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\textbf{Abstract}

Production of red blood cells involves growth-factor mediated regulation of erythroid progenitor apoptosis and self-renewal. During severe anemia, characterized by a strong fall of the hematocrit followed by a recovery phase, these controls allow a fast recovery of the hematocrit and survival of the organism. Using a mathematical model of stress erythropoiesis and an ad hoc numerical method, we investigate the respective roles of anemia-inducing phenylhydrazine injections and physiological regulation on the organism’s recovery. By explicitly modeling the experimental protocol, we show that it mostly characterizes the fall of the hematocrit following the anemia and its severeness, while physiological process regulation mainly controls the recovery. We confront our model and our conclusions to similar experiments inducing anemia and show the model’s ability to reproduce several protocols of phenylhydrazine-induced anemia. In particular, we establish a link between phenylhydrazine effect and the severeness of the anemia.
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1. Introduction

Erythropoiesis, the process of production of red blood cells, is performed through complex regulatory processes, as part of the more general process of blood cell production. Red blood cells are produced in the bone marrow, where hematopoietic stem cells, that have abilities of self-renewal and differentiation in all blood cell types, generate immature erythroid cells called progenitors. Throughout successive differentiating divisions, erythroid progenitors acquire maturity to ultimately become mature red blood cells, called erythrocytes, that enter the bloodstream in order to carry oxygen to, and CO₂ from organs and tissues.

The continuous production of erythroid cells is permanently controlled in order to adapt very quickly to changes in, or needs of the organism. One of the main feedback controls, discovered in the early 1990’s by Koury and Bondurant (1990), deals with erythroid progenitor death by apoptosis, a programmed cell death. Koury and Bondurant (1990) showed that, during an anemia (lack of red blood cells), a growth factor named erythropoietin (Epo) was released by the kidneys and inhibited progenitor apoptosis, allowing a fast production of numerous erythrocytes to recover from the anemia. This control of red blood cells upon their production is crucial for erythropoiesis regulation.

Apart from dying by apoptosis, erythroid progenitors experience differentiation in more mature cells or self-renew. Self-renewal (Watt and Hogan, 2000) is the ability of a cell to divide and give two daughter cells that have the same maturity than the mother cell, while keeping at all time the ability to engage in a differentiation process (i.e. to give two daughter cells, one of which at least being more mature than the mother cell). Self-renewal of erythroid progenitors has been shown during stress erythropoiesis (an anemia for instance) by Bauer er al. (1999), Gandrillon et al. (1999), and Pain et al. (1991). It is controlled by glucocorticoids, growth factors whose production negatively depends on the number of erythrocytes.

Several mathematical models of erythropoiesis have been proposed over the last 30 years, in order to address the regulatory mechanisms and their role in stress or pathological conditions. Béclair et al. (1995) proposed a model of erythropoiesis considering the influence of growth factors on stem cell differentiation in erythroid progenitors. This model was then improved by Mahaffy et al. (1998), and later analyzed in detail by Ackleh et al. (2002, 2006, 2008) and Banks et al. (2004). Another erythropoiesis model, inspired
by the same article, in which Epo is the only growth factor supposed to act
during erythropoiesis, was introduced in Adimy et al. (2006).

An important contribution to mathematical modeling of erythropoiesis
is also due to Loeffler and his collaborators (Loeffler and Wichmann, 1980;
Loeffler et al., 1989; Roeder, 2006; Roeder and Loeffler, 2002; Wichmann
and Loeffler, 1985; Wichmann et al., 1989). Their models consider feedback
controls from progenitors on the stem cell level and from mature cells on
progenitors, and are fitted to various experiments that induce severe anemias.
Most of these works were nevertheless performed before the role of Epo was
definitely identified and long before erythroid progenitor self-renewal was
hypothesized.

We proposed a new model of erythropoiesis (Crauste et al., 2008), based
on previous works by Mackey (1978) and co-authors, and Loeffler’s group
(Loeffler et al., 1989; Roeder and Loeffler, 2002; Roeder, 2006), to describe
stress erythropoiesis in mice. This model is based on the description of ery-
throid progenitor and erythrocyte dynamics using delay equations. Progen-
itor apoptosis and self-renewal are regulated by the number of erythrocytes,
hence implicitly describing growth factor-mediated regulation. The model’s
outputs were compared to data on phenylhydrazine-induced anemia in mice
and showed first that it was relevant and necessary to account for erythroid
progenitor self-renewal in order to explain experimental data. Second, they
showed that it was necessary to account for a modification of erythrocyte
lifespan after phenylhydrazine injections in order to reproduce the data, even
though this had not been assumed in the initial modeling. Phenylhydrazine
is a substance that kills circulating red blood cells but also damages sur-
viving red blood cell membranes and yields long term deficiency of the ery-
thropoiesis system (Walter et al., 1975). Notably, phenylhydrazine-induced
anemia is described in this model as a perturbation of the initial state of
the system and is hence not explicitly modeled. In particular, the biological
mechanisms involved in the reaction to phenylhydrazine injections are not
considered: it is a model of recovery from an anemia but not of anemia itself.

The way anemia is induced in mice influences the strength of the anemia
and the dynamics of the recovery. The two main ways of inducing anemia
are either to bleed mice in order to remove red blood cells, or to inject mice
with phenylhydrazine. Hematocrit values following bleeding-induced anemia
display smooth recovery dynamics, while following phenylhydrazine-induced
anemia they show a fast recovery phase that overcomes the initial hemat-
ocrit value and then slowly goes back to its initial state (see Figure 1), even
Figure 1: **Phenylhydrazine versus bleeding-induced anemia.** Hematocrits of mice submitted to severe anemia either by phenylhydrazine injections (red squares) or bleeding (blue triangles), mean fold change values are displayed (note that standard deviations are small and not visible using square and triangle symbols as well as normalized scales). The experimental protocol for phenylhydrazine-induced anemia is detailed in Section 2.3, for Group G0. Bleeding-induced anemia have been performed on 3 mice, each mouse was bled about 500 microliters with immediate injection of 500 microliters of intraperitoneal saline on three consecutive days (days 0, 1 and 2). Post-bleeding results were obtained with about 20 microliters of blood drawn on each day from day 3 (Eymard et al., 2015; Rhodes et al., 2016). These data are courtesy of Prof. Mark Koury, Vanderbilt University.

though the bleeding-induced anemia is more severe (minimum hematocrit value is about 30% of the initial hematocrit). This points towards different underlying physiological mechanisms associated to the anemia and its recovery.

