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Abstract—Discrete orthogonal moments such as Tchebichef moments have been successfully used in the field of image analysis. However, the invariance property of these moments has not been studied mainly due to the complexity of the problem. Conventionally, the translation and scale invariant functions of Tchebichef moments can be obtained either by normalizing the image or by expressing them as a linear combination of the corresponding invariants of geometric moments. In this paper, we present a new approach that is directly based on Tchebichef polynomials to derive the translation and scale invariants of Tchebichef moments. Both derived invariants are unchanged under image translation and scale transformation. The performance of the proposed descriptors is evaluated using a set of binary characters. Examples of using the Tchebichef moments invariants as pattern features for pattern classification are also provided.
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1. Introduction

Since Hu [1] first introduced the moment invariant, moments and moment functions have been widely used in the fields of image analysis and pattern recognition [2-8]. Hu’s moment descriptors are invariant with respect to translation, scale and rotation of the image. However, the kernel function of geometric moments of order \((p + q)\), \(\psi_{pq}(x, y) = x^p y^q\), is not orthogonal, thus the geometric moments suffer from the high degree of information redundancy [9-10], and they are sensitive to noise for higher-order moments. Zernike and Legendre moments were later introduced by Teague [11] who used the corresponding orthogonal polynomials as kernel functions. Another related orthogonal moments, denoted as pseudo-Zernike moments [9], was derived based on the basis set of pseudo-Zernike polynomials. These orthogonal moments have been proved to be less sensitive to image noise as compared to geometric moments, and possess better feature representation ability [12-18].

Recently, the invariance problem of the orthogonal moments has been investigated by several research groups. The translation and scale invariants of Zernike and Legendre moments were achieved by using image normalization method [19-20]. An efficient method for constructing the translation and scale invariants of Zernike and Legendre moments from their corresponding orthogonal polynomials was developed by Chong et al. [21-22]. A similar method was applied to obtain the scale invariants of pseudo-Zernike moments [23]. Both the Zernike and Legendre moments, as well as the pseudo-Zernike moments, are defined as continuous integrals over a domain of normalized coordinates. The computation of these moments requires a coordinate transformation and suitable approximation of the continuous moment’s integrals, leading thus to further computational complexity and discretization error. To overcome the shortcoming of the continuous orthogonal moments, Mukundan et al. proposed a set of discrete orthogonal moment functions based on the discrete Tchebichef polynomials [24]. Another new set of discrete orthogonal moment functions based on the discrete Krawtchouk polynomials was presented by Yap et al. [25]. The use of discrete orthogonal polynomials as basis functions for image moments eliminates the need for numerical approximations, and satisfies perfectly the orthogonality property in the discrete domain of image coordinate space. This property makes the discrete orthogonal moments superior to the conventional continuous orthogonal moments in terms of image representation capability.
Recently, the rotational invariants of Tchebichef moments were proposed by Mukundan [26]. He constructed the rotational invariants using the one-dimensional Tchebichef polynomial along radial direction and a circular-harmonic function along the angular direction. To the best of our knowledge, until now, no report has been published on how to derive the translation and scale invariants of discrete orthogonal moments. Traditionally, this can be done by one of the two following ways: (1) Image normalization; (2) Indirect method, i.e., making use of translation and scale invariants of geometric moments to form the corresponding invariants of Tchebichef moments. However, as indicated by Chong et al. [21], the two above mentioned methods have some drawbacks. Moments computed using the normalization scheme may differ from the true moments of the standard image because the normalization parameters may not always correspond to an exact transformation of the scaled image. On the other hand, the indirect method is time expensive due to the long time allocated to compute the polynomial coefficients.

In this paper, we propose a new approach to derive the translation and scale invariants of Tchebichef moments based on the corresponding polynomials. This approach eliminates the requirement of calculating the normalization parameters of the shifted and scaled image, or utilizing other indirect methods to achieve the translation and scale invariance. The method described in this paper is general enough so that it can be easily extended to the construction of moment invariant of other discrete orthogonal moments from their orthogonal polynomials via a slight modification of our method.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we review the definition of Tchebichef moments, and briefly describe how to derive the translation and scale invariants of Tchebichef moments from the geometric moments. Section 3 presents the mathematical framework to algebraically derive these invariants. The experimental results for evaluating the performance of the proposed descriptors are given in Section 4. Finally, some concluding remarks are provided.

2. Tchebichef moments

In this section, we first review the theory of Tchebichef moments, and then give a brief description on how to derive the translation and scale invariants of Tchebichef moments from the geometric moments.
2.1. Tchebichef polynomials

The discrete Tchebichef polynomial of order \(n\) is defined as [24]

\[
t_n(x) = (1 - N) \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{(-n)_k (-x)_k (1 + n)_k}{(k!)^2 (1 - N)_k}, \quad n, x = 0, 1, \ldots, N - 1,
\]  

(1)

where \(\,\,_{N}\!\!\!F_2()\) is the generalized hypergeometric function given by

\[
\,\,_{3}\!\!\!F_2(a_1, a_2, a_3; b_1, b_2; z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(a_1)_k (a_2)_k (a_3)_k}{(b_1)_k (b_2)_k} \frac{z^k}{k!}
\]  

and \(N \times N\) is the image size, \((a)_k\) is the Pochhammer symbol given by

\[
(a)_k = a(a+1)\cdots(a+k-1), \quad k \geq 1, \quad \text{and} \quad (a)_0 = 1
\]  

(3)

For notation simplicity, let us introduce

\[
< a >_k = (-1)^k (a)_k = a(a+1)\cdots(a+k-1), \quad k \geq 1, \quad \text{and} \quad < a >_0 = 1
\]  

(4)

Equation (1) can be rewritten as

\[
t_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \frac{(n+k)!}{(n-k)! (k!)^2} < n - N >_k < x >_k = \sum_{k=0}^{n} B_{n,k} < x >_k
\]  

