCl, 3.5 KCl, 2.0 CaCl₂, 1.3 MgCl₂, 25 NaHCO₃, 1.2 NaH₂PO₄, and 11 glucose. Transverse hippocampal slices (400-μm-thick) were cut with a Leica VT 1000E (Nussloch, Germany) tissue vibroslicer, transferred to a beaker containing oxygenated ACSF and kept at room temperature (20–22°C) for at least 1–2 hr before use. Slices were recorded in a submersed chamber perfused with oxygenated ACSF (30–32°C).

Electrophysiology. Patch-clamp recordings were performed using Axopatch 200 (Axon Instruments, Union City, CA) and EPC-9 (HEKA Elektronik, Gmbh, Lambrecht/Pfalz, Germany) amplifiers. Cells were either recorded blindly or under visual control using infrared microscopy, with microelectrodes of 7–10 MΩ resistance when filled with a solution containing (in mM): 135 K gluconate, 2 MgCl₂, 0.1 CaCl₂, 1 EGTA, 2 Na₂ ATP, and 10 HEPES, pH 7.25, osmolarity 270–280 mol/kg. The cells were identified as neurons based on the following criteria: action potential firing in response to a depolarizing step, presence of a synaptic activity (except for “silent” neurons), and morphology. Usually the cells were held at −70 or 0 mV to record glutamatergic and GABAergic synaptic currents, respectively. Electrical stimulation (0–80 V; 10–30 μsec; delivered at 0.02–0.05 Hz) was provided by a bipolar electrode placed in the stratum radiatum. All the neurons were filled with biocytin (0.4%) for post hoc morphological analysis. Electrophysiological data were analyzed using Acquis (G. Sadoc, Paris, France), Clampex (Axon Instruments), and Origin (Microcal Software, Northampton, MA).

Morphology. All the recorded slices were processed for biocytin-filled neuron detection. In addition, some hippocampal slices were collected immediately after slice preparation, for immunohistochemistry. The slices were fixed overnight at 4°C in a solution containing 4% paraformaldehyde in 0.1 M phosphate buffer (PB), pH 7.4. After fixation, slices were rinsed in PB, cryoprotected in sucrose for 16 hr, and quickly frozen on dry ice. The detection of biocytin-filled neurons was performed on unsectioned slices with neutralized and endogenous peroxidase, slices were pretreated for 30 min in 1% H₂O₂. After several rinses in 0.1 M PB, pH 7.4, slices were incubated for 24 hr at 4°C in 1:100 avidin-biotinylated peroxidase complex diluted in PBS containing 0.3% Triton X-100. After 30 min rinses in PBS, slices were processed with 0.06% 3,3'-diaminobenzidine tetrahydrochloride (DAB; Sigma, St. Louis, MO) and 0.006% H₂O₂ diluted in PBS, rinsed, mounted on gelatin-coated slides, and coveredslipped in an aqueous medium (Crystal/Mount; Biomeda, Foster City, CA).

Among 147 recorded neurons, 100 neurons were morphologically identified including 28 CA1 pyramidal cells, 27 CA3 pyramidal cells, 31 hippocampal interneurons, 10 pyramidal and interneurons in subiculum and neocortex, and 6 granular cells. 25 CA3 and 20 CA1 pyramidal cells with a complete labeling of dendritic and axonal arbors were reconstructed for morphometric analysis using the NeuroLucida system (MicroBrightField Inc., Colchester, VT). All the slices observed along the dendritic arborization of pyramidal cells were marked for further morphometric analysis of the total length and the number of branches for dendrites and axons of pyramidal cells from CA3 and CA1 revealed a progressive increase of all these parameters from E85 to E134. From E134 to E154, the length and number of dendritic and axonal branches did not change significantly (Fig. 2, Table 1). The number of spines increased continuously from E105 onward to attain some 7000 spines per pyramidal cell before birth (E154) (Fig. 2, Table 1). The density of spines at E154 was 55 spines/100 μm and matched the one observed in the neonate rhesus monkey (47/100 μm; Seress and Ribak, 1995). Thorny excrescences were not observed on CA3 pyramidal cells, confirming that the complex mossy fiber synapses mature mainly after birth (Vijayan, 1986; Seress and Ribak, 1995). Thus, the morphological differentiation of the pyramidal cells in the primate hippocampus occurs essentially during the second half of gestation, and the pyramidal cells already attain a high level of maturity before birth.