We propose in this work a mathematical model of stress erythropoiesis in mice, based on the model of Crauste et al. (2008), which explicitly includes an age-dependent description of the experimental protocol used to induce a severe anemia, consisting in injecting mice with two consecutive doses of phenylhydrazine. The objective of the current work is to complete our previous work (Crauste et al., 2008) by highlighting the respective roles of the
experimental protocol (here phenylhydrazine-induced anemia) and physiological processes in the induction of the anemia and its recovery. To do so, we consider an age-structured model of erythropoiesis in which an age-dependent red blood cell mortality rate is introduced to account for phenylhydrazine effects. This model is presented in Section 2.1. We introduce the main features of the experimental data of phenylhydrazine-induced anemia in Section 2.3. We then use this framework to investigate in Section 3 the respective influences of phenylhydrazine injections and of the physiological processes on the induction of the anemia (its strength and its duration) and the organism recovery.

2. Material, Methods, and Models

2.1. Mathematical Model

We introduce a mathematical model of stress erythropoiesis in mice, based on age-structured nonlinear partial differential equations describing erythroid progenitor and erythrocyte dynamics submitted to phenylhydrazine (PHZ) injections inducing anemia. This model is similar to the model introduced in Crauste et al. (2008), except for its description of PHZ injections.

Let consider a population of erythroid progenitors and a population of erythrocytes, characterized by cell age \( a \) and the time of the observation \( t \) (see Figure 2). Among progenitors, two populations are considered: self-renewing progenitors, whose population is denoted by \( s(t,a) \), and differentiating progenitors, denoted by \( p(t,a) \). All progenitors are supposed to be in the bone marrow and subject to death by apoptosis. The duration of the differentiating progenitor compartment is denoted by \( \tau_p \), and the duration of one self-renewing cycle by \( \tau_c \), with \( \tau_c < \tau_p \). Erythroid progenitors are assumed to differentiate in erythrocytes when they reach the age \( a = \tau_p \). The number of erythrocytes, circulating in blood, is denoted by \( e(t,a) \), and \( E(t) \) denotes the total number of erythrocytes at time \( t \), defined by

\[
E(t) = \int_0^{+\infty} e(t,a) da.
\]

Denote by \( \alpha \) the erythroid progenitor apoptosis rate, and by \( \sigma \) the erythroid progenitor self-renewal rate. The rate \( \alpha \) is assumed to be an increasing function of \( E \), since the more erythrocytes the less erythropoietin (Koury and Bondurant, 1990), and erythropoietin inhibits erythroid progenitor apoptosis (Koury and Bondurant, 1990). The rate \( \sigma \) is assumed to be a decreasing
function of $E$: the more erythrocytes, the more glucocorticoids and the more glucocorticoids the less erythroid progenitor self-renewal (Bauer et al., 1999).

Denote by $\gamma$ the mortality rate of erythrocytes. This rate depends on erythrocyte age $a$ and time $t$ when PHZ induces anemia, and is constant otherwise. In Crauste et al. (2008), we noticed that in order to correctly reproduce experimental data, a modification of the mortality rate of erythrocytes had to be accounted for, as a consequence of using PHZ to induce anemia: one effect of this substance is to dramatically alter the lifespan of erythrocytes (Berlin and Lotz, 1951; Nagai et al., 1968, 1971; Shimada, 1975; Stohlman, 1961). Such a change in lifespan could be due to specific membrane properties of the erythrocytes produced during stress erythropoiesis (Walter et al., 1975). To account for this effect, it is necessary to explicitly describe PHZ injections: we hence define a nonnegative function $phz$ that describes increases in the mortality rate $\gamma$ following PHZ injections.

The function $phz$ first depends on the age of erythrocytes $a$ and the time of the observation $t$: erythrocytes already circulating in blood when PHZ is injected are affected by PHZ (they either die or are damaged), while erythrocytes produced after the injection are not affected by the PHZ and consequently their mortality rate is not modified. In addition, the function $phz$ is characterized by the time of the injection, denoted by $t_i$, and by the duration of the PHZ effect in the blood (associated with the PHZ clearance
Figure 3: **Function** \((a, t) \mapsto \text{phz}(a, t, t_i, t_f)\), **for fixed** \(t_i\) **and** \(t_f\). The grey areas correspond to \(\text{phz} = 0\). Along the vertical dashed lines, \(\text{phz}\) is equal to its maximum \((\text{phz} = K + R\), on the left line) or to its minimum \((\text{phz} = R\), on the right line).

The function \(\text{phz}\) is also characterized by a nonnegative residual effect \(R\), and a positive constant \(K\) which determines the maximum effect of the PHZ injection on the mortality rate of erythrocytes. A positive residual effect \(R\) means that erythrocytes that did not die following the PHZ injection have a larger mortality rate than cells that never encountered PHZ due to membrane damages, even though the organism is cleared with PHZ (Walter et al., 1975).

A schematic representation of the effect of the \(\text{phz}\) function is illustrated in Figure 3.

In order to compare the model simulations with experimental data (see Sections 2.3 and 2.4), we reproduce the experimental protocol consisting...
in two injections, following closely one another, with the initial injection occurring at time $t_i = t_1 = 0$ and the second injection at time $t_i = t_2 > 0$.

Since experimentally both injections are similar (same dose, same route of injection), we assume that they both have the same effects and we use the same parameter values for the function $phz$, except for the value of $t_2$.

We use the notation $\gamma(t, a)$ to stress the time and age-dependency of the PHZ-based experimental protocol, with

$$
\gamma(t, a) = (1 + phz(a, t, 0, t_f) + phz(a, t, t_2, t_f)) \tilde{\gamma},
$$

where $\tilde{\gamma}$ stands for the average erythrocyte mortality rate.

Then, the quantities $p$, $s$ and $e$ satisfy the following system, for $t > 0$,

$$
\begin{align*}
\partial_t p(t, a) &+ \partial_a p(t, a) = - [\alpha(E(t)) + \sigma(E(t))] p(t, a), \quad a \in (0, \tau_p), \\
\partial_t s(t, a) &+ \partial_a s(t, a) = - \alpha(E(t)) s(t, a), \quad a \in (0, \tau_c), \\
\partial_t e(t, a) &+ \partial_a e(t, a) = - \gamma(t, a) e(t, a), \quad a > 0.
\end{align*}
$$

Boundary conditions associated with (3) describe cell flux between compartments,

$$
\begin{align*}
p(t, 0) &= HSC + 2s(t, \tau_c), \\
s(t, 0) &= \int_0^{\tau_p} \sigma(E(t)) p(t, a) da, \\
e(t, 0) &= Ap(t, \tau_p).
\end{align*}
$$

New erythroid progenitors come from the division of self-renewing progenitors and the differentiation of hematopoietic stem cells: $HSC$ denotes the constant flux of hematopoietic stem cells differentiating in erythroid progenitors. Self-renewing progenitors are produced at a rate $\sigma$. Erythrocytes are produced from mature (age $a = \tau_p$) progenitors, and $A$ denotes a constant amplification coefficient accounting for divisions of mature progenitors. For instance, $A = 2^d$ where $d$ is the number of differentiation compartments during the reticulocyte stage (Crauste et al., 2008).

