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Combination antiretroviral therapy (cART) has transformed pediatric HIV infection in resource-rich countries from a fatal infection to a treatable chronic disease. Children treated with cART have significantly decreased risk of progression to AIDS or death, improved growth and body composition parameters, reduced risk and severity of infectious complications, decreased hospitalizations, improvement in neurocognitive parameters, and reversal or prevention of HIV-related organ damage [1–7].

However, more than 90% of pediatric HIV infection occurs in resource-limited countries. In such countries, over 60% of HIV-infected children die prior to their third birthday [8]. At the end of 2007, 2.5 million children were estimated to be living with HIV infection, and about 420,000 continue to be newly infected yearly, primarily in low-resource countries through mother to child transmission of HIV (MTCT) [9]. While funding from the World Health Organization 3×5 initiative, the U.S. President’s Emergency Plan for AIDS Relief (PEPFAR), and the Global Fund have dramatically increased access of individuals in resource-limited countries to cART, targeting and inclusion of children in these programs has been disappointingly slow. Of the estimated 600,000 children who require HAART in sub-Saharan Africa, less than 5% are receiving therapy [10]. Barriers to pediatric treatment include lack of appropriate testing technology for early identification of HIV infection in infants, lack of availability of appropriate and affordable pediatric antiretroviral drug formulations, lack of personnel with health care expertise in treatment of children, infrastructure needs, and an apparent lack of prioritization and advocacy for treatment of children [11].

Despite slow progress in implementing pediatric treatment programs, there have been numerous publications that demonstrate that HIV-infected children in resource-limited countries respond to cART as well as children in resource-rich countries, and that implementation of treatment programs for large numbers of children can be accomplished in such settings despite multiple challenges [12–19]. However, in these settings treatment is often initiated at older ages and later stages of immune suppression than in resource-rich countries; in 7 recent studies on pediatric treatment published between 2007–2008 from resource-limited countries, the median ages at initiation of cART were 6 to 8 years old, and the median baseline CD4+ percentage at start of cART was 6% to 12% [12–17, 19].

Recovery of immune status with cART in children has been shown to be dependent on the baseline CD4+ count at initiation of treatment, and is also more robust in younger than older HIV-infected children [20–22]. Thus, initiation of therapy prior to severe immune suppression is desirable. Additionally, initiation of cART in children with severe immune suppression is more likely to result in the development of immune inflammatory reconstitution syndrome (IRIS), which can be associated with significant morbidity and potential mortality in the early months after initiation of cART [23]. Since the median survival of untreated perinatally-infected children in resource-limited countries is only 1.6 years [8], initiation of treatment in infancy, prior to immune deficiency, would seem to be desirable for optimal survival of infected children. Recent data from a South African clinical trial (Children with HIV Early Antiretroviral Therapy [CHER] study) of initiation of HAART in asymptomatic perinatally-infected children with normal CD4 percentage (CD4 >25%) prior to age 12 weeks, compared to waiting to start HAART until the child meets clinical or immune criteria, demonstrated a 75% reduction in early mortality [24]. Based in part on these data, treatment guidelines in the United States now recommend that all HIV-infected children under age 1 year diagnosed with HIV infection are started on cART regardless of clinical, immune or virologic status [25].

However, the issue of which cART regimen to start in HIV-infected infants is problematic in low resource settings, as there is a limited pediatric drug formulary. The current WHO-recommended first-line cART regimen in such countries is a non-nucleoside reverse transcriptase inhibitor (NNRTI)-based regimen (which would be nevirapine in young children). However, nevirapine, given as a single intrapartum/newborn dose, is a key drug in the prevention of MTCT in Africa: it is less costly than any other regimen, easy to implement, safe and effective in a single-dose intervention, and also used to optimize the efficacy of more complex peri-partum ARV regimens [26, 27]. However, the safety and efficacy of this regimen has been tempered by the rapid and frequent emergence of resistance mutations to...
NNRTIs in both women and infants exposed to single dose nevirapine [28]. These resistance mutations could compromise the subsequent therapeutic response to infant NNRTIs-based ARV treatment (ART), as suggested by the recent findings of a study conducted on a small group of HIV-infected children treated from 8.5 months of age in Botswana [29].