RESULTS

The second half of gestation: a period of intensive differentiation of pyramidal cells

The second half of gestation was characterized by an intensive morphological differentiation of pyramidal cells, including the growth and ramification of axonal and dendritic arbors and the formation of spines. As a result, pyramidal cells that were very immature with little neuritic extensions at midgestation were endowed with a high level of differentiation 1 month before birth (Fig. 1, Table 1).

At midgestation (E85), immature pyramidal neurons displayed a relatively long axonal process running in stratum oriens but only short apical dendrites with few branches and little or no basal dendrites. Apical dendrites were mainly restricted to the cell body layer in CA1 but entered the proximal part of stratum radiatum in CA3. Many dendritic and axonal processes ended with growth cones.

At E105–E109, the apical dendrites extended through stratum radiatum to lacunoso molecular; the basal dendrites penetrated and ramified in stratum oriens. At this stage, the first dendritic spines were observed. Axons of CA3 pyramidal cells gave rise to numerous recurrent and Schaffer collaterals; CA1 pyramidal cells emitted many local axonal branches running in strata oriens and radiatum as well as projections to the subiculum.

At E134 and E154, pyramidal cells had well differentiated dendritic and axonal arbors that extended through all their proper layers. The densely ramified apical dendrites crossed the stratum lacunosum molecular into the hippocampal fissure. Apical and basal dendrites were covered with spines.

A morphometric analysis of the total length and the number of branches for dendrites and axons of pyramidal cells from CA3 and CA1 revealed a progressive increase of all these parameters from E85 to E134. From E134 to E154, the length and number of dendritic and axonal branches did not change significantly (Fig. 2, Table 1). The number of spines increased continuously from E105 onward to attain some 7000 spines per pyramidal cell before birth (E154) (Fig. 2, Table 1). The density of spines at E154 was 55 spines/100 μm and matched the one observed in the neonate rhesus monkey (47/100 μm; Seress and Ribak, 1995). Thorny excrescences were not observed on CA3 pyramidal cells, confirming that the complex mossy fiber synapses mature mainly after birth (Vijayan, 1986; Seress and Ribak, 1995). Thus, the morphological differentiation of the pyramidal cells in the primate hippocampus occurs essentially during the second half of gestation, and the pyramidal cells already attain a high level of maturity before birth.

The sequential expression of GABA and glutamatergic synaptic currents correlates with the morphological maturation of the pyramidal cells

Synaptic activity was observed in hippocampal pyramidal neurons starting from midgestation, and the expression of synaptic currents was highly correlated with their degree of morphological differentiation (Fig. 3, Table 1). Based on the expression of...
GABAergic and glutamatergic postsynaptic currents (PSCs), pyramidal cells could be divided into three groups:

Synaptically "silent" neurons did not have functional synaptic inputs because no spontaneous activity was recorded in these cells and electrical stimulations of afferent pathways did not evoke any synaptic currents. Morphometric analysis of these neurons showed that synaptically silent neurons had short and poorly ramified axonal and dendritic processes. The dendrites were nonspiny and restricted to the pyramidal cell layer and the inner part of stratum radiatum (Figs. 1, 4B). These neurons were mainly observed in the CA1 region at midgestation (Fig. 1).

GABAergic and glutamatergic postsynaptic currents (PSCs), pyramidal cells could be divided into three groups:

Synaptically "silent" neurons did not have functional synaptic inputs because no spontaneous activity was recorded in these cells and electrical stimulations of afferent pathways did not evoke any synaptic currents. Morphometric analysis of these neurons showed that synaptically silent neurons had short and poorly ramified axonal and dendritic processes. The dendrites were nonspiny and restricted to the pyramidal cell layer and the inner part of stratum radiatum (Figs. 1, 4B). These neurons were mainly observed in the CA1 region at midgestation (Fig. 1).