Existence and uniqueness of solutions of system (1)–(4) follow from the classical theory of age-structured equations (Webb, 1985), under conditions of smoothness of the nonlinear feedback functions $\alpha$ and $\sigma$. In addition one can show that system (3)–(4) has a unique steady state (a constant solution with respect to time $t$) under appropriate conditions (see Angulo et al. (2017), for a more general model). However, it must be noted that the complexity of the mathematical model limits the theoretical analysis that can be performed.
2.2. Numerical Simulations

The effort made on increasing the realism in the model is achieved at the expense of loss in mathematical tractability. Let’s point out that, without additional restrictive assumptions, system (1)–(4) cannot be solved analytically. Therefore the use of efficient methods providing a numerical approach is the most suitable mathematical tool for studying the model and, indeed, it is often the only one available. Besides, numerical methods have been successfully applied to structured models to replicate available field and/or laboratory data for a variety of different systems (e.g. Angulo and López-Marcos (2012); Angulo et al. (2011a,b, 2013a,b)). We completely describe in Angulo et al. (2017) the explicit second order numerical scheme built “ad hoc”, which has been adapted to obtain the solutions of system (1)–(4).

When performing numerical simulations, we use the functions

\[ \alpha(E) = C_\alpha \frac{E^{n_\alpha}}{\theta_n^{n_\alpha} + E^{n_\alpha}}, \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma(E) = C_\sigma \frac{\theta_\sigma^{n_\sigma}}{\theta_\sigma^{n_\sigma} + E^{n_\sigma}}, \]

(5)

where \( C_\alpha, C_\sigma > 0 \) are the maximal apoptosis and self-renewal rates, respectively, \( \theta_\alpha, \theta_\sigma > 0 \) threshold values, and \( n_\alpha, n_\sigma > 1 \) sensitivity parameters.

In order to compare the model’s outputs with experimental data (see Section 2.3), the numerical solution of system (1)-(4) is used to compute the simulated hematocrit \( HCT \), using the formula (Crauste et al., 2008)

\[ HCT(t) = \frac{E(t)}{E(t) + E^*(1 - HCT^*)/HCT^*}, \]

(6)

where \( E(t) \) is the total number of erythrocytes and \( HCT^* \) is the steady state value of the hematocrit, obtained through experimental data.

2.3. Experimental Data

In order to get some insights into stress erythropoiesis, experiments consisting in inducing an anemia in mice and monitoring the recovery have been performed. Let us remind the reader that “hematocrit” is a test that measures the volume of red blood cells in a blood sample. It gives a percentage of erythrocyte volume found in the whole blood volume. Since platelet and white cell volumes are negligible within a blood sample, we assumed without loss of generality that a blood sample is mainly composed with erythrocytes and plasma.
Figure 4 shows the time evolution of the hematocrit of 5 batches of adult mice (groups G0 to G4), over 17 days, being rendered anemic by two consecutive intraperitoneal injections of PHZ with different doses. Even though the 5 batches display quantitative differences, they all reproduce the main basic features: Initially at its steady value, between 45 and 55 %, the hematocrit shows a strong fall following the anemia (the hematocrit reaches very low values, about 23%±3% , 2 to 3 days after the first injection for G0), then rapidly increases to reach a high value (about 55%±4%, 9 days after the first injection, for G0), and then returns to the equilibrium. Note that data for group G0 come from Crauste et al. (2008).

Experiments performed on groups G0 to G4 vary in the dose of PHZ used to induce the anemia: G0 mice were injected with a 60mg/kg body weight dose, while G1, G2, and G3 mice were injected with a 30 mg/kg body weight dose and G4 mice with a 15 mg/kg body weight dose. They also differ with respect to the time of the second injection: G0, G1 and G4 mice were injected on days 0 and 1, G2 mice on days 0 and 0.7 (16h), and G3 mice on days 0 and 2. See Figure 4.C for a summary of these protocols.

In order to characterize the strength of the PHZ-induced anemia, we introduce the following quantities:

- $HCT_{min}$, the minimum value of the hematocrit ($HCT_{min} \approx 23 \pm 3\%$ for group G0),

- $t_{min}$, the time after the initial injection at which the minimum of the hematocrit is reached ($t_{min} \approx 3$ days for group G0),

- $t_{rec}$, the recovery time, which is the first time the hematocrit reaches a given value (we chose the value 40%, which is just below the equilibrium value), after the hematocrit reached a minimum ($t_{rec} \approx 6$ days for group G0).

The first quantity directly measures the strength of the anemia, because the smaller the hematocrit the higher the probability that the organism cannot recover (and then eventually dies). The second quantity relates to the initial resistance of the organism to the anemia, and measures the speed at which the hematocrit decreases. The third quantity is related to the ability of the organism to recover from the anemia, and it measures the velocity at which the hematocrit recovers. A “strong” anemia will then be characterized first by a low minimum of the hematocrit, and second by a short time to reach...
Figure 4: Experimentally measured hematocrits. Hematocrits of groups (A) G1 (red), G2 (blue), G3 (green), and (B) G1 (red), G4 (black), G0 (blue). Average and standard deviations over 9 (group G0) or 8 (groups G1 to G4) adult mice are displayed on the 17 days period. (C) Characteristics of PHZ-induced anemia experiments: for each of the 5 groups, the time interval between two consecutive injections of PHZ and the dose that has been injected are presented.
this minimum. Potentially, one can also add to this definition a long time
of recovery and a slow speed of recovery, while keeping in mind that the
organism has to react quickly otherwise its survival can be questioned. A
“weak” anemia on the contrary will be characterized by a high minimum of
the hematocrit.

2.4. Parameter Estimation

We proceed in two steps. We first use data from group G0 to estimate
parameter values and evaluate the contribution of each parameter to the
quality of the results. Second, we investigate the robustness of the model by
confronting it, and estimated parameter values, to data from groups G1 to
G4 (see Section 2.3).