(5)

with

\[
B_{n,k} = \frac{(n+k)!}{(n-k)! (k!)^2} < n - N >_k
\]  

(6)

The Tchebichef polynomials satisfy the following orthogonal property in discrete domain

\[
\sum_{x=0}^{N-1} t_n(x)t_m(x) = \rho(n, N)\delta_{nm}
\]  

(7)

where \(\delta_{nm}\) denotes the Kronecker symbol and the squared-norm \(\rho(n, N)\) is given by

\[
\rho(n, N) = (2n)! \binom{N + n}{2n + 1}
\]  

(8)

Here \(\binom{p}{q} = \frac{p!}{q!(p-q)!}\) denotes the combination number.
2.2. Tchebichef moments

As indicated by Mukundan et al. [24], the set of polynomials given by Eq. (5) is not suitable for defining the moments because the value of $t_n(x)$ grows as $N^n$. To overcome this shortcoming, they proposed to use the following scaled Tchebichef polynomials

$$\tilde{t}_n(x) = \frac{t_n(x)}{\beta(n, N)}$$

(9)

where $\beta(n, N)$ is a suitable constant which is independent of $x$.

Under the transformation given by Eq. (9), the squared-norm of the scaled polynomials is modified as

$$\tilde{\rho}(n, N) = \frac{\rho(n, N)}{\beta(n, N)^2}$$

(10)

A particular and interesting choice of $\beta(n, N)$ is [27]

$$\beta(n, N) = \sqrt{\rho(n, N)}$$

(11)

In the rest of the paper, $\beta(n, N)$ defined by Eq.(11) will be used. This selection makes the scaled polynomial set orthonormal, with the property $\tilde{\rho}(n, N) = 1$.

The two-dimensional (2D) Tchebichef moment of order $n+m$ of an image intensity function, $f(x, y)$, is defined as

$$T_{nm} = \sum_{x=0}^{N-1} \sum_{y=0}^{N-1} \tilde{t}_n(x)\tilde{t}_m(y)f(x, y)$$

(12)

This equation leads to the following exact image reconstruction formula (inverse moment transform)

$$f(x, y) = \sum_{n=0}^{N-1} \sum_{m=0}^{N-1} T_{nm}\tilde{t}_n(x)\tilde{t}_m(y)$$

(13)

2.3. Derivation of invariants using geometric moments

To obtain the translation and scale invariants of Tchebichef moments, a common way is to express the Tchebichef moments as a linear combination of geometric moments, and then makes use of translation and scale invariants of geometric moments. According to [28], $< x >_k$ can be expanded as
\[
<x>_k = \sum_{i=0}^{k} s(k,i)x^i
\]

where \( s(k, i) \) are the Stirling numbers of the first kind satisfying the following recurrence relations

\[
s(k, i) = s(k - 1, i - 1) - (k - 1)s(k - 1, i), \quad k \geq 1, \ i \geq 1
\]

with

\[
s(k, 0) = s(0, i) = 0, \quad k \geq 1, \ i \geq 1 \quad \text{and} \quad s(0, 0) = 1
\]

Using Eq.(14), the scaled Tchebichef polynomial \( \tilde{\tau}_n(x) \) can be expressed as a polynomial of \( x \) as

\[
\tilde{\tau}_n(x) = \frac{1}{\beta(n, N)} \sum_{k=0}^{n} B_{n,k} \sum_{i=0}^{k} s(k,i)x^i
\]

where \( B_{n,k} \) is given by Eq. (6).

With the help of Eq. (17), the Tchebichef moments \( T_{nm} \) can be expressed as a linear combination of geometric moments of the same order or lower.

\[
T_{nm} = \frac{1}{\beta(n, N)\beta(m, N)} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{l=0}^{m} B_{n,k} B_{m,l} \sum_{i=0}^{k} \sum_{j=0}^{l} s(k,i)s(l,j)m_{ij}
\]

where \( m_{ij} \) is the geometric moment of order \( i + j \), defined as

\[
m_{ij} = \sum_{x=0}^{N-1} \sum_{y=0}^{N-1} x^i y^j f(x, y)
\]

Based on Eq. (18), one can derive the translation and scale invariants of Tchebichef moments using the corresponding invariants of geometric moments. Let \( \mu_{nm} \) be the translation invariants of geometric moments, and \( \nu_{nm} \) be the geometric moment invariants under both translation and scale transformations. They are defined as [22]

\[
\mu_{nm} = \sum_{x=0}^{N-1} \sum_{y=0}^{N-1} (x - x_0)^{n}(y - y_0)^{m} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{l=0}^{m} \left( \begin{array}{l} n \rule{0pt}{12pt} \\ k \end{array} \right) \left( \begin{array}{l} m \rule{0pt}{12pt} \\ l \end{array} \right) (x_0)^k (y_0)^l m_{kl}
\]

\[
\nu_{nm} = \frac{\mu_{nm}}{\mu_{n+\xi, m+\xi}^{\xi+1}}, \quad \xi = 0, 1, 2, \ldots
\]

where \((x_0, y_0)\) denotes the coordinates of centroid of the image given by
If we replace the geometric moments $m_{ij}$ on the right-hand sides of Eq. (18) by $\mu_{nm}$ and $\nu_{nm}$, we obtain the translation invariants and both translation and scale invariants of Tchebichef moments, respectively. However, such a method needs to compute the coefficients $B_{n,k}$ and $s(k, i)$ which is a time consuming task. To avoid this, we develop in the next section a new approach for deriving the invariants of Tchebichef moments which is directly based on the Tchebichef polynomials.

3. Methods

We first demonstrate some interesting properties of Tchebichef polynomials and then show that the translation invariants of Tchebichef moments can be derived using these properties. The scale invariants are subsequently provided.