"GABA-only" neurons had only GABAergic, but not glutamatergic, synaptic inputs. All evoked and spontaneous PSCs in these neurons had a reversal potential near ECl and were abolished by the GABA(A) receptor antagonist bicuculline (Fig. 3A). "GABA only" neurons were observed between E85 and E109 and were more differentiated than "silent" ones. They had significantly longer neuronal processes and their apical dendrites (still nonspiny) penetrated deeper into the stratum radiatum (Fig. 4C). Interestingly, at midgestation, GABAergic terminals immunolabeled for GAD65 or GAD67 were found in strata radiatum and oriens but not in stratum pyramidale (Fig. 4A).

**Figure 1.** Morphological differentiation of pyramidal cells in the cynomolgus monkey hippocampus during the second half of gestation. Reconstruction of biocytin-filled pyramidal cells in CA1 and CA3 hippocampal subfields at various ages in utero (E85–E154). Note an intensive growth of the pyramidal cells that reach a high level of morphological differentiation at E134, 1 month before birth. O, Stratum oriens; P, pyramidal cell layer; R, stratum radiatum; LM, stratum lacunosum moleculare; the dashed lines indicate the limits between layers, and the solid line indicates the hippocampal fissure. Axons (gray), color of the dendritic arborization indicates the expression of synaptic currents (blue, silent; green, GABA-only; red, GABA + glutamate neurons). Electrophysiological recordings from neurons 1–3 are shown on Figure 3A. Scale bar, 100 μm.
“GABA + glutamate” neurons had both GABA and glutamatergic synaptic inputs. These were the most differentiated neurons, which were first observed at E105. From E119 onward, all the recorded pyramidal cells exhibited both GABAergic and glutamatergic PSCs (Fig. 3C). The dendritic and axonal arbor of GABA + glutamate neurons were twofold to threefold more developed when compared with GABA-only cells from the same age (E105–E109) (Figs. 3B, 4D,E). The emergence of glutamatergic PSCs was correlated with an intensive outgrowth of local axonal recurrent and Schaffer collaterals of pyramidal cells, a morphological substrate for connections between pyramidal cells (Figs. 1, 3B), and was also concomitant to the occurrence of the first dendritic spines (Fig. 4F–I). Interestingly, the appearance of glutamatergic PSCs also coincided with the emergence of the first network-driven events, suggesting that early glutamatergic inputs are provided by an intrahippocampal circuitry rather than by external inputs (see below). In the present study, none of the recorded neurons only had a glutamatergic synaptic input.

Quantitative analysis of the morphometric parameters of silent, GABA-only, and GABA + glutamate pyramidal neurons demonstrated clear differences between the three groups (Table 1, Fig. 3B). Whereas the size of the somata showed no difference between the three groups, the total length of dendrites and axons was significantly higher in the GABA + glutamate group as compared with the GABA-only and silent groups. GABA + glutamate neurons were the only ones to have spines. When the total number of neurons with GABA-only or GABA + glutamate synapses are plotted according to the developmental age (Fig. 3C), it becomes apparent that GABA PSCs are present in half of the neurons at ~E85. In contrast, pyramidal neurons have no glutamatergic synapses at that stage. Basing on the Boltzmann fit of the age dependence of the glutamatergic PSC expression, it can be inferred that half of the pyramidal cells receive glutamatergic inputs ~2 weeks later, at ~E100. Also note that from E119 onward, all pyramidal neurons possess both types of synapses.

Taken together, these data suggest that functional synapses are established on pyramidal cells at the early stages of their morphological differentiation and that the first GABAergic synapses are established before the glutamatergic ones. GABA and glutamatergic synaptic currents were also recorded in interneurons, but a systematic study of their morphofunctional development was not performed because of the heterogeneity of this population (Freund and Buzsáki, 1996).