In the first step, we first estimate parameter values so that the model
correctly reproduces experimental data from group G0. We simulate the
model for fixed parameter values and compute the weighted residual sum of
squares using G0 data. The weighted residual sum of squares is given by

$$RSS := \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{(SimulatedHCT_i - ExperimentalHCT_i)^2}{\sigma_i^2},$$

where $N$ is the size of the experimental sample, $SimulatedHCT_i$ the value of
the simulated hematocrit at the $i$-th experimental time, $ExperimentalHCT_i$
the corresponding average hematocrit value, and $\sigma_i$ the experimental stan-
dard deviation. The same formula is used for groups G0 to G4, using each
time corresponding data. Identifiability of estimated parameter values (Raue
et al., 2009) is deduced from the boundedness of 95% confidence intervals,

hence estimated parameter values indeed correspond to a global minimum of
the residual sum of squares.

This leads us to identify parameter values that best reproduce G0 data,
and situations that favor quantitatively relevant dynamics. We then focus
on the contribution of some parameters to the severity of the anemia and
the recovery’s features. The complexity of the model limits the numerical
analysis, so it would not be reasonable to try performing a sensitivity analysis
on every parameter of the model. This is why we focus on the influence of
specific parameters, which characterize the main feedback functions of the
system, on the model’s features. To that aim, we vary them individually in
a given range while fixing the others. For each parameter, the range used as
well as the paragraph presenting the results are indicated in Table 1.
Table 1: **Parameter sensitivity ranges.** For each parameter of interest (column ‘Parameter’) the prospective interval in which its influence on the dynamics of the model is investigated appears in the column ‘Range’, and the subsection label in which these results are presented in the column ‘Paragraph’. The upper part of the table deals with the 9 parameters whose values are also estimated to fit the data, while the bottom part of the table deals with the 4 parameters whose values are fixed throughout simulations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Paragraph</th>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Paragraph</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$C_\alpha$</td>
<td>[0; 70]</td>
<td>not shown</td>
<td>$C_\sigma$</td>
<td>[0; 4]</td>
<td>3.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\theta_\alpha$</td>
<td>$[10^4; 10^8]$</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>$\theta_\sigma$</td>
<td>$[10^4; 10^8]$</td>
<td>not shown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n_\alpha$</td>
<td>[1; 58]</td>
<td>not shown</td>
<td>$n_\sigma$</td>
<td>[1; 35]</td>
<td>not shown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R$</td>
<td>[0; 8]</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>$t_f$</td>
<td>[0; 5]</td>
<td>3.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t_2$</td>
<td>[0; 2]</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>$\tau_p$</td>
<td>[1; 8]</td>
<td>3.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$HSC$</td>
<td>$[10^2; 10^6]$</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>$\tau_c$</td>
<td>[0; $\tau_p$]</td>
<td>not shown</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$A$</td>
<td>[100; 500]</td>
<td>not shown</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the second step, we use the estimated parameter values to confront our model to data from groups G1 to G4, in order to evaluate its robustness in describing different dynamics obtained with very similar experimental protocols. In order to characterize each group dynamics, we also compute the weighted residual sum of squares.

While most parameter values will be estimated to reproduce experimental data, some values will be fixed throughout the parameter estimation procedure, both in steps 1 and 2 (these parameters are however varied for investigating the potential influence of their variation on the system’s behavior).

The average lifespan of an erythrocyte is known to be 40 days in mice (Crauste et al., 2008), so the average mortality rate would be $\bar{\gamma} = 1/40$ d$^{-1}$. Values of the amplification coefficient of progenitors into erythrocytes $A$, and of HSC flux into the erythroid lineage $HSC$, are taken from Crauste et al. (2008), $A = 2^8$ and $HSC = 10^4$ cells.vol$^{-1}$ respectively. Also, the progenitor compartment duration $\tau_p$ and the cell cycle duration $\tau_c$ are fixed to $\tau_p = 4$ days and $\tau_c = 1$ day (Crauste et al., 2008).

Additionally parameter $K$ in (1) is fixed to $K = 20$ d$^{-1}$, after multiple tests showed no real influence of variations of $K$. Finally, the simulation step
3. Results

We use the model presented in Section 2 to simulate a PHZ-induced anemia in mice and the response of the organism, and we mainly focus on two points. First, we investigate the influence of the experimental protocol upon the features (strength, duration) of the anemia. Second, we evaluate the roles of physiological processes regulating erythrocyte production. To do so, we compare results of the simulations of system (1)-(4) with experimental data presented in Section 2.3, group G0. We then show that our model is able to describe other experimental data (groups G1 to G4) and draw conclusions about PHZ-induced anemia.

3.1. Data

Hematocrit evolves similarly for groups G1, G2 and G3 (see Figure 4.A). Quantitative differences are however important between the hematocrits of groups G0, G1, G4, for which the injected doses of PHZ are different (see Figure 4.B). Both the fall of the hematocrit phase and the recovery phase display different features, which appear to be dose-dependent: distinct values of the minimum value of the hematocrit and different slopes of the recovery phase. Additionally, high values observed for group G0 around day 9 are not observed for the other groups. As mentioned in Crauste et al. (2008), these high values appear to be caused by a strong reaction of the organism that needs to quickly produce new red blood cells in order to ensure survival associated with a lag (or inertia) in the regulation of progenitor apoptosis that does not compensate fast enough this production of new red blood cells, resulting in an overshoot of the hematocrit.

3.2. Influence of PHZ injections

In order to investigate the influence of the injection protocol on the dynamics of stress erythropoiesis and blood recovery, we focus on 3 parameters (see Section 2.1), namely

- \( R \), the residual influence of PHZ injections,
- \( t_f \), the duration after which only the residual effect of PHZ is felt by the organism,
Figure 5: **Experimental and simulated hematocrits for group G0.** Experimental data are given by the red crosses with bars (mean value ± standard deviation). The simulated hematocrit is given by the black curve. All estimated parameter values are from Table 2.

- $t_2$, the time of the second injection (the initial injection occurs at $t = 0$).