3.1. Some properties of Tchebichef polynomials

In this subsection, we are interested in the following problem: For two integer numbers $x$ and $a$, we want to express the Tchebichef polynomial $t_n(x+a)$ into the separable form

$$t_n(x+a) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} v_{n,n-k}(a) t_{n-k}(x)$$

As can be easily seen, the key step is the calculation of $v_{n,n-k}(a)$, so we turn to it in the following.

**Theorem 1.** For a given integer $n$, and for any integer number $k$ less than or equal to $n$, $v_{n,n-k}(a)$ can be deduced by the recursive relation

$$v_{n,n-k}(a) = \frac{1}{B_{n-k,n-k}} \left[ \sum_{i=0}^{k} \binom{n-k+i}{l} B_{n,n-k+i} < a > - \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} B_{n-i,n-k} v_{n,n-i}(a) \right]$$

where $B_{p,q}$ is defined by Eq. (6).

The proof of Theorem 1 is given in Appendix A.

The following relations can be derived from Theorem 1

$$v_{n,n}(a) = 1$$

(25)
By examining Eqs. (25)-(28), one can observe that \( v_{n,n-k}(a) \) is a linear combination of \( <a>_{k-i} \), for \( 0 \leq i \leq k-1 \). This leads to the following assumption

\[
v_{n,n-k}(a) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} f_i(n,k) <a>_{k-i}
\]

(29)

Theorem 2. For a given integer \( k \) less than or equal to \( n \), and for \( 0 \leq i \leq k-1 \), we have

\[
f_i(n,k) = \frac{(n-k)!}{(2n-2k)!} \left\{ \frac{(2n-i)!}{i!(k-i)!(n-i)!} <n-N>_i \right. \\
- \frac{1}{(n-k)} \sum_{m=0}^{i-1} \frac{(2n-2k-m+i)!}{(i-m)!} <n-m-k+i-N>_{i-m} f_m(n,k+m-i) \}
\]

(30)

The proof of Theorem 2 is deferred to Appendix A.

Theorem 2 shows that \( f_i(n,k) \) can be calculated by the recursive relation. Since we are interested in the normalized Tchebichef polynomials defined by Eq. (9), we generalize the two above theorems to \( \tilde{T}_n(x) \) without proofs.

From Eq. (9) and Eq. (5), we have

\[
\tilde{T}_n(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \tilde{B}_{n,n-k} <x>_k
\]

(31)

where

\[
\tilde{B}_{n,n-k} = \frac{B_{n,k}}{\beta(n,N)}
\]

(32)

Let
\[ \tilde{t}_n(x + a) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \tilde{v}_{n,n-k}(a) \tilde{t}_{n-k}(x) \] (33)

then we have

**Theorem 3.** For a given integer \( n \), and for any integer number \( k \) less than or equal to \( n \), \( \tilde{v}_{n,n-k}(a) \) can be deduced by the recursive relation

\[
\tilde{v}_{n,n-k}(a) = \frac{1}{B_{n-k,n-k}} \left[ \sum_{l=0}^{k} \left( \frac{n-k+l}{l} \right) B_{n-k,l} < a >_l - \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} B_{n-l,n-k} \tilde{v}_{n,n-l}(a) \right]
\] (34)

where \( \tilde{B}_{pq} \) is given by Eq.(32).

Suppose that

\[
\tilde{v}_{n,n-k}(a) = \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \tilde{f}_i(n,k) < a >_{k-i}
\] (35)

then, we have

**Theorem 4.** For a given integer \( k \) less than or equal to \( n \), and for \( 0 \leq i \leq k-1 \), we have

\[
\tilde{f}_i(n,k) = \frac{(n-k)!}{(2n-2k)!} \left\{ \frac{(2n-i)! \beta(n-k,N)}{i!(k-i)!(n-i)! \beta(n,N)} < n-N >_i - \frac{1}{(n-k)!} \sum_{m=0}^{i-1} \frac{(2n-2k-m+i)! \beta(n-k,N)}{(i-m)! \beta(n-m-k+i,N)} < n-m-k+i-N >_{i-m} \tilde{f}_m(n,k+m-i) \right\}
\] (36)

### 3.2. Translation invariants

Based on these results, we are now ready to propose a new approach for constructing the translation invariants of Tchebichef moments. The direct description of translation invariants of 2D Tchebichef moments can be obtained by evaluating their central moment \( T''_{nm} \), which is defined by

\[
T''_{nm} = \sum_{x=0}^{N-x-1} \sum_{y=0}^{N-y-1} \tilde{t}_n(x-x_0) \tilde{t}_m(y-y_0) f(x, y)
\] (37)

where \((x_0, y_0)\) denotes the image centroid coordinates given by Eq. (22).

With the help of Eqs. (33) and (35), Eq. (37) can be rewritten as
\[ T'_{nm} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{l=0}^{m} \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} \sum_{j=0}^{l-1} \tilde{f}_i(n,k) \tilde{f}_j(m,l) < -x_0 >_{k-i} < -y_0 >_{l-j} T_{n-k,m-l} \]  

(38)

where \( T_{pq} \) is defined by Eq. (12).

Equation (38) shows that the 2D Tchebichef central moments \( T'_{nm} \) can be expressed as linear combination of normal Tchebichef moments \( T_{pq} \) with \( 0 \leq p \leq n \) and \( 0 \leq q \leq m \), so that the translation invariants of Tchebichef moments can be directly derived from the normal Tchebichef moments.

Note that Eq. (38) deals with both non-symmetrical and symmetrical images when the Legendre and Zernike moments do not. As indicated by Chong et al. [21-22], both Legendre central moments and Zernike central moments give zero values for odd order moments when they are used for images with symmetry along \( x \) and/or \( y \) directions, and symmetry at centroid. These limitations may cause difficulties in pattern classification. A solution was proposed by Chong et al. to surmount this shortcoming (for further detail, refer to [22]). Since the Tchebichef central moments do not encounter this problem, they should be more suitable for use as pattern feature descriptors compared to the Legendre and Zernike moments.