**Early pattern of synchronized network activity**

The prenatal differentiation of pyramidal cells, as well as of granular cells (Duffy and Rakic, 1983; Eckenhoff and Rakic, 1991) and interneurons (Berger and Alvarez, 1996; Berger et al., 1999), and the early establishment of synaptic connections provides a basis for the in utero emergence of synchronized activities in the hippocampal network. No spontaneous network-driven activity was observed at midgestation (E85; n = 0 of 12) and seldom close to term (E154; n = 1 of 10). In contrast, between E105 and E134 the majority of pyramidal neurons (n = 23 of 38) displayed periodic network-driven events occurring at a frequency of 0.13 ± 0.04 Hz (n = 23) (Figs. 5, 6). This activity was reminiscent of the so-called GDPs described in the neonatal rat hippocampus (Ben-Ari et al., 1989; Leinekugel et al., 1997). Fetal monkey GDPs displayed the typical features of polysynaptic network driven events: (1) they were synchronously generated in simultaneously recorded neurons (n = 8 pairs); (2) they could be evoked in an all-or-none manner by electrical stimulation, the latency being dependent on the stimulus intensity; (3) their frequency was independent of the membrane potential; and (4) they were completely blocked by tetrodotoxin (1 μM; n = 3). GDPs were synchronously generated in paired recordings from pyramidal cells and interneurons (n = 6 pairs) (Fig. 5) and were mediated by synaptically activated GABA(A) and glutamate receptors. As in the rat hippocampus (Ben-Ari et al., 1989), the GDPs were completely blocked by glutamate receptor antagonists CNQX (10 μM) and APV (50 μM) (n = 8) and were transformed to paroxysmal discharges by the GABA(A) receptor antagonist bicuculline (Fig. 6). In several neurons, the elemental synaptic events could be discriminated, and the analysis revealed a dramatic increase in the frequency of synaptic currents during GDPs: the frequency of GABA and glutamate receptor-mediated postsynaptic currents increased from 1.3 ± 0.1/sec to 22 ± 6/sec (n = 4) and from 3.5 ± 2/sec to 46 ± 17/sec, (n = 3) respectively (Fig. 5). The total count of synaptic events revealed that 49 ± 10% (n = 4) of GABAergic and 42 ± 5% (n = 3) of glutamatergic synaptic activity is synchronized in GDPs. Thus, GDPs in the fetal macaque hippocampal slices are generated by GABAergic inputs from interneurons and glutamatergic recurrent collateral synapses, and the synaptic activity is highly synchronized in this early hippocampal pattern during the phase of intensive neuronal growth and synaptogenesis.

**Maturation of epileptiform activity**

The prenatal establishment of recurrent collateral synapses provides the conditions for the generation of another type of network
activity—epileptiform discharges. Therefore, in the next series of experiments we studied the development of the epileptiform activity induced by the GABA(A) receptor antagonist bicuculline (10 μM) (Figs. 6, 7). At midgestation, no epileptiform activity was observed, in keeping with the large percentage of either silent or GABA-only neurons at this stage. The first epileptiform events in the presence of bicuculline were observed at E105–E109, which coincides with the outgrowth of axonal collaterals of pyramidal cells and the appearance of spines and glutamatergic synaptic currents. The occurrence and severity of epileptiform events increased through gestation in parallel with the development of pyramidal cells and the increase in the number of spines. One month before birth (E134), powerful epileptiform events were observed in all recorded neurons (Fig. 7). Intercital-like events were synchronized by glutamatergic connections because: (1) they reversed near 0 mV and the charge transfer during these events displayed a slope of negative conductance at negative membrane potentials suggesting the contribution of both AMPA and NMDA types of glutamate receptors (Fig. 6B) (n = 4) and (2) they were completely blocked by the AMPA and NMDA receptor antagonists CNQX (10 μM) and APV (50 μM; n = 4).

During epileptiform discharges, interneurons received a powerful glutamatergic input and fired bursts of action potentials (n = 8) (Fig. 6A), providing the conditions for the activation of GABA(B) receptors. Indeed, at E134 and E154, the epileptiform events were curtailed by an afterhyperpolarization that reversed at −93 ± 3 mV (n = 5) and displayed an inward rectification that is characteristic of the GABA(B) receptor-activated potassium conductance (Fig. 8). The GABA(B) receptor antagonist CGP 55812 (1 μM) blocked the outward currents curtail the epileptiform events and significantly prolonged the discharges (n = 3), further suggesting that the activation of the GABA(B) receptors contributes to the termination of epileptiform discharges. However, at earlier stages, the GABA(B) receptor-mediated currents were not observed (Fig. 6), which is in agreement with a delayed expression of the postsynaptic GABA(B) receptor-mediated inhibition in the rat (Fukuda et al., 1993; Gaiarsa et al., 1995).