System (1)-(4) is numerically solved and the corresponding simulated hematocrit $HCT$ is computed using (6). The simulation that best reproduces experimental data for group G0 is shown in Figure 5. It has been obtained with $R = 5 \, d^{-1}$, $t_f = 3$ days, $t_2 = 1$ day, while other parameter values are given in Table 2. This set of parameter values for $(R, t_f, t_2)$ is characteristic of a “good” situation: the model correctly reproduces experimental data when $t_f \geq 2$ days and

- either the residual effect $R$ is large ($R \geq 3 \, d^{-1}$),

- or $R$ is small ($1 \, d^{-1} < R \leq 3 \, d^{-1}$), provided that the smaller $R$ the larger $t_f$, and $t_2$ is not too large ($t_2 \leq 1.5$ days).
Table 2: **Parameter values corresponding to Figure 5**. All parameter values listed in the table have been estimated: the best value is indicated in the column ‘Value’ while its 95% confidence interval is indicated in the column ‘Confidence Interval’. Key: vol = volume unit; N.U. = no unit.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value</th>
<th>Confidence Interval</th>
<th>Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Apoptosis Rate</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_\alpha$</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>[19.6; 20.4]</td>
<td>d$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\theta_\alpha$</td>
<td>$10^{6.5}$</td>
<td>[10$^{6.4}$; 10$^{6.6}$]</td>
<td>cells.vol$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n_\alpha$</td>
<td>10.6</td>
<td>[10.4; 10.8]</td>
<td>N.U.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Self-Renewal Rate</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$C_\sigma$</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>[0.63; 0.77]</td>
<td>d$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\theta_\sigma$</td>
<td>$10^{6.8}$</td>
<td>[10$^{6.6}$; 10$^{7.0}$]</td>
<td>cells.vol$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n_\sigma$</td>
<td>6.2</td>
<td>[5.8; 6.6]</td>
<td>N.U.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PHZ Injections</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R$</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>[4.9; 5.1]</td>
<td>d$^{-1}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t_f$</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>[2.95; 3.04]</td>
<td>d</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t_2$</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>[0.97; 1.03]</td>
<td>d</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

These situations are a priori biologically realistic. The first one corresponds to a strong effect of PHZ on erythrocytes that survived the first injection, while the second one corresponds to a second injection following quickly the first one in order to sustain the action of PHZ. One may note that, in the experiments, $t_2 = 1$ day which is in agreement with our estimation, while no information on neither $R$ nor $t_f$ is available.

When $R = 0$ or $R$ is close to zero, the model is unable to correctly describe the fall of the hematocrit that follows the two injections, and varying $K$ in (1) does not improve the results, since the organism always reacts much faster in this case than what is experimentally observed (data not shown). This indicates that the residual effect plays an important role in inducing severe anemia, following PHZ injections, as suggested in Crauste et al. (2008).

We further investigate the roles of $R$, $t_f$ and $t_2$ by focusing on specific features of the anemia: $HCT_{min}$, $t_{min}$ and $t_{rec}$.

Parameters $R$ and $t_f$ have a major influence on the strength of the ane-
Figure 6: Influence of the values of $R$ and $t_f$ on the values of $HCT_{\text{min}}$. Values of $HCT_{\text{min}}$ are computed for $t_2 = 1$ day and (A) $R \in [0,8]$ d$^{-1}$, with $t_f = 1$ day (blue curve), $t_f = 2$ days (red curve), $t_f = 3$ days (black curve), $t_f = 5$ days (green curve), and (B) $t_f \in [0.2,5]$ days, with $R = 1$ d$^{-1}$ (blue curve), $R = 3$ d$^{-1}$ (red curve), $R = 5$ d$^{-1}$ (black curve), $R = 8$ d$^{-1}$ (green curve). Black dash-dotted lines indicate the range of experimental values of $HCT_{\text{min}}$ (from Figure 5, group G0). Other parameter values are given by Table 2.
mia. The minimal hematocrit value, $HCT_{\text{min}}$, is mainly controlled by $R$ and $t_f$ (Figure 6): the larger $R$ and $t_f$, the lower $HCT_{\text{min}}$ and the more severe the anemia. In addition, combined increases of $R$ and $t_f$ strengthen the anemia by more strongly decreasing $HCT_{\text{min}}$ than each parameter separately. This complements the above conclusion regarding the obtention of good reproduction of data: for large values of both $R$ and $t_f$ the simulated solution correctly reproduces experimental data, and in particular it correctly captures the value of the hematocrit at its minimum.

Second, the time at which the second injection occurs, $t_2$, is the main parameter controlling the time at which the hematocrit reaches its minimum value, $t_{\text{min}}$ (Figure 7): $t_{\text{min}}$ increases as $t_2$ increases. This influence of $t_2$ on $t_{\text{min}}$ indicates that the organism does not react fast enough to the first injection to limit the action of the second injection. The residual effect $R$ shows very limited influence on the value of $t_{\text{min}}$. The parameter $t_f$ shows no influence on $t_{\text{min}}$, except for situations that have been identified as unable to generate a correct response to the anemia (small values of $t_f$, $R$ and $t_2$). In conclusion, $t_2$ strongly influences $t_{\text{min}}$, whereas $R$ and $t_f$ have either limited or no influence on $t_{\text{min}}$.

None of these three parameters is however significantly influencing the recovery (Supp. Fig. 1 to 3), which remains fairly constant for all values of the three parameters (around 4.5-5.5 days). We then hypothesize that the recovery is mainly determined by the response of the organism to the anemia, and the investigation of the roles of physiological processes in the next sections will indeed confirm this hypothesis.

### 3.3. Apoptosis and Differentiation Processes drive the Recovery

The apoptosis rate $\alpha$ is characterized by three parameters: $C_\alpha$, $\theta_\alpha$ and $n_\alpha$, see (5). The parameter $C_\alpha$ controls the maximum value of the apoptosis rate. It barely affects the strength of the anemia or the recovery time, except for extremely small and biologically unrealistic values (Supp. Fig. 4.A). The same conclusions hold for $n_\alpha$, the sensitivity of the apoptosis rate (Supp. Fig. 4.B). On the contrary, $\theta_\alpha$, that defines the strength of the Epo-mediated red blood cell control on progenitor apoptosis, clearly shows a strong influence on both $HCT_{\text{min}}$ and $t_{\text{rec}}$: from Figure 8.A, one observes that $HCT_{\text{min}}$ decreases and $t_{\text{rec}}$ increases as $\theta_\alpha$ increases. This indicates how controlling the apoptosis rate of erythroid cells strongly contributes to the dampening of an anemia and a fast recovery, stressing the key role of Epo-dependent regulatory processes in erythropoiesis.
Differentiation of erythroid progenitors is characterized by the differentiation time $\tau_p$. Figure 8.B shows that long differentiation times first contribute to strong anemias and second, slow down recovery. The differentiation time $\tau_p$, although not dependent on a feedback control in this model (no biological evidence), appears to play a role almost as important as the apoptosis rate in controlling the recovery. Shortening the differentiation process might be an efficient way to rapidly recover from a strong anemia. However, to our knowledge, a reduction of the duration of the differentiation process has never been experimentally observed in stress erythropoiesis, contrary to a control of the apoptosis rate by the number of circulating red blood cells.