The paper from Prendergast and colleagues published in this issue of AIDS adds to the evidence that excellent adherence and virologic response can be achieved in HIV-infected children receiving cART in sub-Saharan Africa [30]. Prendergast et al. assessed adherence to antiretroviral therapy and virological and clinical outcome one year after cART initiation among 63 South African infants. All these children had been previously exposed to single-dose nevirapine, and about 40% of them had developed NNRTI resistance mutations. The children were randomized to receive immediate (median age, 1 month) initiation of cART when HIV was diagnosed (N=40, 36 of whom completed 1 year cART) or deferred until CD4+ count decreased to ≤20% (N=20). Of note, 16 of the 20 (80%) infants in the deferred group had CD4+ drop to ≤20% within the first year of life, demonstrating the rapid progression to immune suppression in HIV-infected infants; 13 of these children were started on cART at a median age of 5 months (1 child died before therapy could be started, and 2 started cART after age 1 year). The cART regimen administered to 94% of infants was a 4-drug, 3-class regimen (zidovudine, lamivudine, nelfinavir, nevirapine). For the first year of cART, mean measured adherence to treatment was 95%. Overall median pre-cART viral load was 952,000 copies/mL. Ten infants required a switch to second line therapy during the first year; 5 due to viral failure (9.4%), the others due to need for concurrent tuberculosis treatment. Only one of the 5 infants with viral failure had a baseline NNRTI resistance mutation.

After 1 year of cART, viral load was <400 and <50 copies/mL in 100% and 94%, respectively, of infants in the immediate ART group, and 100% and 92% of infants in the deferred ART group. Time to undetectable viral load was not significantly different between groups (median approximately 4 months after initiation of cART). Most importantly, there was no difference in time to undetectability between infants with and without NNRTI resistance mutations. Another interesting finding is that infants starting immediate ART had significantly fewer illness episodes and were less likely to be admitted to hospital than those in the deferred group.

Using an intent to treat approach to evaluate success of first-line cART, 39/49 children (80%) achieved a viral load decrease to <400 copies/mL, a success rate similar to that seen in studies in resource-rich countries. In a study of early treatment of 52 infants aged 2 to 11 months in the U.S., 83% of infants receiving a 4-drug, 3-class regimen ( stavudine, lamivudine, nevirapine and nelfinavir) similar to that in the current study achieved viral suppression to <400 copies/mL at 48 weeks; interestingly, response to a 4-drug regimen in these infants was superior to response to a 3-drug regimen (72% suppression at 48 weeks) [31]. Additionally, initiation of cART at <3 months of age was associated with better virologic response after 200 weeks of therapy (60% with HIV RNA <400 copies/mL in those <3 months when cART started vs 30% in those starting at >3 months).

Although promising, the Prendergast study results should be read carefully to avoid misinterpretations. First, this study was not designed to investigate the impact of perinatally acquired nevirapine-based resistance mutations on the therapeutic response to cART including an NNRTI. In this study, children were treated with a 3-class drug combination, including NNRTIs but also a protease inhibitor. Although a 4-drug regimen is potentially complex to roll-out in Africa, such a regimen conferred a good virological response, despite high baseline viral loads and high prevalence of resistance mutations to NNRTIs. The public health implication of these findings are therefore potentially important, but there remains an urgent need for the development of simplified paediatric ARV regimens, optimized for children previously exposed to single-dose nevirapine. A number of other clinical trials are ongoing to evaluate response to treatment in infected children exposed to single-dose nevirapine.

Second, the controversial question of when to start ART in infants is of crucial interest [32]. However, the low number of infants included in the study resulted in lack of statistical power to explore differences in morbidity and mortality between the immediate and deferred cART groups. Additionally, the majority of the children in the deferred arm required initiation of therapy before age 1 year based on immunologic decline. Although only limited conclusions related to these outcomes can be drawn from Prendergast et al. study, they add to the recent CHER study’s findings that provide good evidence for a benefit to starting ART early in infants, e.g. as soon as diagnosis of HIV-infection has been made available [24]. However, the benefits of early initiation need to be balanced against the costs and drawbacks of such a strategy: impact of cART on the quality of life, management of adverse effects, the repercussions of the development resistance mutations in a context where therapeutic options are limited, the likelihood of a lifelong therapy and its relationship with long-term adherence [33].

Thus, the Prendergast paper adds to a growing body of evidence suggesting that good clinical outcomes can be obtained in African HIV-infected children treated with cART, even in decentralized primary health care facilities. The study also demonstrates that an excellent virological response to ART is achievable in African children aged less than one year, despite perinatally acquired nevirapine-based resistance mutations. All of these encouraging findings can lead to enhanced survival of HIV-infected children in resource-constrained settings, but important challenges still need to be faced. Early paediatric HIV diagnosis, development of paediatric drug formulations and simplified ARV regimens optimized for infants previously exposed to antiretroviral drugs to prevent MTCT are critical to allow the timely start of appropriate treatment and to improve the quality of life of HIV-infected children. Moreover, considerable progress towards the prevention of new paediatric HIV infections are needed, it is therefore now crucial to speed up the
transition from research on prevention of MTCT to wide-scale practice with innovative, easy to implement and effective interventions addressing the overall risk of MTCT.
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