Thus, in primate, the hippocampal network becomes capable of generating paroxysmal discharges already in utero, and the progression of the paroxysmal activity likely reflects the development of a recurrent connectivity between pyramidal cells. The observation that epileptiform activities can be generated in the hippocampus already in utero provides additional evidence that the hippocampal network reaches a high level of maturity before birth.

**DISCUSSION**

This study provides the first evidence that in primate, a complex hippocampal network capable of generating spontaneous and paroxysmal synchronized activities is already established in utero. It also provides some of the key steps involved in the formation of the network elements, including morphological differentiation of pyramidal neurons, sequential establishment of GABA and glutamatergic synaptic connections, and emergence of synchronized network activity (Fig. 9).

**Prenatal maturation of hippocampal primate neurons**

We found that the second half of gestation is a principal period of pyramidal cell differentiation, when dendrites and axons extensively grow and ramify. Whereas pyramidal cells already reach a high level of differentiation 1 month before birth, further growth and remodeling of the axonal and dendritic arbors can probably continue after birth; determining a complete picture of the development of pyramidal cells will require a postnatal study. The majority of dentate gyrus granular cells (Duffy and Rakic, 1983; Eckenhoff and Rakic, 1991) and GABAergic interneurons (Berger and Alvarez, 1996; Berger et al., 1999; Esclapez et al., 1999) also differentiate prenatally. In addition, major extrinsic aff erences invade the hippocampus from midgestation and should provide inputs from other brain regions (Berger and Alvarez, 1996).

**Table 1. Morphometric parameters of CA1 and CA3 pyramidal cells in the cynomolgus monkeys’ hippocampus during the second half of gestation**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CA1 pyramidal cells</th>
<th>E85</th>
<th>E105–E109</th>
<th>E134</th>
<th>E154</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cell bodies</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface (μm²)</td>
<td>115 ± 8</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>109 ± 10</td>
<td>155</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total dendrites</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Branches</td>
<td>11 ± 2</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>11 ± 2</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length (μm)</td>
<td>884 ± 149</td>
<td>1236</td>
<td>954 ± 135</td>
<td>1584</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spines/cell</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apical dendrites</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Branches</td>
<td>9 ± 2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9 ± 1</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length (μm)</td>
<td>711 ± 138</td>
<td>1138</td>
<td>796 ± 137</td>
<td>1301</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spines/cell</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basal dendrites</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Branches</td>
<td>3 ± 1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2 ± 1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length (μm)</td>
<td>173 ± 69</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>158 ± 56</td>
<td>283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spines/cell</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Axons</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Branches</td>
<td>4 ± 1</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4 ± 1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Length (μm)</td>
<td>614 ± 218</td>
<td>1250</td>
<td>741 ± 211</td>
<td>811</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Data are presented as mean ± SEM (n = number of cells).
Silent neurons. GABA dendritic arbors than silent neurons. The only neurons display longer axonal and least differentiated cells. The GABA- (E154) values. The silent neurons are the neurons, normalized to the near-term (GABA/H11002 whole-cell mode at 4 mV). Pyramidal cells were recorded in electrical stimulation: a silent neuron expressing both GABA and glutamate neurons have significantly longer dendrites and axons than the two other groups. Note also that only GABA + glutamate neurons display dendritic spines. C. Age dependence of appearance of GABA(A) and glutamate receptor-mediated PSCs in pyramidal neurons.

**Table 1. Continued**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CA3 pyramidal cells</th>
<th>E85</th>
<th>E105–E109</th>
<th>E134</th>
<th>E154</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Silent (n = 1)</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>88 ± 11</td>
<td>94 ± 8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GABA (n = 6)</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>272</td>
<td>227 ± 27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (n = 7)</td>
<td>231 ± 21</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silent (n = 1)</td>
<td>16 ± 6</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GABA (n = 1)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GABA + Glu (n = 7)</td>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total (n = 9)</td>
<td>48 ± 7</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>62</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>385</td>
<td>246</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1178 ± 375</td>
<td>1064 ± 337</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>13 ± 5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>12 ± 4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>290</td>
<td>992 ± 268</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2 ± 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95</td>
<td>186 ± 112</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4 ± 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>491</td>
<td>1018 ± 597</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