3.4. Comments on the Role of the Self-Renewal Rate

Let us focus on the contribution of the self-renewal rate $\sigma$ to the organism’s recovery from an anemia. The rate $\sigma$ is characterized by three parameters $C_\sigma$, $\theta_\sigma$ and $n_\sigma$.

First, $C_\sigma$ has no significant influence on $HCT_{\text{min}}$ and $t_{\text{min}}$ (Supp. Fig. 5), and consequently does not contribute to the severeness of the anemia.
Figure 8: Influence of $\theta_\alpha$ and $\tau_p$ on $HCT_{min}$ and $t_{rec}$. (A) Influence of $\theta_\alpha$ on $HCT_{min}$ and $t_{rec}$. (B) Influence of $\tau_p$ on $HCT_{min}$ and $t_{rec}$. In both cases, values of $HCT_{min}$ are displayed on the left axis and correspond to the plain curve, while values of $t_{rec}$ are displayed on the right axis and correspond to the dashed curve.
Second, for small values of $C_\sigma$ ($< 0.5$ d$^{-1}$) one observes fast recoveries, characterized by $t_{rec} \leq 5$ days, while larger values of $C_\sigma$ are associated with slow recoveries (see Figure 9). Other parameters associated with the self-renewal rate have a very limited influence ($\theta_\sigma$) or no influence at all ($n_\sigma$) on both the anemia and the recovery (Supp. Fig. 6 and 7).

Even though self-renewal appears to be mandatory to recover from the anemia (in agreement with Crauste et al. (2008)), these results indicate that the regulation of the self-renewal rate (characterized by parameters $\theta_\sigma$ and $n_\sigma$) does not play a crucial role in the recovery and is potentially dispensable. Consequently, we may hypothesize that the self-renewal rate is indeed constant among the erythroid progenitor population, equal to $C_\sigma$.

3.5. Comments on Stem Cell Regulation

The erythropoiesis process depends upon the production of immature erythroid progenitors by hematopoietic stem cells. This process is highly controlled (see for instance Pujo-Menjouet et al. (2005); Roeder and Loeffler (2002)), even though we did not model it in details since it is not part of the erythropoiesis process. We can however focus on the influence of the parameter associated with a continuous and constant production of erythroid progenitors, $HSC$. 

Figure 9: Influence of $C_\sigma$ on $t_{rec}$. 

![Graph showing the influence of $C_\sigma$ on $t_{rec}$]
Figure 10: **Influence of HSC on HCT_{min} and t_{rec}**. HCT_{min} values are on the left axis and represented by the plain curve, while t_{rec} values are on the right axis and represented by the dashed curve. Note that HSC is represented in logarithmic scale on the x-axis.

Variations of this parameter show important modifications of HCT\textsubscript{min} and t\textsubscript{rec} (Figure 10), and of t\textsubscript{min} (Supp. Fig. 8), indicating a significant influence of HSC both on the strength of the anemia and on the recovery.

Even though we cannot exclude that the entire recovery process could be controlled by the regulation of HSC dynamics, strong variations of the flux of HSC entering the erythroid lineage are usually associated with hematological disorders or diseases (Mackey, 1978; Pujo-Menjouet and Mackey, 2004). Hence, only small variations of the parameter HSC should be considered, and in this case the contribution of the regulation of the HSC compartment would be much less significant and essential to stress erythropoiesis.

Moreover, the regulation of HSC dynamics, leading to a modification of the flux of newly created erythroid progenitors, would not have instantaneous consequences on the erythropoiesis process: it would take several divisions, hence several days, to significantly modify the flux of stem cells differentiating in erythroid progenitors. One can observe on Figure 5 that the organism recovers 2 to 3 days after reaching its lowest level, a priori excluding the HSC regulation as the only mechanism allowing a fast recovery.
Table 3: Estimated values of $R$ and $t_f$ for groups G1 to G4. Values of $R$ and $t_f$ for group G0 are recalled for comparison purposes (in gray).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>$R$ (d$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$t_f$ (d)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G2</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.6. Reproducing various PHZ-induced anemia protocols

The above-mentioned analysis has been performed with parameter values that were estimated to reproduce experimental data from group G0. One may question the robustness of these values and their relevance in describing stress erythropoiesis dynamics in other PHZ-induced anemia protocols. Groups G1 to G4 display similar features than group G0: fall of the hematocrit following PHZ injections, then a recovery period with a return to a steady hematocrit by day 17 post-injection; yet they quantitatively behave differently depending on the dose (G1, G2, G3 vs G4) or the time between two injections (G1, G4 vs G2 vs G3). Since parameters $R$ and $t_f$ have been identified in Section 3.2 as responsible for the strength and duration of the anemia, we focus on their contribution in reproducing experimental data.

To investigate the model’s behavior when used to reproduce data in groups G1 to G4, we first fix all parameter values associated with physiological processes to values obtained with the G0 group (Table 2), and we only vary parameters associated with PHZ injections: $R$ and $t_f$. Value of the parameter $t_2$ is set according to the group ($t_2 = 1$ day for G1 and G4, $t_2 = 0.7$ day for G2, and $t_2 = 2$ days for G3). Parameters $R$ and $t_f$ are determined to fit the data (see Table 3). Results are presented in Figure 11 by dashed lines. For each group, estimating only values of $R$ and $t_f$ does not provide correct reproduction of experimental data: although the anemia is rather properly described, the recovery is mostly overestimated whatever the group (too fast for all groups, and reaching values higher than experimental ones for groups G1, G2 and G4), and the simulated dynamics of the hematocrit are not in agreement with experimental observations.