3.3. Scale invariants

The scale invariant property of image moments has a high significance in pattern recognition. Scaling can be either uniform or non-uniform in the \( x \) direction and \( y \) direction. As indicated in the introduction, the scale invariants of Tchebichef moments can be usually achieved by image normalization method or indirect method. This subsection presents a new approach to derive the scale invariants of Tchebichef moments when an image is scaled. Let us assume that the original image is scaled with factors \( a \) and \( b \), along \( x \) and \( y \)-directions, respectively. The scaled Tchebichef moments can be defined as follows

\[ T''_{nm} = ab \sum_{x=0}^{N_x} \sum_{y=0}^{N_y} \tilde{t}_x(ax) \tilde{t}_y(by) f(x, y) \]  

(39)

Using Eq. (14) and Eq. (31), we have

\[ \tilde{t}_x(x) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \tilde{B}_{n,n-k} < x >_k = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{i=0}^{k} \tilde{B}_{n,n-k} s(k,i)x^i = \sum_{i=0}^{n} \sum_{k=0}^{n-i} \tilde{B}_{n,n-k} s(n-k,i)x^i = \sum_{i=0}^{n} C(n,i)x^i \]  

(40)
where
\[ C(n, i) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-i} \tilde{B}_{n-n-k} s(n-k, i) = \sum_{k=0}^{n-i} C_k(n, i) \] (41)

with
\[ C_k(n, i) = \tilde{B}_{n-n-k} s(n-k, i) \] (42)

Similarly, the scaled Tchebichef polynomials along \( x \)-direction can be expressed as a series of decreasing powers of \( x \) as follows
\[ \tilde{t}_n(ax) = \sum_{i=0}^{\lambda} C(n, i) a^i x^i \] (43)

It can be easily deduced from Eq. (40) and Eq. (43) that
\[ \sum_{k=0}^{n} \lambda_{n,k} \tilde{t}_k(ax) = a^n \sum_{k=0}^{n} \lambda_{n,k} \tilde{t}_k(x) \] (44)

where
\[ \lambda_{n,n} = 1 \] (45)
\[ \lambda_{n,k} = \sum_{r=0}^{n-k-1} \frac{C_{n-r,k} \lambda_{n,n-r}}{C_k,k}, \quad 0 \leq k < n \] (46)

With the same approach, we can deduce the scaled Tchebichef polynomials along the \( y \)-direction as follows
\[ \sum_{i=0}^{\lambda} \lambda_{m,i} \tilde{t}_i(by) = b^m \sum_{i=0}^{m} \lambda_{m,i} \tilde{t}_i(y) \] (47)

The relationship between the original and scaled Tchebichef moments can then be established as
\[ \varphi_{nm} = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{l=0}^{m} \lambda_{n,k} \lambda_{m,l} T_{kl}^n = a^{n+1} b^{m+1} \sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{l=0}^{m} \lambda_{n,k} \lambda_{m,l} T_{kl}^n \] (48)

By eliminating the scale factors, \( a \) and \( b \), we can construct the following scale invariants of Tchebichef moments
\[ \psi_{nm} = \frac{\varphi_{nm} \varphi_{00}^{\gamma+1}}{\varphi_{n\gamma,0} \varphi_{0,m\gamma}}, \quad n, m = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, \text{ and } \gamma = 1, 2, 3, \ldots \] (49)
Selecting the different values of $a$ and $b$, one can get either uniform scaled or non-uniform scaled images. When the negative value is used, the image may be inverted or reflected. Note that the scale invariants can also be used together with the translation descriptors proposed in the previous subsection to obtain both translation and scale invariants.

In order to reduce the computational complexity in the calculation of $C(n, i)$ defined by Eq. (41), we use the following recurrence relations to compute $C_k(n, i)$ in Eq. (42).

$$C_k(n, i) = 0 \quad \text{for } i > n - k$$

and

$$C_{k-1}(n, i) = \frac{k(2n-k+1)}{(n-N-k+1)(n-k+1)^2} \frac{s(n-k+1, i)}{s(n-k, i)} C_k(n, i) \quad \text{for } i \leq n - k, 0 \leq k \leq n - 1 \quad (51)$$

with

$$C_0(n, 0) = \tilde{B}_{n,0}$$

### 4. Experimental results

Several experiments are carried out to validate the effectiveness of the proposed method using a large set of simple to complex patterns, with and without symmetries, submitted or not to different scaling, corrupted or not by noise. We first evaluate the performance of the translation invariants described in Sec. 3.2. A Chinese character whose size is 32×32 pixels is shifted up, down, left and right as well as diagonally within the image frame. The set of Tchebichef central moments of order up to 3 is calculated for each translation, the results are depicted in Table 1. We also use the deviation of the central moments as proposed by Chong et al. [21-22], represented by the percentage spread from the corresponding means of central moments $\sigma / \mu$, to measure the performance of the proposed invariant descriptors. Here $\sigma$ and $\mu$ denote respectively the standard deviation and mean of the Tchebichef central moments. As one can see from this table, the values of the Tchebichef central moments remain unchanged for all the translations and $\sigma / \mu$ is zero. In the second experiment, an English letter of size 32×32 pixels is used. The image is transformed under different translation factors. Table 2 shows the values of some selected orders of the Tchebichef central moments. It can be seen that excellent results are obtained with the proposed method.
Moreover, we observe that the translation invariant descriptors take different values for Chinese character and Latin character. Therefore, the translation invariants derived in this paper could be a useful tool in pattern recognition tasks that require the translation invariance. In the third example, we apply both the Tchebichef central moments and Legendre central moments to an English letter ‘E’ of size 32×32 pixels that is symmetric about x-axis. The corresponding results are shown in Tables 3 and 4, respectively. As it can be seen from Table 4, the Legendre central moments $L'_{pq}$ take all the zero value for odd order $q$, while this is not the case for Tchebichef central moments. Thus, our descriptors are more robust than the Legendre moments.