**Figure 3.** Sequential expression of GABA and glutamatergic synaptic currents correlated with the morphological differentiation of pyramidal cells. A. Three types of pyramidal cells are distinguished by synaptic responses to an electrical stimulation: a silent neuron that does not exhibit any synaptic activity (neuron 1 on Figs. 1 and 4B); a GABA-only neuron that displays exclusively bicuculline-sensitive GABA(A) receptor-mediated PSCs (neuron 2 on Figs. 1 and 4C); a GABA + glutamate neuron expressing both GABA and CNQX-sensitive glutamate receptor-mediated PSCs (neuron 3 on Figs. 1 and 4D). Pyramidal cells were recorded in whole-cell mode at −40 mV (silent and GABA-only neurons) and at −50 mV (GABA + glutamate neuron). B. Morphometric parameters of silent, GABA-only, and GABA + glutamate pyramidal neurons, normalized to the near-term (E154) values. The silent neurons are the least differentiated cells. The GABA-only neurons display longer axonal and dendritic arbors than silent neurons. The GABA + glutamate neurons have significantly longer dendrites and axons than the two other groups. Note also that only GABA + glutamate neurons display dendritic spines. C. Age dependence of appearance of GABA(A) and glutamate receptor-mediated PSCs in pyramidal neurons.

**A GABA–glutamate sequence in the prenatal primate hippocampus**

From the early stages of development, immature hippocampal neurons start to establish synaptic connections and assemble the hippocampal network. The appearance of synaptic currents was
Figure 4. Morphological correlates of the early synapses on pyramidal cells. A. At E85, GABAergic terminals and fibers immunolabeled for GAD-65 are distributed in stratum radiatum (R) and stratum oriens (O) but not in stratum pyramidale (P). B–E, Photomicrographs of pyramidal cells. B. A silent neuron from the CA1 region at E85, with its dendritic arbor restricted to stratum pyramidale. C, A GABA-only neuron from CA1 at E85, with apical dendrite penetrating into stratum radiatum. D, E, GABA + glutamate neurons, note the development of the dendritic arbor from E105 (D) to E134 (E). F–I, High magnification of dendritic processes of a GABA-only cell (F) and GABA + glutamate neurons from E105 (G), E134 (H), and E154 (I). Note that the emergence of glutamatergic PSCs coincides with the appearance of spines and that the number of spines increases with age. Scale bars: A–E, 50 μm; F–I, 5 μm.
highly correlated with a level of differentiation of the postsynaptic neuron and interestingly, the GABAergic synaptic currents in pyramidal cells preceded the glutamatergic ones, in keeping with results obtained in the postnatal rat hippocampus (Tyzio et al., 1999). The appearance of GABAergic synaptic currents coincided with development of apical dendrites and their penetration to stratum radiatum. Interestingly, dendritic-projecting GABAergic interneurons develop during the first half of gestation (Berger and Alvarez, 1996), whereas perisomatic-projecting interneurons develop later during the last third of gestation (Berger et al., 1999). In keeping with this, at midgestation, GABAergic terminals are present in strata radiatum and oriens but not in stratum pyramidale. Therefore, in primate, the first GABAergic synapses are likely formed on the apical dendrites of pyramidal cells in the proximal stratum radiatum, where the axons of the dendritic-projecting interneurons and growing apical dendrites of pyramidal cells meet. This paradigm is very similar to that described in neonatal rat hippocampus (Rozenberg et al., 1989; Soriano et al., 1989; Dupuy and Houser, 1996; Super et al., 1998; Tyzio et al., 1999).