In a second step, in addition to parameters $R$ and $t_f$, we allow parameters
Figure 11: Estimation of hematocrit dynamics for groups G1 (A), G2 (B), G3 (C) and G4 (D). For each figure, the value of $t_2$ is fixed according to the experimental protocol. The solid line is the best fit of the data, obtained by varying all parameters (Table 4), while the dash line is the fit of the data obtained by only varying $R$ and $t_f$ (Table 3), other parameter values being given by Table 2.
Table 4: Estimated values of $R$, $t_f$, and physiological parameters, for groups G1 to G4. Parameter values for group G0 are recalled for comparison purposes (in gray).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Group</th>
<th>$R$ (d$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$t_f$ (d)</th>
<th>$C_α$ (d$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$θ_α$ (cells.vol$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$n_α$ (N.U)</th>
<th>$C_σ$ (d$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>RSS (N.U)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>G0</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>10$^6.5$</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>6.0*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G1</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>10$^6.2$</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G2</td>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>10$^6.0$</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G3</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>10$^6.2$</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G4</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>10$^6.1$</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>1.1</td>
<td>2.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* This value may seem much higher than the other ones in the same column, but it has simply been computed using more experimental data (see Figure 4.B).

related to the apoptosis rate ($C_α$, $θ_α$, $n_α$) and to the self-renewal rate ($C_σ$) to vary, with the assumption that the self-renewal rate is constant. We then obtain the solid curves in Figure 11 and values given by Table 4. All results show a very good agreement of the simulation and the experimental measurements (see column ‘RSS’ in Table 4), variations of the hematocrit during the recovery phase (between day 3 and day 15 post-injection) are particularly well captured by the model. This stresses the ability of the model to describe stress erythropoiesis induced by various protocols of PHZ injections.

One may however notice that correct reproduction of the data is obtained for parameter values that are very different from one group to the other (see Table 4).

Regarding physiological rates, values of the self-renewal rate $C_σ$ are consistent for all groups, with a doubling time between 7.5h and 12h. On the contrary, the apoptosis rate shows very different values of its three characteristic parameters among all groups. A careful investigation of the values of the apoptosis rate all along the response to PHZ injections first shows that for all groups the maximal value $C_α$ is never reached. Second, contrary to what would be expected from the shape of the function $α$ (see (5)), the apoptosis rate does not behave as a saturating function for groups G1, G2 and G4, but rather as an exponential function (see Figure 12), with values ranging between 0 (when the number of erythrocytes is high, fully inhibiting progenitor apoptosis) and a maximum value in the interval $[3 \text{ d}^{-1}; 12 \text{ d}^{-1}]$. 
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far from the estimated maximum value $C_\alpha$. For these groups, the estimated value of $n_\alpha$ is very high, hence the apoptosis function $\alpha$ is of threshold type. And since all estimated values of $\theta_\alpha$ are larger than the steady state $E^*$, only the first increasing convex part of the function is actually used to regulate apoptosis, resulting in an exponential shape.

Group G3 displays very different features than the other groups: instead of an exponential behavior, the apoptosis rate appears to be almost linear (Figure 12). This comes from the estimated values of $C_\alpha$ and $n_\alpha$, which are very low. Consequently the apoptosis rate $\alpha$ is linear far from the threshold value $\theta_\alpha$ (on both sides of $\theta_\alpha$ actually, even though only the left-hand side of $\theta_\alpha$ is involved in the regulation in this case). Since the estimated value of $\theta_\alpha$ is larger than the steady state value in group G3 as well, then we observe a linear regulation of the apoptosis rate. One can observe on Figure 11 that estimated recovery dynamics are different for group G3, compared to the other groups. They are characterized by a slower recovery and an absence of overshooting from the basal hematocrit value on the 4-8 days period. Since group G3 differs from the other ones – and particularly G1 and G2 – only

Figure 12: Normalized values of $\alpha(E)$ over the anemia and the recovery phases, for groups G1 to G4. On the x-axis, values of $E$ taken during the simulation shown in Figure 11 have been normalized (0 represents the minimum value, 1 the maximum one). On the y-axis, corresponding values of $\alpha(E)$ have also been normalized.
by the time of the second injection (2 days after the initial injection), we may hypothesize that the 2-days period between PHZ injections allowed the organism to partly recover and in particular to perform a tighter control of erythroid progenitor death by apoptosis, that resulted in a slower recovery and a less strict regulation of Epo-mediated apoptosis.

Regarding values of parameters $R$ and $t_f$, a trend appears on the way they are influenced by the dose or the time of second injection (Figure 13): the higher the dose the higher $t_f$, and to a lesser extent the sooner the second injection the higher $R$. Yet in each case a lot of variability is measured for groups with either the same dose or the same time of the second injection. Since $R$ and $t_f$ both are associated to the influence of PHZ on erythrocyte death, one parameter may compensate the influence of the other ($R$ and $t_f$ have been identified as key regulators of the value $HCT_{\text{min}}$ in Section 3.2 without clearly identifying their respective roles), resulting in the observed variability. In order to check that assumption, we drew the product $R \times t_f$ (adimensionalized quantity) as a function of the dose and the second time of the injection (Figure 14). It clearly shows an influence of the experimental protocol in the estimated values of $R$ and $t_f$: the higher the dose, the larger the product $R \times t_f$, and the sooner the second injection, the larger $R \times t_f$. This is particularly true when the dose (respectively, second injection time)
4. Discussion

We modified a previously published model of stress erythropoiesis in mice to explicitly describe and account for the specific phenylhydrazine-based way of inducing anemia experimentally. Contrary to bleeding-induced anemias for instance, phenylhydrazine-induced anemias not only reduce the quantity of red blood cells but also affect the entire red blood cell production process: indeed, phenylhydrazine either kills red blood cells – hence inducing anemia – or damages red blood cell membranes and then shortens red blood cell lifespans with potential consequences on the organism behavior on the days following the anemia. Although the model cannot be solved analytically, we implemented an appropriate numerical method (Angulo et al., 2017) in order to numerically identify the respective influences of the experimental protocol and physiological regulatory process on the model’s behavior. This gave

Figure 14: Estimated values of $R \times t_f$ as function of the dose (A) and $t_2$ (B). Within-boxes values share the same $t_2$ value (A) or dose (B). Values taken from Table 4.

Effect is observed for a shared second injection time (respectively, dose): this is shown by squared dots in Figure 14, and the trend clearly appears.

Therefore, despite differences in parameter values between all groups, estimated values account for the various experimental protocols used to generate the data (groups G1 to G4) and the associated physiological responses. In addition, the influence of the experimental protocol clearly appears through the value of the product $R \times t_f$ that sums up the specifics of PHZ injections.
us insights into the way the organism deals with phenylhydrazine injections inducing anemia and the recovery from the anemia.