We then test the efficiency of the scale invariant descriptors. The original image shown in Table 5 is expanded or contracted with a set of scaling factors along $x$ and $y$ directions. The results are depicted in Table 5. Note that the coefficient $\gamma$ in Eq. (49) is set to 2 in this experiment. We also compare our descriptors with the Legendre scale invariants proposed by Chong et al. [22], the results obtained with latter descriptors being shown in Table 6. From these tables, we can see that the values of the descriptors remain almost unchanged under different non-uniform scaling transformations, and that the scale invariants based on Tchebichef moments perform better than those derived from Legendre moments.

In the third experiment, we test the performance of the proposed descriptors on both translation and scale invariance. A 70×70 resolution binary Chinese character, as shown in Table 7, is arbitrary shifted from the original position, and then non-uniformly expanded, contracted or reflected. Tables 7 and 8 show respectively the computed values using the invariant descriptors proposed in this paper and those derived by Chong et al. [22] based on Legendre moments. The results again indicate the improvement brought by the present method.

We also compare the computational speed of the new approach with that of the indirect method, i.e., the method described in Subsection 2.3. A Chinese character of size 100×100 is used in this experiment. The original image is transformed with a uniform scale factor varied from 0.5 to 2 along $x$ and $y$ axis. The computation times required for our method and the indirect method to calculate the set of invariants of order up to 10, 20 and 30 are listed in Table 9. Note that the program was implemented in C++ on a PC Pentium IV 2.4 GHz, 256 Mb RAM. It can be seen that the new approach for deriving the moment
invariants is much faster than the method based on the geometric moment invariants.

The last experiment provides the experimental study on the classification accuracy of Tchebichef moments in both noise-free and noisy conditions. For the recognition task, we use the following feature vector

\[ V = [\Psi_{20}, \Psi_{21}, \Psi_{30}, \Psi_{31}, \Psi_{40}, \Psi_{41}] \]  

where \( \Psi_{nm} \) are the Tchebichef moment invariants defined in the previous Section. The objective of a classifier is to identify the class of the unknown input character. During classification, features of the unknown character are compared against the training information being assigned to a particular class. The Euclidean distance is used as the classification measure and is defined by

\[ d(V_s, V_t^{(k)}) = \sum_{j=1}^{T} (v_{sj} - v_{tj})^2 \]  

where \( V_s \) is the T-dimensional feature vector of unknown sample, and \( V_t^{(k)} \) is the training vector of class \( k \). In this experiment, the classification accuracy \( \eta \) is defined as

\[ \eta = \frac{\text{Number of correctly classified images}}{\text{The total number of images used in the test}} \times 100\% \]  

Fig. 1 shows a set of binary English characters and numbers served as the training set. The reason for choosing such a character set is that the elements in subset \{B, F\}, \{I, J\}, \{S, 5\}, and \{O, 0\} can be easily misclassified due to the similarity. The testing set is generated by scaling and translating the training set with scale factors \( a \) and \( b \in \{-1.5, -1, -0.5, 0.5, 1, 1.5\} \), and translation \( \Delta i, \Delta j = -1, 0, 1 \) in both horizontal and vertical directions where the case of \( \Delta i = \Delta j = 0 \) is not used, forming a testing set of 2304 images. This is followed by adding salt-and-pepper noise with different noise densities. Fig. 2 shows some of the testing images contaminated by 4% salt-and-pepper noise. The feature vector based on Tchebichef moment invariants are used to classify these images and its recognition accuracy is compared with that of Legendre moment invariants [22]. Table 10 shows the classification results using the full set of features. One can see from this table that 100% recognition results are obtained in noise-free case. Note that the recognition accuracy decreases with the increase of noise. However, the proposed approach performs better than the invariant descriptors based on Legendre moments in terms of the recognition accuracy for
noisy images.

The original images of the second experiment are downloaded from Website [29]. The second testing set is generated in a similar way as that of the first testing set. The classification results of the image with translation and scaling transformation are depicted in Table 11. Table 11 again indicates that the Tchebichef invariant descriptors perform better in noisy conditions.

5. Discussion and conclusion

The recently proposed discrete orthogonal moments such as Tchebichef moments and Krawtchouk moments have better image representation capability than the traditional continuous moments. Because the moment invariants are useful feature descriptors for pattern recognition, we have investigated in this paper the invariance problem of Tchebichef moments. A new method to derive the translation and scale invariants of discrete Tchebichef moments was proposed. The derivation of these invariant descriptors is directly based on the Tchebichef polynomials, so that the image normalization process can be avoided. We have compared the Tchebichef moment invariants with Legendre moment invariants. Experimental results showed the superiority of Tchebichef moment invariants. This is probably due to the fact that the orthogonality of Legendre polynomials is affected when the image is discretized. On the other hand, the discretization may cause numerical errors in the computed moments.

We have only considered in this paper the translation and scale invariance properties of Tchebichef moments. The rotation invariance is not readily achieved because the Tchebichef moments, as well as the Legendre moments, fall into the same class of orthogonal moments defined in the Cartesian coordinate space [22].

We have also applied the proposed moment invariants to recognition tasks. The object recognition experiments show that the Tchebichef moment invariants perform better than the Legendre moment invariants in both noise-free and noisy conditions. Therefore, they should be potentially useful invariant descriptors for recognition tasks.