The first glutamatergic synapses can be formed by intrinsic or extrinsic connections. Indeed, entorhinal (Hevner and Kinney, 1996) and supramammillary (Berger et al., 2000) afferents, mossy fibers of the granular cells (Berger and Alvarez, 1996), and pyramidal cell recurrent and Schaffer collaterals are already present when the first glutamatergic synaptic currents emerge. The observation that the glutamatergic currents participate in locally generated GDPs and paroxysmal activities suggest that they are intrinsic. The emergence of AMPA PSCs coincides with

**Figure 5.** Giant depolarizing potentials synchronize most of the hippocampal neuronal activity in utero. A, Pair recordings of CA3 pyramidal cells and interneurons (E109). Pair 1, The pyramidal cell (top trace) is recorded in the whole-cell mode at the reversal potential of glutamatergic PSCs (0 mV), and the GABA(A) PSCs are outwardly directed; the hilar interneuron (bottom trace) is recorded in the cell-attached mode. Each GABA(A) PSC detected in the pyramidal cell is shown as a bar below. Note the periodic oscillations (GDPs) synchronously generated in both neurons and associated with an increase of the GABA(A) PSC frequency in the pyramidal cell and bursts of action potentials in the interneuron. Pair 2, The pyramidal cell (top trace) is recorded in whole-cell mode at 0 mV, and the interneuron (bottom trace) is recorded in whole-cell mode at the reversal potential of the GABA(A) PSCs (~70 mV) so that the AMPA PSCs are inwardly directed. Each AMPA PSC detected in the interneuron is shown as a bar below. B, Left, GDPs outlined by dashed boxes on A are shown on expanded time scale. Note an increase in the frequency of the GABA(A) and AMPA PSCs during GDPs. On the right, the distribution of GABA and AMPA PSCs is cross-correlated with the population discharge (bin size, 100 msec). Insets, Averaged GABA and AMPA PSCs.

**Figure 6.** Blockade of GABA(A) receptors suppresses GDPs and induces an epileptiform activity. A, Spontaneous GDPs are transformed into interictal-like epileptiform events after addition of the GABA(A) receptor antagonist bicuculline. Whole-cell recordings of a stratum radiatum interneuron (E109) in voltage-clamp mode (holding potential, ~50 mV). Single GDP (*) and interictal-like events (**) are shown on an expanded time scale below. In current-clamp mode, the interictal-like event generates burst of action potentials (***, resting potential ~72 mV). B, Charge–voltage relationships of GDPs and bicuculline-induced interictal-like events. Note that the charge–voltage curve of the epileptiform events reverses near 0 mV and displays a negative slope at hyperpolarized potentials, suggesting a contribution of AMPA and NMDA receptors. C, Microphotograph of the recorded biocytin-labeled CA1 stratum radiatum interneuron.
the appearance of mature dendritic spines. This correlates with the fact that although synaptogenesis is a dynamic process with many glutamatergic synapses formed on the dendritic shaft or immature spines (filopodia), functional synapses are mainly formed on mature spines (Edwards, 1995; Dailey and Smith, 1996; Ziv and Smith, 1996; Fiala et al., 1998; Rao et al., 1998; Luscher et al., 2000). Relying on the changes in the number of spines, it appears that hundreds of new glutamatergic synapses are formed each day on a pyramidal cell during the last third of gestation (Fig. 9).

GDPs: a signature of developing hippocampus

Most of the neuronal activity during the phase of intensive neuronal growth and synaptogenesis is synchronized in spontaneous network-driven discharges. Similarities between the fetal macaque and the neonatal rat network activities (Ben-Ari et al., 1989; Khazipov et al., 1997; Leinekugel et al., 1997; Strata et al., 1997; Bolea et al., 1999) suggest that GDPs represents a fundamental feature of the developing mammalian hippocampus. In the neonatal rat, the temporal window when the GDPs are observed coincides with a period when GABA depolarizes and excites the immature neurons (Ben-Ari et al., 1989; Khazipov et al., 1997; Leinekugel et al., 1997; Garaschuk et al., 1998). The presence of GDPs in the fetal monkey hippocampal slices suggests that GABA might be excitatory in the immature macaque hippocampal neurons; this however remains to be directly demonstrated.

The physiological role of GDPs is presently unknown. In the rat, GDPs drive synchronous Ca\(^{2+}\)/H\(^{1001}\) oscillations in the hippocampal neurons (Leinekugel et al., 1997; Garaschuk et al., 1998) and provide the conditions for Hebbian plasticity in the developing synapses (Hebb, 1949). It can therefore be suggested that GDPs participate in the activity-dependent formation of the hippocampal circuitry similarly to other internally generated spontaneous
activities that have been observed in various developing brain structures before external input (Katz and Shatz, 1996; Ben-Ari, 2001).