First, our model proved its ability to reproduce experimental data consisting in hematocrit measurements at several time points following phenylhydrazine-induced anemia, with relevant estimated parameter values. We showed that the strength of the anemia, induced by phenylhydrazine injections, is mainly controlled by the experimental protocol (the quantity of injected phenylhydrazine, the number of injections, and the time between the two injections). The fall of the hematocrit observed in the days following phenylhydrazine injections is strongly dependent upon three parameters, $R$ and $t_f$ on one side, and $t_2$ on the other side, suggesting different roles and targets of these parameters in the induction of the anemia. Parameter $t_2$ characterizes the experimentalist’s choice (the time at which the second injection occurs) while $R$ and $t_f$ are mainly specific of phenylhydrazine’s properties (its strength in inducing death and how long its effects are felt by the organism). On the contrary, we showed that the recovery phase is mainly controlled by the regulation of physiological processes. Epo-mediated inhibition of erythroid progenitor apoptosis, identified as the main regulator of erythropoiesis for years (Koury and Bondurant, 1990), is a powerful mean to speed up the recovery, because it can quickly adapt the production of erythrocytes to strong modifications in circulating red blood cell counts.

Second, our model showed its ability to reproduce similar experimental data, obtained with modified protocols: either the dose of phenylhydrazine or the time of the second injection were modified in 4 different experiments, and qualitatively similar yet quantitatively different dynamics were generated. Model simulations also managed to characterize each experimental protocol by highlighting relationships between the injected dose or the time of the second injection and an adimensionalized variable $R \times t_f$ that embeds phenylhydrazine properties. This allows to directly link the experimental protocol to the severeness of the anemia via the action of phenylhydrazine. Consequently, respective influences of the experimental protocol and physiological processes on the features of stress erythropoiesis can be separately investigated. Moreover phenylhydrazine-induced anemias can be compared even though the experimental protocol changes (different dose, different time of the second injection), but can also be compared to other experimental protocols (for instance bleeding) thanks to the characterization of the protocol by the combination of two variables, $R$ and $t_f$.

Our description of the influence of phenylhydrazine on erythrocyte dy-
namics could be improved. Pharmacodynamics and pharmacokinetics of phenylhydrazine could for instance be incorporated to the description of the induction of the anemia, to directly relate features of the induced anemia to phenylhydrazine properties, instead of indirect properties (residual effect, etc.) as we did in this work. It is however difficult to properly define the PK-PD of phenylhydrazine, as few information is accessible and so developing a relevant PK-PD model of phenylhydrazine could represent an entire research work.

Our study stresses the potential roles of additional processes: shortening or lengthening of differentiation times (characterized by $\tau_p$ in our model) and increase or decrease of the stem cell flux from the hematopoietic stem cell compartment could also strongly influence both the effect of phenylhydrazine on the organism and the recovery (Figures 8.B and 10). However, to our knowledge, no experimental evidence ever showed that differentiation times (or cell cycle durations) were modified during stress erythropoiesis. Therefore, such a control of the organism’s response to anemia remains hypothetical at this stage. Regarding the influence of the differentiation of HSC into erythroid progenitors, our results show that this could influence the recovery provided that large variations of the HSC flux are allowed, and that these changes can occur on a very short time scale (almost instantaneously). This is hardly the case, as HSC regulation is performed through several cell cycles and important variations of the HSC population are not observed over a short period of time in healthy individuals. These additional contributions to the organism’s response to anemia hence do not appear as relevant as the regulation of erythroid progenitor apoptosis.

In Crauste et al. (2008), we concluded on the importance of accounting for erythroid progenitor self-renewal when describing stress erythropoiesis, and described a nonlinear erythrocyte-mediated regulation of self-renewal. Our results confirm the relevance of accounting for erythroid progenitor self-renewal, all parameter value estimation procedures leading to positive self-renewal rates, whatever the experimental protocol. Nevertheless, our results also indicate that regulation of the self-renewal rate is not necessary to account for appropriate dynamics: a constant self-renewal rate, corresponding to a robust doubling time around 10h, allows to reproduce experimental dynamics. This can be explained by the nature of the apoptosis rate regulation: erythroid progenitor apoptosis is highly regulated, and for normal red blood cell counts estimations of the apoptosis rate are rather high (see Crauste et al. (2008) for instance): in this case, a small population of self-renewing cells
among the progenitor population can hardly be detected. During stress erythropoiesis, and in particular during anemia, the strong regulation of apoptosis can almost completely inhibit it, then making the self-renewal rate influential, without necessarily an opposite regulation (see Figure 15). Additionally, this can lead to further analysis of the model, in particular system (1)-(4) can be mathematically analyzed when $\sigma$ is constant whereas the analysis has been impossible, to this day, for nonlinear self-renewal rates $\sigma$.

Regarding the erythroid progenitor apoptosis rate, our analysis showed that its regulation could be slightly different than the one we implemented in our model, that is a saturating function characterized by very low values for small erythrocyte counts and very high values for high erythrocyte counts. As discussed in Section 3.6, values of the apoptosis rate measured through an entire simulation do not correspond to the spectrum that the saturating function could span: only the first increasing, convex part of this function is actually used to perform stress erythropoiesis. From a modeling point of view, this indicates that instead of using a classical saturating Hill function to describe the erythrocyte count-dependent apoptosis rate, an
exponential increasing function could be used. This may not seem to repre-
sent an important contribution to erythropoiesis modeling, yet it would
allow describing the apoptosis rate using two parameters, instead of three
in the current version, hence favoring a better, more sensitive control of the
apoptosis regulation.

Aknowledgements

OA has been partially supported by project MTM2014-56022-C2-2-P of
the Spanish Ministerio de Economía y Competitividad and European FEDER
Funds. We thank Quentin Lamy for his technical help in collecting the data.
We thank Prof. Mark Koury for his support and for providing the bleeding-
induced anemia data in Figure 1.

A.S. Ackleh, H.T. Banks, K. Deng, A finite difference approximation for a
coupled system of nonlinear size-structured population, Nonlinear Analysis

A.S. Ackleh, K. Deng, K. Ito, J. Thibodeaux, A structured erythropoiesis
model with nonlinear cell maturation velocity and hormone decay rate,

A.S. Ackleh, J. Thibodeaux, Parameter Estimation in a Structured Erythro-

M. Adimy, F. Crauste, S. Ruan, Modelling hematopoiesis mediated by growth

O. Angulo, F. Crauste, J.C. López-Marcos, Numerical integration
of an erythropoiesis model with explicit growth factor dynam-

O. Angulo, J.C. López-Marcos, M.A. Bees, Mass Structured Systems with
Boundary Delay: Oscillations and the Effect of Selective Predation, J.

O. Angulo, J.C. López-Marcos, M.A. López-Marcos, Numerical approxima-
tion of singular asymptotic states for a size-structured population model