It is worth mentioning that the proposed method can be easily extended to derive the translation and scale invariants of other type of discrete orthogonal moments such as Krawtchouk moments.
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Appendix A

Proof of Theorem 1. Using Eq. (5) and the following formula [28]

\[
<x + a>_k = \sum_{l=0}^{k} \binom{k}{l} <a>_<x>_k-l
\]

(A.1)

we have

\[
t_n(x+a) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} B_{n,k} <x+a>_k = \sum_{k=0}^{n} B_{n,k} \sum_{l=0}^{k} \binom{k}{l} <a>_<x>_k-l = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{l=0}^{k} \left( \binom{l}{k-l} B_{n,l} <a>_{l-k} <x>_k \right)
\]

(A.2)

The coefficient of \(<x>_n-k\) on the right-hand side of Eq. (A2) is given by

\[
\sum_{l=0}^{k} \left( \frac{n-l}{k-l} \right) B_{n,n-l} <a>_{n-k}
\]

(A.3)

On the other hand, substitution of Eq. (5) into Eq.(A3) yields

\[
t_n(x+a) = \sum_{k=0}^{n} V_{n,n-k}(a) \sum_{l=0}^{n-k} B_{n-l,k} <x>_l = \sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{l=0}^{n-k} B_{l,k} V_{n,l}(a) <x>_k
\]

(A.4)

The coefficient of \(<x>_n-k\) on the right-hand side of Eq. (A4) is given by

\[
\sum_{l=0}^{k} B_{n-i,n-k} V_{n,n-l}(a)
\]

(A.5)

By equating Eq. (A3) and Eq. (A5), we obtain

\[
B_{n-k,n-k} V_{n,n-k}(a) = \sum_{l=0}^{k} \left( \frac{n-l}{k-l} \right) B_{n,n-l} <a>_{n-k} - \sum_{l=0}^{k-1} B_{n-i,n-k} V_{n,n-l}(a)
\]

(A.6)

Using the change of variable \(l = k-l'\) in the first term of the right-hand side of Eq. (A6), we can easily deduce Eq. (24).

Proof of Theorem 2. Substitution of Eqs. (29) (6) into Eq. (24), we have

\[
\sum_{j=0}^{k-1} f_j(n,k) <a>_k = \frac{(n-k)!}{(2n-2k)!} \sum_{l=0}^{k} \frac{(2n-k+l)!}{l!(k-l)!(n-k-l)!} <n-N>_{k-l} <a>_{l} - \frac{1}{(n-k)!} \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} \frac{(2n-k-m)!}{(k-m)!} <n-m-N>_{k-m} \sum_{j=0}^{m-1} f_j(n,m) <a>_{m-j}
\]

(A.7)
The coefficient of $< a >_{k-i}$ on the right-hand side of the above equation is

$$\frac{(n-k)!}{(2n-2k)!} \left\{ \frac{(2n-i)!}{i!(n-i)!} < n-N >_i + \frac{1}{(n-k)!} \sum_{m=k-i}^{i-1} \frac{(2n-k-m)!}{(k-m)!} < n-m-N >_{k-m} f_{i+m-k} (n,m) \right\}$$

(A.8)

Using the change of variable $m = m' + k - i$ in the last term of the above equation, we can easily deduce Eq. (30). \qed
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### Table 1. Selected orders of Tchebichef central moments for a Chinese character.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Image</th>
<th>Translation</th>
<th>$T'_{20}$</th>
<th>$T'_{02}$</th>
<th>$T'_{11}$</th>
<th>$T'_{21}$</th>
<th>$T'_{12}$</th>
<th>$T'_{30}$</th>
<th>$T'_{03}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>王</td>
<td>$\Delta i=-1$ $\Delta j=-1$</td>
<td>15.8669</td>
<td>14.1558</td>
<td>17.7847</td>
<td>-27.2982</td>
<td>-24.3173</td>
<td>-32.1257</td>
<td>-21.8373</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32×32</td>
<td>$\Delta i=-1$ $\Delta j=+1$</td>
<td>15.8669</td>
<td>14.1558</td>
<td>17.7847</td>
<td>-27.2982</td>
<td>-24.3173</td>
<td>-32.1257</td>
<td>-21.8373</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\Delta i=+1$ $\Delta j=-1$</td>
<td>15.8669</td>
<td>14.1558</td>
<td>17.7847</td>
<td>-27.2982</td>
<td>-24.3173</td>
<td>-32.1257</td>
<td>-21.8373</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\Delta i=+1$ $\Delta j=+1$</td>
<td>15.8669</td>
<td>14.1558</td>
<td>17.7847</td>
<td>-27.2982</td>
<td>-24.3173</td>
<td>-32.1257</td>
<td>-21.8373</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| $\sigma / \mu$ ( % ) | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |

### Table 2. Selected orders of Tchebichef central moments for an English letter.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Image</th>
<th>Translation</th>
<th>$T'_{20}$</th>
<th>$T'_{02}$</th>
<th>$T'_{11}$</th>
<th>$T'_{21}$</th>
<th>$T'_{12}$</th>
<th>$T'_{30}$</th>
<th>$T'_{03}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>h</td>
<td>$\Delta i=-2$ $\Delta j=-1$</td>
<td>4.50151</td>
<td>4.53576</td>
<td>5.95335</td>
<td>-7.74391</td>
<td>-7.83157</td>
<td>-5.94542</td>
<td>-6.17103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32×32</td>
<td>$\Delta i=-1$ $\Delta j=+3$</td>
<td>4.50151</td>
<td>4.53576</td>
<td>5.95335</td>
<td>-7.74391</td>
<td>-7.83157</td>
<td>-5.94542</td>
<td>-6.17103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\Delta i=+2$ $\Delta j=-3$</td>
<td>4.50151</td>
<td>4.53576</td>
<td>5.95335</td>
<td>-7.74391</td>
<td>-7.83157</td>
<td>-5.94542</td>
<td>-6.17103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\Delta i=+1$ $\Delta j=+4$</td>
<td>4.50151</td>
<td>4.53576</td>
<td>5.95335</td>
<td>-7.74391</td>
<td>-7.83157</td>
<td>-5.94542</td>
<td>-6.17103</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| $\sigma / \mu$ ( % ) | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 |
Table 3. Selected orders of Tchebichef central moments for an English letter being symmetric along x-axis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Image</th>
<th>Translation</th>
<th>( T'_{20} )</th>
<th>( T'_{02} )</th>
<th>( T'_{11} )</th>
<th>( T'_{21} )</th>
<th>( T'_{12} )</th>
<th>( T'_{30} )</th>
<th>( T'_{03} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>( \Delta i=-1 ) ( \Delta j=-1 )</td>
<td>4.63676</td>
<td>4.87909</td>
<td>6.16477</td>
<td>-7.78394</td>
<td>-8.16659</td>
<td>-5.82650</td>
<td>-7.24762</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32x32</td>
<td>( \Delta i=+1 ) ( \Delta j=-1 )</td>
<td>4.63676</td>
<td>4.87909</td>
<td>6.16477</td>
<td>-7.78394</td>
<td>-8.16659</td>
<td>-5.82650</td>
<td>-7.24762</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \Delta i=+1 ) ( \Delta j=+1 )</td>
<td>4.63676</td>
<td>4.87909</td>
<td>6.16477</td>
<td>-7.78394</td>
<td>-8.16659</td>
<td>-5.82650</td>
<td>-7.24762</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( \sigma/\mu \) (%)

0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000

Table 4. Selected orders of Legendre moments for an English letter being symmetric along x-axis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Image</th>
<th>Translation</th>
<th>( L'_{20} )</th>
<th>( L'_{02} )</th>
<th>( L'_{11} )</th>
<th>( L'_{21} )</th>
<th>( L'_{12} )</th>
<th>( L'_{30} )</th>
<th>( L'_{03} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E</td>
<td>( \Delta i=-1 ) ( \Delta j=-1 )</td>
<td>-0.157269</td>
<td>-0.139269</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.0032065</td>
<td>0.0023617</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32x32</td>
<td>( \Delta i=-1 ) ( \Delta j=+1 )</td>
<td>-0.157269</td>
<td>-0.139269</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.0032065</td>
<td>0.0023617</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \Delta i=+1 ) ( \Delta j=-1 )</td>
<td>-0.157269</td>
<td>-0.139269</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.0032065</td>
<td>0.0023617</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>( \Delta i=+1 ) ( \Delta j=+1 )</td>
<td>-0.157269</td>
<td>-0.139269</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
<td>0.0032065</td>
<td>0.0023617</td>
<td>0.00000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( \sigma/\mu \) (%)

0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000 0.0000
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Table 5. The proposed scale descriptors for a non-uniformly contracted or expanded English letter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Image 32x32</th>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>Scale Descriptors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$\psi_{00}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Original</td>
<td>0.410648</td>
<td>0.330177</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a = 0.6 b = 1.1</td>
<td>0.411462</td>
<td>0.32897</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a = 1.1 b = 0.6</td>
<td>0.412610</td>
<td>0.331816</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a = 1.1 b = 2.3</td>
<td>0.411056</td>
<td>0.330596</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a = 1.2 b = 2.1</td>
<td>0.410481</td>
<td>0.330037</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a = 1.4 b = 1.2</td>
<td>0.410573</td>
<td>0.330886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>a = 1.6 b = 2.4</td>
<td>0.410350</td>
<td>0.330603</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma/\mu$ (%)</td>
<td>0.189358</td>
<td>0.260720</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average $\sigma/\mu$ (%)</td>
<td>0.258213</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 6 The scale invariant descriptors of Legendre moments for a non-uniformly contracted or expanded English letter

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Image 32x32</th>
<th>Scale</th>
<th>Scale Descriptors</th>
<th>( \psi_{00} )</th>
<th>( \psi_{02} )</th>
<th>( \psi_{01} )</th>
<th>( \psi_{20} )</th>
<th>( \psi_{21} )</th>
<th>( \psi_{30} )</th>
<th>( \psi_{03} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Original</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.457679</td>
<td>0.416481</td>
<td>0.338658</td>
<td>0.055862</td>
<td>0.20786</td>
<td>0.152046</td>
<td>0.362255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( a=0.6, b=1.1 )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.459622</td>
<td>0.391808</td>
<td>0.344552</td>
<td>0.114235</td>
<td>0.177254</td>
<td>0.183672</td>
<td>0.361205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( a=1.1, b=0.6 )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.454835</td>
<td>0.407255</td>
<td>0.341297</td>
<td>0.087889</td>
<td>0.179249</td>
<td>0.177967</td>
<td>0.370324</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( a=1.1, b=2.3 )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.458444</td>
<td>0.404434</td>
<td>0.339407</td>
<td>0.097651</td>
<td>0.188412</td>
<td>0.170027</td>
<td>0.365342</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( a=1.2, b=2.1 )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.461394</td>
<td>0.405478</td>
<td>0.339886</td>
<td>0.0961136</td>
<td>0.195723</td>
<td>0.164947</td>
<td>0.359533</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( a=1.4, b=1.2 )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.456297</td>
<td>0.414270</td>
<td>0.337838</td>
<td>0.074592</td>
<td>0.199070</td>
<td>0.161718</td>
<td>0.365581</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( a=1.6, b=2.4 )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.460203</td>
<td>0.404649</td>
<td>0.340832</td>
<td>0.095568</td>
<td>0.190584</td>
<td>0.169372</td>
<td>0.361556</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \sigma/\mu ) (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.494316</td>
<td>1.642300</td>
<td>0.60772</td>
<td>12.56440</td>
<td>4.225720</td>
<td>4.362620</td>
<td>0.990729</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average ( \sigma/\mu ) (%)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 7. The proposed translation and scale invariant descriptors for a translated, non-uniformly contracted, expanded and reflected Chinese character