**Epileptiform activity in the fetal primate**

EEG recordings indicate that paroxysmal activities can be generated in the neocortex of premature neonates (Hill, 2000) and can also be detected in utero using real-time ultrasound (Landy et al., 1989). The present study confirms these clinical observations and further demonstrates that in primate, the epileptiform activity can be generated in the hippocampus already in utero. This is important because clinical observations and animal studies have repeatedly demonstrated that epileptiform activities in the neonatal brain—often subclinical—can result in neurological and behavioral problems in adulthood, including reduction in the seizures threshold and development of temporal lobe epilepsy (Holmes and Ben-Ari, 1998). Thus, our study raises a concern about seizures that can potentially occur in the hippocampus already prenatally, during the last third of gestation. Interestingly, from the earliest developmental stages GABAergic interneurons inhibit the paroxysmal discharges. This is in agreement with the efficacy of positive GABA(A) receptor modulators for the treatment of seizures in neonates, including the premature neonates (Holmes, 1997). This is also in agreement with the data obtained in neonatal rats, in which GABAergic interneurons prevent the generation of paroxysmal activities from the early developmental stages via a shunting mechanism caused by the activation of GABA(A) receptors (Khalilov et al., 1999), the presynaptic inhibition of glutamate release, and the postsynaptic hyperpolarization mediated by GABA(B) receptors (McLean et al., 1996; Caillard et al., 1998).

**A developmental program that is maintained throughout evolution**

Comparing early developmental events in the rat and macaque, it appears that the general developmental scenario is very similar in these two species but with a general shift toward fetal life in primates (Fig. 9). In the rat hippocampus, the neurogenesis of pyramidal cells proceeds during the last third of embryonic life, at E16–E20 (Bayer, 1980) and in the rhesus macaque during the first half of gestation, at E38–E80 (Rakic and Nowakowski, 1981). At birth (E22 in the rat), the hippocampal pyramidal cells attain a level of maturity and synaptic inputs (Tyzio et al., 1999) comparable with that achieved in the monkey at midgestation. Boltzmann fits of the morphometric data obtained in the rat reveal that the rate of axonal growth peaks at postnatal day 7 (P7) (Gomez-Di Cesare et al., 1997) (in macaque: E109), the dendritic growth peaks at P9 (Minkwitz, 1976) (in macaque: E120), and the spino genesis peaks at P16 (Englich et al., 1974; Minkwitz, 1976; Bannister and Larkman, 1995) (in macaque: E125). GDPs are present in rat hippocampal slices from birth to P12–P16 (Ben-Ari et al., 1989; Garaschuk et al., 1998) whereas in the macaque, they dominate during the last third of gestation and decrease before birth. Epileptiform activities emerge in rat hippocampus during the first postnatal week, and the peak of epileptogenesis falls in the second and third postnatal weeks (Khalilov et al., 1999; Swann et al., 1999; Wells et al., 2000), whereas in the macaque, epileptiform activity builds up during the last third of gestation and can also progress postnatally. Thus, the template of sequential expression of the developmental processes, including neuronal growth, synaptogenesis, and expression of network activities are very similar in the rodent and primate. This suggests that the template of early hippocampal development is conserved throughout mammalian evolution. Furthermore, its prenatal expression in primate suggests that this process is independent of external stimuli and is rather inherent as it has been shown for the development of visual cortex (Rakic, 1976).

**Neuropsychological aspects**

Our study suggests that a complex hippocampal network capable of generating synchronized activities already exists in utero in nonhuman primate and may provide a substrate for early behavioral functions. Indeed, in spite of the general belief that the behavioral functions of the hippocampus emerge later in infants.
because of the amnesia of the first years of human life (Nadel and Zola-Morgan, 1984), recent neuropsychological studies have provided evidence of the emergence of a hippocampal-dependent form of recognition memory early after birth (Bachevalier et al., 1993; Bachevalier and Mishkin, 1994; Pascalis and de Schonen, 1994; Pascalis and Bachevalier, 1999). The results of the present study add morphofunctional support to these observations.
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