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Image 70×70</th>
<th>Scale &amp; Translation</th>
<th>Scale Descriptors</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Original 0.791762 0.360068 0.317765 -0.224911 0.167798 0.113319 0.191574 0.119192</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a=-0.5, b=1.0$ $\Delta i=-2, \Delta j=1$</td>
<td>0.792237 0.359835 0.317956 -0.223662 0.168336 0.112689 0.191047 0.119263</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a=-0.5, b=1.0$ $\Delta i=-2, \Delta j=1$</td>
<td>0.792237 0.359835 0.317956 -0.223662 0.168336 0.112689 0.191047 0.119263</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a=-0.5, b=1.0$ $\Delta i=-2, \Delta j=1$</td>
<td>0.792237 0.359835 0.317956 -0.223662 0.168336 0.112689 0.191047 0.119263</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a=-0.5, b=-0.5$ $\Delta i=3, \Delta j=-2$</td>
<td>0.792476 0.360393 0.317103 -0.223686 0.166884 0.113525 0.191747 0.118336</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a=-1.0, b=1.0$ $\Delta i=-2, \Delta j=2$</td>
<td>0.791762 0.360068 0.317765 -0.224911 0.167798 0.113319 0.191574 0.119192</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a=-1.0, b=-1.0$ $\Delta i=1, \Delta j=2$</td>
<td>0.791762 0.360068 0.317765 -0.224911 0.167798 0.113319 0.191574 0.119192</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a=-1.0, b=-1.0$ $\Delta i=0, \Delta j=4$</td>
<td>0.791762 0.360068 0.317765 -0.224911 0.167798 0.113319 0.191574 0.119192</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a=-1.0, b=2.0$ $\Delta i=4, \Delta j=2$</td>
<td>0.791583 0.359987 0.317929 -0.225218 0.168026 0.113267 0.191531 0.119404</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a=-2.0, b=-1.0$ $\Delta i=4, \Delta j=1$</td>
<td>0.791648 0.360119 0.31772 -0.225223 0.167661 0.113476 0.191696 0.119175</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma / \mu$ (%)</td>
<td>0.038874 0.047166 0.0796473 0.3068000 0.259252 0.292165 0.143972 0.245630</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average $\sigma / \mu$ (%)</td>
<td>0.176688</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 8 The translation and scale invariant descriptors of Legendre moments for a shifted, non-uniformly contracted, expanded and reflected Chinese character

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Image</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>70x70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale &amp; Translation</th>
<th>Scale Descriptors</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$\psi_{00}$</td>
<td>$\psi_{20}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Original</td>
<td>0.572661</td>
<td>0.434886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a=-0.5, b=1.0$</td>
<td>0.571835</td>
<td>0.436255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta i=-2, \Delta j=1$</td>
<td>0.571835</td>
<td>0.436255</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a=1.0, b=0.5$</td>
<td>0.572653</td>
<td>0.434886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta i=3, \Delta j=-2$</td>
<td>0.572661</td>
<td>0.434886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a=1.0, b=1.0$</td>
<td>0.572661</td>
<td>0.434886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta i=-1, \Delta j=2$</td>
<td>0.572661</td>
<td>0.434886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a=-1.0, b=0.5$</td>
<td>0.572661</td>
<td>0.434886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta i=-4, \Delta j=2$</td>
<td>0.572661</td>
<td>0.434886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a=-1.0, b=-1.0$</td>
<td>0.572661</td>
<td>0.434886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta i=0, \Delta j=-4$</td>
<td>0.572661</td>
<td>0.434886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a=-1.0, b=2.0$</td>
<td>0.572661</td>
<td>0.434886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\Delta i=4, \Delta j=-2$</td>
<td>0.572661</td>
<td>0.434886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a=-2.0, b=-1.0$</td>
<td>0.572661</td>
<td>0.434886</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\sigma/\mu$ (%)</td>
<td>0.0715249</td>
<td>0.156332</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average $\sigma/\mu$ (%)</td>
<td>0.247729625</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 9. The comparison of CPU elapsed time (ms) for the proposed and indirect methods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale Factor</th>
<th>Orders of Descriptor</th>
<th>Proposed Method</th>
<th>Indirect Method</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0~10</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0~20</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>1412</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0~30</td>
<td>891</td>
<td>5738</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>0~10</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>912</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0~20</td>
<td>551</td>
<td>3364</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0~30</td>
<td>1522</td>
<td>9904</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.5</td>
<td>0~10</td>
<td>321</td>
<td>2033</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0~20</td>
<td>1082</td>
<td>6730</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0~30</td>
<td>2624</td>
<td>16865</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>0~10</td>
<td>571</td>
<td>3495</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0~20</td>
<td>1823</td>
<td>11457</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0~30</td>
<td>4086</td>
<td>26428</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 10  
Classification results of the image with translation and scale transformation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Noise-free</th>
<th>Salt-and-pepper Noise</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1% 2% 3% 4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legendre</td>
<td>100% 84.24% 76.14% 71.8% 66.5%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tchebichef</td>
<td>100% 89.53% 83.28% 80.5% 75.7%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 11  
Classification results of the image with translation and scale transformation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Noise-free</th>
<th>Salt-and-pepper Noise</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1% 2% 3% 4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Legendre</td>
<td>100% 85.45% 77.42% 72.36% 68.50%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tchebichef</td>
<td>100% 91.84% 83.75% 81.60% 78.23%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1. Binary images as training set for invariant character recognition in the experiment
Fig. 2. Part of the images of the testing set in the experiment

Fig. 3. Set of test images (100×100). (a) Image of island of Cozumel. (b) Image of Finland. (c) Image of island of Grand. (d) Image of island of Greenland. (e) Image of island of Iceland.
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